Gavialis from the Pleistocene of Thailand and Its Relevance for Drainage Connections from India to Java
Jérémy Martin, Eric Buffetaut, Wilailuck Naksri, Komsorn Lauprasert, Julien Claude

To cite this version:
Jérémy Martin, Eric Buffetaut, Wilailuck Naksri, Komsorn Lauprasert, Julien Claude. Gavialis from the Pleistocene of Thailand and Its Relevance for Drainage Connections from India to Java. PLoS ONE, Public Library of Science, 2012, 7 (9), 10.1371/journal.pone.0044541. hal-01648586

HAL Id: hal-01648586
https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01648586
Submitted on 26 Nov 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.
Gavialis from the Pleistocene of Thailand and Its Relevance for Drainage Connections from India to Java

Jeremy E. Martin1,2*, Eric Buffetaut3, Wilailuck Naksri2, Komson Lauprasert2,4, Julien Claude5

1 School of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom, 2 Palaeontological Research and Education Centre, Mahasarakham University, Maha Sarakham, Thailand, 3 Laboratoire de Géologie de l’Ecole Normale Supérieure, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Unité Mixte de Recherche 8538, Paris, France, 4 Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Mahasarakham University, Maha Sarakham, Thailand, 5 Institut des Sciences de l’Évolution de Montpellier, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, Université de Montpellier 2, Montpellier, France

Abstract

Background: The genus Gavialis comprises a single living but endangered species, G. gangeticus, as well as fossil species recorded in the Miocene to Pleistocene deposits of the Indian subcontinent. The genus is also represented in the Pleistocene deposits of Java by the species G. bengawanicus, which was recently recognized to be valid. Surprisingly, no detailed report of the genus exists between these two provinces and the recent evolutionary history of Gavialis is not understood.

Methodology/Principal Findings: We report new material consisting of skull and mandibular remains of Gavialis from the Early Pleistocene of Khok Sung, Nakhon Ratchasima Province, northeastern Thailand. The Gavialis material described herein is attributed to Gavialis cf. bengawanicus and sheds new light on the occurrence of the genus in mainland SE Asia.

Conclusions/Significance: Comparison of this new material with other species referred to the genus Gavialis led us to preliminary restrict the content of the genus to three species, namely G. gangeticus Gmelin, G. bengawanicus Dubois and G. lewisi Lull. The occurrence of G. cf. bengawanicus in Thailand allows us to propose a scenario for the dispersal of Gavialis from Indo-Pakistan to Indonesia, thus bridging a geographical gap between these two provinces. Dispersal by sea appears a less likely possibility than dispersal through fluviatile drainages.

Citation: Martin JE, Buffetaut E, Naksri W, Lauprasert K, Claude J (2012) Gavialis from the Pleistocene of Thailand and Its Relevance for Drainage Connections from India to Java. PLoS ONE 7(9): e44541. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044541

Introducción

Studies on Neogene vertebrates from SE Asia have mostly concerned mammal faunas (e.g. [1–3]). Although fossil remains of turtles and crocodilians were reported from the Neogene of Burma (now Myanmar) as early as the 1820s [4,5], the herpetofaunas of this period have received very little attention, with a few contributions dealing with turtles [6–12] and virtually no paper describing crocodilian remains, with the exception of Gavialis bengawanicus and Crocodylus osiricrus from the Pleistocene of Java [13–15]. Recently, fragmentary remains of Gavialis sp. have been reported from a nearby locality at Tha Chang sandpit in Nakhon Ratchasima Province [12]. These pits have not been precisely dated but yielded faunas reminiscent of various ages from the Miocene until the Pleistocene [16].

In Thailand, palaeontological excavations have been conducted by the Department of Mineral Resources and inhabitants of the Khok Sung municipality, Muang District, Nakhon Ratchasima Province, northeastern Thailand (Fig. 1) and yielded an assemblage of plant remains [17], mammals, turtles and Gavialis [18]. The mammal assemblage has not been studied yet but contains Stegodon, hyena, bovid and deer indicative of an Early Pleistocene age [18] as well as large silurids (JC pers. obs) and a large snake vertebra (JC and JEM, pers. obs.). The sedimentology of the Khok Sung locality was briefly described and figured in [18] and is composed of recent alluvial deposits of silts, sands, and gravels. The vertebrate-rich layer is situated about 8 m below the surface and is considered to be part of the PaleoMun river system. The locality is currently flooded and no longer accessible.

The excellent preservation of the Gavialis remains from the Khok Sung quarry represents an opportunity to precisely describe and appraise for the first time the affinities of this taxon in Thailand. The genus Gavialis comprises a single living species, G. gangeticus Gmelin 1789 [19], which today is restricted to the riverbanks of Bangladesh, India, Nepal and West Pakistan. The oldest fossil material allegedly referable to this genus has been recovered from the Miocene of Pakistan [20]. Gavialis is abundant in continental Pleistocene deposits of the Indo-Pakistani region, where several species have been erected but await revision. The genus was also reported from the Pleistocene of Java [13], and although very similar to the extant G. gangeticus, a recent study [15] has confirmed the attribution of the material from Java to a separate species, G. bengawanicus Dubois 1908 [13]. Despite the relatively recent existence of Gavialis in Myanmar, the fossil record of the genus appears scarce between Indo-Pakistan and Java [21]:
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the only known reports of the genus in this region to date are those of [4] and [5], but the age of these fossils is uncertain. Here, we fill this gap and describe exquisitely-preserved skull and mandibular remains from the Early Pleistocene of Khok Sung, Nakhon Ratchasima Province, Thailand. A close relationship with G. bengawanicus from Java is proposed on the basis of the sutural configuration of the rostrum and palate, alveolar count and the shape of the skull table. Despite the restriction of Gavialis to freshwater systems, recent proposals on the biogeography of the genus have put forward the possibility of marine dispersal [15], which is also postulated for other extinct gavialoids such as gryposuchines [22]. Nevertheless, we stress that a freshwater dispersal between the various regions involved remains a possible option, especially in light of Plio-Pleistocene drainage evolution in the eastern Himalayan syntaxis. Finally, sea-level drops can explain dispersal to Java before or during the Early Pleistocene across the shallow Sunda shelf.

Methods

Ethics statement
No live animals were used in this study. No specific permits were required for the described field studies. The Department of Mineral Resources, Bangkok, Thailand (DMR) regulates fossil collecting. In this study, specimens described were not collected by the authors. We studied specimens that are registered by the DMR and curated at Khok Sung subdistrict municipality.

Institutional Abbreviations

Results

Systematic paleontology
Order Crocodilia Gmelin 1789 [19]
Superfamily Gavialoidea Hay 1930 [23]
Gavialis Oppel 1811 [24]

Emended diagnosis. The following diagnosis is based on skeletal characters only. For other characteristics, notably based on color or sculation, refer to [25,26,27]. The following character combination can be regarded as autapomorphic for the genus: longirostrine animal with a long flattened tubular rostrum (rostrum length representing between 70% and 75% of total skull length) containing well-separated alveoli (intra-alveolar space at least 1.5 times larger than adjacent alveolar diameter); alveolar count is 5 per premaxilla, 20–24 per maxilla and 21–26 per dentary; absence of disparity in alveolar size; dentition homodont and procumbent rostrally; upturned orbital margins; extremely wide interorbital region with frontal width largely surpassing rostral width just anterior to the orbits (or posterior from the distal end of the lacrimals); short and thin frontal process separating posterior region of nasals; frontal reaching but not entering in between the supratemporal fenestrae; premaxillae tapering posteriorly and excluding nasals from nares; lightly built skull table with extensive supratemporal fenestrae covering more than 15% of skull table surface area; bifid ventral portion of basioccipital, modified into a pair of massive tubera; pterygoid bullae; vertical and triangular infratemporal fenestrae hosting a quadratojugal spine on its posterior corner; short quadrate condyles, not extending posteriorly beyond the posterior margin of the skull table; small external mandibular fenestrae, representing about 10% of the mandibular length; spatulate anterior dentary; midline dorsal shield possessing six osteoderms per row; dorsal osteoderms with few large ovoid pits on dorsal surface; humeral and femoral shafts slender.

Gavialis cf. bengawanicus Dubois 1906 [13]

Referred material. DMR-KS-201202-1, a complete skull and associated mandibles; DMR-KS-03-25-23, a skull missing the rostrum and much of the palate; DMR-KS-05-06-22-1, a pair of dentaries; DMR-KS-05-03-08-37, a jaw fragment with two teeth; DMR-KS-05-03-27-7, a right humerus; DMR-KS-05-03-26-7, DMR-KS-05-03-27-22, a right proximal portion of humerus; DMR-KS-05-03-27-6, a left distal portion of humerus; a left femur; DMR-KS-05-03-26-12, 05-03-26-40, 05-03-26-41, 05-03-27-23, 05-03-27-24, 05-03-27-25, six osteoderms; DMR-KS-12-03-05, three osteoderms.

Occurrence. Early Pleistocene of Thailand (Stegodon fauna), Khok Sung, Nakhon Ratchasima Province, northeastern Thailand (Fig. 1).
Diagnosis. See [15] for the diagnosis of the species *Gavialis bengawanensis*.

Description

General description. The two skulls from Khok Sung offer fine details of their anatomy. Ornamentation is light on the rostrum and anterior to the orbits, consisting of shallow furrows. It is also well expressed in the interorbital region and on the postorbital and squamosal, where it consists of large and deep circular to furrow-like grooves. The jugal bears a few sparse pits, but ornamentation is absent from the quadratojugal. Smaller pits are present on the dorsal surface of the premaxilla. The orbital rim is ornamented with deep furrows radiating from the orbital margin. The rostrum of the complete specimen (DMR-KS-201202-1, Figs. 2, 3, 4) is long, tubular, slender and its ventral surface is convex in lateral view. In this specimen, the external nares are surrounded by a large fossa for the insertion of a soft-tissue protuberance, or “ghara”, indicating that this specimen is a male. Skull proportions are close to those of *G. gangeticus* and *G. bengawanensis* (see Table 1 for measurements). The orbital and skull table regions are characteristic of the genus *Gavialis* in becoming suddenly wider by comparison to the rostrum. The orbits protrude dorsolaterally from the skull, their lateral margins consisting of a thick lamina formed by the prefrontal, lacrimal and jugal. The interorbital space is wider than the diameter of one orbit in dorsal view, and is also wider than the space between the supratemporal fenestrae. The supratemporal fenestrae are large and subcircular. In occipital view, the dorsal surface of the skull table is flat (Fig. 5). The infratemporal fenestra is triangular in shape and faces almost entirely laterally.

Skull. The premaxilla (complete in DMR-KS-201202-1) is laterally expanded in comparison to the rest of the rostrum (Fig. 2). This shape is mostly due to the development of a depression accompanied by a roughened area around the margin of the external nares, which overhangs the ventral portions of the premaxillae. This perinarial depression is particularly well developed, being wider than long. The external nares are heart-shaped. The anterior margin of the perinarial depression presents a pair of shallow depressions. By contrast, the lateralmost tip of the perinarial depression is not smooth but presents instead a rough porous bone surface most probably serving as an anchor for the musculature of the narial excrescence or ghara [28]. The perinarial depression is also heart-shaped and discontinuous on the anterior sutural area. The premaxillae encompass the entire margin of the external nares and form the anterior part of the tubular snout. The premaxillae taper to a point posterior to the level of the fifth maxillary alveolus. Each premaxilla possesses five alveoli. The collars of the first four alveoli are almost tubular. In the first and second alveoli, the collar is oriented anteroventrally, but is oriented lateroventrally in subsequent alveoli. The second alveolus is small (Fig. 6A) and is contiguous with the larger third alveolus. As a result, the second alveolus is not visible in ventral view. The fifth alveolus is in line with the maxillary tooth row. Posterior to the fifth alveolus, the premaxilla possesses a posterior process that remains wide until the level of the third maxillary alveolus. From this point, the process tapers medially and the posterior extensions of the premaxillae terminate at the level of the fourth maxillary alveolus. The incisive foramen consists of a narrow incision opening at the level of the second premaxillary alveolus. Its anterior margin presents two peg-like bony outgrowths that meet medially. Two pairs of occlusal pits are present on the ventral margins of the premaxillae (Fig. 6A). The first is the smallest and deepest, and is located between the first and second alveoli. The second is about three times larger and much shallower, and occurs between the third and fourth alveoli. Several small reception pits resulting from the occlusion with the dentary dentition mark these occlusal pits, thus giving them an irregular surface.

The straight maxilla forms the majority of the tubular rostrum (Figs. 2, 3). In dorsal view, the maxillae meet medially along about half of the rostrum length due to the presence anteriorly of the premaxillae and posteriorly of the frontal. The maxilla has a long posterior process that separates the nasal from the long anterior tip of the lacrimal, as in *G. gangeticus*. Ventrally, the maxillae meet one another medially for a slightly longer length than on the dorsal surface, and have a convex surface. In lateral view, the premaxillomaxillary suture is vertical for a short distance and becomes oblique near the dorsal and ventral surfaces. This suture hosts two small and contiguous occlusal pits. Each maxilla possesses twenty-one alveoli of similar diameter, which are well separated from each other. All alveolar rims are slightly elevated and face slightly laterally. Shallow interalveolar pits are present in the posterior region of the tooth row, between the fifteenth and the sixteenth, the sixteenth and the seventeenth, and the seventeenth and the eighteenth alveoli (Fig. 7). The pits are not centered but are offset onto the anterior half of each interalveolar segment. The maxillae contribute broadly to the anterior margins of the suborbital fenestrae.

The nasal (Figures 2, 8B) is a short bone that reaches anteriorly as far as the level of the twelfth alveolus. It is narrow anteriorly and
slightly wider in its posterior region. The nasals are deeply separated from each other by the anterior process of the frontal. Laterally, the nasal contacts the lacrimal along its posterior half and the maxilla in its anterior region. At its posterolateral end, the nasal contacts an elongate process of the prefrontal.

The long lacrimal possesses an anteriorly pointed process that extends within the maxillae (Fig. 2, 8B). It presents large rounded pits in its posterior region and protrudes along the anteriormost margin of the orbits. The lacrimal forms a broad contact with the maxilla in its anterolateral portion, contacts the jugal in the orbital region, and medially contacts the prefrontal and nasal.

The prefrontal (Figs. 2, 7B) is mostly ornamented with pits and furrows near the orbital margin. The prefrontal is very short in comparison with the lacrimal but possesses a thin anteromedial process that wedges between the nasal and lacrimal. The prefrontal is mediolaterally expanded and forms the anteromedial margin of the orbit.

The unpaired frontal (Figs. 2, 7B) consists of a wide and concave plate set between the orbits. Ornamentation consists of large and shallow pits in the posterior area and deep furrows near the orbital margin. The anterior triangular process of the frontal possesses a further thin and long process that extends between the nasals, reaching the level of the twelfth alveolus. The frontal is excluded from the anterior margin of the supratemporal fenestrae and forms the medial and postero medial parts of the orbital rim.

The unpaired parietal (Fig. 2) forms the anterior and anterolateral parts of the rim of the supratemporal fenestra. It is ornamented with very shallow pits. Its dorsal surface is flat and does not overhang the fenestrae. Anteriorly, it broadly contacts the frontal just anterior to the margin of the supratemporal fenestrae. It also connects to a thin and long medial projection of the postorbitals. Posteriorly, the parietal does not expand much laterally and contacts the squamosal on the posterior temporal arch. The parietal delineates the posterior margin of the skull table with a slightly protruding outline.

The postorbital (Fig. 2) forms the anterior and anterolateral parts of the rim of the supratemporal fenestra. It is ornamented with a few large and deep pits. The anterior margin of the supratemporal fenestra consists of a thin projection of the postorbital, excluding the frontal from any contact with this fenestra. In dorsal view, the postorbital is short in comparison with the squamosal. The anterolateral margin of the postorbital is square in shape, the bone possessing a process that extends within the dorsal rim of the orbits (Figs. 2, 4B, C). The postorbital forms the anterodorsal margin of the infratemporal fenestra (Fig. 4B, C). Its descending process contacts at mid-length
the jugal and forms the dorsal portion of the anteroposteriorly-expanded postorbital bar, which is smooth and set off from the skull table. A foramen opens laterally on the dorsal margin of the bar.

The squamosal contributes substantially to the temporal arch, thereby forming the lateral and posterolateral and most of the posterior parts of the margin of the supratemporal fenestra (Fig. 2). Anteriorly, the bone is slightly constricted and its dorsal surface lacks ornamentation. Several deep and elongate pits are visible on the posterodorsal corner of the bone. The posteriorly directed squamosal prong is short and descends onto the exoccipital (Fig. 4A, B, C). The squamosal forms the posterior and dorsal margin of the auditory meatus, where it contacts the quadrate (Fig. 4B, C). Anteriorly, the squamosal reaches the lateral surface of the postorbital bar. The squamosal is excluded from the dorsal margin of the infratemporal fenestra by the quadratojugal. The grooves for the ear-flap musculature are well pronounced just above the otic notch. Posteriorly, the otic notch is continuous with the external margin of the squamosal.

The jugal presents two distinct portions (Fig. 4B, C). Anteriorly, the jugal is deeply sculptured and forms the ventral laminar protrusion of the orbital rim. Posteriorly, the surface of the jugal is completely smooth and the jugal is inset from the orbital protrusion at the point where it contributes substantially to the

**Table 1.** Skull and mandibular dimensions (in cm) of *Gavialis cf. bengawanicus* from the Early Pleistocene of Khok Sung (Nakhon Ratchasima Province, Thailand).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DMR-KS-03-25-23</th>
<th>DMR-KS-201202-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length of skull, from tip of snout to basioccipital condyle</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal width of skull, across quadrates</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of snout, from anterior border of orbits to anterior border of premaxilla</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of post-snout region, from anterior border of orbit to posterior edge of cranial table</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal width of snout at tip of nasals</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal length of nasals</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal width of nasals</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of orbit parallel to orbital rim</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width between medial hemicondyles</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interorbital width</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of cranial table, in between supratemporal fenestrae</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of cranial table, across centers of supratemporal fenestrae</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal length of supratemporal fenestra</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal width of supratemporal fenestra</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interfenestral width</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of ventral border of infratemporal fenestra</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of incisive foramen</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of incisive foramen</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of long axis of suborbital fenestra</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of short axis of suborbital fenestra</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interfenestral width of palatines</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of choanae</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width across basioccipital ventral surface</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghara width</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital condyle width</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044541.t001

**Figure 5.** Skull of *Gavialis cf. bengawanicus* (DMR-KS201202-1) from the Early Pleistocene of Khok Sung (Nakhon Ratchasima Province, Thailand) in occipital view. Abbreviations: boc, basioccipital; cag, cranioquadrate groove; ec, ectopterygoid; exo, exoccipital; fa, foramen aëreum; fcp, foramen caroticum posterius; fm, foramen magnum; oc, occipital condyle; p, parietal; ptf, post-temporal foramen; q, quadrate; qj, quadratojugal; soc, supraoccipital; sq, squamosal; XII, foramen for cranial nerve XII.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044541.g005
The jugal also extends as a flat rod along the ventral margin of the infratemporal fenestra. A foramen of varying size (small in DMR-KS-201202-1, large in DMR-KS-03-25-23) is present near the anteroventral corner of the infratemporal fenestra. The jugal extends over the lateral surface of the quadratojugal for a short distance.

The quadratojugal is a long bone that is constricted anterolaterally by the jugal and medially by the quadrate (Fig. 4B, C). The quadratojugal is completely devoid of ornamentation. It has a robust and long spine that participates in the dorsal margin as well as in the posterior corner of the infratemporal fenestra. In lateral view, the quadratojugal is dorsally arched and its posteroventralmost tip hides the quadrate but does not participate in the lateral hemicondyle.

The quadrate possesses a short ramus (Figs. 2, 3). In dorsal view, it does not extend far beyond the posterior margin of the skull table. Its ventral margin bears a faint crest close to the quadratojugal suture (Fig. 3). The primary head of the quadrate largely enters the supratemporal fenestra and floors the cranial aperture of the posttemporal canal. This foramen is dorsoventrally narrow and opens in the dorsal part of the vertical posterior wall of the supratemporal fenestra, where it occupies all the area. The quadrate approaches the most lateral tip of the laterosphenoid on

---

**Figure 6.** Skull and mandibular details of *Gavialis cf. bengawanicus* (DMR-KS-201202-1) from the Early Pleistocene of Khok Sung (Nakhon Ratchasima Province, Thailand). A, premaxillary toothrow in left oblique view. The arrows follow the premaxillary-maxillary suture. B, left lateral view of the pterygoid bullae through the orbit. C, lateral oblique view of the left posterior mandibular tooth row. The arrow points to the anterior process of the surangular. D, left lateral view of the posterior portion of the mandible. The arrow points to the descending process of the surangular along the posterior corner of the external mandibular fenestra. Abbreviations: an, angular; br, basisphenoid rostrum; den, dentary; emf, external mandibular fenestra; pa, palatine; ptb, pterygoid bulla; san, surangular; sp, splenial; s-san, suture for surangular.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044541.g006

**Figure 7.** Right posterior maxillary tooth row of *Gavialis cf. bengawanicus* (DMR-KS-201202-1) from the Early Pleistocene of Khok Sung (Nakhon Ratchasima Province, Thailand), in occlusal view. Arrows indicate interalveolar occlusal pits.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044541.g007

**Figure 8.** Partial skull of *Gavialis cf. bengawanicus* (DMR-KS-03-25-23) from the Early Pleistocene of Khok Sung (Nakhon Ratchasima Province, Thailand). Skull shown in dorsal (A) and ventral (B) views. Abbreviations: boc, basioccipital; bsph, basisphenoid; exo, exoccipital; ltf, lower temporal fenestra; j, jugal; l, lacrimal; ltsph, laterosphenoid; mx, maxilla; n, nasal; oc, occipital condyle; po, postorbital; or, orbit; ofr, orbitotemporal foramen; pa, palatine; pfr, prefrontal; sq, squamosal; q, quadratojugal; sq, squamosal.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044541.g008
the medial upper corner of the postorbital bar but does not contact it. The quadrate condyles are small. The foramen acecum is small and located on the medial wall of the quadrate ramus (Fig. 4A). The quadrate seems to surround the dorsal, posterior and posterodorsal margins of the anterodorsal ramus of DMR-KS201202-1, and projects along the medial wall and sits over the palatine bar. The bullae are visible through the suborbital fenestrae in ventral view (Fig. 6B).

The ectopterygoid forms most of the lateral margin of the suborbital fenestra (Fig. 3). It has a flat ventral surface, which connects anteriorly to the maxilla where it possesses a short thin process that extends along the maxillary alveolus. The ectopterygoid process is visible in ventral view and its extent is incompletely known in DMR-KS-03-25-23 due to a ferruginous concretion hiding the suture. The laterosphenoid is hidden by sediment but a contact seems to occur medially. The external mandibular fenestra is as long as the infratemporal fenestra but is not dorsoventrally expanded. It is relatively small in comparison to the size of the mandible and its long axis is oblique and oriented anterodorsally (Fig. 4D–G).

The morphology of the dentary reflects that of the premaxilla and maxilla in being flat and tubular along most of its length and then spatulate in its anterior portion, comprising the first two alveoli (Fig. 4D, E). In cross section, the dorsal surface of the dentary is convex. The anteriormost region of the dentary is bifurcated in dorsal view (Fig. 4D, E). For most of its length, the dentary is perfectly straight. The first two alveoli are the largest in the tooth row. The first alveolus faces anterodorsally while all other alveoli face laterodorsally. The dentary contains 21 to possibly 22 alveoli, as suggested by the presence of a rugose bony infilling within the last alveolus (Fig. 6C). The symphysis extends to the level of the interval between the twentieth to twenty-first alveoli. Beyond this point, the tooth rows are V-shaped in dorsal view (Fig. 4E). Laterally, occlusal pits are visible in the posterior region of the tooth row, between the eighteenth and nineteenth, the nineteenth and twentieth, the twentieth and twenty-first, as well as between the twenty-first and twenty-second alveoli. These last two pits are partly on the surangular (Fig. 6C). The dentary possesses a posterior spiny projection extending between the angular and surangular, which contributes to the anterodorsal margin of the external mandibular fenestra (Fig. 6D). A second specimen, DMR-KS-03-06-22-1, shows that from the fifth alveolus onward, the right alveoli are not aligned with their counterparts on the left side (Fig. 4F).

The splenial participates deeply in the dentary symphysis, possessing a pointed process that extends anterior to the level of the twelfth alveolus. This contribution is visible both dorsally and ventrally (Fig. 4E, G). The splenial does not participate in the medial wall of the posterior tooth row, but completely hides the dentary in medial view of the bone. A large foramen opens at the end of the symphysis on the splenial suture. The splenial is also pierced by a small foramen that opens within the mandibular cavity. The ventral extension of the splenial is long, reaching beneath the angular.

The coronoid and most of the surangular are not preserved. The anteriormost process of the surangular is visible on the left ramus of DMR-KS201202-1, and projects along the medial wall of the last two alveoli. This surangular projection prevents the splenial from participating in the posterior tooth row (Fig. 6C). Sutural organization around the left external mandibular fenestra reveals that the surangular forms the dorsal margin. In addition, the surangular possesses a ventral process that excludes the
angular from the posterior corner of the external mandibular fenestra (Fig. 6D).

The angular participates in the ventral margin of the external mandibular fenestra. Medially, it encloses the posterior intramandibular foramen. It also shows a well-developed laminar muscle scar at the bottom of the medial adductor fossa. The articular is not preserved.

**Dentition.** Two almost complete teeth are preserved in the third and seventh alveoli of the left dentary (DMR-KS201202-1). They are homodont and their morphology is best seen in two teeth preserved on a jaw fragment (DMR-KS-05-03-08-37, Fig. 4H). The teeth are pointed, deeply curved and bear a set of six to seven vertical ridges on each of their lingual and labial surfaces. The mesiodistal carinae are linguolabially deflected.

**Postcranial skeleton.** Two cervical vertebrae are preserved with cervical ribs attached (Fig. 9). The deeply procoelous centrum of DMR-KS-05-03-27-15 has a diameter of 4.2 cm. The ventral side of the centrum bears a hypapophysial keel on its anterior portion. Diapophyses and parapophyses are well separated from each other and possess long pedicels that contact the tuberculum and capitulum of the cervical ribs, respectively. The neural spine is slightly taller than the centrum and bears at its base the pre- and postzygapophyses, which are oriented at 45° to the coronal plane. The spinal process is mediolaterally compressed and anteroposteriorly expanded. Anteriorly, the neural canal is circular whereas it is triangular posteriorly. The cervical rib possesses an anterior process, projecting near the level of the anterior edge of the centrum. The height of the neural spine and the orientation of the articular surfaces of the zygapophyses indicate that this vertebra comes from the middle of the cervical series.

Three humeri are preserved, but only a right one is complete (DMR-KS-05-03-27-7, Fig. 10). This humerus is 25.8 cm long and has a mid-shaft diameter of 2.9 cm. In anterior view, the humerus is curved along its mediodorsal margin in the proximal part of the shaft. The lateral edge of the bone is slightly convex but becomes strongly concave distally. The deltopectoral crest is well developed on the anterolateral edge, close to the proximal head of the humerus. The radial hemicondyle is about twice as large as the ulnar hemicondyle.

A complete left femur (DMR-KS-05-03-26-7, Fig. 10) is 30.1 cm long with a mid-shaft diameter of 3 cm. It is sigmoidal in lateral view. The greater trochanter is almost indistinct from the posterolateral margin. The fourth trochanter is well developed on the medial side of the shaft, near the posterior margin of the bone. It is located on the proximal portion of the shaft. The distal end of the femur is divided by a deep groove on its posterior surface, separating the much-enlarged fibular condyle from the tibial condyle. The fibular condyle bears a posterior protrusion.

Thirteen dorsal osteoderms were found, but only nine have been accessioned and have specimen numbers. Some of the osteoderms are square and the majority of them are rectangular, being wider than long (Fig. 11) and flat to slightly convex. They are relatively thin and bear a few relatively large pits on their dorsal surface. A short median keel is present on the dorsal surface, which does not spread anteriorly or posteriorly. The edges of these osteoderms are irregular, bearing small spiny outgrowths possibly indicating the presence of a suture. The ventral surface shows striae for epaxial muscle attachments as well as one or two relatively large foramina per osteoderm.

**Comparison and affinities**

The fossil record of the genus *Gavialis* appears diverse in the Indo-Pakistani area with seven species described so far [20]. Four of these species are from the Miocene: *G. breviceps* Pilgrim 1912...
The Khok Sung gharial is more similar to \textit{G. bengawanicus}, than \textit{G. gangeticus} on the basis of alveolar count, shape of the palatinomaxillary suture and shape of the skull table. Both the Khok Sung gharial and \textit{G. bengawanicus} are characterized by a smaller number of maxillary alveoli (see Table 2) compared with \textit{G. gangeticus}. This alveolar count has consequences for the relative positions of the premaxilla, splenial and symphysis with the tooth row, which are most similar between the Khok Sung gharial and \textit{G. bengawanicus} (Table 2). This similarity is further corroborated by the shape of the palatinomaxillary suture, which is W-shaped in both the Khok Sung gharial and \textit{G. bengawanicus} while it is V-shaped in \textit{G. gangeticus} (fig. 2 in [15]). With regard to the skull table, the temporal arches sit ventrally to the intertemporal bar in \textit{G. gangeticus} only. By contrast, the skull table is planar in both \textit{G. bengawanicus} and \textit{G. curvirostris}.
fenestrae is subcircular as in fenestrae (see [37]). Here, the outline of the supratemporal fenestrae is subcircular as in G. bengawanicus (CD9 + 1617a; fig. 1A, B in [15]). This is unlike the adult condition exhibited by G. gangeticus where the supratemporal fenestrae are slightly compressed anteroposteriorly and square-like in outline.

However, the similarity between the Khok Sung gharial and G. bengawanicus is not clear-cut. Two characters observed in the Khok Sung gharial are also observed in some specimens of G. gangeticus: namely, the anterior extension of the lacrimal and the development of a postero-median process of the maxilla separating the nasal from the lacrimal. Nevertheless, the anterior extent of the lacrimal is subject to variation in G. gangeticus and is therefore of little diagnostic value. The lacrimal is long in all known specimens of G. bengawanicus from Java [15] and in both skulls from Khok Sung but varies in G. gangeticus. The anterior extent of the lacrimals is short in an unnumbered G. gangeticus specimen from the Naturhistorisches Museum Basel but is long in RMNH 39576 (Fig. 2 of [15]). Second, the maxilla possesses a diminutive and blunt process that separates the lacrimal from the nasals in G. bengawanicus from Java (Fig. 2A in [15]). This is not the case in the Khok Sung gharial where a maxillary process broadly separates the anterior tip of the lacrimal from the lateral margin of the nasal (Fig. 2, 7A), a case exactly similar to the condition in G. gangeticus (e.g. Fig. 2B in [15]). Further uncertainties in assigning the Khok Sung gharial to a previously described species relate to the shape of the prefrontal and the presence/absence of occlusal pits in the maxilla. According to Delfino and De Vos [15], the anterior process of the prefrontal is long in G. bengawanicus, but this does not appear to be the case based upon the figure presented by these authors (Fig. 6A) because it presents the mature condition with the frontoparietal suture included in the anterior rim of the supratemporal fenestrae (see [37]). Here, the outline of the supratemporal fenestrae is subcircular as in G. bengawanicus (CD9 + 1617a; fig. 1A, B in [15]). By contrast, the anterior process of the prefrontal is clearly elongated in the Khok Sung gharial. Delfino and De Vos [15] did not mention any occlusal pits in the maxillae of G. bengawanicus. Although these pits are shallow, they are present in the posteriormost region of the tooth row of the Khok Sung gharial.

These characters illustrate that the number of specimens from Java and Khok Sung is too small to allow a full assessment of character variability. Nevertheless, the Khok Sung gharial shares many similarities with G. bengawanicus (see Table 2), notably the alveolar count, positions of the sutures relative to the alveoli, planar skull table, and palate-maxillary sutural shape. However, the Khok Sung gharial does not exactly fit the character description for G. bengawanicus for the anterior extent of the lacrimal and the postero-median process of the maxilla. For these reasons, we refer the Khok Sung specimens to Gavialis cf. bengawanicus.

Clarifying differences and similarities between G. gangeticus and G. bengawanicus provides new data on the affinities of G. lewisi. Although G. lewisi has been coded in previous phylogenetic analyses (see [38] and others), Delfino and De Vos [15] noted that G. lewisi Lull 1944 [32] shows no detectable differences in character codings from G. gangeticus. However, coding characters for a phylogenetic analysis does not provide a comprehensive account of the morphological subtleties of a taxon. Here, we agree with Norell and Storrs [36] that G. lewisi should not be considered as G. gangeticus (contra [39]). Comparing G. lewisi to G. bengawanicus and G. gangeticus reveals that G. lewisi is more similar to G. bengawanicus than it is to G. gangeticus. G. lewisi shares at least three diagnostic characters with G. bengawanicus or G. cf. bengawanicus. First, and contra to Delfino and De Vos [15], the skull table of G. lewisi is similar to that of G. bengawanicus because it is planar from an occipital view (see Fig. 8 in [36]). Second, the outline of the

### Table 2. Comparison of alveolar position (after [15]) and other characters between the extant Gavialis gangeticus, Gavialis bengawanicus from the Early Pleistocene of Java and Gavialis cf. bengawanicus from the Early Pleistocene of Khok Sung (Nakhon Ratchasima Province, Thailand).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>G. gangeticus</th>
<th>G. bengawanicus</th>
<th>Khok Sung Gavialis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Premaxillary alveoli</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary alveoli</td>
<td>23 or 24</td>
<td>20 or 21</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentary alveoli</td>
<td>25 or 26</td>
<td>22 or 23</td>
<td>21 (22)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary teeth adjacent to the posteroventral processes of premaxillae</td>
<td>3 or 4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary alveoli between the posteroventral tip of premaxillae and anterior tip of palatines</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12 or 13</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary alveoli posterior to anterior rim of suborbital fenestra</td>
<td>1 or 2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentary teeth included in the mandibular symphysis</td>
<td>23 or 24</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentary alveoli preceding the anterior tip of splenials</td>
<td>14 or 15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentary alveoli involved in the splenial symphysis</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentary teeth adjacent to the anterior dorsal process of surangular</td>
<td>4 or 5</td>
<td>2 or 3</td>
<td>1 (2)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shape of the maxillopalatine suture</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supratemporal fenestrae outline</td>
<td>Square-like</td>
<td>subcircular</td>
<td>subcircular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skull table in occipital view</td>
<td>sloping</td>
<td>planar</td>
<td>planar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior extension of the lacrimal</td>
<td>variable</td>
<td>long</td>
<td>long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postero-median processes of the maxilla</td>
<td>Broad separation</td>
<td>Minor separation</td>
<td>Broad separation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occlusal maxillary pits</td>
<td>present</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior prefrontal process</td>
<td>long</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>long</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Behind the last dentary alveolus (on both rami), an ellipsoidal area is rugose and may represent a filled alveolus. Therefore, the alveolar count might be higher and is indicated between brackets (see also Fig. 3).
supratemporal fenestrae of *G. lewisi* is subcircular (Fig. 7 in [36]) and, as described by [36], these fenestrae are smaller than in *G. gangeticus*. Finally, *G. lewisi* hosts a pair of depressions on the pterygoids, located in front of the choanae. Although the pterygoid wings are not preserved in *G. bengawanicus* from Java [15], we describe a pair of depressions on the pterygoids of *G. cf. bengawanicus* from Khok Sung (Fig. 2). Such depressions are absent in *G. gangeticus*. Whether *G. lewisi* can still be considered as a separate species from *Gavialis bengawanicus* is an open question and although this preliminary comparative account certainly remains incomplete, it suggests the presence of *G. bengawanicus* or a closely allied form in the Pliocene of the Siwaliks. In conclusion, three species are provisionally included in the genus *Gavialis*: *G. gangeticus*, *G. bengawanicus* and *G. lewisi*.

**Discussion**

As shown by the present study, the genus *Gavialis* can now be considered to be represented by fossils from the Early Pleistocene of two regions in Southeast Asia, namely Java, with *Gavialis bengawanicus*, and Thailand, with *G. cf. bengawanicus*. In addition, fossil remains of a crocodilian closely resembling the living gharial were reported from the Irrawaddy Valley, Myanmar (Burma) [4,5], and Burmese specimens of unknown age and exact provenance housed in the Petrified Wood Museum of Nakhon Ratchasima (Thailand) further confirm the presence of fossil *Gavialis* in this area (JEM, EB pers. obs). These finds are important because they are from a region that is geographically intermediate between the Thai and Javanese localities and those in India and Pakistan that have yielded abundant remains of Neogene gharials. However, at the moment too little is known about the gharial fossils from Myanmar to accurately assess their significance. The oldest known *Gavialis gangeticus* is from the Pliocene of the Indian subcontinent [20,30,38] and any discussion of the biogeographical history of *Gavialis* must therefore be based principally on the records from India and Pakistan in the west and from Thailand and Java in the east.

If the distribution of fossil and living species of *Gavialis* is to be understood in terms of dispersal, two main options may be considered: either dispersal took place exclusively via river systems, in agreement with the freshwaters of the living *Gavialis gangeticus*, or marine dispersal along the coasts of the Indian Ocean also played a part. These alternative options are discussed below.

**Plio-Pleistocene distribution of *Gavialis***

The inferred origin of *Gavialis* in the Indo-Pakistan area appears reasonable when considering the Pliocene co-occurrence of *G. lewisi* [32] and *G. gangeticus* [30] in this region, although future discoveries from SE Asia will have to be taken into account. In addition, when revised, *G. hypsodontus* may confirm this inference of an Indo-Pakistan origin. As demonstrated in the comparisons conducted herein, *G. lewisi* is closer to *G. bengawanicus* than it is to *G. gangeticus*. The possibility that *G. lewisi* is a junior synonym of *G. bengawanicus* should be evaluated but this close relationship indicates that close relatives of the Pleistocene *G. bengawanicus* from SE Asia occurred in the Siwalik area during the Pliocene.

Because *G. bengawanicus* appears more similar to the Pliocene *G. lewisi* than to *G. gangeticus*, it may therefore be considered as having diverged from *G. lewisi* no later than the Early Pleistocene. From this viewpoint, a west to east dispersal of *Gavialis* can be proposed. Populations of *G. bengawanicus* in SE Asia could be regarded as a relic of a once larger population spread from Indo-Pakistan to Java. Faunal connections between adjacent drainage basins across Asia were stopped with the rise of the Himalayas (see below). After the Pleistocene, *G. gangeticus* would then have replaced *G. bengawanicus* in the Indo-Pakistan area only. Indeed, it cannot be excluded that populations of *G. gangeticus* and *G. bengawanicus* coexisted, even until recently. It is not impossible that genetic barriers between such populations may have not been complete, allowing gene flow to some extent, which could explain the close similarities of the Khok Sung gharial with both *G. gangeticus* and *G. bengawanicus*. A survey of historical specimens from Myanmar would allow determination of whether *G. bengawanicus* still occurred there in the recent past.

*Gavialis cf. bengawanicus* was already present in mainland SE Asia in the Early Pleistocene (this study) and *Gavialis* sp. has been recovered in the nearby slightly older strata of Tha Chang. This is the oldest Thai record of the genus, which comes from an indeterminate interval of Plio-Pleistocene age [12], or possibly as old as the middle Miocene (see [16]), although this latter date appears too old given that the remains have been referred to *Gavialis* sp. As shown in the above comparison, the Miocene species from the Indo-Pakistan area referred to *Gavialis* have a narrow interorbital space. This is not the case of the material of *Gavialis* sp. from Tha Chang that most closely resembles the Plio-Pleistocene species of *Gavialis*. It is highly likely that most elements of the Tha Chang crocodilians are of Plio-Pleistocene age. *G. bengawanicus* occurs in the Early Pleistocene of Java and seems absent in the Late Pliocene–earliest Early Pleistocene, thus suggesting a possible arrival date in Java not earlier than the Early Pleistocene [15]. The Khok Sung locality is not precisely dated and it cannot be ascertained whether it is slightly younger or older than the *Gavialis*-yielding localities in Java.

Nevertheless, other occurrences of *Gavialis* from Nakhon Ratchasima Province [12] strongly suggest that, outside the Indo-Pakistan area, the genus was first present in Thailand, then in Indonesia. Assuming that the Southeast Asian representatives of *Gavialis* originated on the Indian subcontinent, marine dispersal to Java or Thailand involves in any case long distances, and there seems to be no special reason why Thailand should have been reached later or earlier than Java. Thus, a marine dispersal does not clarify the observed difference for the arrival of the taxon in either region.

**Explaining *Gavialis* dispersal through fluvial capture**

An alternative explanation for the dispersal of *Gavialis* from the Indian subcontinent to Thailand is fluvial capture (Fig. 12). Tectonic consequences of the Asia-India collision spread to SE Asia through a network of active faults, the most famous being those of the Red River complex. It is considered that much of today’s high mountains of the eastern Himalayan range developed during the Pliocene and Pleistocene [40]. The main rivers (namely Tsango, Brahmaputra, Mekong, Salween, Irrawaddy, Chao Phraya and Yangtze) follow these faults and were diverted several times in the past by fault shearing as illustrated in Lacassin et al. [41]. It is therefore conceivable that connections and disconnections between fluvial systems occurred between the basins of the Ganges, Brahmaputra, Irrawaddy and Mekong as a result of this orogenic activity, as has been suggested by some authors [12,40,42]. On this basis, Attwood et al. [43] proposed a scenario to explain the dispersal of freshwater triculine gastropods and their parasites. They suggested a passage “into Thailand via the lower Irrawaddy and the extended Mekong-Salween River which flowed together during the Pleistocene (c. 1.5 mya)” (p. 15 in [43]). The same authors [43] also pointed out that triculine gastropods and their parasites occurred initially in India, indicating further connection between Thailand and the western provinces of India. The precise timing of hydrographic connections between the
various basins is unknown, but taking into account that much fluvial reorganization took place during the Plio-Pleistocene, we view the occurrence of *Gavialis* in Thailand as possible evidence for faunal connection of aquatic habitats with the Irrawaddy and Ganges Basins through valleys that were formerly continuous but no longer exist. As for other taxa (gastropods and their parasites [43]; turtles [12]), we propose that *Gavialis* dispersed eastward, successively through the drainages of the Ganges, Brahmaputra, Irrawaddy, Mekong-Salween and finally Chao Phraya into Thailand before the Early Pleistocene, assuming that the dispersal occurred via fluvial capture rather than dispersal along the shoreline.

Nevertheless, the occurrence of *Gavialis cf. bengawanicus* in the Early Pleistocene of Khok Sung pertains to the Chi River system. Presently, Thailand possesses two main drainage basins. The first is located in the central part of the country and is known as the Chao Phraya Basin, which flows southward into the gulf of Thailand. The second is located on the northeastern Khorat plateau and includes the Mun and Chi rivers, reaching the Mekong at the Thai-Lao border. The Mun and Chi rivers are separated from the Chao Phraya Basin by the elevated western synclinal edge of the Khorat plateau, which prevents any possible connection between these two hydrographic basins. It was proposed that the Mun River flowed along the Thai-Cambodia border to the west in the past and probably reached the Chao Phraya river system, which was further connected to the Mekong, then flowing directly southward [12,40,43]. In that case, the occurrence of *Gavialis* in a tributary of the Chao Phraya River makes sense in regard to past hydrographic connections to the west with Myanmar and India.

Finally, *G. bengawanicus* may have reached Java during a low sea-level episode of the Early Pleistocene, which would be in agreement with the observation of Delfino and De Vos [13] that *G. bengawanicus* seems to be absent in the Late Pliocene–earliest Early Pleistocene of Java. In revising the data of Miller et al. [44], Woodruff and Turner [45] identified several episodes of sea-level fluctuations, with sea level ranging from −92 m to +10 m between 1 and 2 Ma. Dispersal of *G. bengawanicus* to Java is easily explainable by even minor sea level drops during the Early Pleistocene [45], sufficient to irrigate drainages on the Sunda shelf down to Java (Fig. 12). In the light of these values in sea level variation, the proposed emerged surface area would have been large enough to accommodate freshwater drainages and the arrival of *G. bengawanicus* in Java might also have taken place through fluvial dispersal.

**Physiological indications**

The only living species of gharial, *G. gangeticus*, is a resident of freshwater habitats in Bangladesh, India, Nepal and Western Pakistan [21,27,46]. *G. gangeticus* demonstrates poor ability for land locomotion and crawls on sandy banks for basking and egg laying [21]. It is therefore considered the most aquatic of all living crocodilians. One physiological peculiarity of *G. gangeticus* is its very low secretory capacity for NaCl. This is unlike the genus *Crocodylus*, which has a demonstrated adaptability to the marine environment [47,48]. The ability to cope with saltwater is considered
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Figure 12. Hypothetic dispersal route of *Gavialis* spp. from their ancestral habitat in Indo-Pakistan toward SE Asia through the East Himalayan syntaxis. Definitive isolation of *Gavialis* population is represented by the mountain barriers separating the Salween and Chao Phraya basins and may have taken place during the latest Pliocene–earliest Pleistocene. 1, Ganges Delta; 2, Bhramapoutre Basin; 3, Irrawaddy Basin; 4, Salween Basin; 5, Chao Phraya Basin; 6, Chi and Mun rivers Basin; 7, Mekong Delta. Stars indicate the Early Pleistocene records of *Gavialis* in SE Asia (Khok Sung, Thailand and Java, Indonesia).
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plesiomorphic for Gavialoidea as suggested by the fossil record [22,49] and by the presence of a keratinized tongue in G. gangeticus [48,49]. G. gangeticus seems to have lost the ability to cope with saltwater, although admittedly its physiology has not been explored in detail [40]. There is one report of G. gangeticus in brackish water in India [50], but the same authors cast doubt on the validity of such an occurrence. Nevertheless, to our knowledge there are no reports of Gavialis venturing to sea naturally. If this does occur, it may be considered incidental. For example, the frequent floods during the monsoon season may result in gharials living in deltas being washed out to sea. Incidental delta hopping seems a possible explanation for short-distance dispersal of individuals along the coast between the Ganges delta and the Irrawaddy delta. By contrast, the known ecology of Gavialis seems inappropriate to explain long-distance dispersal from India to the deltas of SE Asia, which would involve prolonged marine dispersal (Fig. 12) to bypass mountain ranges separating the basins, and the distance to overcome by swimming would have been even greater during episodes of low sea level. Antagonistic behaviour of Crocodylus toward other crocodilian species might have limited the dispersal of Gavialis along the coastline, in areas in which C. porosus is already distributed. However, too little is known about such an interaction with modern populations of Gavialis to ascertain this possibility. A recent discussion on the capacity of Gavialis to cross marine barriers mentioned fossil records from Sulawesi and Woodlark (Papua New Guinea) that could not be explained without assuming a significant marine dispersal [15]. The attribution of these remains to Gavialis has not been fully demonstrated and the specimen from Woodlark was successively attributed to a new species of Gavialis (Gavialis papuensis De Vis 1905: [51]), to Ianangaulis ([52,53]; i.e. a gavialoid), to a malformed Gavialis [53], and to an Euathlocodon-like crocodylid [54]. According to the published figures of the specimen (plate I in [52]), a long mandibular symphysis is the sole character in common with Gavialis, and is more generally shared with longirostrine taxa. The protruding alveoli are much reminiscent of those of Ianangaulis, as established by [32]. Furthermore, the two associated dorsal osteoderms (plate II in [52]) present numerous pits and a straight anterior margin and depart from the morphology observed in Gavialis, which displays a concave anterior edge in some cases as well as a few large ovoid pits (Fig. 5 of [15]). Therefore, an attribution of the Woodlark specimen to Gavialis seems unlikely. If an attribution proves correct for the Sulawesi occurrence of Gavialis, which was recovered from a coastal environment, it could be explained by short-distance dispersal from a nearby-emerged land in view of the extent of the Sundal shelf during low sea level episodes in the Pleistocene ([45], Fig. 12). In view of the limited amount of evidence for a dispersal of Gavialis by sea, we consider physical barriers, represented by mountain ranges and salt-water, as primary constraints on the distribution of the genus across Asia. As stated above, it cannot be assumed that Gavialis dispersed by sea for short distances, but dispersal through freshwater systems seems more likely.

Conclusions

We recognize the presence of Gavialis cf. bengawanicus in the Early Pleistocene Chi River system at Khok Sung, northeastern Thailand. Comparison of this material with other specimens referred to the genus Gavialis of Miocene to Pleistocene age leads us to currently recognize three valid species within the genus: G. gangeticus, G. bengawanicus and G. lewisi. These last two species appear to be closely related, but a revision of G. lewisi needs to be carried out. The previous distribution of the genus encompasses an extensive area from Indo-Pakistan to Java. However, the presence of Gavialis in SE Asia (Thailand and Java) is relatively recent compared to the reported occurrences from the Indian subcontinent. Rather than dispersal by sea, we propose a vicariant hypothesis through fluvial captures of the eastern Himalayan syntaxis to explain the arrival of Gavialis in SE Asia. A separation of drainages by mountain uplift before the earliest Early Pleistocene may have caused the isolation of the Gavialis populations of Thailand from those of the Ganges-Irrawaddy basins. In light of this hypothesis, and taking into account the antiquity of both G. gangeticus and G. lewisi+G. bengawanicus, a survey of the fossil record of Gavialis in Myanmar will allow this idea to be refined, and further clarify the evolutionary history of the genus from India to Java.
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