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Abstract Potentially hazardous asteroids and comets have hit Earth throughout its history, with catastrophic consequences in the case of the Chicxulub impact. Here we reexamine one of the mechanisms that allow an impact to have a global effect—the release of climate-active gases from sedimentary rocks. We use the SOVA hydrocode and model ejected materials for a sufficient time after impact to quantify the volume of gases that reach high enough altitudes (> 25 km) to have global consequences. We vary impact angle, sediment thickness and porosity, water depth, and shock pressure for devolatilization and present the results in a dimensionless form so that the released gases can be estimated for any impact into a sedimentary target. Using new constraints on the Chicxulub impact angle and target composition, we estimate that 325 ± 130 Gt of sulfur and 425 ± 160 Gt CO2 were ejected and produced severe changes to the global climate.

Plain language Summary Potentially hazardous asteroids and comets have hit Earth throughout its history, with catastrophic consequences in the case of the Chicxulub impact 66 Myr ago. Here we reexamine one of the mechanisms that allow an impact to have a global effect—the release of climate-active gases from terrestrial sedimentary rocks after the high-velocity impact. We estimate that 325 ± 130 Gt of sulfur and 425 ± 160 Gt CO2 were ejected into the atmosphere at velocities > 1 km/s. These numbers have to be used in global climate models to quantify possible changes of solar irradiation, surface temperature, and duration of stressful conditions for biota.

1. Introduction

The hazardous effects of impacts became a topic of great interest following the realization that the Earth was hit by a large asteroid or comet ~ 66 Ma and that this impact coincided with the K-Pg mass extinction (Alvarez et al., 1980). It is obvious why a large impact might be locally devastating, with the emission of high levels of thermal radiation from the impact plume, the generation of hurricane-force winds, and potential to cause tsunamis and landslides (Bourgeois et al., 1988; Bralower et al., 1998; Collins et al., 2005; Ward & Asphaug, 2000). For an impact to cause a mass extinction it must have global consequences. Proposed kill mechanisms for the K-Pg mass extinction include the following: short-term cooling and darkness produced by dust, soot, and sulfur in the atmosphere (Alvarez et al., 1980; Brett, 1992; Pierazzo et al., 1998; Sigurdsson et al., 1992; Toon et al., 1982); long-term warming from the release of massive volumes of CO2 (O'Keefe & Ahrens, 1989; Pope et al., 1994); ocean acidification (e.g., D'Hondt et al., 1994); and global firestorms ignited when ejecta heats up as it reenters the Earth’s atmosphere and emits thermal radiation (Melosh et al., 1990; Morgan et al., 2013; Wobbe et al., 1985).

The cause of the K-Pg mass extinction remains a matter of some debate, but the environmental effects of climatically active gases released from sedimentary rocks at the Chicxulub impact site is still one of the widely favored kill mechanisms (Brugger et al., 2017; Schulte et al., 2010). When an impact-induced shock wave of sufficiently high pressure passes through sedimentary rocks, they decompose and form part of the expanding impact plume. For Chicxulub, where seawater, porous carbonates, and evaporites formed the upper portion of the target, this process led to the injection of CO2, S-bearing gases, and water vapor into the atmosphere. In these circumstances sulfur rapidly forms an aerosol, which backscatters and absorbs solar radiation causing rapid cooling at the Earth’s surface, while the addition of CO2 contributes to longer-term warming (Kring, 2007). Early estimates of the released gases (e.g., Sigurdsson et al., 1992; Takata & Ahrens, 1994)
were hampered by uncertainty in the target rocks at the impact site and inaccurate equations of state; these issues were partially addressed by Pierazzo et al. (1998), who determined that 40–560 Gt of sulfur, 350–3,500 Gt of CO₂, and 200–1,400 Gt of water vapor were released into the atmosphere. The large range of estimated values arises, in part, due to uncertainty in the angle of impact and the relative volumes of carbonates and evaporites in the target rocks. Due to computational cost, this and all other previous models (e.g., Ivanov et al., 1996) only modeled the first few seconds of a vertical impact, meaning that they were able to estimate the amount of highly shocked sedimentary rock but unable to quantifiy the volume of gases ejected to a sufficient height in the atmosphere (>25 km) to cause a global effect (Toon et al., 1997).

Here we revisit these calculations with the goal of improving estimates of the release of climate-active gases during large impacts in general and the Chicxulub impact in particular. Released gases are important inputs to global climate models (GCM), which are used to simulate the environmental changes over the months to hundreds of years following an impact (Pierazzo et al., 2003) and suggest that surface temperatures were reduced by > 20°C and took over 30 years to recover following the Chicxulub impact (Brugger et al., 2017). We use a more advanced hydrocode (Artemieva, 2017) and recent improvements in the equations of state (EOS) (Melosh, 2007) and run the simulations for at least 15–30 s (depending on impact scenario) to ensure that all ejecta with velocities >1 km/s has exited the growing crater. This approach more accurately estimates the total mass ejected to >25 km altitude for a range of different impact scenarios. For Chicxulub, we use new constraints on impact angle (Collins et al., 2017) and target composition (Belza et al., 2012; Kenkmann et al., 2004) to estimate the gases released by this impact.

2. Method

We use the multiphase hydrocode SOVA (Shuvalov, 1999), which is a 3-D Eulerian code that models multidimensional, multimaterial, large deformation, strong shock wave physics (see section A3). We use SOVA for several reasons, but principally because, unlike the widely used CTH and iSALE hydrocodes, it has the capability to simulate the dynamics of different materials within the impact plume. Due to improvements in computational power, we are able to model oblique impacts and run our simulations for longer time periods and with millions of tracer particles (as opposed to a thousand in previous studies) to more accurately capture properties such as maximum shock compression, gas/vapor/melt production, and ejecta velocity.

A major uncertainty in calculations of gases released from sedimentary rocks is the assignment of shock pressures for incipient and complete devolatilization (Bell, 2016). Experiments on rock samples in containers are considered to overestimate shock pressures for degassing due to the lack of free space (Langenhorst & Deutsch, 2012) and lower energy gain during decompression (Prescher et al., 2011). Results from individual laboratory experiments and various theoretical studies indicate that calcite decomposes to CO₂ and CaO at pressures of between 10 and 100 GPa (Kotra et al., 1983; Lange & Ahrens, 1986; Tyburczy & Ahrens, 1986; Yang et al., 1996). Although rocks with dry porosity decompose at lower shock pressures than nonporous rocks (Ivanov & Deutsch, 2002; Martinez et al., 1995), rocks with wet porosity appear to show similar behavior to nonporous rocks (Güldemeister et al., 2013; Kowitz et al., 2016). For this reason, we have assumed that both the porous (water-saturated) and nonporous calcite decompose at the same shock pressure, with incipient decomposition starting at 60 GPa and full decomposition at shock pressures of 100 GPa, in accordance with shock experiments, numerical simulations, and thermodynamic calculations by Yang et al. (1996). In the supporting information, we include additional estimates with different shock devolatilization pressures in order to investigate how uncertainties in the critical pressures for decomposition of calcite affect our estimates of released gases.

For our compilation of results, we use a carbonate target (analytical equations of state, ANEOS, for calcite) with 0 and 20% wet porosity (mass fraction of calcite 0.91), a projectile of 10 km in diameter traveling at 18 km/s, and impact angles of 15, 30, 45, 60, and 90° to the horizontal. We select a resolution of 20 cells per projectile radius, a density of 2.6 g/cm³ for the projectile and nonporous target, and 2.28 g/cm³ for the porous target. For our simulations using porous calcite, we assume that pores are filled with water (mixed ANEOS as described in Pierazzo et al., 2005). For all the results presented here, we only include materials that are ejected from the impact site with velocities higher than 1 km/s, because ejecta with lower velocities will not reach high altitudes and, hence, cannot be redistributed globally. For the case study of Chicxulub, we investigate the addition of anhydrite of zero porosity within the target. According to laboratory
experiments and theoretical studies, anhydrite degasses between 30 and 180 GPa (Badjukov et al., 1995; Gupta et al., 2001; Ivanov et al., 1996; Yang et al., 1996). Here we assume incipient decomposition of anhydrite at 30 GPa and full decomposition at 120 GPa, in accordance with thermodynamic and experimental data (Gupta et al., 2001; Prescher et al., 2011). We do not use anhydrite EOS for our mixed calcite/anhydrite target (Pierazzo et al., 1998) as the anhydrite EOS requires additional adjustment before it can be incorporated into the new version of the ANEOS package (Melosh, 2007) and the SOVA code is not able to deal with four different materials in one computational cell. We also examine the effect of submerging the sedimentary sequence under water, as was the case for the Chicxulub impact.

3. Results

The mass of CO$_2$ generated by the decomposition of porous (P) and nonporous (NP) calcite and ejected to >25 km altitude is shown in Figure 1 and the mass of calcite ejected as a solid in Figure 2. The results are presented in dimensionless form so that they can be used to calculate the volumes of gases (Figure 1) and solid calcite (Figure 2) released from carbonate sequences of different thicknesses and for different impactor sizes. For example, it has been suggested that the 26 km diameter Ries crater could have been formed by a 1.5 km diameter projectile traveling at 18 km/s that hit the Earth at a ~30° impact angle (Artemieva et al., 2013). Using these values and a density of 2.6 g/cm$^3$, the projectile mass is 4.6 Gt. The uppermost target rocks in the area are thought to include a ~300 m thick porous water-saturated carbonate, which corresponds to a ratio on the X axis (thickness of sedimentary rock/projectile radius) of 0.4, leading to a degassed CO$_2$ mass of ~1.3 Gt (~0.29 times the projectile mass), and solid sediment mass of 19 Gt.

To use the results shown in Figures 1 and 2 for other impacts on Earth, the projectile diameter ($D_{pr}$) should be scaled so that it reproduces the diameter of the observed transient cavity ($D_{tc}$) for an impact velocity of 18 km/s using the scaling law from Holsapple and Housen (2007):

$$D_{tc} = 18^{0.44}D_{pr}^{0.78}$$

Impact angles of between 30° and 60° (50% of all impacts occur in this interval) are more effective at producing gas, and this occurs because near-vertical impacts produce less high-velocity ejecta whereas more oblique impacts produce smaller volumes of highly shocked materials (Figure 1 and Table S1). We note, however, that a 90° impact into a thick nonporous sedimentary sequence releases a surprising amount of gas. Figures 1 and 2 show that the total mass of CO$_2$ and solid calcite released is generally lower for the porous calcite than the nonporous, which is expected given that 9% of the calcite mass is replaced with water. However, the dependence of ejecta on porosity is quite complex and does not just lead to a simple reduction by a factor of 0.91 in released gases. At highly oblique impact angles the ratio of degassed sediments in porous and nonporous cases is 1.36 (i.e., a factor of 1.5 larger than expected), and for vertical impacts the ratio is only 0.44 (a factor of 2 smaller than expected) (Table S2). For solid ejecta, the dependence on impact angle is smoother: the ratio of solid sediments released from porous and nonporous calcite is 1.1 for a 15° impact and then approaches the expected value of ~0.9 at impact angles of >45°.
Figures 3. Maximum pressure in the plane of symmetry for a 60° impact into a (a) sedimentary target and (b) sedimentary target covered by water. X and Y axes are horizontal distance and depth and correspond to 10 times and 4 times projectile radius, respectively. Red arrow shows impact point, and red line shows water depth which is one fourth of the projectile radius. (c and d) Ejection velocity of target materials for Figures 3a and 3b; X and Y axes are 10 times and 1 times projectile radius, respectively.

Sedimentary sequences close to continental margins are often submerged. Figure 3 shows the results for a thick nonporous sedimentary target without a water layer (Figures 3a and 3c) and submerged in water, where the water has a thickness equal to one fourth of the projectile radius (Figures 3b with 3d). These plots show that the addition of a water layer leads to minimal changes in maximum shock pressure (compare Figures 3a and 3b) and ejection velocity (compare Figures 3c with 3d) with depth. The total amount of sedimentary ejecta is lower by ~20 to 35% for a 60° and 30° impact into water, respectively (see column 6 in Table S1), and the amount of decomposed high-velocity sedimentary rocks decreases by 10–30% (see column 3 in Table S1). The other notable difference is that the addition of a water layer leads to the ejection of water, steam, and sea salt to high altitudes. Water is vaporized between pressures of 4 and 10 GPa, and, for the case shown in Figure 3d, the mass of ejected steam and water is ~0.8 and 0.67 of the projectile mass, respectively.

4. Chicxulub

Recent IODP-ICDP drilling of the peak ring of the Chicxulub impact crater (Morgan et al., 2016) along with geophysical data have been used to ground truth 3-D numerical simulations of crater formation (Collins et al., 2017). Collins et al. (2017) estimate that the angle of impact at Chicxulub was ~60° with a downrange direction to the southwest. We scale the size of the projectile so that with an impact velocity of 18 km/s, it produces a crater with the correctly sized transient cavity (Artemieva & Morgan, 2009), which gives a projectile diameter of 12.2 km (Table S2) and a projectile mass of 2,500 Gt (density = 2.6 g/cm³). Our numerical simulations indicate that the sedimentary rocks degassed by this impact would have been located in the downrange direction, between 180° and 270° from the crater center. Using offshore seismic data, the sedimentary sequence to the west of the crater center is estimated to be 3.8 ± 0.2 km thick (Bell et al., 2004). No nearby onshore wells to the south of the crater reach crystalline basement, and the sedimentary rocks in the deepest well, Y-2, are 2.8 km thick (López-Ramos, 1975; Rebolledo-Vieyra & Urrutia-Fucugauchi, 2004); hence, their total thickness in this direction is likely to be greater than 2.8 km. In addition, the sedimentary sequence thickens from onshore to offshore and from east to west along reflection profile, Chicx-A/A1, closest to the Yucatán coastline (Morgan & Warner, 1999). Given these considerations, we use a value of 3.3–3.5 km for sedimentary thickness in the southwest portion of the crater, which corresponds to X axis values of between 0.54 and 0.57 in Figures 1 and 2.

Using Figure 1, for a 60° impact angle into a sedimentary target composed of 50% carbonate and 50% anhydrite target (one of the proposed scenarios in Pierazzo et al., 1998) in which the two lithologies are equally distributed, 330 Gt of CO₂ and 400 Gt of S are ejected to altitudes greater than 25 km. The angle of impact is not likely to be exactly 60°, however, and if we assume that it is 60 ± 10°, then we can use Figure 1 to place error bars on our estimates, which gives 330 ± 60 Gt CO₂ and 400 ± 60 Gt S. Note that as we vary the impact angle the projectile size varies respectively (see column 1 in Table S2), which means that the X axis values also change. The distribution of anhydrite within the target is also important, and data from the Yaxcopoil-1 drill
hole (which is in the southwest direction and just outside the excavation cavity) indicate that the ~600 m thick sedimentary section is late Albian to Campanian in age (Belza et al., 2012) of which ~27% is anhydrite (Kenkmann et al., 2004). To the south and southwest of the crater the lower half of the sedimentary sequence is lower Cretaceous in age and ~60% anhydrite (López-Ramos, 1975; Rebolledo-Vieyra & Urrutia-Fucugauchi, 2006). Using the assumption that the upper half of sedimentary sequence is 75% calcite and 25% anhydrite and the lower half is 60% anhydrite and 40% calcite, the ejected masses become 425 ± 60 Gt CO2 and 325 ± 60 Gt S (Table S3).

At the time of the impact, there was probably a fairly shallow sea covering the northern half of the Yucatán peninsula, but water depths may have been up to 1.5 km deep to the northeast of the point of impact (Gulick et al., 2008), which corresponds to the water thickness (one fourth of the projectile radius) shown in Figures 3b and 3d. We note that if the downrange direction is to the southwest, however, the high-velocity ejecta originate mainly from the target in this direction, which suggests that the effect of deep water in the northeast direction may have been quite minor for the Chicxulub impact.

### 5. Discussion

The lack of a reliable EOS for anhydrite, as well as uncertainties in devolatization pressures for calcite and anhydrite, remain a potential source of error in our estimates. Given this, we also ran simulations using pressures of 40 GPa and 80 GPa for incipient degassing and 80 and 120 GPa for complete decomposition of calcite, so that our results can be reassessed should better constraints arise in the future (columns 2–4 in Table S1). For our Chicxulub calculations, changing decomposition pressures by ±20 GPa changes our estimates of released gases by ±35%. If we assume that there is a ±35% uncertainty in our calculations for both calcite and anhydrite and combine this with the ±60 Gt error due to uncertainty in impact angle, we get values of 425 ± 60 Gt CO2 and 325 ± 130 Gt S. Also, we note that gypsum produces less sulfur than anhydrite (Table S3), which needs to be taken into account in any future estimates of released climatic gases, should better constraints on target rock composition become available. For all three scenarios, the total amount of ejecta with velocity > 1 km/s remains constant (column 6 in Table S1), and the relative mass of ejected gas versus solid sediment decreases as the critical shock pressures are increased (columns 2–4 in Table S1).

Surprisingly, our results for the Chicxulub impact are comparable to previous estimates by Ivanov et al. (1996) and Pierazzo et al. (1998) (Table S4) and significantly less than Sigurdsson et al. (1992) and Takata and Ahrens (1994). Various factors influence the production of climate-active gases presented here, with some leading to an increase and others a decrease in comparison to previous calculations (see Table 1). All previous calculations assumed lower decomposition pressures for porous calcite (20–30 GPa), which recent research suggests may be incorrect when the pore spaces are filled with water (Güldemeister et al., 2013; Kowitz et al., 2016). We also use different decomposition pressures for anhydrite, based on research published after these earlier calculations were made (Gupta et al., 2001; Prescher et al., 2011). On the other hand, Pierazzo et al. (1998) assumed that ~31% of the ejected CO2 would be removed early on within the expanding impact plume due to back reactions and thus would not contribute to the global inventory (Table 1). While we recognize that these back reactions do occur and are important, they are not included in our calculations as they are difficult to quantify. We do consider that it is important to ensure that the gases are ejected from the crater at sufficient velocities to reach high altitudes, whereas previous calculations only ran for a few seconds in order to determine shock pressures and then assumed all gases released by shock devolatization would contribute to the global inventory. Our estimates show that in the case of a thick sedimentary cover (thickness

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pierazzo et al. (1998) (P98)</th>
<th>This work (A and M)</th>
<th>Effect on net production</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pressure for devolatilization (GPa)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>60 (Incipient degassing) 100 (Complete degassing)</td>
<td>P98 &gt; A and M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumed recombination</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>Not accounted for</td>
<td>P98 &lt; A and M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ejection from the crater</td>
<td>Not accounted for</td>
<td>Only includes material ejected at &gt; 1 km/s</td>
<td>P98 &gt; A and M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact obliquity</td>
<td>Vertical only</td>
<td>15–90° (Max. between 30–60°)</td>
<td>P98 &lt; A and M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. P98 is Pierazzo et al. (1998), and A and M are our new calculations.
larger than the projectile radius), only 6–20% (depending on impact angle and porosity) of the highly shocked sediments ($P > 60$ GPa) are ejected with velocities of $>1$ km/s (compare columns 3 and 7 in Table S1). The remaining shocked materials are either ejected with lower velocity and, hence, stay in the crater vicinity (both $CO_2$ and $SO_2$ are heavier than atmospheric gases) or stay within the crater and form part of the melt pool and/or suevitic layer. In the Chicxulub case, with a sediment thickness that is equal to 0.54–0.57 of the projectile radius, the effect is smaller: approximately 50% of the sedimentary rocks shocked $>60$ GPa reach altitudes of $>25$ km. Finally, impact obliquity has an important effect on released gases with maximum gas production for impact angles of $30^\circ$–$60^\circ$ (Figure 1).

Another important output from our calculations is the mass of solid sediment ejected to high altitudes (Figure 2), which for Chicxulub corresponds to ~12,000 Gt (4.8 × projectile mass). This is consistent with the observation of fine-grained shocked and unshocked carbonate and dolomite clasts in the upper layer of the K-Pg boundary at the Demerara Rise, where they are coincident with shocked tectosilicates (Schulte et al., 2009). The abundance of sedimentary clasts at this location led the authors to conclude that they are a much more common component of Chicxulub ejecta than previously thought. In addition, Schulte et al. (2009) interpret some of the morphologic features (fluidal-shaped micrometer-sized pores) in these clasts as evidence of their formation within the high-temperature impact plume. We propose an alternative explanation, that these high-temperature features may also have been formed when the clasts were heated during atmospheric reentry. This is of particular interest here as it would mean that additional climatic gases were released during the reentry of solid sedimentary material. Future 3-D simulations of the transport of ejecta around the globe will be performed to investigate this further.

6. Conclusions

Our calculations of released gases from sedimentary rocks in general and Chicxulub in particular are more realistic and, thus, improve on previous models because they use a more advanced 3-D hydrocode (Artemieva, 2017) and take into account the following: (1) an updated EOS (Melosh, 2007); (2) the velocity of the ejected gases and ensure that they reach high enough altitudes to have a global effect; (3) improved knowledge of the thickness and composition of the Chicxulub target from new offshore seismic data (Bell et al., 2004) and well data from Yaxcopoil-1 (Kenkmann et al., 2004); and (4) new constraints on the Chicxulub impact angle and direction (Collins et al., 2017). These new estimates of released climatic gases are important inputs to GCM for models of temperatures at the Earth’s surface and within the ocean after large impacts (e.g., Brugger et al., 2017) and for quantifying ocean acidification (e.g., D’Hondt et al., 1994). Our estimate of $325 \pm 130$ Gt of sulfur released is larger than the value used by Brugger et al. (2017) in their GCM, whereas our estimate of $425 \pm 160$ Gt of CO$_2$ is smaller than their input. This suggests that surface temperatures were likely to have been significantly reduced for several years and ocean temperatures affected for hundreds of years after the Chicxulub impact.
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A3. Code and Data Sharing

The SOVA code, as most of the hydrocodes used in impact science, is not currently available for public use. The performance of the code was verified during a special benchmark and validation project (Pierazzo et al., 2008). Raw tracer data (three-dimensional distributions of maximum shock pressure and ejection velocity) are available from the first author upon request. Data used to produce Figures 1 and 2 can be found in Table S1.
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