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Large-Eddy simulation of an impinging heated jet for a small nozzle-to-plate distance and high Reynolds number

Pierre Grenson*,a, Hugues Deniau*b

Abstract

This paper reports on the investigation of an original impinging jet configuration through a wall-resolved large-eddy simulation. The heated jet issues from a fully developed pipe flow at temperature of 130 °C and a Reynolds number based on the bulk velocity of 60000. The impinged plate is located three diameters downstream of the pipe exit. The CFD results have been validated against a specifically-created experimental database (Grenson et al., 2016). The overall statistical fields are well retrieved by the simulation both in the free jet and the wall jet region. In particular, the secondary maximum at the radial location $r/D = 2$ in the Nusselt number distribution is well predicted by the simulation. The underlying mechanisms from which the secondary maximum originates has been investigated. This analysis revealed that small-scale hot spots of strong convective heat transfer coefficient are responsible for the emergence of this secondary maximum. It is shown that the hot spots can be associated either to local unsteady “separation” of the flow or streaks-like structures above the impinging plate.

1. Introduction

The impinging jet configuration is of widespread use in industrial applications (e.g. turbine blade cooling, aircraft leading edge heating) due to the high heat transfer rate experienced by the impinged surface (Han and Goldstein, 2001). It also remains a relevant configuration for turbulence modeling and numerical simulation assessment (Zuckerman and Lior, 2006; Dewan et al., 2012) due to the variety of the flow regions that coexist (Fig. 1). In this framework, an Onera joint project has been dedicated to a particular jet impingement configuration, which was selected for its high Reynolds number $Re_D = 60000$, small nozzle-to-plate distance $H/D = 3$ and temperature difference between the heated jet ($T_j = 130 °C$) and the ambient atmosphere ($T_a = 25 °C$). Because this particular configuration had never been investigated in the past, an exhaustive experimental database has been specifically created for numerical validation purposes by Grenson et al. (2016). The goal of the present paper is to numerically investigate the flow field and heat transfer distribution of this configuration through a wall-resolved large-eddy simulation (LES).

In the last decade, several LES were carried out on impinging jet configurations. Most of these simulations (Hadžiabdić and Hanjalić, 2008; Uddin et al., 2013; Aillaud et al., 2016; Natarajan et al., 2016) dealt with a turbulent jet issuing a fully developed pipe at Reynolds number $Re_D \sim 20000$ and for a nozzle-to-plate distance $H/D = 2$. Lodato et al. (2009) performed a LES for the same nozzle-to-plate distance but a higher Reynolds number $Re_D = 70000$. Unfortunately, the used grid was to coarse to meet the requirements of a wall-resolved LES and no information about the heat transfer distribution was reported. It should be noticed that the aforementioned works were dedicated to isothermal jet configuration, for which the jet temperature $T_j$ is identical to the ambient temperature $T_a$. To the authors knowledge, the present study is the first wall-resolved LES of an impinging jet configuration at such a high Reynolds number and for a heated jet.

Since the measurements of Gardon and Akfirat (1965) and Baughn and Shimizu (1989), the Nusselt number has been shown to feature a double-peak shaped distribution for impinging configurations with a nozzle-to-plate distance less than $H/D = 4$. The first peak is located at the stagnation point while the secondary peak is generally located around the radial position $r/D = 2$. Its intensity increases with the jet Reynolds number (Lee and Lee, 1999). Various explanations about the mechanisms behind this non-monotonic distribution have been proposed, generally on the basis of unsteady numerical simulations. Hadžiabdić and Hanjalić (2008) related the secondary maximum to the reattachment of local unsteady separations of the flow, by taking up the arguments of Popiel and Trass (1991) who observed such separations experimentally. The unsteady separation in impinging flows was first characterised by Didden and Ho (1985). It results from the...
interaction of the large-scale vortices generated in the free jet, often referred to as primary structures, with the plate. Flow separation is promoted by the adverse pressure gradient encountered in the vicinity of these primary structures. This process eventually leads to the emergence of small spots of any unsteady separation but noticed the emergence of small spots of flow separation.

In their large-eddy simulation, Uddin et al. (2013) did not observe any unsteady separation but noticed the emergence of small spots of high convective heat transfer coefficient, referred as “hot spots”, in the region of the secondary maximum. Those spots are convected as the primary structures travel along the plate. By means of a direct numerical simulation of an impinging jet at Re_D = 10000 and H/D = 2, Dairay et al. (2015) showed that the hot spots are related to the instability of the secondary structures (unsteady separation). Thanks to an analysis of higher-order statistics from their LES, Aillaud et al. (2016) highlighted that the hot spots are related to the instability of secondary structures (unsteady separation).

The purpose of the present work is to highlight which mechanisms take place for a high Reynolds number impinging jet which exhibits a well-pronounced secondary maximum.

The present paper is organized as follows. First, the computational setup is presented (Section 2). Next the simulation results are validated against the experimental database (Section 3). Finally, the full 3D time-resolved data from the simulation are analysed to get insight into the mechanisms related to the heat transfer distribution on the plate (Section 4).

2. Computational setup

The computational domain, sketched in Fig. 2(a), models the experimental configuration. It consists of a cylindrical domain connected to a circular pipe of diameter D. The impinged plate is represented by a disk of diameter 12D located 3D downstream of the pipe exit. Due to its large external diameter of 2.25D, the complete nozzle geometry is also taken into account. The ambient domain is included up to 3D upstream of the pipe exit. The origin of the orthogonal coordinate system is located at the pipe outlet center. The jet axis is along the x direction and is taken as positive in the jet mean direction. The radial direction r is oriented along the plate.

2.1. Governing equations

The flow dynamics is governed by the compressible Navier–Stokes equations, expressed in their conservative form herebelow:

\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{U}) = 0
\]  

(1)  

\[
\frac{\partial (\rho \mathbf{U})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U} + p \mathbf{I} - \tau) = 0
\]  

(2)
\[
\frac{\partial (\rho E)}{\partial t} + \text{div}(\rho E \mathbf{U} + \rho \mathbf{U} - \tau \mathbf{U} + \mathbf{q}) = 0
\]

(3)

They correspond respectively to the conservation of mass, momentum \( \rho \mathbf{U} \) and total energy \( \rho E \) per unit volume, \( E \) being equal to the sum of the internal energy \( e \) and kinetic energy \( \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{U}^2 \). Considering that air is a Newtonian fluid and obeys the Stokes hypothesis, the stress tensor \( \tau \) is related to the velocity field through the molecular viscosity \( \mu \):

\[
\tau = \mu(T) \left[ \text{grad} \mathbf{U} + \text{grad} \mathbf{U}^T - \frac{2}{3} (\text{div} \mathbf{U}) \mathbf{I} \right]
\]

(4)

The molecular viscosity \( \mu \) dependence to the temperature \( T \) is modeled by the Sutherland’s law (\( \mu \) varies up to 35% in the temperature range considered in this study).

The heat flux due to conduction in the fluid \( \mathbf{q} \) is modeled by the Fourier law:

\[
\mathbf{q} = -k_f(T) \text{grad} T
\]

(5)

where \( k_f \) is the thermal conduction coefficient which is computed from the molecular viscosity by means of the Prandtl number:

\[
Pr = \frac{\mu(T)c_p}{k_f(T)}
\]

(6)

which can be considered constant and equal to 0.71. Regarding the jet temperature of the present work, the fluid can be considered as a calorically perfect gas, for which \( \gamma = c_p/c_v = 1.4 \). The system (1)–(3) is then closed by the following equations:

\[
\frac{\rho}{P} = \frac{1}{\gamma T}
\]

(7)

\[
e = c_v T
\]

(8)

where \( \gamma = 287 \text{ Jkg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1} \) and \( c_v = 717 \text{ Jkg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1} \).

The large-eddy simulation approach consists in resolving only the largest scales of turbulent structures in the flow. In this study, LES is performed by means of an explicit spatial filtering of the five conservative variables at the end of each time iteration. That explains why no explicit subgrid-scale (SGS) terms are included in both the momentum and energy equations (2) and (3). This approach, often referred to as relaxation filtering, has been proven accurate for various free jet flow simulation by Bogey and Bailly (2006).

2.2. Numerical simulation procedure

The governing equations (1)–(3) are numerically solved on a structured mesh using the in-house finite-volume solver elsA (French acronym for “Aerodynamic Simulation Software Package”) (Cambier et al., 2013). The spatial discretisation is performed with the 6th-order compact finite-volume scheme of Fosso et al. (2010) that allows for the accurate resolution of turbulent structures which span at least 6 cells (compared to the 20 cells generally recommended for a classical second-order Roe upwind scheme (Sagaut et al., 2013)). The stability of the computations is enforced using the 8th-order compact filter of Visbal and Gaitonde (2002), with the filter parameter \( \alpha = 0.47 \). This filter plays also the role of the LES explicit filter, by dissipating the energy of the underresolved turbulent structures.

Time integration is performed by the explicit 4-step scheme of Runge–Kutta. The dimensionless time step \( \Delta t D/U = 3 \times 10^{-5} \) is chosen for stability reasons so that the acoustic CFL number remains less than 1.4 in the smallest cell of the domain.

2.3. Meshing strategy

The mesh has been designed to respect the commonly acknowledged guidelines for a large-eddy simulation (Sagaut et al., 2013). In the free-jet region, the cell size is based on the vorticity thickness \( \delta_v = U_l/\omega_l \) of the jet. It does not exceed \( \Delta x = \delta_v/10, \Delta y = \delta_v/45 \) and \( r \Delta \theta = \delta_v/5 \). In the wall-jet region, the meshing strategy enables to meet the wall-resolved constraints of Piomelli and Chasnov (1996) based on the viscous length \( l_v = U_l/\nu \). The cell size in each direction are smaller than \( \Delta x^+ = \Delta x/l_v = 2, \Delta y^+ = \Delta y/l_v = 25 \) and \( r \Delta \theta^+ = (r \Delta \theta)/l_v = 25 \) in the whole domain of interest. The final mesh, after several design iterations, contains \( 350 \times 10^6 \) cells, including \( 100 \times 10^6 \) cells in the pipe only. This mesh size is one order magnitude higher than in the previous LES (Allaïat et al., 2016; Lodato et al., 2009; Hadžiabadić and Hanjalić, 2008; Uddin et al., 2013), dedicated to lower Reynolds number jet (\( R_e_D \sim 20000 \)).

The meshing strategy is illustrated in Fig. 3, which shows the blocks and the number of cells along the edges in Oxy and Oyz plane. As on shown in Fig. 3(a), a particular block topology, so-called “O-4H”, was implemented in order to maintain the size of the cell in the circumferential direction small enough to meet the requirement on \( (r \Delta \theta)^+ \). 8 blocks (blue blocks in Fig. 3) have been added around a central O-grid (yellow blocks in Fig. 3), associated with the circular pipe and the jet.
core. This topology allows to concentrate meshing lines issuing from the outer part of the computational domain into the region of interest above the impinged plate without propagating them throughout the central O-grid (as illustrated by the meshing line $i_1$ in Fig. 3(a)). Traditional O-grid for the whole plate would have led to unnecessary small cells in that region that, in turn, would cause severe limitation of the maximum allowable time step.

2.4. Boundary conditions

At the pipe inflow, the mean velocity profile corresponding to the fully developed pipe is prescribed. The jet bulk velocity $U_j$ is 25.7 m/s. The pipe inflow temperature is set uniform and constant at the jet temperature $T_j = 130$ °C. Because the pipe is fully developed, one needs to generate resolved flow fluctuations upstream of the pipe exit. For that purpose two types of velocity perturbations are jointly employed (see Fig. 4):

1. Vortex rings (Bogey et al., 2003) are generated in the flow field in the close vicinity of the pipe wall. Their effect on the flow field is similar to that of a small geometrical step located at the same position on the wall. This allows to trip the boundary layer which rapidly evolves into a turbulent state.

2. Isotropic homogeneous turbulence, based on the approach of Bechara et al. (1994), is injected over the whole inflow section in order to feed the core region of the pipe with resolved turbulent content.

Preliminary tests have shown that a pipe length of 6 diameters was necessary for these perturbations to transform into a realistic developed flow of the whole pipe section. As shown in Fig. 5, both the mean velocity profile and the complete Reynolds tensor at the pipe exit ($x = 0$) are in fair agreement with the measurements.

At the external inflow, which corresponds to the ambient cold air entrained by the jet, the mean velocity and a uniform temperature $T_e = 25$ °C profiles are imposed. The velocity profiles at this location are available from our experiments (Fig. 6).

The no-slip boundary condition is applied to the walls. Both the pipe and the nozzle are taken adiabatic. The impinged plate is considered isothermal, by imposing a uniform temperature of $T_w = 25$ °C. Because the pipe is fully developed, one needs to generate resolved flow fluctuations upstream of the pipe exit. For that purpose two types of velocity perturbations are jointly employed (see Fig. 4):

1. Vortex rings (Bogey et al., 2003) are generated in the flow field in the close vicinity of the pipe wall. Their effect on the flow field is similar to that of a small geometrical step located at the same position on the wall. This allows to trip the boundary layer which rapidly evolves into a turbulent state.

2. Isotropic homogeneous turbulence, based on the approach of Bechara et al. (1994), is injected over the whole inflow section in order to feed the core region of the pipe with resolved turbulent content.

Preliminary tests have shown that a pipe length of 6 diameters was necessary for these perturbations to transform into a realistic developed flow of the whole pipe section. As shown in Fig. 5, both the mean velocity profile and the complete Reynolds tensor at the pipe exit ($x = 0$) are in fair agreement with the measurements.

At the external inflow, which corresponds to the ambient cold air entrained by the jet, the mean velocity and a uniform temperature $T_e = 25$ °C profiles are imposed. The velocity profiles at this location are available from our experiments (Fig. 6).

The no-slip boundary condition is applied to the walls. Both the pipe and the nozzle are taken adiabatic. The impinged plate is considered isothermal, by imposing a uniform temperature of $T_w = 25$ °C.

Regarding the experiments, this thermal configuration corresponds to the instant at which the plate, initially at ambient temperature, is suddenly submitted to the hot flow. At this time, the temperature difference between the jet and the plate is the highest, that is the best configuration for accurate heat flux prediction. Convective heat exchanges are generally quantified by the convective heat transfer coefficient defined as:

$$h = \frac{q_c}{T_w - T_{aw}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Contrary to the configurations dedicated to isothermal jets, the adiabatic wall temperature $T_{aw}$ is not equal to the jet temperature $T_j$ for our non-isothermal case, because of the mixing between hot and cold fluid. In order to determine this a priori unknown temperature, an additional simulation (referred to as LES-ADIA in the following) has been performed with an adiabatic boundary condition ($q_c = 0$) prescribed on the plate.

The outflow boundary condition consists of a non-reflective boundary condition (Tam and Dong, 1996) applied at $r/D = 12$. A sponge layer relaxes the pressure to the ambient pressure $p_0 = 101325$ Pa and dissipates the vortical structures convected from the wall jet.
which generally de
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which corresponds to the period associated with the column mode \(S_0 = fD/U_j = 0.4\) of the free jet. Once the transient has been evacuated (after 8 cycles), the statistical fields are obtained through both time and azimuthal averaging of the instantaneous flow fields. It has been observed that both the mean and fluctuating fields are fully converged after 8 cycles.

### 2.5. Simulation duration

The total physical simulated time was 21 cycles, where one cycle has a duration \(\tau_c = 22.5D/U_j\), which corresponds to the period associated with the column mode \(S_0 = fD/U_j = 0.4\) of the free jet. Once the transient has been evacuated (after 8 cycles), the statistical fields are obtained through both time and azimuthal averaging of the instantaneous flow fields. It has been observed that both the mean and fluctuating fields are fully converged after 8 cycles.

### 2.6. Data extraction

In the scope of physical analysis, instantaneous fields of both velocity and temperature have also been extracted at a frequency of 14 kHz, as well as instantaneous distribution of the convective heat flux \(q_c\) and friction vector \(\tau_w\) on the impinged plate. Velocity and temperature signals have been recorded at a thousand of probes spread in the domain of interest, at a sampling frequency of 550 kHz.

Fig. 2(b) shows an instantaneous isosurface of Q-criterion along with Oxz and Oxy slices of both velocity and temperature fields. The instantaneous heat transfer distribution on the impinged plate is also represented. It shows that the flow exhibits a large range of turbulent scales, as expected for a high Reynolds number jet which exits a fully turbulent pipe.

### 3. Simulation validation

#### 3.1. Statistical fields

##### 3.1.1. Dynamical and thermal flow fields

The mean and fluctuating velocity fields from the experiments and the LES are compared in Fig. 7. The agreement is satisfactory for the mean flow (Fig. 7, center). The major flow features are well retrieved: the radial expansion of the annular shear layer in the free-jet region, the flow deviation in the stagnation region with zero velocity at the center of the impinged plate and the velocity decrease in the wall-jet region. The highest radial velocity \(\langle u_r \rangle/U_j \approx 1.1\) is reached at the radial location \(r/D = 1\), which generally defines the limit between the stagnation and the wall-jet region. The entrainment effects, such as the re-circulation bubble behind the nozzle external case, are also correctly captured, as highlighted by the streamlines (Fig. 7, left).

The field of the velocity fluctuations is satisfactorily reproduced by the simulation (Fig. 7, right). The free-jet region is characterized by high levels of turbulent kinetic energy \(k\) in the shear layer while the stagnation region features damping of the velocity fluctuations due to the wall blocking effect. In the wall jet, the turbulent kinetic energy progressively increases to reach its highest level around the radial location \(r/D = 1.7\) (loupe in Fig. 7, right). Although those features are well captured by the present simulation, some discrepancies should however be mentioned. It notably appears that fluctuations are overestimated in the free-jet shear layer and this from the very beginning of the jet (Fig. 7, right). Fig. 8 shows that the kinetic energy fluctuations primarily comes from the overestimation of the radial velocity component, especially between \(r/D = 0.5\) and 1.0. This overestimation must be linked to the slight over-prediction of the shear layer thickness as evidenced by the axial mean velocity profiles and the temperature profiles gathered in Fig. 8. This Figure also shows that temperature fluctuations are strongly overestimated at the beginning of the free jet. Those differences between the LES data and experiments are not due to the pipe exit conditions, which are fairly reproduced. Furthermore, a mesh convergence study (not shown here) revealed that the mean and fluctuating field prediction in the free-jet region are not improved on a refined mesh for which the number of cells in the axial direction has been doubled. The influence the SGS modeling have also been assessed, with no influence on the predicted fields with a WALE approach (Nicoud and Ducros, 1999). We finally attribute the fluctuation overestimation to the presence of a spurious pressure wave that has been observed traveling back and forth in the 6D-pipe. This phenomenon has a frequency \(St_0 \approx 0.8\) close to the first harmonic of the free-jet column mode and could therefore slightly excites the shear layer.

Because the heat transfer is principally linked to the flow dynamics in the wall jet region, a detailed validation is performed for both velocity and temperature profiles at three different locations along the plate (Fig. 7(a)). In order to assess the influence of the thermal boundary condition, the statistical fields from both the LES and LES-ADIA simulations are represented. The agreement on the mean radial velocity is excellent (Fig. 9(a) with the thickening of the very thin boundary layer (inner layer) and the expansion of the outer shear layer. This figure also shows that the thermal condition of the plate does not influence the velocity profiles. This is not the case for the mean temperature (Fig. 9(b) which exhibits very different profiles in the vicinity of the wall. The profiles associated with the adiabatic boundary condition (LES-ADIA) are the closest to the measurements. Indeed, the temperature profiles were measured at equilibrium condition. At this steady-state, the convective heat flux is low: it balances the heat.
diffusion in the thin plate and the low losses on the rear face (more details are provided in Grenson et al. (2016)).

Fig. 9(c) and (d) show that the axial and radial velocity fluctuations are insensitive to the thermal boundary condition. In addition, they are accurately reproduced by the simulation for all profiles except at the radial location \( r/D = 1 \) where the fluctuations of the radial velocity in the near-wall region are overestimated by 20%. The origin of this difference, which has also been observed in previous LES of the literature (Uddin et al., 2013; Aillaud et al., 2016), is still unclear. Two explanations could be proposed. This discrepancy might simply be a consequence of the overestimation of the fluctuations observed in the free-jet region (Fig. 8). Alternatively one could incriminate the explicit filtering strategy which only dissipates energy of the undiscretised scales and is therefore not able to take into account energy backscatter.

The temperature fluctuations are represented in Fig. 9(e). Contrary to the velocity field, the thermal boundary condition alters the temperature profiles, especially in the near-wall region. The temperature fluctuations for the isothermal condition exhibit a small peak in the inner boundary layer, as highlighted by the loupes in Fig. 9(e). In the case of the adiabatic condition, they feature only a change in their slope. This behaviour is closer to the measurements. Fig. 9(e) also shows that the temperature fluctuations from both LES and LES-ADIA are overestimated compared to the experimental data. This is certainly a consequence of the mixing overestimation in the free-jet shear layer that we mentioned herebefore.

These results indicate that the thermal coupling between the flow and the impinged plate is weak. In other words, the thermal effects do not influence the flow dynamics. It should then be expected that the convective heat flux coefficient is entirely determined by the flow velocity and is independent of the thermal boundary condition, at least for wall temperature comprised between \( T_w = 25^\circ C \) and \( T_{aw} \).
Fig. 8. Mean and fluctuating profiles of both velocity and temperature in the free-jet region. (•) Experimental data. (—) LES with isothermal boundary condition on the impinged plate ($T_w = 25 \, ^\circ C$).
radial locations $r/D \geq 2$. No universal log-law ($\epsilon = 0.41$ and $Pr = 0.9$) can be distinguished in the inner layer, contrary to the observations of Guerra et al. (2005) for a $Re_D = 35000$ impinging jet. This may be attributed to the fact that, in the present case, the inner layer is very thin. Indeed, the maximum radial velocity and temperature are reached at about 100 wall units, that is to say between the buffer layer and the beginning of the inertial sublayer. The outer layer is one order of magnitude thicker and extends up to $x_D \approx 1000$.

3.1.3. Wall transfer on the impinged plate

The mean convective heat transfer coefficient $h$ is generally expressed by the Nusselt number $Nu_h$, defined as:

$$Nu_h(r) = \frac{h(r) D}{k_f}$$

where $k_f$ is the thermal conductivity of the fluid. Fig. 11(a) shows that the Nusselt number in the stagnation region is well predicted whereas it is underestimated by $\sim 20\%$ in the wall jet. We will come back later on the presumed origin of this discrepancy. The appearance of the secondary maximum at $r/D = 2$ is nonetheless well reproduced by the simulation.

The adiabatic wall temperature can be rewritten in term of the effectiveness $\eta$, defined as:

$$\eta(r) = \frac{T_{aw}(r) - T_i}{T_f - T_i}$$

Fig. 11(b) shows that the computed effectiveness distribution compares satisfactorily with the experimental data. The effectiveness is close to one in the stagnation region, that indicates that the hot fluid which interacts with the plate at this location has not yet undergone mixing with ambient cold fluid. Further downstream the effectiveness decreases due to the mixing in the free-jet and the outer layer of the wall jet. This drop is slightly more pronounced in the LES because of the overestimation of the mixing in the free-jet shear layer.

The distribution of the mean wall friction modulus $\langle \tau_w(r) \rangle$ is plotted in Fig. 11(c). This quantity being not measured in our experimental data set, the data set of Alekseenko and Markovich (1996) ($H/D = 3$ and $Re_D = 44000$) is used as validation purpose. Similarly to the Nusselt
number distribution, the mean friction modulus agrees well in the stagnation region. For higher radial locations, the predicted distribution features only a slight slope change (plateau) in the region $1.5 < r/D < 2$, while experimental data exhibit a secondary maximum in this region.

The comparison of Fig. 11(a) and (c) shows that the Reynolds analogy between the heat and mass transfer does not hold in the impinging flow: at the stagnation point the heat exchanges are the highest while the friction coefficient is equal to zero. Furthermore, the secondary peak of heat transfer does not match with the secondary peak (or the slope change for the LES) in the friction modulus distribution. The secondary maximum could then not be explained on the sole basis of this analogy.

### 3.2. Spectral properties

The spectral content of the numerical probes spread in the computational domain can be compared to the available experimental data that provide time-resolved measurements within the flow. The power spectral density (PSD) of velocity fluctuations at two representative locations in the jet is compared to the experimental data in Fig. 12. Due to the limited duration of the LES, the frequency resolution of the spectra, obtained through a Welsh method, is less than that available from the experiments ($\Delta f = 3$ Hz). For the probe located in the jet shear layer (Fig. 12(a)), the agreement between spectra is satisfactory in the inertial frequency range, that is characterized by the $-5/3$ Kolmogorov law. The cut-off frequency of the simulation, which corresponds to the frequency at which PSD departs from the measurements, is of the order $f = 2.5$ kHz. This frequency can be related to the spatial wavelength $\kappa$ according to the Taylor’s hypothesis which assumes a uniform convection speed $\langle u \rangle$:

$$
\kappa = \frac{2\pi f}{\langle u \rangle} \quad (14)
$$

It is shown in Fig. 12(a) that the cut-off wavelength multiplied by the local mesh size $\Delta$ corresponds approximately to $\pi/3$. This demonstrates that the explicit LES filter dissipates the turbulent structures whose wavelength is not discretised by at least 6 cells, as expected.

In the jet core (Fig. 12(b)), the spectrum from the LES exhibits a frequency peak around $f_s = 190$ Hz (with spectral resolution of 17 Hz), corresponding to a Strouhal number $St_D = 0.44$. This peak corresponds to column mode of the free-jet evidenced in the experiments but at a slightly lower frequency of $f_s = 170$ Hz ($St_D = 0.4$).
The isosurfaces of static pressure permit to extract the large-scale structures in the flow. Two roll-up vortices (➀) are evidenced in the neighbourhood of the pipe exit. They issue from the natural shear layer instability (Kelvin–Helmholtz) and exhibit a strong azimuthal coherence. As they are convected downstream, those vortices are likely to merge while they progressively lose coherence (➁). When their remnants strike the plate, the flow tends to recover a strong azimuthal coherence with the formation of a large-scale primary structure (➂). As the latter travels outwards along the plate, it loses again coherence, that is highlighted by the small pockets of pressure isosurface (➀) clustered around the radial position \( r/D = 2.7 \). These observations corroborate the findings of Hall and Ewing (2006) who observed from wall pressure measurements that azimuthal modes 0 and 1 were predominant in a high Reynolds (\( Re_T = 50000 \)) impinging jet (\( H/D = 2 \)).

The isosurfaces of Q-criterion enable to isolate the smaller scales in the flow. Braid-like streamwise structures are evidenced between consecutive free-jet toroidal vortices (➀ and ➁). It is shown in Fig. 13(a) that the primary structures are accompanied by numerous small-scale structures in the vicinity of the impinged plate. When the primary structure is located around the radial position \( r/D = 1 \), these structures are mainly oriented in the azimuthal direction (loupe (i) in Fig. 13(a)). On the contrary, most of them are aligned with the mean flow direction when the primary structure is located further downstream (loupe (ii) in Fig. 13(a)), featuring a streak-like behaviour.

### 4. Origin of the secondary maximum

The previous section has shown that the present large-eddy simulation reproduces well the experimental case both for the statistical fields and the spectral content. This gives the confidence one expects to employ the 3D and unsteady data in order to scrutinize the mechanisms associated with the emergence of the secondary maximum in the Nusselt number distribution. This analysis will be principally based on the instantaneous distribution of the convective heat flux coefficient \( h(r, \theta, t) \). This quantity is computed from the instantaneous convective heat flux from the LES \( q_r(r, \theta, t) \) and the mean adiabatic temperature \( T_{aw}(r) \) (Fig. 11)(b) obtained from the LES-ADIA simulation, following:

\[
h(r, \theta, t) = \frac{q_r(r, \theta, t)}{T_{aw}(r) - T_w} \quad \text{with} \quad T_w = 25 \, ^\circ C
\]

(15)

As outlined in the introduction, several authors (Popiel and Trass, 1991; Hadzìbiìulc and Hanjalić, 2008; Dairay et al., 2015) linked the secondary maximum to the unsteady separation phenomenon. For this reason, the appearance of “separated” flow regions on the impinged plate will be also closely examined. These regions are defined as the surfaces where the radial component of the friction vector \( \tau_w \) is negative:

\[
\tau_w < 0
\]

(16)

#### 4.1. 3D flow organisation

The instantaneous 3D flow organisation can be highlighted by means of isosurfaces of both static pressure and Q-criterion (Fig. 13)....
The spatio-temporal maps of those quantities are represented in Fig. 14. In the stagnation region (between \( r/D = 0 \) and \( r/D = 1 \)) the convective heat transfer coefficient varies little and remains close to its mean value \( \langle h \rangle \) (Fig. 14(a)). At the opposite, one can clearly distinguish a regular increase in the convective heat transfer coefficient in the wall-jet region (between \( r/D = 1 \) and \( r/D = 3 \)). This increase is the highest at the radial location \( r/D = 2 \), which corresponds to the location of the secondary maximum. In Fig. 14(a), the increase reproduces 13 times, with a period during two consecutive events approximately equal to the characteristic time \( \tau_c \) associated to the free-jet column mode \( \text{St}_0 = 0.44 \). From the experimental data, we pointed out that the shedding of the primary structures is characterized by the same frequency as the free-jet column mode. This demonstrates that the secondary maximum, which occurs periodically, is strongly related to the passage of a primary

\[
F_{\text{sep}}(r, t) = \int_0^{2\pi} \min[\tau_{\text{sep}}(r, \theta, t), 0] \, d\theta
\]

Fig. 13. Flow topology, "separated region" \((\tau_w, r < 0)\) and convective heat transfer coefficient \( h \) on the impinged plate at two representative instants. (Blue) Isosurface of static pressure \( p - p_a = 40 \text{Pa} \). (Red) Isosurface of Q-criterion.
structure above the impinged plate. The straight lines in Fig. 14 mark three representative passages of a primary structure, the slope corresponding to the convection velocity.

Fig. 14(b) shows that the passage of a primary structure can be linked with a decrease in the azimuthally-averaged friction coefficient in the region between $r/D = r_D/1$ and $r/D = r_D/2$.

The total friction force $F_{sep}$ due to the separated regions allows to quantify the intensity of separation at a given radial location. Fig. 14(c) indicates that separation appears regularly from the radial location $r/D = r_D/1$ at the shedding frequency of the primary structures. The separation intensity is the highest between $r/D = r_D/1$ and $r/D = r_D/2$.

By comparing the three azimuthally-averaged quantities along the straight lines in Fig. 14, one can see that the presence of separated regions cannot be unequivocally linked to an increase or decrease in the heat transfer coefficient. When the primary structure is located at $r/D = 1$, the separation corresponds to low heat transfer whereas it corresponds to high heat transfer when the primary structure is located at $r/D = 2$. Conversely, the decrease in the friction coefficient can be related to the presence of separated regions. Unsteady separation could then explain the dip between the two maxima in the wall friction distribution (Fig. 11)(c).

In order to highlight the possible link between heat increase and separation, the instantaneous distribution of the convective heat transfer coefficient and the separated regions are represented in Fig. 15 for three different instants. The isosurfaces of static pressure above the plate, represented by translucency, allow to locate the position of the primary structures. These three instants have been selected to follow the convection of two primary structures:

- Structure PS1, which travels from its impact at $r/D = 1$ to the radial location $r/D = 2$;
- Structure PS2, which travels from the radial location $r/D = 2$ to $r/D = 2.5$.

At the instant $t/\tau = 9.02$ (Fig. 15)(a), the structure PS1 is located at $r/D = 1$. As already highlighted in Fig. 13(a), the interaction of this structure with the wall generates unsteady separation pockets. Those separations do not cause any heat increase or decrease at this radial location, as evidenced by the loupe in Fig. 15(a). As the structure PS1 progresses outwards, one can observe emergence of many hot spots...
underneath (Fig. 15(a), left). The number and the intensity of these hot spots are the highest when the primary structure is located around $r/D = 2$ (Fig. 15(b), left), the position at which the azimuthally-averaged heat flux coefficient increases. For higher radial location, the hot spots disappear and decrease in intensity as evidenced by the structure PS2. Fig. 15(a)–(c) (left) show that the separated pockets break out when they travel with the primary structure. If some hot spots can be related to separated regions (loupes in Fig. 15(b) and (c)), the majority...
of them cannot be explained from this simple analysis and further investigation of the local flow dynamics is required.

4.4. Probability density function

Prior to take a closer look at the local flow dynamics in the vicinity of the hot spots, their role in the emergence of the secondary maximum is quantified by means of a probability density analysis. Following the approach adopted by Dairay et al. (2015), the probability density function (PDF) of the convective heat transfer coefficient \( h \) is computed for each radial location along the wall. Formally its reads as:

\[
\text{PDF}(h, r) = f(h(t), \theta) = h \quad \text{for given } r
\]

(19)

The PDF\((h, r)\) map is represented in Fig. 16, where the mean heat flux coefficient distribution \( h \) and its most probable value \( h_{\text{PDFmax}} \) are also plotted. In the stagnation region (between \( r/D = 0 \) and \( r/D = 1 \)), it can be seen that the PDF is symmetrical with respect to the mean value of \( h \), as detailed in Fig. 16 (left). From the radial location \( r/D = 1 \), the PDF loses symmetry with appearance of strong events of high heat transfer coefficient. The asymmetry is the strongest at \( r/D = 2 \), which corresponds to the position of the secondary maximum in the mean heat transfer coefficient. At this location the mean heat transfer coefficient is higher than its most probable value (Fig. 16). Further downstream, the PDF retrieves a certain symmetry. This analysis clearly demonstrates that the mean distribution of \( h \) is pulled up by the intense thermal events produced by the hot spots, that finally induce the secondary maximum. This corroborates the findings of Dairay et al. (2015) obtained for a low-Reynolds number configuration.

In the present simulation, we have observed that the smallest hot spots are discretised on few cells only. This suggests that smaller hot spots might not be correctly resolved or not resolved at all. That could explain why the mean Nusselt number distribution is underestimated with respect to the measurements for radial location higher than \( r/D = 1 \) (Fig. 11(a)). The wall-resolved LES criterion of Piomelli and Hanjalić (2008) may then not be suitable to capture the mechanisms leading to the secondary maximum, as already suggested by Hadžiabdić and Hanjalić (2008).

4.5. Focus on the hot spots

Since the relation between hot spots and the secondary maximum has been demonstrated, the next step is to isolate the flow mechanisms that lead to this intense increase in the heat transfer coefficient.

4.5.1. Friction lines

In order to relate the hot spots to the local velocity field, the friction lines in the vicinity of representative hot spots are analyzed. One reminds that are defined as the streamlines of the vector field \( v_n \) and can be interpreted as the projection of the near wall velocity vector. From the observation of a large amount of hot spots, two types can be distinguished. Fig. 17 shows the friction line patterns observed in the vicinity of the two types of hot spots. The mean flow direction, which is the same as the radial direction, at the location of the hot spot is represented by an arrow.

The hot spots of type I, an example of which is depicted in Fig. 17(a), are akin to a local jet impingement, with friction lines spreading outward from the hot spot center. In the vicinity of the spot some friction lines are then oriented upstream of the mean flow direction, which indicates a flow reversal. Based on the definition (16) of the separation, this type of hot spot corresponds to a "separated" flow region. It can also be seen that the "separation" and reattachment lines are orthogonal to the radial direction. This type is very similar to those evidenced by Dairay et al. (2015) by means of conditional averaging of the aerodynamic fields.

The second type of hot spot is the most encountered. Two examples of them are represented in Fig. 17(c) and (b). They reveal that the friction lines strongly spread from each other in the vicinity of the heat transfer enhancement. In certain cases, the spreading is so intense that an impingement line oriented with the mean flow direction is visible (Fig. 17(c)).

It turns out that the common feature shared by the two kinds of spot is that the friction lines exhibit an intense divergence above them. The friction line divergence can be related to the downwash motion due to velocity vector oriented to the wall. The positive axial velocity causes the thinning of the thermal boundary layer and the enhancement of the heat transfer coefficient. These observations are consistent with the findings of Allaud et al. (2016) who showed that the convection of cold fluid onto the hot plate was the most probable event in the region of the secondary maximum.

4.5.2. Local aerothermal field

The interest is now attracted to the origin of the downwash motion around the hot spots. For that purpose, one will take a closer look to the flow velocity field just above a representative hot spot. This is done thanks to a cylindrical slice in the flow field at a given radial location \( r/D = 2 \) (Fig. 18(a)). Pseudo-streamlines of the velocity field are drawn on the cylindrical slice along with the instantaneous static temperature field. A close-up view at the intersection between the cylindrical slice and the impinged plate is presented in Fig. 18(b). The friction lines on the impinged plate are also represented. The loupe in Fig. 18(b) illustrates that the pseudo-streamlines in the slice which are oriented towards the wall induce the divergence of the friction line on the plate. It can also be seen the effect of the downwash on the thermal boundary layer thickness. The pseudo-streamlines in Fig. 18(b) indicate that the downwash motion is produced by elongated counter-rotating structures whose vorticity vector is oriented in the streamwise direction. They can be related to the streaks-like structures that have been shown to accompany the primary structure in Fig. 13(c).

A new question arises from these findings: why are the streaks-like structures more numerous and/or intense in the region at which the secondary maximum emerges? To answer this question, one must come back on the dynamics of the large-scale primary structures.

4.6. Primary structure trajectory

In the experiments, a vortex-region detection algorithm were applied to the instantaneous velocity fields measured by stereo-PIV in order to highlight the mean path of the primary structures. This procedure has been applied to the Oxy and Oxz slices that have been extracted from the LES. The location of the center of the detected vortical

---

**Fig. 16.** Probability density function (PDF) of the convective heat flux coefficient \( h \) at different radial positions \( r/D \) along the impinged plate. (Right) Map the probability density function. (Solid line) Time averaged value of \( h : \langle h \rangle \). (Dashed line) Most probable value of \( h : h_{\text{PDFmax}} \). (Left) PDF at two radial locations. (Red lines) \( r/D = 0 \), impingement point. (Blue lines) \( r/D = 2 \), location of the secondary maximum.
structures along with their sizes are gathered in Fig. 19. The mean path of the primary structure is also plotted. It turns out that the trajectory is in complete agreement with that obtained from the measurements. In particular, the rebound of the primary structure, which is the closest to the wall at the radial location $r/D = 1.7$, is remarkably well reproduced.

The location of the rebound, slightly upstream of the location of the secondary maximum, suggests that the primary structure approach to the wall could be linked to an increase in the number and/or intensity of the streaks-like structures. The detailed mechanism is however still unclear. We may hypothesize some instability due to the adverse pressure gradient downstream of the accelerated flow region generated by the primary structure when it comes close to the wall.

5. Conclusions

A wall-resolved large-eddy simulation of a heated impinging jet has been performed for the first time at high Reynolds number. The simulation has been properly validated on a detailed experimental data base. The comprehensive data provided by this unsteady and wall-resolved simulation enabled to investigate which mechanism is responsible for the secondary maximum in the mean Nusselt number distribution. Based on instantaneous flow topology, azimuthally-averaged quantities and probability density function, the role played by hot spots of high convective heat transfer coefficient has been demonstrated. Only the hot spots of type I could be associated to unsteady separation, the others being related to an intense friction line divergence due to the downwash produced by streaks-like structures. Furthermore, the hot
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