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AUTOMORPHISMS OF $\mathbb{P}^1$-BUNDLES OVER RATIONAL SURFACES

JÉRÉMY BLANC, ANDREA FANELLI, AND RONAN TERPEREAU

Abstract. In this paper we provide the complete classification of $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles over smooth projective rational surfaces whose neutral component of the automorphism group is maximal. Our results hold over any algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.
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1. Introduction

1.1. Aim and scope. In this article, we work over an algebraically closed field $k$ of characteristic zero (even if most of the partial results we obtain along the way are valid over any algebraically closed field $k$, as we explain at the beginning of each section). We study $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles $X \to S$ (always assumed to be locally trivial for the Zariski topology), where $S$ is a smooth projective rational surface (the smoothness condition is actually not a strong restriction; see §2.2), and study the group scheme $\text{Aut}(X)$ of automorphisms of $X$. This group scheme can have infinitely many components, but the connected component of the identity $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ is a connected algebraic group [MO67]. The aim of this article is to study the pairs $(X, \text{Aut}^0(X))$ and to classify these, up to birational conjugation. In particular we describe the geometry of the pairs arising and the restriction of $X \to S$ to natural curves of $S$.

Our motivation for this study comes from the classification of connected algebraic subgroups of the Cremona group $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^3)$, stated by Enriques and Fano in [EF98] and achieved by Umemura in a series of four papers [Ume80, Ume82a, Ume82b, Ume85] over the field $k = \mathbb{C}$, using analytic methods. As explained in [MU83, Ume88], these groups act on some minimal rational threefolds, and it turns out...
that most of the threefolds obtained are $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles over rational surfaces. Our plan is to give a shorter geometric proof of the classification of Umemura, and this article is the first step towards this direction (the second one will be in [BFT]). Instead of starting with the group action and trying to find threefolds where the group acts, we will directly study the possible varieties and their symmetries, then reduce to some simple varieties, and in the end compute the neutral component of their automorphism groups.

Our approach should be seen as the analogue of the following way to understand the classification of connected algebraic subgroups of the Cremona group $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$. This classification was initiated by Enriques in [Enr93] and can nowadays be easily recovered via the classification of smooth projective rational surfaces as we now explain. One can conjugate any connected algebraic subgroup of $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ to a group of automorphisms of a smooth projective rational surface $S$. Contracting all $(-1)$-curves of $S$, we can moreover assume that $S$ is a minimal surface, i.e. that $S$ is isomorphic to the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2$ or a Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_a$, with $a \geq 0$, $a \neq 1$; see [Bea96] for a general survey on surfaces. One then checks that the group of automorphisms obtained are maximal, as these have no orbit of finite size: this forbids the existence of equivariant birational maps towards other smooth projective rational surfaces. Every connected algebraic subgroup of $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is thus contained in a maximal connected algebraic subgroup of $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$, which is conjugate to the group $\text{Aut}^e(S)$, where $S$ is a minimal smooth rational surface. See also [Bla09] for the classification of the (non-necessary connected) maximal algebraic subgroups of $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ with a similar approach.

The aim of our classification is then to proceed as in the case of surfaces and study minimal threefolds. There are many more such varieties in dimension three than in dimension two and not all of them yield maximal algebraic subgroups of $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^3)$. Moreover, some maximal connected algebraic subgroups of $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ are realised by infinitely many minimal threefolds. Our aim is then to understand the geometry of the minimal threefolds obtained in this way and the equivariant birational maps between them.

Another motivation consists in unifying some well-known results (most of them over the field of complex numbers, using topological arguments) on $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles over minimal rational surfaces, i.e. the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2$ and the Hirzebruch surfaces $\mathbb{F}_a$, and to obtain these results from the perspective of automorphisms groups. See for instance Corollaries 3.3.3, 4.2.2 and Remark 4.3.2.

We also provide moduli spaces parametrising the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles $X \to \mathbb{F}_a$ over Hirzebruch surfaces having no jumping fibre (Corollary 3.3.8), i.e. the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles such that all fibres of the natural morphism $X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ (induced by the structure morphism $\mathbb{F}_a \to \mathbb{P}^1$) are Hirzebruch surfaces $\mathbb{F}_b$ for a fixed $b$ not depending on the fibre. These $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles can also be described using exact sequences of vector bundles (Corollary 3.3.3). The action of $\text{Aut}^e(\mathbb{F}_a)$ on the moduli spaces, depending on some natural numerical invariants (Definition 1.4.1), is described explicitly; see §3.4. and more precisely Corollary 3.4.6.

Our approach uses very basic tools and is aimed to be easy to follow by any interested reader, not necessarily expert. Most of the time we give proofs which do not use any known results and we make reference to the literature when we re-prove a known fact.
1.2. Summary of the classification.

Definition 1.2.1. Let $\pi: X \to S$ and $\pi': X' \to S'$ be two $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles over two smooth projective rational surfaces $S$ and $S'$. A birational map $\varphi: X \dashrightarrow X'$ is said to be

1. a square birational map (resp. square isomorphism/square automorphism) if there exists a birational map $\eta: S \dashrightarrow S'$ such that $\pi' \varphi = \eta \pi$ (and if $\varphi$ is resp. an isomorphism/automorphism). We say in these cases that $\varphi$ is above $\eta$;
2. a birational map (resp. isomorphism/automorphism) of $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles if $S = S'$, $\pi' \varphi = \pi$ (and if $\varphi$ is resp. an isomorphism/automorphism);
3. $\text{Aut}^\circ(X)$-equivariant if $\varphi \text{Aut}^\circ(X) \varphi^{-1} \subset \text{Aut}^\circ(X')$ (which is equivalent to the condition $\varphi \text{Aut}^\circ(X) \varphi^{-1} \subset \text{Aut}(X')$).

As the definition depends on $\pi$, $\pi'$, and not only on $X, X'$, we will often write $\varphi: (X, \pi) \dashrightarrow (X', \pi')$, and say that $(X, \pi)$ and $(X', \pi')$ are resp. square birational / square isomorphic / birational $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles / isomorphic $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles if $\varphi$ satisfies the corresponding condition.

Remark 1.2.2. In the above definition, every element of $\text{Aut}^\circ(X)$ is a square automorphism (Lemma 2.1.1), but not necessarily a birational map of $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles.

Definition 1.2.3. Let $\pi: X \to S$ be a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over a smooth projective rational surface $S$. We say that $\text{Aut}^\circ(X)$ is maximal if for each $\text{Aut}^\circ(X)$-equivariant square birational map $\varphi: (X, \pi) \dashrightarrow (X', \pi')$, we have $\varphi \text{Aut}^\circ(X) \varphi^{-1} = \text{Aut}^\circ(X')$. If we moreover have $(X', \pi') \simeq (X, \pi)$ (resp. $\varphi$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles) for each such $\varphi$, we say that the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $(X, \pi)$ is stiff (resp. superstiff).

Remark 1.2.4. This definition depends on $X$ and $\pi$, and not only on $X$. For instance, taking $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times F_1$, and two standard $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle structures $\pi: X \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\pi': X \to F_1$, $\text{Aut}^\circ(X)$ is maximal with respect to $\pi$ but not with respect to $\pi'$. (The pairs $(X, \pi)$ and $(X, \pi')$ correspond respectively to $\mathcal{F}_1^{0,0}$ and $\mathcal{F}_1^{0,0}$, see Definition 3.1.1, so this observation follows from Theorem A).

Remark 1.2.5. To the best of our knowledge, the notion of stiff / superstiff is new. It is analogous to the notion of equivariant birational rigidity / superrigidity for Mori fibre spaces, but is not equivalent, since here we only consider $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles. Moreover, birational rigidity for Mori fibre spaces is always up to squares, while stiffness also detects these birational maps; see [Cor00] and [Puk13] to know more about the notions of rigidity and superrigidity for Mori fiber spaces.

The next statement, which summarises most of our work, is our main result (the notation is explained after Theorem B).

Theorem A. Let $\pi: X \to S$ be a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over a smooth projective rational surface $S$. Then, there exists an $\text{Aut}^\circ(X)$-equivariant square birational map $(X, \pi) \dashrightarrow (X', \pi')$, such that $\text{Aut}^\circ(X')$ is maximal. Moreover, the group $\text{Aut}^\circ(X)$ is maximal if and only if $(X, \pi)$ is square isomorphic to one of the following:
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In the other cases, the equivariant square birational maps between the
\( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles appearing in the following list.

**Theorem A.** The equivariant square birational maps of
\( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles of decomposable rank two vector bundles. The
\( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles are projectivisations of the classical rank two vector bundles of the same name:

\( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \) with \( a, b \geq 0, a \neq 1, c \in \mathbb{Z}, \)
\( c \leq 0 \) if \( b = 0, \)
and where \( a = 0 \) or \( b = c = 0 \)
or \( -a < c < ab; \)

\( \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \) for some \( b \geq 0; \)

\( \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \) for some \( a, b \geq 1, c \geq 2, \)
with \( c - ab < 2 \) if \( a \geq 2, \)
and \( c - ab < 1 \) if \( a = 1; \)

\( \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \) for some \( b \geq 1; \) or
\( \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \) for some \( b \geq 2. \)

Contrary to the dimension 2 case, there are many \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles \( X \rightarrow S \) with
maximal \( \text{Aut}^0(X) \) which are birationally conjugated. This means that the \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-
bundles of Theorem A are not always stiff. The next result describes all the possible
links between such \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles. The construction of such links is detailed in \( \S 5. \)

**Theorem B.** The \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles of Theorem A are superstiff only in the cases:

(a) \( \mathcal{F}_a^{b,c} \) with \( a = 0 \) or \( b = c = 0; \)
(b) \( \mathcal{P}_b \) for \( b \geq 0; \) and
(c) \( \mathcal{S}_1 \simeq \mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}^2}). \)

In the other cases, the equivariant square birational maps between the \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles
of Theorem A are given by compositions of square isomorphisms of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles and
of birational maps appearing in the following list.

1. For each integers \( a, b \geq 0, c \in \mathbb{Z} \) with \( a \neq 1, -a < c < 0, \) an infinite
sequence of equivariant birational maps of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles

\[ \mathcal{F}_a^{b,c} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_a^{b+1,c+a} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_a^{b+n,c+an} \rightarrow \ldots \]

2. For each integers \( a, b \geq 1 \) with \( (a, b) \neq (1, 1), \) an infinite sequence of equi-
vant birational maps of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles

\[ \mathcal{U}_a^{b,2} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_a^{b+1,2+a} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_a^{b+n,2+an} \rightarrow \ldots \]

3. For each \( b \geq 2, \) a birational involution \( \mathcal{S}_b \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_b. \)

4. For each \( b \geq 2, \) the equivariant birational morphisms \( \mathcal{U}_1^{b,2} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_1^b \) obtained
by contracting the preimage of the \((−1)\)-curve of \( \mathbb{P}_1 \) onto the fibre of a point
of \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) in \( \mathcal{V}_1^b. \)

In the above theorems, decomposable \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles are simply the projectivisations of
decomposable rank two vector bundles. The \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles over \( \mathbb{P}_a \) and \( \mathbb{P}_2 \)
are particularly easy to describe (\( \S \S 3.1 \) and 4.1). The Schwarzenberger \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles over
\( \mathbb{P}^2 \) are projectivisations of the classical rank two vector bundles of the same name
(see below). We describe here the notation.

**Definition 1.2.6** (Schwarzenberger \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles). Let \( b \geq -1 \) be an integer and let
\( \kappa : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \) be the \((2 : 1)\)-cover defined by

\[ \kappa : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \]

\[ ([y_0 : y_1], [z_0 : z_1]) \mapsto [y_0 z_0 : y_0 z_1 + y_1 z_0 : y_1 z_1], \]

whose branch locus is the diagonal \( \Delta \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) and whose ramification locus is
the smooth conic \( \Gamma = \{ [X : Y : Z] \mid Y^2 = 4XZ \} \subset \mathbb{P}^2. \) The \( b \)-th Schwarzenberger
The $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\mathcal{S}_b \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_b = \mathbb{P}(\kappa_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(-b - 1, 0)) \to \mathbb{P}^2.$$ 

Note that $\mathcal{S}_b$ is the projectivisation of the classical Schwarzenberger vector bundle $\kappa_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(-b - 1, 0)$ introduced in [Sch61]. Moreover, the preimage of a line by $\mathcal{S}_b \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_b$ for each $b \geq 0$ (Lemma 4.2.5(1)). This explains the shift in the notation.

The families of Umemura $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles $\mathcal{U}_a^{b,c}$, introduced by Umemura in [Ume88, §10], need a bit more notation to be described. This is done in §3.6. The $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles $\mathcal{V}_1 \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is a family of $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles such that $\text{Aut}^\sigma(\mathcal{V}_1)$ is maximal and birationally conjugated to certain $\text{Aut}^\sigma(\mathcal{U}_a^{b,c})$, but with an action on $\mathbb{F}^2$ fixing a point; see Lemma 5.5.1 for the precise relation between the two families.

### 1.3. Comparison with Umemura’s classification.

Each of the maximal connected algebraic subgroups of $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ given in [Ume85, Th. 2.1] acts on some Mori fibre spaces (as explained in [Ume88]). The cases (P1),(P2),(E1),(E2) correspond to Fano threefolds, the cases (J10),(J12) to Del Pezzo fibrations ($\mathbb{P}^2$-bundles and quadric fibrations), and all other cases (J1)-(J9),(J11) correspond to $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles. We explain now to which of the cases (a)–(d) of Theorem A these correspond.

Note that $\mathcal{F}_a^{b,c} = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_a} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_a}(-bs_a + cf)) \to \mathcal{F}_n$ (see Definition 3.1.1), and that $\mathcal{P}_b = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(b) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}) = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-b)) \to \mathbb{P}^2$ (see Definition 4.1.1).

- (J1) is $\text{Aut}^\sigma(\mathbb{F}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ and is thus given by $\mathcal{P}_0 \to \mathbb{F}^2$.
- (J2) is $\text{Aut}^\sigma(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ and thus given by $\mathcal{F}_0^{0,0} \to \mathbb{F}_0$.
- (J3) is $\text{Aut}^\sigma(\mathbb{F}_m \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ with $m \geq 2$, which is either given by $\mathcal{F}_m^{0,0} \to \mathbb{F}_m$, or by $\mathcal{F}_0^{0,m} \to \mathbb{F}_0$, square isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_0^{0,0} \to \mathbb{F}_0$ (but not isomorphic as $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle).
- (J4) is $\text{Aut}^\sigma(\text{PGL}_3/B) \simeq \text{PGL}_3$ and is thus equal to $\text{Aut}^\sigma(\mathcal{S}_1)$, where $\mathcal{S}_1 \to \mathbb{F}^2$, $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{P}^2) \to \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\text{PGL}_3/B \to \text{PGL}_3/P$ are isomorphic, by Remark 4.2.8.
- (J5) is $\text{Aut}^\sigma(\text{PGL}_2/D_{2m})$ with $n \geq 4$ and is thus equal to $\text{Aut}^\sigma(\mathcal{S}_b)$, with $b = n - 1 \geq 3$, by Remark 4.2.7.
- (J6) is $\text{Aut}^\sigma(L_{m,n})$, where $L_{m,n} \to \mathbb{F}_0$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle of bidegree $(m,n)$, with $m > 2$, $n < -2$ (see [Ume85, Th. 2.1(J6)] and [Ume88, §5]). It thus corresponds to $\mathcal{F}_0^{m,-n} \to \mathbb{F}_0$, given in (a).
- (J7) is equal to $\text{Aut}^\sigma(J_m) = \text{Aut}^\sigma(J'_m)$, with $m \geq 2$, where the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $J_m \to \mathbb{F}^2$ defined in [Ume88, §6] is a compactification of $J'_m$ of [Ume85, Th. 2.1 (J7)], isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}_0 \to \mathbb{F}^2$ with $m = b$, given in (b).
- (J8) is $\text{Aut}^\sigma(L_{m,n})$, where $L_{m,n} \to \mathbb{F}_0$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle of bidegree $(m,n)$, with $m \geq 1$ (see [Ume85, Th. 2.1(J8)] and [Ume88, §7]). It thus corresponds to $\mathcal{F}_0^{m,n} \to \mathbb{F}_0$ square isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_0^{m,m} \to \mathbb{F}_0$, both given in (a).
- (J9) is $\text{Aut}^\sigma(F'_m)$, for some integers $m > n \geq 2$ [Ume85, Th. 2.1(J9)], and is also equal to $\text{Aut}^\sigma(F_{m,n}^k)$ for each integer $k \geq \lfloor \frac{m}{n} \rfloor$, where $F_{m,n}^k = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_n} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_n}(-ks_n - m)) = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_n} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_n}(-ks_n + (nk - m)f)) = \mathbb{F}_n^{k,nk-m} \to \mathbb{F}_n$, given in (a).
- (J11) is $\text{Aut}^\sigma(E_m)$, where $m = 1$, $l \geq 3$ or $m, l \geq 2$ [Ume85, Th. 2.1(J11)]. Then $E_m$ admits a family of compactifications given in [Ume88, §10] and depending on a parameter $j \geq l$; these compactifications correspond to the Umemura bundles $\mathcal{U}_a^{b,c} \to \mathcal{F}_a$, with $a = m, b = j, c = (j - l)m + 2$. 


Let us note that the family \((e)\) in Theorem A was overlooked in the work of Umemura. These have to correspond to maximal algebraic subgroups of \(\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^3)\) and should appear in [Ume88, §10].

Some of the elements of our list do not appear in [Ume85, Ume88]. Sometimes because these do not correspond to maximal algebraic subgroups of \(\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^3)\), as we can embed the groups in larger groups of automorphisms of Mori fibre spaces, or because they are equivalent to other elements of the list, by a birational map not preserving the \(\mathbb{P}^1\)-bundle structure. This is for instance the case of \(S_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2\), which is \(\text{Aut}(S_{2})\)-equivariantly birational to \(\mathbb{P}^3\), or of \(\mathcal{F}_{0}^{1,1} \simeq \mathbb{F}_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\), not maximal as equivariantly birational to \(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1\). There are many other cases, which will be studied in [BFT].

1.4. Overview of the proof. Starting with a \(\mathbb{P}^1\)-bundle \(\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{S}\) over a smooth projective rational surface \(\tilde{S}\), there is a birational morphism \(\eta : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S\), where \(S\) is a Hirzebruch surface \(\mathbb{F}_a\) or the projective plane \(\mathbb{P}^2\). Applying Lemma 2.3.2, we obtain a square birational map \(\psi : (X, \tilde{\pi}) \dashrightarrow (X, \pi)\), unique up to isomorphism. Moreover, \(\psi\) is \(\text{Aut}^c(X)\)-equivariant. We then need to study \(\mathbb{P}^1\)-bundles over Hirzebruch surfaces or over the projective plane.

Section 3 concerns the case of \(\mathbb{P}^1\)-bundles over Hirzebruch surfaces \(\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_a\), \(a \geq 0\). We denote by \(\tau_a : \mathbb{F}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1\) a \(\mathbb{P}^1\)-bundle structure on \(\mathbb{F}_a\), and we study the surfaces \(S_p = (\tau_a \pi)^{-1}(p)\) with \(p \in \mathbb{P}^1\). The situation is described by Proposition 3.2.2, that we explain now. There is an integer \(b \geq 0\) such that \(S_p \simeq \mathbb{F}_b\) for a general \(p \in \mathbb{P}^1\). If this holds for all points of \(\mathbb{P}^1\), we have in fact a \(\mathbb{F}_b\)-bundle \(\tau_a \pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1\); we say that there is no jumping fibre. If a special point \(p \in \mathbb{P}^1\) is such that \(S_p \simeq \mathbb{F}_{b'}\), for some \(b' \neq b\), then \(b' > b\) and we can blow-up the exceptional curve of \(S_p \simeq \mathbb{F}_{b'}\), and contract the strict transform of \(S_p\), in an \(\text{Aut}^c(X)\)-equivariant way. After finitely many steps, we reduce to the case where there is no jumping fibre.

We then associate to any \(\mathbb{P}^1\)-bundle \(\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_a\) with no jumping fibres two integers \(b, c \in \mathbb{Z}\) such that \(b \geq 0\) and \(c \leq 0\) if \(b = 0\). The integer \(b\) is the one such that \(\tau_a \pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1\) is a \(\mathbb{F}_b\)-bundle, and the integer \(c\) can be seen either with the transition function of \(\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_a\) or using exact sequences associated to the rank two vector bundle corresponding to \(\pi\), with the following definition (Corollary 3.2.3 shows the equivalence between the two points of view).

Definition 1.4.1. Let \(a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}\) such that \(a, b \geq 0\) and \(c \leq 0\) if \(b = 0\). We say that a \(\mathbb{P}^1\)-bundle \(\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_a\) has numerical invariants \((a, b, c)\) if it is the projectivisation of a rank two vector bundle \(\mathcal{E}\) which fits in a short exact sequence

\[0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_a} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_a}(-bs_a + cf) \rightarrow 0,\]

where \(f, s_a \subset \mathbb{F}_a\) are a fibre and a section of self-intersection \(a\) of \(\tau_a : \mathbb{F}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1\).

In Proposition 3.3.1, we show that a \(\mathbb{P}^1\)-bundle \(\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_a\) with numerical invariants \((a, b, c)\) has no jumping fibre, and that every \(\mathbb{P}^1\)-bundle \(\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_a\) with no jumping fibre has numerical invariants \((a, b, c)\), for some uniquely determined integers \(b, c \in \mathbb{Z}\), with \(b \geq 0\), and \(c \leq 0\) if \(b = 0\). The above numerical invariants are thus really invariant under isomorphisms.

We then prove (Corollary 3.3.7) that every \(\mathbb{P}^1\)-bundle \(X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_a\) with numerical invariants \((a, b, c)\) is decomposable if \(b = 0\) or \(c < 2\), and construct a moduli space \(\mathcal{M}_{a}^{b,c}\), which is isomorphic to a projective space (see Remark 3.3.9), parametrising
the non-decomposable $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles $X \to \mathbb{F}_a$ with numerical invariants $(a, b, c)$ when $b \geq 1$ and $c \geq 2$ (Corollary 3.3.8).

The group $\text{Aut}^a(\mathbb{F}_a)$ acts naturally on this moduli space, via an algebraic action, which is detailed in §3.4. Using this action, we are able to describe the geometry of $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles $X \to \mathbb{F}_a$ (Proposition 3.7.4). We prove in particular that if no surface $S_p$ (with the notation above) is invariant by $\text{Aut}^a(X)$, then $\pi$ is isomorphic to a decomposable $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle, to an Umemura $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle, or to a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\mathcal{S}_b \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, which is obtained by pulling-back the Schwarzenberger bundle $\mathcal{S}_b \to \mathbb{P}^2$ via the double cover $\kappa: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^2$ defined above (see Lemma 4.2.4); the last two cases correspond to natural elements of the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{b,c}$ fixed by $\text{Aut}^c(\mathbb{F}_a)$.

In the case $\mathcal{S}_b \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and in the case where a fiber $S_p$ is invariant, we can reduce to the case of decomposable $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles over $\mathbb{F}_a$ (again by Proposition 3.7.4).

Section 4 concerns $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles over $\mathbb{P}^2$. Despite the fact that the geometry of such bundles is quite rich and complicate (see e.g. [OSS11] for an overview when $k = \mathbb{C}$), our approach allows us to give a quite simple proof in this case, using the work made in Section 3 for $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles over Hirzebruch surfaces. We first study the Schwarzenberger $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles $\mathcal{S}_b \to \mathbb{P}^2$ (Lemmas 4.2.1, 4.2.4 and 4.2.5 and Corollary 4.2.2). We then take a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\pi: X \to \mathbb{P}^2$, and denote by $H \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ the image of $\text{Aut}^c(X)$. If $H$ fixes a point, we blow-up the fibre of this point and reduce our study to the case of $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles over $\mathbb{F}_1$. Otherwise, using the structure of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2)$, we see that either $H = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2) = \text{PGL}_3$ or $H = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2, C) = \{g \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2) \mid g(C) = C\}$, for some smooth curve $C$ which is a line or a conic (Lemma 4.3.3). The case where $C$ is a line cannot happen (Proposition 4.3.4) and the case where $C$ is a conic corresponds to the Schwarzenberger case; this is proven by using the double cover $\kappa: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{F}^2$ of Definition 1.2.6 and the results on $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles over Hirzebruch surfaces. The case where $H = \text{PGL}_3$ corresponds to the decomposable $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles over $\mathbb{P}^2$ or to the special Schwarzenberger $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\mathcal{S}_1$, isomorphic to the projectivised tangent bundle $\mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{F}^2})$. Again, this is proven by a reduction to the case of $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles of $\mathbb{F}_1$ (studied in §3) by blowing-up a point of $\mathbb{P}^2$.

In Section 5 we prove Theorem A and Theorem B, which achieve our classification. Once we have reduced our study to decomposable $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles over $\mathbb{F}_a$ or $\mathbb{F}^2$ or to Umemura or Schwarzenberger $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles (Proposition 5.1.1), we study birational maps of $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles between elements of these four families, which are in fact obtained by elementary links centred at invariant curves (Lemma 5.3.1), square isomorphisms, and special contractions from $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles over $\mathbb{F}_1$ to $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles over $\mathbb{F}^2$ (see Remark 5.2.1). The study of the possible links is made on each family, by describing the possible invariant curves. These are naturally contained in the preimage of invariant curves of the surface $S$ over which we take the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles, and are most of the time obtained by negative curve in the fibres of smooth rational curves.
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2. Preliminaries

All the results in §2 are valid over an algebraically closed field \( k \) of arbitrary characteristic.

2.1. Blanchard’s lemma. We recall a result due to Blanchard [Bla56, § I.1] in the setting of complex geometry, whose proof has been adapted to the setting of algebraic geometry by Brion, Samuel, and Uma.

Lemma 2.1.1. ([BSU13, Prop. 4.2.1]) Let \( f : X \to Y \) be a proper morphism between algebraic varieties such that \( f_*(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{O}_Y \). If a connected algebraic group \( G \) acts on \( X \), then there exists a unique action of \( G \) on \( Y \) such that \( f \) is \( G \)-equivariant.

2.2. Resolution of indeterminacies. The next result implies that if \( \pi : X \to S \) is a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle over a singular surface, then there exists a \( \text{Aut}^e(X) \)-equivariant square birational map \((X, \pi) \to (X', \pi')\) with \( \pi' : X' \to S' \) a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle over a smooth surface. Therefore it is enough to consider the \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles over smooth surfaces to determine all the maximal automorphism groups \( \text{Aut}^e(X) \) in the sense of Definition 1.2.3.

Lemma 2.2.1. Let \( \pi : X \to S \) be a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle over a singular projective surface \( S \) and let \( G \subset \text{Aut}^e(X) \) a connected algebraic subgroup. Then there exist a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( \pi' : X' \to S' \), equipped with a \( G \)-action, and \( G \)-equivariant birational morphisms \( \eta : S' \to S \) and \( \tilde{\eta} : X' \to X \) such that \( \eta \) is a resolution of singularities and the following diagram is cartesian; in particular, \( \tilde{\eta}^{-1}G\tilde{\eta} \) is a subgroup of \( \text{Aut}^e(X') \).

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X' & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\eta}} & X \\
\downarrow{\pi'} & & \downarrow{\pi} \\
S' & \xrightarrow{\eta} & S
\end{array}
\]

Proof. By Lemma 2.1.1, the group \( G \) acts biregularly on \( S \). Since \( G \) is connected, we can solve the singularities of \( S \) in a \( G \)-equivariant way by repeatedly alternate normalization with blowing-up of the singular points (see for instance [Art86]). We denote by \( \eta : S' \to S \) a \( G \)-equivariant resolution of singularities of \( S \), and define \( \pi' : X' := X \times_S S' \to S' \) to be the pull-back of \( \pi \) along \( \eta : S' \to S \). The pull-back of a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle is a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle. Indeed, this is well-known for vector bundles, (see [Har77, § II.6, Ex. 5.18] and [GD71, § 5.4.5]) and all the \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles that we consider are Zariski locally trivial, so they are projectivisations of rank two vector bundles. Also, since \( S' \to S \) is \( G \)-equivariant, \( G \) acts on \( X' \) and \( \tilde{\eta} : X' \to X \) is \( G \)-equivariant. The last statement follows from the fact that \( \eta \), and thus \( \tilde{\eta} \), is birational. \( \square \)

In the sequel we will only consider the \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles over smooth surfaces; in particular, we will not describe the \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles \( X \to S \) over singular surfaces such that \( \text{Aut}^e(X) \) is maximal. There are many such \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles, obtained from those of Theorem A by contracting the negative curve on a Hirzebruch surface onto a singular
point. The threefolds obtained have however singularities which are of codimension 2, so it is natural to avoid them when working in birational geometry with the classical minimal model program (where varieties have terminal singularities).

2.3. The descent lemma. The following simple observation will be often used later. It explains that \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles \( \pi : X \to S \) over smooth projective surfaces are uniquely determined by a description on an open subset with finite complement. This is for instance useful over \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) or the Hirzebruch surfaces, where then only the restriction of \( \pi \) to two open subsets isomorphic to \( \mathbb{A}^2 \) is needed to describe the whole \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle.

Lemma 2.3.1. Let \( S \) be a smooth projective surface, let \( \Omega \subset S \) be a finite set, and let \( g \in \text{Aut}(S) \) be an automorphism that satisfies \( g(\Omega) = \Omega \). Let \( \pi_1 : X_1 \to S \) and \( \pi_2 : X_2 \to S \) be two \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles, and let \( \hat{g} : X_1 \to X_2 \) be a birational map that restricts to an isomorphism \( (\pi_1)^{-1}(S \setminus \Omega) \to (\pi_2)^{-1}(S \setminus \Omega) \) and satisfies \( \pi_2 \hat{g} = \pi_1 g \). Then \( \hat{g} \) is an isomorphism of varieties \( X_1 \xrightarrow{\cong} X_2 \):

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X_1 & \xrightarrow{\hat{g}} & X_2 \\
\pi_1 & \cong & \pi_2 \\
\Omega & \subset & S
\end{array}
\]

In particular, if \( g \) is the identity, then \( \hat{g} \) is an isomorphism of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles.

Proof. It suffices to take a point \( p_1 \in \Omega_1 \) and to show that \( \hat{g} \) is a local isomorphism around every point of the curve \( (\pi_1)^{-1}(p_1) \subset X_1 \). We denote by \( U_1 \subset S \) an open neighborhood of \( p_1 \) and write \( U_2 = g(U_1) \) its image, which is an open neighborhood of \( p_2 = g(p_1) \in \Omega \). By shrinking \( U_1 \), we can assume that \( \pi_1 \) and \( \pi_2 \) are trivial \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles over \( U_1 \) and \( U_2 \) respectively. The birational map \( \hat{g} \) is then described by

\[
U_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{\hat{g}} U_2 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \quad \left(x, \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} \right) \mapsto \left(g(x), M(x) \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} \right),
\]

where \( M \in \text{GL}_2(k(S)) \). Since \( k(S) \) is the function field of \( \mathcal{O}_{p_1}(S) \), which is a UFD (because \( S \) is smooth), we can multiplying \( M \) with an element of \( k(S) \) and obtain a matrix \( M' \) having all its entries in \( \mathcal{O}_{p_1}(S) \) and that these do not have a common factor. Denote by \( f \in \mathcal{O}_{p_1}(S) \) the determinant of \( M' \). If \( f \) does not vanish at \( p_1 \), it is invertible in \( \mathcal{O}_{p_1}(S) \), and \( \hat{g} \) yields an isomorphism \( U' \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to g(U') \times \mathbb{P}^1 \), where \( U' \subset U \) corresponds to the open subset where \( f \neq 0 \). This yields the result since \( p_1 \in U' \).

It remains to show that \( f \) cannot vanish at \( p_1 \). Indeed, otherwise the zero set of \( f \) yields a curve of \( S \) passing through \( p_1 \) on which the map \( \hat{g} \) is not defined (since the matrix \( M' \) is unique up to multiplication with an element of \( \mathcal{O}_{p_1}(S)^* \) and since at least one of the entries of \( M' \) is not divisible by \( f \)).

We then can prove the following descent lemma, already invoked in the introduction.

Lemma 2.3.2 (Descent lemma). Let \( \eta : \hat{S} \to S \) be a birational morphism between two smooth projective surfaces. Let \( U \subset S \) and \( \hat{U} \subset \hat{S} \) be two maximal open subsets such that \( \eta \) induces an isomorphism \( \hat{U} \xrightarrow{\cong} U \), and \( \Omega = S \setminus U \) is finite, and let \( \hat{\pi} : \hat{X} \to \hat{S} \) be a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle.
Then, there exist a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( \pi : X \to S \), and a birational map \( \psi : \hat{X} \dashrightarrow X \), such that \( \eta \pi = \pi \psi \) (\( \psi \) is a square birational map over \( \eta \)) and such that \( \psi \) induces an isomorphism \( \hat{\pi}^{-1}(U) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi^{-1}(U) \). Moreover, \( \psi \) is unique, up to composition by an isomorphism of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles at the target, and \( \psi \) is \( \text{Aut}^0(\hat{X}) \)-equivariant, which means that \( \psi \text{Aut}^0(\hat{X})\psi^{-1} \) is a subgroup of \( \text{Aut}^0(X) \).

**Proof.** Writing \( G = \text{Aut}^0(\hat{X}) \), Lemma 2.1.1 implies that \( \hat{\pi} \) and \( \eta \) are \( G \)-equivariant, for some unique birational action of \( G \) on \( \hat{S} \) and \( S \).

If \( \eta \) is an isomorphism, everything is trivial. Otherwise, \( \eta \) is the blow-up of finitely many points, so \( \eta \) restricts to an isomorphism \( \hat{U} \xrightarrow{\cong} U \), where \( U \subset S \) is an open subset, \( \Omega = S \setminus U \) is a finite set and \( \hat{U} = \eta^{-1}(U) \). We denote by \( j \) the inclusion \( U \hookrightarrow S \).

Let \( \mathcal{E} \to \hat{S} \) be a rank 2 vector bundle such that \( \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \simeq \hat{X} \), and let \( \mathcal{E}_U \to \hat{U} \) be its restriction over \( U \). Then \( \mathcal{E}_U \to \hat{U} \) identifies with \( \eta_*\mathcal{E}_U \to U \), and we can consider the reflexive hull \( \mathcal{E}' = (j_*(\eta_*\mathcal{E}_U))^{\vee\vee} \) of the coherent sheaf \( j_*(\eta_*\mathcal{E}_U) \) on \( S \). By [Har80, Cor. 1.4], the reflexive sheaf \( \mathcal{E}' \) is locally free, and thus \( \mathcal{E}' \to \mathcal{E} \) is a rank 2 vector bundle that extends (uniquely) \( \eta_*\mathcal{E}_U \). Denoting \( X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}') \), we obtain a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( X \to S \) that extends (uniquely) the \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( \mathbb{P}(\eta_*\mathcal{E}_U) \to U \).

Since \( \eta : \hat{S} \to S \) is \( G \)-equivariant and the open subsets \( \hat{U} \) and \( U \) are \( G \)-stable, the \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( X \to S \) is \( G \)-equivariantly birational to \( X_U = \mathbb{P}(\eta_*\mathcal{E}_U) \to U \). It remains to apply Lemma 2.3.1 to see that the \( G \)-action on \( X_U \) extends to \( X \). \( \square \)

**Remark 2.3.3.** If \( \eta \) is the blow-up of a point \( p \in S \) and \( E = \eta^{-1}(p) \subset \hat{S} \) is the exceptional curve, the birational map \( \psi \) can be described as follows (according to [Mel02, 5.7.4, p. 700]), depending on the surface \( Z = \hat{\pi}^{-1}(E) \simeq \mathbb{P}^a \): if \( a = 0 \), then \( \psi \) is a birational morphism whose restriction to \( Z \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) is the "other projection"; if \( a > 0 \) then \( \psi \) is given by the anti-flip of the exceptional curve of \( Z \) followed by the contraction of the strict transform of \( Z \), isomorphic to the weighted projective plane \( \mathbb{P}(1,1,a) \), onto a smooth point.

2.4. **Hirzebruch surfaces.** In the following we will always use the following coordinates for Hirzebruch surfaces, which is the analogue of the standard coordinates for \( \mathbb{P}^2 = (\mathbb{A}^3 \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{G}_m \):

**Definition 2.4.1.** Let \( a \in \mathbb{Z} \). The \( a \)-th Hirzebruch surface \( \mathbb{F}_a \) is defined to be the quotient of \( (\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\})^2 \) by the action of \( (\mathbb{G}_m)^2 \) given by

\[
(G_m)^2 \times (\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\})^2 \to (\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\})^2
((\mu, \rho), (y_0, y_1, z_0, z_1)) \mapsto (\mu^{-a}y_0, \mu y_1, \rho z_0, \rho z_1)
\]

The class of \( [y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1] \) will be written \( [y_0 : y_1 ; z_0 : z_1] \). The projection

\[
\tau_a : \mathbb{F}_a \to \mathbb{P}^1
[y_0 : y_1 ; z_0 : z_1] \mapsto [z_0 : z_1]
\]

identifies \( \mathbb{F}_a \) with \( \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) \) as a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle over \( \mathbb{P}^1 \).

The disjoint sections \( s_{-a}, s_a \subset \mathbb{F}_a \) given by \( y_0 = 0 \) and \( y_1 = 0 \) have self-intersection \( -a \) and \( a \) respectively. The fibres \( f \subset \mathbb{F}_a \) given by \( z_0 = 0 \) and \( z_1 = 0 \) are linearly equivalent and of self-intersection \( 0 \). We moreover get \( \text{Pic}(\mathbb{F}_a) = \mathbb{Z}f \oplus \mathbb{Z}s_{-a} = \mathbb{Z}f \oplus \mathbb{Z}s_a \), since \( s_a \sim s_{-a} + af \).

**Remark 2.4.2.** The surface \( \mathbb{F}_a \) is naturally isomorphic to \( \mathbb{F}_{-a} \) via \( [y_0 : y_1 ; z_0 : z_1] \mapsto [y_1 : y_0 ; z_0 : z_1] \), so we will most of the time choose \( a \geq 0 \).
Let us recall the classical structure of the automorphism groups of Hirzebruch surfaces. The description of Definition 2.4.1 allows to present all automorphisms in a simple way. The fact that all automorphisms are of the following form is an easy exercise, using for instance the intersection form.

Remark 2.4.3. If $a = 0$, then $\mathbb{F}_a \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and the natural action of $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^2$ on $(\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\})^2$ yields a surjective group homomorphism $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^2 \to \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathbb{F}_a) \cong (\mathrm{PGL}_2)^2$. All automorphisms are then of the form

$$[y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1] \mapsto [\alpha y_0 + \beta y_1 : \gamma y_0 + \delta y_1 : \alpha' z_0 + \beta' z_1 : \gamma' z_0 + \delta' z_1].$$

The action of $\mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathbb{F}_0)$ on $\mathbb{F}_0$ is then homogeneous (one single orbit). Moreover, $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_0) = \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathbb{F}_0) \rtimes \langle \iota \rangle$, with $\iota: [y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1] \mapsto [z_0 : z_1 ; y_0 : y_1]$.

Remark 2.4.4. If $a \geq 1$, then the curve $s_{-a}$ given by $y_0 = 0$ is the unique section of negative self-intersection of $\mathbb{F}_a \to \mathbb{P}^1$ and is thus invariant. Denoting by $k[z_0, z_1]_a \subset k[z_0, z_1]$ the vector space of homogeneous polynomials of degree $a$, one get an action of $k[z_0, z_1]_a \rtimes \mathrm{GL}_2$ on $\mathbb{F}_a$ via

$$[y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1] \mapsto [y_0 : y_0 + y_1 p(z_0, z_1) ; \alpha z_0 + \beta z_1 : \gamma z_0 + \delta z_1],$$

which yields an exact sequence

$$1 \to \mu_a \to k[z_0, z_1]_a \rtimes \mathrm{GL}_2 \to \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathbb{F}_a) \to 1,$$

where $\mu_a \subset \mathrm{GL}_2$ is the cyclic group of homotheties $\alpha$ with $\alpha^a = 1$. Moreover, $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_a) = \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathbb{F}_a)$ acts on $\mathbb{F}_a$ with two orbits, namely $s_{-a}$ and its complement.

Remark 2.4.5. It follows from Remarks 2.4.3 and 2.4.4 that for each $a \geq 0$, the morphism $\tau_a: \mathbb{F}_a \to \mathbb{P}^1$ yields a surjective group homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathbb{F}_a) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1) \cong \mathrm{PGL}_2.$$

In particular, the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_a)$ acts transitively on the set of fibres of $\tau_a: \mathbb{F}_a \to \mathbb{P}^1$.

We also recall the following easy observation, that we will need in the following.

Lemma 2.4.6. Let $a \geq 0$, and let $\mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be a rank two vector bundle that fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-a) \to 0.$$

Then we have an isomorphism from $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ to $\mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ which sends the section corresponding to $\iota(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$ onto $s_{-a}$.

Proof. We trivialise $\mathcal{E}$ on the two open subsets of $\mathbb{P}^1$ given by $\{[1 : z] \mid z \in \mathbb{A}^1\}$ and $\{[z : 1] \mid z \in \mathbb{A}^1\}$, change coordinates so that $\iota(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$ corresponds to $x_0 = 0$ and get a transition

$$\mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{A}^1 \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{A}^1$$

$$((x_0, x_1), z) \quad \rightarrow \quad ([z^n x_0, x_1 + f(z)], \frac{1}{z^n})$$

for some $f \in k[z, z^{-1}]$. We can then compose at the source and target with some automorphisms of the form $((x_0, x_1), z) \mapsto ((x_0, y_1 + h_1(z)), z)$ for some polynomials $h_1, h_2 \in k[z]$. This replaces $f$ with $f + h_1(z) + h_2(\frac{1}{z^n})z^n$. Since $a \geq 0$, we can thus replace $f$ with $0$. The projectivisation of $\mathcal{E}$ is then isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_a$, by sending $([x_0 : x_1], z) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1$ onto $[x_0 : x_1 ; 1 : z]$ and $[x_0 : x_1 ; z : 1] = [x_0 z^n : x_1 ; 1 : \frac{1}{z^n}]$, on both charts. \qed
3. \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles over Hirzebruch surfaces

Most of the results in \( \S 3 \) are valid over an algebraically closed field \( k \) of arbitrary characteristic. More precisely, \( \S 3.1-3.3 \) are valid in arbitrary characteristic, the results in \( \S 3.4 \) are all valid in arbitrary characteristic but the proof of Corollary 3.4.6 that we give is shortened a bit by using the characteristic zero assumption (see Remark 3.4.7). \( \S 3.5 \) and \( \S 3.6 \) are also valid in arbitrary characteristic, except Lemma 3.5.5 (3) which fails in positive characteristic (see Remark 3.5.6), but in \( \S 3.7 \) we must assume that the ground field \( k \) has characteristic zero for Lemma 3.7.2 and Proposition 3.7.4 to be true (see Remarks 3.7.3 and 3.7.5).

3.1. Decomposable \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles over Hirzebruch surfaces. Similarly as for Hirzebruch surfaces \( F_a \) (Definition 2.4.1), one can give global coordinates on decomposable \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles over \( F_a \).

**Definition 3.1.1.** Let \( a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z} \). We define \( \mathcal{F}^{b,c}_a \) to be the quotient of \( (\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\})^3 \) by the action of \( (\mathbb{G}_m)^3 \) given by
\[
(\mathbb{G}_m)^3 \times (\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\})^3 \to (\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\})^3
\]
\[
((\lambda, \mu, \rho), (x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1, z_0, z_1)) \mapsto (\lambda \mu^{-b} x_0, \lambda \rho^{-c} x_1, \mu \rho^{-a} y_0, \mu y_1, \rho z_0, \rho z_1)
\]
The class of \( (x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1, z_0, z_1) \) will be written \([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1]\). The projection \( \mathcal{F}^{b,c}_a \to F_a \), \([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1] \mapsto [y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1]\) identifies \( \mathcal{F}^{b,c}_a \) with
\[
\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{F_a}(bs_a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{F_a}(cf)) = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{F_a} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{F_a}(-bs_a + cf))
\]
as a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle over \( F_a \), where \( s_a, f \subset F_a \) are given by \( y_1 = 0 \) and \( z_1 = 0 \).

Moreover, every fibre of the composed morphism \( \mathcal{F}^{b,c}_a \to F_a \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) given by the \( z \)-projection is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{F}_a \) and the restriction of \( \mathcal{F}^{b,c}_a \) on the curves \( s_{-a} \) and \( s_a \) given by \( y_0 = 0 \) and \( y_1 = 0 \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{F}_c \) and \( \mathbb{F}_{c-ab} \).

As for Hirzebruch surfaces, one can reduce to the case \( a \geq 0 \), without changing the isomorphism class, by exchanging \( y_0 \) and \( y_1 \). We then observe that the exchange of \( x_0 \) and \( x_1 \) yields an isomorphism \( \mathcal{F}^{b,c}_a \cong \mathcal{F}^{a-b,-c}_a \). We will then assume most of the time \( a, b \geq 0 \) in the following. If \( b = 0 \), we can moreover assume \( c \leq 0 \).

**Remark 3.1.2.** Every decomposable \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle over \( F_a \) is isomorphic to \( \mathcal{F}^{b,c}_a \to F_a \) for some \( b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, b \geq 0 \). We can moreover assume that \( b \geq 0 \), and that \( c \leq 0 \) if \( b = 0 \), since \( \mathcal{F}^{b,c}_a \cong \mathcal{F}^{a-b,-c}_a \).

The \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( \mathcal{F}^{b,c}_a \to F_a \) has numerical invariants \((a, b, c)\) (see Definition 1.4.1). As we will see later (Remark 3.3.2), these numerical invariants are indeed invariant under isomorphism. This will show that the isomorphism classes of decomposable \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles over Hirzebruch surfaces are parametrised by these invariants.

**Remark 3.1.3.** All decomposable \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles \( \mathcal{F}^{b,c}_a \) are toric varieties, with an action given by \([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1] \mapsto [x_0 : \alpha x_1 : \beta y_0 : \gamma z_0 : z_1]\).

**Remark 3.1.4.** We have two open embeddings
\[
\mathbb{P}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{b,c}_a
\]
\[
([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1], z) \mapsto ([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1 : z_1] \mapsto ([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1 : z_1])
\]
\[
([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1], z) \mapsto ([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1 : z : 1])
\]
over which the $\mathbb{P}_b$-bundle $\mathcal{F}^{b,c}_a \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is trivial, with a transition function

$$(x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1 : z) \mapsto ([x_0 : x_1 z^c ; y_0 z^a : y_1], \frac{1}{z}).$$

**Lemma 3.1.5.** Let $a, b \geq 0, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. The morphism $\pi : \mathcal{F}^{b,c}_a \to \mathbb{P}_a$ yields a surjective group homomorphism

$$\rho : \text{Aut}^c(\mathcal{F}^{b,c}_a) \to \text{Aut}^c(\mathbb{P}_a).$$

**Proof.** The existence of $\rho$ is given by Lemma 2.1.1. The fact that it is surjective can be seen by observing that every automorphism $g \in \text{Aut}^c(\mathbb{P}_a)$ comes from an automorphism of $(\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\})^2$ (Remarks 2.4.3 and 2.4.4), so we can extend the action to $(\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\})^2$ and then $\mathcal{F}^{b,c}_a$ by doing nothing on $x_0, x_1$. \qed

**Remark 3.1.6.** For each $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we denote by $k[y_0, y_1, z_0, z_1]_{i,j} \subset k[y_0, y_1, z_0, z_1]$ the space of homogeneous polynomials of bidegree $(i, j)$, where the variables $y_0, y_1, z_0, z_1$ are of bidegree $(1, -a), (1, 0), (0, 1), (0, 1)$. The group of automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}_1$-bundle of $\mathcal{F}^{b,c}_a$ identifies with the (connected) group

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} P_{1,0,0} \\ P_{3,b,c} \\ P_{4,0,0} \end{array} \right\} \in \text{PGL}_2(k[y_0, y_1, z_0, z_1]) \quad \text{for } k = 1, \ldots, 4.$$ 

whose action on $\mathcal{F}^{b,c}_a$ is as follows:

$$[x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1] \mapsto [x_0 p_1 + x_1 p_2 : x_0 p_3 + x_1 p_4 : y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1].$$

This can be seen directly from the global description of $\mathcal{F}^{b,c}_a$ in Definition 3.1.1, and by using trivialisations on open subsets isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^2$.

### 3.2. Removal of jumping fibres.

Removing a fibre into a Hirzebruch surface, we get an open subset isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. It is then natural to study the $\mathbb{P}_1$-bundles over $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$, in order to get a local description of the $\mathbb{P}_1$-bundles over Hirzebruch surfaces.

**Lemma 3.2.1.** Let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ be a $\mathbb{P}_1$-bundle and let $\tau : \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_1 \to \mathbb{A}^1$ be the first projection. Then, there exist an integer $b \geq 0$ and a dense open subset $U \subset \mathbb{A}^1$ (both uniquely determined by $\pi$) such that the following hold:

1. The generic fibre of the morphism $\tau \pi : X \to \mathbb{A}^1$ is isomorphic to the Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_b$.
2. There exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\tau \pi^{-1}(U) & \cong & U \times \mathbb{F}_b \\
\pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{pr}_1 \times \tau_b \\
U \times \mathbb{P}^1 & \to & \text{pr}_1 \times \tau_b
\end{array}$$

where $\text{pr}_1 \times \tau_b$ sends $(u, x)$ on $(u, \tau_b(x))$ and $\tau_b : \mathbb{F}_b \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is the standard $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle.
3. For each $p \in \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus U$, the fibre $(\tau \pi)^{-1}(p)$ is isomorphic to the Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_{b+2\epsilon}$, for some positive integer $\epsilon$.
4. For each $p \in \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus U$, we can blow-up the exceptional section of $(\tau \pi)^{-1}(p)$ and contract the strict transform of $(\tau \pi)^{-1}(p)$; this replaces $X$ with another $\mathbb{P}_1$-bundle $X' \to \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{A}^1$ as above, with a new open subset $U'$ which is either equal to $U$ or to $U \cup \{p\}$. After finitely many such steps, we get the case where $U' = \mathbb{A}^1$, corresponding to a trivial $\mathbb{F}_b$-bundle.
Proof. We choose two open subsets of $V_0, V_1 \subset \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^2$ via

$$i_0: \mathbb{A}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} V_0 \subset \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \quad i_1: \mathbb{A}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} V_1 \subset \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$$

$$((x,y), [u: v]) \rightarrow (x, [1 : y]), \quad (x,y) \rightarrow (x, [y : 1]).$$

The restriction of $\pi$ to the open subsets $V_0, V_1$ yield $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles on $\mathbb{A}^2$, which are then trivial. This gives the existence of isomorphisms $\pi^{-1}(V_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, for $i = 0, 1$, such that $i_i \pi \varphi_i = \pi$, where $\pi: \mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ is the first projection. The isomorphisms $\varphi_i$ are uniquely determined, up to composing at the target and the source by elements of $\text{PGL}(\mathbb{A}^2)$, for $i = 0, 1$. Writing $\varphi_0 = \varphi_1 \varphi_0 \varphi_1^{-1}$ as

$$((x,y), [u: v]) \mapsto ((x, y^{-1}), [\alpha_{11}(x,y)u + \alpha_{12}(x,y)v : \alpha_{21}(x,y)u + \alpha_{22}(x,y)v]),$$

where $A = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} \\ \alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} \end{bmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(k[x,y^{-1}])$. Note that the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\pi$ is determined by the equivalence class of $A$ modulo $A \sim \lambda M A'$, where $\lambda \in k[x,y,y^{-1}] = k[y^2]$, $M \in \text{GL}_2(k[x,y^{-1}])$, $A' \in \text{GL}_2(k[x,y])$. In particular, we can multiply $A$ with an element of $k^*$ and assume that $\det(A) \in y^2$.

Working over the field $k(x)$, we get a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1_{k(x)}$, which is therefore isomorphic to a Hirzebruch surface $F_B$, $b \geq 0$ (this follows from the fact that a vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1$ is decomposable over any field). This yields two matrices $B \in \text{GL}_2(k(x)[y^{-1}]), C \in \text{GL}_2(k(x)[y])$ such that

$$B^{-1}AC = D = \begin{bmatrix} y^m & 0 \\ 0 & y^n \end{bmatrix}$$

for some integers $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m - n = b$, and yields (1). Since $\det(B), \det(C) \in k(x)$ and $\det(D) \in y^2$ we get $\det(A) = \det(D) = y^{m+n}$, so $\det(B) = \det(C) \in k_x$.

Writing the equality $AC = BD$, we can multiply both $B$ and $C$ with the same element of $k(x)^*$ and assume that $B \in \text{GL}_2(k[x,y^{-1}]), C \in \text{GL}_2(k[x,y])$ and that $(B(\lambda), C(\lambda)) \neq (0,0)$ for each $\lambda \in k$, where $B(\lambda), C(\lambda) \in \text{Mat}_{2,2}(k(y))$ are obtained by replacing $x$ with $\lambda$ in $B, C$.

We denote by $Z \subset \mathbb{A}^1$ the zero set of $\det(B) = \det(C) \in k[x]$. If $Z = \emptyset$, then $\tau \pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ is a trivial $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle and the proof is over. We can thus prove the result by induction on the degree of the polynomial $\det(B)$.

Suppose that $\lambda \in Z$ is such that the fibre $(\tau \pi)^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a Hirzebruch surface $F_{\tilde{b}}$. Hence, $A(\lambda)$ corresponds to the transition function of $F_{\tilde{b}}$, which means that

$$\tilde{B}^{-1}A(\lambda)\tilde{C} = \begin{bmatrix} y^{\tilde{m}} & 0 \\ 0 & y^{\tilde{n}} \end{bmatrix}$$

with $\tilde{B} \in \text{GL}_2(k[y^{-1}]), \tilde{C} \in \text{GL}_2(k[y]), \tilde{m}, \tilde{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\tilde{m} - \tilde{n} = \tilde{b} \geq 0$. Computing the determinant yields $m + n = \tilde{m} + \tilde{n}$. Writing $\epsilon = \tilde{m} - m = n - \tilde{n}$, we then get $\tilde{b} - b = (\tilde{m} - \tilde{n}) - (m - n) = 2\epsilon$. Replacing $A, B, C$ with $\tilde{B}^{-1}AC$, $\tilde{B}^{-1}B$, $\tilde{C}^{-1}C$, we keep the equation $AC = BD$, do not change the degree of $\det(B) = \det(C)$ or the set $Z$, and can then assume that

$$A(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} y^m & 0 \\ 0 & y^n \end{bmatrix}. \quad \text{Writing } B(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{11} & \beta_{12} \\ \beta_{21} & \beta_{22} \end{bmatrix} \text{ yields}

$$

$$C(\lambda) = A(\lambda)^{-1}B(\lambda)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{11}y^{-\epsilon} & \beta_{12}y^{-2\epsilon} \\ \beta_{21}y^{\epsilon} & \beta_{22}y^{-2\epsilon} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{11}y^{-\epsilon} & \beta_{12}y^{-2\epsilon} \\ \beta_{21}y^{\epsilon} & \beta_{22}y^{-2\epsilon} \end{bmatrix}.$$. 
(a) If the first column of $B(\lambda)$ is zero, then so is the first column of $C(\lambda)$. Writing $\Delta = \begin{bmatrix} x - \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, we get $B = B'\Delta$ and $C = C'\Delta$ for some $B', C' \in \text{Mat}_{2,2}(k[x, y, y^{-1}])$. Replacing $B$ and $C$ with $B'$ and $C'$ does not change the equation $AC = BD$, since $D$ commutes with $\Delta$, and decreases the degree of $\det(B)$.

A similar argument works if the second column of $B$ is zero.

(b) If $\epsilon < 0$, then $-b - \epsilon = \epsilon - \tilde{b} < 0$, so the second column of $B(\lambda)$ and $C(\lambda)$ is zero, since $B(\lambda) \in \text{Mat}_{2,2}(k[y^{-1}]), C(\lambda) \in \text{Mat}_{2,2}(k[y])$, we then apply (a).

(c) If $\epsilon = b = 0$ then $B(\lambda) = C(\lambda) \in \text{Mat}_{2,2}$. There exists thus $R \in \text{GL}_2$ such that the first column of $B(\lambda)R$ is zero. We can replace $B, C$ with $BR, CR$, since $R$ commutes with $D = y^n \cdot I = y^n \cdot I$, and reduce to case (a).

(d) If $\epsilon = 0$ and $b > 0$, then $\beta_{12} = 0$ and $\beta_{22} \in k$. If $\beta_{22} = 0$, we do as above. If $\beta_{22} \neq 0$, we get $\beta_{11} = 0$ since $\det(B(\lambda)) = 0$, hence $B(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \beta_{21} & \beta_{22} \end{bmatrix}$, so the first column of $B(\lambda) \cdot R$ is zero, with $R = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{22} & 0 \\ -\beta_{21} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(k[y^{-1}])$. Writing $R' = D^{-1}RD = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{22} & 0 \\ -\beta_{21} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in GL_2(k[y])$, we can replace $B, C$ with $BR$ and $CR'$, and get the case (a).

(e) The last case is when $\epsilon > 0$, which implies that $\tilde{b} = b + 2\epsilon \geq b + 2 \geq 2$ and that $\beta_{11} = \beta_{12} = 0$.

After applying the steps above, we can assume that all elements of $Z$ give rise to case (e). Writing $U = A^1 \setminus Z$, this yields (2)-(3).

It remains to show (4), by studying more carefully case (e). Note that the fibre $x = \lambda$ corresponds to the Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_b$, $\tilde{b} \geq 2$, with a special section corresponds to $u = 0$ in the charts $\mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. The blow-up of the exceptional section, followed by the contraction of the strict transform of the surface $\mathbb{F}_b$, corresponds locally to

$$
\mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \\
((x, y), [u : v]) \mapsto ((x, y), [u : (x - \lambda)v]).
$$

This replaces the transition matrix $A$ with $A' = \Delta^{-1}A\Delta$, where $\Delta = \begin{bmatrix} x - \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$:

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} \\ \alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad A' = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \frac{\alpha_{12}}{x - \lambda} \\ (x - \lambda)\alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} \end{bmatrix}
$$

Note that the new transition $A'$ still belongs to $\text{GL}_2(k[x, y, y^{-1}])$ since $A(\lambda)$ is diagonal, which implies that $\alpha_{12}$ and $\alpha_{21}$ are multiples of $x - \lambda$.

Moreover, the first line of $B(\lambda)$ and $C(\lambda)$ is zero, so we can write $B = \Delta B', C = \Delta C'$ for some $B', C' \in \text{Mat}_{2,2}(k[x, y, y^{-1}])$. The blow-up replaces $A$ with $A'$ and we can replace $B, C$ with $B', C'$, since $A'C' = (\Delta^{-1}A\Delta)(\Delta^{-1}C) = \Delta^{-1}BD = B'D$. This process decreases the degree of $\det(B) = \det(C)$, we get a trivial $\mathbb{F}_b$-bundle after finitely many steps.

As a consequence of Lemma 3.2.1, we get the following result.

**Proposition 3.2.2** (Removal of jumping fibres). Let $a \geq 0$, let $\pi: X \to \mathbb{F}_a$ be a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle. There is an integer $b \geq 0$ and a dense open subset of $U \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $(\tau_a \pi)^{-1}(p)$ is a Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_b$ for each $p \in U$. Moreover, we have:
(1) If $U = \mathbb{P}^1$, then $\tau_a \pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is a $\mathbb{F}_b$-bundle which is trivial on every affine open subset of $\mathbb{F}_a$. In this case we say that $\eta$ has no jumping fibre.

(2) If one fibre $(\tau_a \pi)^{-1}(\{p\})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_c$ for some $c \neq b$, then $c - b$ is a positive even integer (we say that $\tau_a^{-1}(\{p\})$ is a jumping fibre), and the blow-up of the (unique) exceptional section of $\mathbb{F}_c$ followed by the contraction of the strict transform of $\mathbb{F}_c$ gives an $\text{Aut}^0(X)$-equivariant birational map $X \dasharrow X'$ to another $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over $\mathbb{F}_a$. After finitely many such steps, one gets case (1).

Proof. For each $p \in \mathbb{P}^1$, we have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathbb{F}_a \setminus \tau_a^{-1}(\{p\}) \\
pr_1 \downarrow & & \tau_a \downarrow \\
\mathbb{A}^1 & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{p\}
\end{array}
$$

We can thus apply Lemma 3.2.1 on each affine subset $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{p\}$ and get the result. \qed

Another consequence of Lemma 3.2.1 is the following description.

**Corollary 3.2.3.** Let $\pi : X \to S = \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ be a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle, let $\tau : S \to \mathbb{A}^1$ be the first projection and let $b \geq 0$. The following conditions are equivalent.

(1) The fibre $(\tau \pi)^{-1}(\{p\})$ is a Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_b$ for each $p \in \mathbb{A}^1$.

(2) There exists a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{F}_b \\
\pi \downarrow & & \text{pr}_1 \times \tau_b \\
S = \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1
\end{array}
$$

where $\text{pr}_1 \times \tau_b$ sends $(u, x)$ on $(u, \tau_b(x))$.

(3) The $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $X \to S$ is the projectivisation of a rank-two vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ which fits in a short exact sequence

$$
0 \to \mathcal{O}_S \to \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{O}_S(-bs) \to 0,
$$

where $s$ is a fibre of $\text{pr}_2 : S \to \mathbb{P}^1$ (which satisfies $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbb{Z}s$).

Proof. The implication $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ is given by Proposition 3.2.2(1). To get $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$, we observe that $(2)$ yields an isomorphism between the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles $X \to \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b)) \to \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. To get $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$, we restrict the exact sequence to each fibre of $\tau : S \to \mathbb{A}^1$ and apply Lemma 2.4.6. \qed

### 3.3. Moduli spaces of $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles over $\mathbb{F}_a$ with no jumping fibre.

The following proposition associates to every $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over a Hirzebruch surface with no jumping fibre three unique invariants $(a, b, c)$, called numerical invariants in Definition 1.4.1. The integer $a$ is given by the Hirzebruch surface over which the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle is taken. The integer $b$ is given by the generic fibre of the projection to $\mathbb{P}^1$, which is a Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_b$. The last integer $c$ can be seen using exact sequences or using transition functions, as the following result explains.

**Proposition 3.3.1.** Let $a \geq 0$ and let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{F}_a$ be a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle.

(1) For all integers $b \geq 0, c \in \mathbb{Z}$, the following are equivalent.
(i) $X$ is the gluing of two copies of $\mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1$ along $\mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ by the automorphism $\nu_{c, P} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\})$ given by 

$$\nu_{c, P} : ([x_0 : x_1 ; y_0 : y_1 ; z], \lambda) \mapsto ([x_0 : x_1 z^c + x_0 P(y_0, y_1, z) ; y_0 z^a : y_1], \lambda)$$

for some $P \in k[y_0, y_1, z, \frac{1}{z}]$, homogeneous of degree $b$ in $y_0, y_1$, such that $\pi : X \to \mathbb{F}_a$ sends $([x_0 : x_1 ; y_0 : y_1 ; z]) \in \mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1$ onto respectively $[y_0 : y_1 ; 1 : z] \in \mathbb{F}_a$ and $[y_0 : y_1 ; z : 1] \in \mathbb{F}_a$ on the two charts.

(ii) $\pi : X \to \mathbb{F}_a$ is the projectivisation of a rank two vector bundle $E$ which fits in a short exact sequence 

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_a} \to E \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_a}(-bs_a + cf) \to 0$$

where $f, s_a \subset \mathbb{F}_a$ are given by $y_1 = 0$ and $z_1 = 0$.

(2) If there exists $b > 0$ such that the preimage of each fibre of the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\tau_a : \mathbb{F}_a \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_b$ (no jumping fibre), then there is an integer $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the above properties are satisfied. If $b > 0$, the integer $c$ is unique. If $b = 0$, then $|c|$ is unique and $\pi : X \to \mathbb{F}_a$ is isomorphic to the decomposable bundles $\mathcal{F}_a^0 \to \mathbb{F}_a$ and $\mathcal{F}_a^0 \to \mathbb{F}_a$.

Proof. We first prove (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). The section $x_0 = 0$ being invariant by the transition function, one can see $X$ as the projectivisation of a rank two vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ which fits in a short exact sequence 

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_a} \to E \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_a}(\beta s_a + \gamma f) \to 0,$$

for some integers $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$. To compute these numbers, we take the two open subsets $U_0, U_1 \subset \mathbb{F}_a$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^2$ via 

$$\theta_0 : \mathbb{A}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} U_1 \subset \mathbb{F}_a \quad \theta_1 : \mathbb{A}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} U_2 \subset \mathbb{F}_a$$

$$(y, z) \mapsto [1 : y : 1 : z] \quad (y, z) \mapsto [y : 1 : z : 1].$$

and observe that the vector bundle has a transition function of the form 

$$(x_0, x_1, y, z) \mapsto \left( y^b z^{-c} x_0, x_1 + x_0 P(1, y, z) z^{-c}, \frac{z^a}{y}, \frac{1}{z} \right),$$

which yields $\beta = -b$ and $\gamma = c$.

We then prove (2). It follows from Proposition 3.2.2 that the pull-back on $X$ of the two open subsets $V_0, V_1 \subset \mathbb{F}_a$ given by 

$$\theta_0 : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} V_0 \subset \mathbb{F}_a \quad \theta_1 : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} V_1 \subset \mathbb{F}_a$$

$$(y_0 : y_1, z) \mapsto [y_0 : y_1 : 1 : z] \quad ([y_0 : y_1], z) \mapsto [y_0 : y_1 : z : 1].$$

are isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{F}_b$. The transition function on $\mathbb{F}_a$ being given by $([y_0 : y_1], z) \mapsto ([z^a y_0 : y_1], \frac{1}{z})$, we get a transition function $\psi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\})$ of the form 

$$\mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1 \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1$$

$$(x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1, z) \mapsto ([f_0(x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1, z) : f_1(x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1, z); z^a y_0 : y_1], \frac{1}{z}).$$

for some $f_0, f_1 \in k[x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1][z, \frac{1}{z}]$. The isomorphism class of $\pi : X \to \mathbb{F}_a$ (as in Definition 1.2.1) is then determined by $\psi$, up to composition at the source and target by automorphisms of the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1$.

If $b = 0$, then $\psi$ is of the form $([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1, z]) \mapsto ([\alpha(z) x_0 + \beta(z) x_1 ; \gamma(z) x_0 + \delta(z) x_1 ; z^a y_0 ; y_1], \frac{1}{z})$ where $A = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha(z) & \beta(z) \\ \gamma(z) & \delta(z) \end{bmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(k[z, z^{-1}])$. The isomorphism class of $\pi : X \to \mathbb{F}_a$ is then given by the matrix $A$, up to replacing $A$ with $\lambda B A C$, where $B \in \text{GL}_2(k[z^{-1}]), C \in \text{GL}_2(k[z])$ and $\lambda \in k^*$. The class of $A$ modulo this
replacement corresponds to a vector bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$, which is therefore equivalent to a decomposable one, with a diagonal matrix $A$. We then get an integer $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, unique up to sign, such that $\psi$ is of the form

$$
\psi: ([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1], z) \mapsto ([x_0 : x_1 z^c : y_0 z^a : y_1], \frac{1}{b}).
$$

This shows that $X \to F_a$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}^{b,c}_{a} = \mathcal{F}^{0,c}_{a} \to F_a$ (see Remark 3.1.4) and also to $\mathcal{F}^{0,-c}_{a} \to F_a$.

If $b > 0$, then $\psi$ is of the form $([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1], x) \mapsto ([x_0 : \mu(z)x_1 + x_0P(y_0, y_1, z); z^a y_0 : y_1], \frac{1}{b})$ where $\mu(z) \in k[z, \frac{1}{z}]^* = k^* \cdot z^\mathbb{Z}$ and $P(y_0, y_1, z)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $b$ in $y_0, y_1$, with coefficients in $k[z, \frac{1}{z}]$. Applying a diagonal automorphism at the target, we can assume that $\mu(z) = z^c$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$. We then observe that $c$ is unique, since the transition function is determined up to automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1$ at the target and the source, which do not change $c$.

It remains to prove $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$. The inclusion $\mathcal{O}_{F_a} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ corresponds to a section of $X \to F_a$. We restrict the exact sequence to a fibre $f$ and get $0 \to \mathcal{O}_f \to \mathcal{E}_f \to \mathcal{O}_f(-b) \to 0$. The corresponding section then needs to be the exceptional section of $\mathbb{F}_b$, unique if and only if $b > 0$ (Lemma 2.4.6). The preimage of each fibre of the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\tau_a: F_a \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is then isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_b$ (no jumping fibre). We then apply (2) and get (i) for some unique integer $c'$. The calculation made in $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ implies that $c = c'$, since the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_{F_a} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ corresponds to the section $x_0 = 0$. \hfill $\Box$

**Remark 3.3.2.** Proposition 3.3.1 shows that two $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles with different numerical invariants (see Definition 1.4.1) are not isomorphic. The numerical invariants defined in Definition 1.4.1, which correspond to the integers $(a, b, c)$ of Proposition 3.3.1 (where $c \leq 0$ when $b = 0$) are then really invariant under isomorphisms.

In particular, $\mathcal{F}^{b,c}_{a} \to F_a$ is the unique isomorphism class of decomposable $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle with invariants $(a, b, c)$ (follows from Remark 3.1.2).

As a direct consequence of Proposition 3.3.1, we obtain the following corollary (which is well-known over the field of complex numbers; see e.g. [ABM12, § 2.2]).

**Corollary 3.3.3.** Let $a, b \geq 0$ and let $\mathcal{E}$ be a rank two vector bundle over $\mathbb{F}_a$. Then the following are equivalent.

1. There exists an exact sequence

$$
0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_a}(ds_{-a} + rf) \to \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_a}(d's_{-a} + r'f) \to 0,
$$

for some integers $d, d', r, r'$ such that $b = d - d'$.

2. The preimage by $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \to \mathbb{F}_a$ of each fibre of the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\tau_a: F_a \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_b$.

Moreover, the extension in (1) is unique if $b > 0$.

**Proof.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): The inclusion $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_a}(ds_{-a} + rf) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ corresponds to a section of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \to \mathbb{F}_a$. We restrict the exact sequence to a fibre $f$ and get $0 \to \mathcal{O}_f(d) \to \mathcal{E}_f \to \mathcal{O}_f(d') \to 0$, which yields the same $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle as $0 \to \mathcal{O}_f \to \mathcal{E}_f \to \mathcal{O}_f(-b) \to 0$. The corresponding section then needs to be the exceptional section of $\mathbb{F}_b$ (Lemma 2.4.6), which is unique if $b > 0$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Follows from Proposition 3.3.1(2). \hfill $\Box$

**Notation 3.3.4.** Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $a, b \geq 0$ and $c \leq 0$ if $b = 0$. For each

$$
P \in k[y_0, y_1, z, \frac{1}{z}]_b = \{ f \in k[y_0, y_1, z, \frac{1}{z}] \text{ homogeneous of degree } b \text{ in } y_0, y_1 \},$$

we denote by $Z_h^{b,c} \to \mathbb{F}_a$ the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle given by the gluing of two copies of $\mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1$ along $\mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ by the automorphism $\nu_{c,P} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\})$ given by $\nu_{c,P} : ([x_0 : x_1 ; y_0 : y_1] , z) \mapsto ([x_0 : x_1 z^c + x_0 P(y_0 , y_1 ; z) ; y_0 z^a y_1 : y_1 \cdot z])$, such that $\pi : X \to \mathbb{F}_a$ sends $([x_0 : x_1 ; y_0 : y_1] , z) \in \mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1$ onto respectively $[y_0 : y_1 ; 1 : z] \in \mathbb{F}_a$ and $[y_0 : y_1 ; 1 : z] \in \mathbb{F}_a$ on the two charts respectively.

**Remark 3.3.5.** Proposition 3.3.1 shows that every $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over $\mathbb{F}_a$ with no jumping fibre is isomorphic to $Z_h^{b,c} \to \mathbb{F}_a$ for some $b,c \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $b \geq 0$ and $c \leq 0$ if $b = 0$, and some $P \in k[y_0 , y_1 , z , \frac{1}{z}]$, and that it has numerical invariants $(a,b,c)$ (see Definition 1.4.1). Moreover, $Z_h^{b,c,0} \to \mathbb{F}_a$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_a^{b,c}$ (Remark 3.1.4).

**Lemma 3.3.6.** Let $\pi : Z_h^{b,c} \to \mathbb{F}_a$ and $\pi' : Z_h^{b',c'} \to \mathbb{F}_a$ be two $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles as in Notation 3.3.4, with $b \geq 1$. Then the following are equivalent.

1. The $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles $\pi : Z_h^{b,c} \to \mathbb{F}_a$ and $\pi' : Z_h^{b',c'} \to \mathbb{F}_a$ are isomorphic.
2. We have $b' = b$, $c' = c$ and there exist $\lambda \in k^*$ and $Q_1, Q_2 \in k[y_0 , y_1 , z]$ homogeneous of degree $b$ in $y_0 , y_1$ such that

$$P' = \lambda P + Q_1(y_0 , y_1 , z)z^c + Q_2(y_0 z^a , y_1 , \frac{1}{z}).$$

**Proof.** If $b \neq b'$, then the two $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles are not isomorphic, since the preimages of the fibres of the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\tau_a : \mathbb{F}_a \to \mathbb{P}^1$ are not isomorphic. We can thus assume that $b' = b$.

The two $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles are obtained by gluing two copies of $\mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1$ over $\mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ by $\nu_{c,P} , \nu_{c',P'} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\})$ (see Notation 3.3.4). The $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles are thus isomorphic if and only if $\nu_{c',P'} = \alpha \nu_{c,P} \beta$ for some automorphisms $\alpha , \beta$ of the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1$. Since $b \geq 1$, such elements are of the form

$$\theta_{\lambda,Q} : \mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1$$

where $\lambda \in k^*$ and $Q \in k[y_0 , y_1 , z]$. The composition $\theta_{\lambda,Q} \circ \nu_{c,P} \theta_{\lambda',Q_1}$ yields

$$\mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$$

$$([x_0 : x_1 ; y_0 : y_1] , z) \mapsto ([x_0 : z^c \lambda_1 \lambda_2 x_1 + x_0 \tilde{P}(y_0 , y_1 , z) ; y_0 \cdot y_1 ; y_1 \cdot z]),$$

with $\tilde{P}(y_0 , y_1 , z) = \lambda_2 P(y_0 , y_1 , z) + \lambda_2 Q_1(y_0 , y_1 , z)z^c + Q_2(y_0 z^a , y_1 , \frac{1}{z})$.

To get a transition function of the form $\nu_{c',P'}$, we then need $c' = c$, $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = 1$.

From now on we write $k[z]_{\leq r} = \{ f \in k(z) \mid \deg(f) \leq r \} = k \oplus k z \oplus \cdots \oplus k z^r$.

**Corollary 3.3.7.** Let $a,b,c \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a,b \geq 0$, such that $c \leq 0$ if $b = 0$.

1. There is a unique isomorphism class of decomposable $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $X \to \mathbb{F}_a$ with numerical invariants $(a,b,c)$, represented by $\mathcal{F}_a^{b,c} \to \mathbb{F}_a$.
2. If $b = 0$ or $c \leq 1$ every $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $X \to \mathbb{F}_a$ with numerical invariants $(a,b,c)$ is decomposable, and thus isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_a^{b,c} \to \mathbb{F}_a$.
3. If $b \geq 1$ and $c \geq 2$, every $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle with numerical invariants $(a,b,c)$ is isomorphic to $Z_h^{b,c} \to \mathbb{F}_a$, where

$$P(y_0 , y_1 , z) = \sum_{i=0}^{b} y_0^i y_1^{b-i} P_i(z) z^{a_i + 1}$$

and $P_i(z) \in k[z]_{\leq c-2ai}$ (hence $P_i = 0$ if $c < ai + 2$), for $i = 0 , \ldots , b$.

The isomorphism class of the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle is determined by the class of $P$, up to scalar multiplication by an element of $k^*$. The $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle is decomposable if and only if $P = 0$. 
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Assertion (1) has already been proven (Remark 3.3.2). If \( b = 0 \), then Proposition 3.3.1 shows that every \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle over \( \mathbb{F}_a \) with numerical invariants \((a,b,c)\) is isomorphic to \( F_{a}^{b,c} = F_{a}^{0,c} \). We can thus assume that \( b \geq 1 \).

Proposition 3.3.1 shows that every \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( X \to \mathbb{F}_a \) with invariants \((a,b,c)\) is isomorphic to \( Z_a^{b,c,P} \to \mathbb{F}_a \) for some \( P \in k[y_0,y_1,z,\frac{1}{2}i] \). Lemma 3.3.6 shows that the isomorphism class is inside the set of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles with invariants \((a,b,c)\) and corresponds to an equivalence class on \( k[y_0,y_1,z,\frac{1}{2}i] \), where \( P, P' \) are equivalent if and only if \( P' = \lambda P + Q_1(y_0,y_1,z)z^c + Q_2(y_0z^a,y_1,\frac{1}{2}) \), for \( \lambda \in k^* \), \( Q_1, Q_2 \in k[y_0,y_1,z,\frac{1}{2}i] \). In particular, each equivalence class is given by an element

\[
P(y_0,y_1,z) = \sum_{i=0}^{b} y_0^i y_1^{b-i} P_i(z) z^{ai+1},
\]

where \( P_i(z) \in k[z] \) is of degree \( \leq c - ai - 2 \), for \( i = 0, \ldots, b \), and the element \( P \) is unique up to multiplication by \( \lambda \in k^* \). If \( c \leq 1 \), then \( P \) is zero, so every \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( X \to \mathbb{F}_a \) with numerical invariants \((a,b,c)\) is decomposable. This achieves the proof. \( \square \)

**Corollary 3.3.8.** Let \( a,b,c \in \mathbb{Z} \), with \( a \geq 0 \), \( b \geq 1 \) and \( c \geq 2 \).

The isomorphism classes of non-decomposable \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles \( X \to \mathbb{F}_a \) with numerical invariants \((a,b,c)\) are parametrised by the projective space

\[
M_{a}^{b,c} = \mathbb{P} \left( \bigoplus_{i=0}^{b} y_0^i y_1^{b-i} \cdot k[z]_{\leq c-2-ai} \right).
\]

**Proof.** Follows from Corollary 3.3.7(3). \( \square \)

**Remark 3.3.9.** We have \( M_{a}^{b,c} \cong \mathbb{P}^{d(1)(2(c-1)-ad)-1} \), where \( d \) is the biggest integer such that \( d \leq b \) and \( ad \leq c - 2 \) (and is thus equal to \( b \) if \( ab \leq c - 2 \)). Indeed, the dimension of the vector space \( k[z]_{\leq c-2-ai} \) is equal to \( c - 1 - ai \) if \( ai \leq c - 2 \) and to \( 0 \) if \( ai > c - 2 \). Hence, the dimension of \( \bigoplus_{i=0}^{b} y_0^i y_1^{b-i} \cdot k[z]_{\leq c-2-ai} \) is equal to \( \sum_{i=0}^{b}(c - 1 - ai) = \frac{1}{2}(d + 1)(2(c - 1) - ad) \).

### 3.4. Action of \( \text{Aut}^a(\mathbb{F}_a) \) on the moduli spaces \( M_{a}^{b,c} \)

If \( \pi: X \to \mathbb{F}_a \) is a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle with numerical invariants \((a,b,c)\), then so is \( g\pi: X \to \mathbb{F}_a \), for each \( g \in \text{Aut}^a(\mathbb{F}_a) \). This then gives a natural left-action of \( \text{Aut}^a(\mathbb{F}_a) \) on \( M_{a}^{b,c} \), that we describe in this section.

Since we have a group homomorphism \( \text{GL}_2 \to \text{Aut}^a(\mathbb{F}_a) \), (see §2.4), we get an action of \( \text{GL}_2 \) on \( M_{a}^{b,c} = \mathbb{P}(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{b} y_0^i y_1^{b-i} \cdot k[z]_{\leq c-2-ai}) \) (see Corollary 3.3.8). We will show that this \( \text{GL}_2 \)-action coincides with the following one.

**Definition 3.4.1.** Let \( r \geq 0 \) and let us equip \( V = k^2 \) with the standard left-action of \( \text{GL}_2 \). There is a unique left-action of \( \text{GL}_2 \) on \( k[z]_{\leq r} \) making the following map \( \text{GL}_2 \)-equivariant.

\[
\begin{align*}
V & \to k[z]_{\leq r} \\
(u,v) & \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{r} w^i v^{r-i} \cdot z^i.
\end{align*}
\]

**Remark 3.4.2.** Equipped with the \( \text{GL}_2 \)-action of Definition 3.4.1, the vector space \( k[z]_{\leq r} \) identifies with the \( r \)-th symmetric power of the standard representation of \( \text{GL}_2 \). In particular, \( k[z]_{\leq r} \) is an irreducible \( \text{GL}_2 \)-representation (as we assumed \( k \) to be of characteristic zero).

We first need the following observations on the action of \( \text{GL}_2 \) on \( k[z]_{\leq r} \) of Definition 3.4.1.
Lemma 3.4.3. Let \( r \geq 0 \), and let \( P \in k[z]_{\leq r} \).

1. If \( \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2 \), then \( \sigma(P) = P(\frac{1}{z}) \cdot z^r \).

2. If \( \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2 \), then \( \tilde{P} := \sigma(P) \in k[z]_{\leq r} \) is the unique polynomial that satisfies

\[
P(z) = \frac{\alpha}{\delta^r(\beta z + \alpha)} \cdot P\left(\frac{\delta z}{\beta z + \alpha}\right),
\]

where we identify \( k[z]_{\leq r} \) with \( k[z]/(z^{r+1}) \), and compute the above equality in this ring.

Proof. (1): The action of \( \sigma \) on \( V \) being \((u, v) \mapsto (v, u)\), the action on \( P \in k[z]_{\leq r} \) sends \( \sum_{i=0}^r a_i z^i \) onto \( \sum_{i=0}^r a_{r-i} z^i \), which is exactly \( P \mapsto P(\frac{1}{z})z^r \).

(2): We first observe that Equation \((*)\) uniquely determines \( \tilde{P} \) in term of \( P \), since \( \alpha, \delta \) and \( \beta z + \alpha \) are invertible in \( k[z]/(z^{r+1}) \) (because \( \alpha \delta \neq 0 \)). We then check that if Equation \((*)\) is true for \( P, Q \in k[z]_{\leq r} \), then it is true for all \( \mu P + \nu Q, \mu, \nu \in k \). We thus only need to check it for \( P = \sum_{i=0}^r u_i v^{r-i} \cdot z^i \), where \((u, v) \in k^2 \).

By definition of the action, we get \( \tilde{P} = \sigma(P) = \sum_{i=0}^r (\alpha u + \beta v)(\delta v)^{r-i} \cdot z^i \), which yields

\[
\frac{(\beta z + \alpha)^{r+1}}{\delta^{r+1}} \cdot P(z) = \sum_{i=0}^r u_i v^{r-i} z^i (\beta z + \alpha)^{r+1} = \frac{\alpha(\beta z + \alpha)^{r}}{\delta^{r+1}} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^r (\alpha u + \beta v)(\delta v)^{r-i} \cdot \left(\frac{\delta z}{\beta z + \alpha}\right)^i \sum_{i=0}^r (\alpha u + \beta v)^i (\delta z)^{r-i} (\beta z + \alpha)^{r-i} z^i
\]

Comparing the coefficients of \( z^p \) for \( p = 0, \ldots, r-1 \), we need to prove that

\[
\sum_{i=0}^p u_i v^{r-i} (r+1)! (\beta z + \alpha)^{r+1} a_{r+1-(p-i)} = \sum_{i=0}^p (\alpha u + \beta v)^i v^{r-i} (p-i)! (\beta z + \alpha)^{r+1-p},
\]

for each \( p = 0, \ldots, r-1 \). Comparing the coefficients of \( u^k v^p \) we get

\[
\binom{r+1}{p-k} \beta^{p-k} (\alpha z)^{r+1-(p-k)} = \sum_{k=0}^p \binom{p}{k} \binom{r+1}{p-k} \beta^{p-k} (\alpha z)^{r+1-p}
\]

so the result follows from the next combinatoric lemma. \(\square\)

Lemma 3.4.4. For all integers \( 0 \leq k \leq p \leq r \), we have

\[
\binom{r+1}{p-k} = \sum_{i=k}^p \binom{i}{i-k} \binom{r-i}{p-i}.
\]

Proof. The sides of the equations count the number of elements of the sets

\[
S = \{ C \subset \{0, \ldots, r\} \mid C \text{ contains } p-k \text{ elements} \},
\]

\[
S' = \left\{ (i, A, B) \mid i \in \{k, \ldots, p\}, A \subset \{0, \ldots, i-1\}, B \subset \{i+1, \ldots, r\}, A \text{ contains } i-k \text{ elements, } B \text{ contains } p-i \text{ elements} \right\},
\]

so it remains to prove that the map \( S' \to S, (i, A, B) \mapsto A \cup B \) is bijective. This corresponds to show that for each \( C \in S \), there exists a unique \( i \in \{k, \ldots, p\} \) \( \backslash C \) such that \( C \cap \{0, \ldots, i-1\} \) contains \( i-k \) elements. This is because the map

\[
\tau : \{k, \ldots, p\} \to \mathbb{N}, i \mapsto (i-k) - |C \cap \{0, \ldots, i-1\}|
\]

is nondecreasing, increasing outside \( C \) and satisfies \( \tau(k) \leq 0 \leq \tau(p) \). \(\square\)
Lemma 3.4.5. Let \( a \geq 0, b \geq 1, c \geq 2 \). Let \( \pi: Z^{b,c;P}_{a} \to \mathbb{F}_a \) be the \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle induced by \( P(y_0, y_1, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{b} y_i y_i^{b-i} P_i(z) z^{a+1}, P_i \in k[z]_{c-2-a} \) (Notation 3.3.4).

For each \( \varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_a) \), the \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( \varphi \pi \) is isomorphic to \( Z^{b,c;P}_{a} \to \mathbb{F}_a \) where\( \hat{P}(y_0, y_1, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{b} y_i y_i^{b-i} \hat{P}_i(z) z^{a+1} \) is given as follows.

1. If \( \varphi([y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1]) = [y_0 : y_1; \alpha z_0 + \beta z_1 : \gamma z_0 + \delta z_1] \), for some \( \sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2 \) then \( \hat{P}_i = \sigma(P_i) \) for each \( i \), where the action of \( \text{GL}_2 \) on the vector space \( k[z]_{c-2-a} \) is the one of Definition 3.4.1.

2. If \( \alpha = 0 \) and \( \varphi([y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1]) = [\alpha y_0 + \beta y_1; \gamma y_0 + \delta y_1 : z_0 : z_1] \), for some \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in k \) with \( \alpha \delta - \beta \gamma \neq 0 \), then \( \hat{P}(y_0, y_1, z) \) satisfies \( \hat{P}(y_0, y_1, z) = \hat{P}(\alpha y_0 + \beta y_1, \gamma y_0 + \delta y_1, z) \).

3. If \( a \geq 1 \) and \( \varphi([y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1]) = [y_0 : y_1 + R(z_0, z_1); y_0 : z_0 : z_1] \) for some \( R \in k[z_0, z_1] \), then \( \hat{P} \) is such that \( \hat{P}(y_0, y_1, z) = \hat{P}(y_0, y_1 + y_0 R(z, 1), z) \).

Proof. Recall that the transition function is given by \( \nu_{c,p} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}) \),

\[
\nu_{c,p} : ([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1, z] \mapsto ([x_0 : x_1 z^c + x_0 P(y_0, y_1, z); y_0 z^a : y_1, z])
\]

and that the morphism \( \pi: Z^{b,c;P}_{a} \to \mathbb{F}_a \) is given on the two charts by \( \tau_0, \tau_1: \mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1 \to \mathbb{F}_a \), which send \( ([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1, z]) \) respectively onto \( [y_0 : y_1 ; z : z] \) and \( \hat{\nu}_{c,p} \) compatible with the following commutative diagram:

(1): When \( \varphi([y_0 : y_1 ; z_0 : z_1]) = [y_0 : y_1 ; \alpha z_0 + \beta z_1 : \gamma z_0 + \delta z_1] \) for some \( \sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2 \), the action of \( \varphi \) on \( \mathbb{F}_a \) corresponds to

\[
[y_0 : y_1 ; 1 : z] \mapsto [y_0 : y_1 ; \alpha + \beta z : \gamma + \delta z] = [(\alpha + \beta z)^a y_0 : y_1 ; 1 : \frac{\gamma + \delta z}{\alpha + \beta z}]
\]

\[
[y_0 : y_1 ; z : 1] \mapsto [y_0 : y_1 ; \alpha z + \beta + \gamma + \delta] = [(\gamma + \delta)^a y_0 : y_1 ; \frac{\alpha z + \beta + \gamma + \delta}{\gamma + \delta}] : 1]
\]

on the two charts. To check that the action we gave on the moduli space is the right one, we only need to check it for generators of \( \text{GL}_2 \).

(i) We first do the case where \( \gamma = 0 \) (upper-triangular matrices). The second chart of \( \mathbb{F}_a \) is preserved and \( \varphi \) corresponds to

\[
[y_0 : y_1 ; 1 : z] \mapsto [y_0 : y_1 ; \alpha + \beta z ; \delta z] = [(\alpha + \beta z)^a y_0 : y_1 ; 1 : \frac{\delta z}{\alpha + \beta z}]
\]

\[
[y_0 : y_1 ; z : 1] \mapsto [y_0 : y_1 ; \alpha z + \beta ; \delta] = [\delta^a y_0 : y_1 ; \frac{\alpha z + \beta}{\delta}] : 1]
\]
on the two charts. It suffices then to choose
\[ \theta_1 : \mathbb{P}_b \times A^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_b \times A^1 \]
\[ ([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1], z) \rightarrow ([x_0 : x_1 : \delta x y_0 : y_1], \frac{\alpha x + \beta}{\delta}) \]
and to choose a transition function \( P'(y_0, y_1, z) \in k[y_0, y_1, z] \) such that \( \theta_0 = (\nu_{c, p'})^{-1} \theta_1 \nu_{c, p} \) is a local isomorphism at each point where \( z = 0 \). We compute that \( \theta_0([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1], z) \) is equal to
\[ \left( x_0 : \left( \frac{\beta z + \alpha}{\delta} \right)^c x_1 + \frac{x_0}{z^c} R(y_0, y_1, z) : \left( \frac{\beta z + \alpha}{\delta} \right)^a y_0 : y_1, \frac{\delta z}{\beta z + \alpha} \right) \]
where \( R(y_0, y_1, z) = P'(y_0, y_1, z) - P'(y_0, (\beta z + \alpha)^a, y_1, \frac{\delta z}{\beta z + \alpha}) \). We then only need to choose the transition function \( P'(y_0, y_1, z) \in k[y_0, y_1, z] \) so that \( \theta_0 \) is a local isomorphism at each point where \( z = 0 \), which corresponds to say that the valuation of \( z \) at \( R \) is at least \( c \). We will observe that such a \( P' \) exists in the equivalence class of \( \hat{P} \). Writing \( P'(y_0, y_1, z) = \sum_{i=0}^b y_0^i y_1^{b-i} P_i'(z) z^{a_i+1} \), we get
\[ P'(y_0 (\beta z + \alpha)^a, y_1, \frac{\delta z}{\beta z + \alpha}) = \sum_{i=0}^b y_0^i y_1^{b-i} \frac{\delta z}{\beta z + \alpha} P_i'(\frac{\delta z}{\beta z + \alpha}) z^{a_i+1} \]
and then we need to choose the \( P_i' \) such that \( \frac{\delta z}{\beta z + \alpha} P_i'(\frac{\delta z}{\beta z + \alpha}) = P_i(z) \) has valuation \( \geq c \) at \( z \). Since \( \beta z + \alpha \) is invertible in \( k[z]/(z^{c-i-1}) \), we find a unique solution in \( k[z]/(z^{c-i-1}) \), equal to \( \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \sigma(P_i) \) by Lemma 3.4.3(2).

(ii) It remains to consider the case where \( \sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \). The two charts are here exchanged, so it suffices to choose \( \nu_{c, p'} = (\nu_{c, p})^{-1} \), which yields \( P'(y_0, y_1, z) = -P'(y_0 z^a, y_1, \frac{1}{z}) z^c \), which is equivalent to
\[ P(y_0 z^a, y_1, \frac{1}{z}) z^c = \sum_{i=0}^b y_0^i y_1^{b-i} P_i(\frac{1}{z}) z^{a_i+1} z^c \]
\[ = \sum_{i=0}^b y_0^i y_1^{b-i} \sigma(P_i) z^{a_i+1} \]

Lemma 3.4.3(1)

(2) Suppose now that \( a = 0 \) and that \( \varphi(y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1) = [\alpha y_0 + \beta y_1 : \gamma y_0 + \delta y_1 : z_0 : z_1] \). Choosing \( \theta_1 = \theta_2 : ([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1], z) \rightarrow ([x_0 : x_1 : \alpha y_0 + \beta y_1 : \gamma y_0 + \delta y_1], z) \), we get \( \nu_{c, p'} \theta_1 = \theta_2 \nu_{c, p} \) with \( P(y_0, y_1, z) = \hat{P}(\alpha y_0 + \beta y_1, \gamma y_0 + \delta y_1, z) \).

(3) Suppose now that \( a > 0 \) and that \( \varphi(y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1) = [y_0 : y_1 + R(z_0, z_1), y_0 : z_0 : z_1] \) for some \( R \in k[z_0, z_1] \). We then choose \( \theta_1 : ([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1], z) \rightarrow ([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1 + R(z_1, y_0), z] \) and \( \theta_2 : ([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1], z) \rightarrow ([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1 + R(1, z_0), z] \), and get \( \nu_{c, p'} \theta_1 = \theta_2 \nu_{c, p} \) with \( P(y_0, y_1, z) = \hat{P}(y_0, y_1 + y_0 R(z_1), z) \).
Moreover, if \( b = c - 2 \), then \( \text{Hom}^{\text{GL}_2}((k[y_0, y_1])^*, k[z]_{\leq b}) = \{ \lambda f; \lambda \in k \} \) and the identity element corresponds to \( Z_b^{b+2,P} \), with
\[
P_i(z) = z_i \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, b.
\]

**Proof.** (1) and the first part of (2) follow from Corollary 3.3.8 and Lemma 3.4.5.

We now assume that \( b = c - 2 \) and define a non-degenerate bilinear form \( \phi \) as follows.
\[
\phi : k[y_0, y_1]_b \times k[z]_{\leq b} \rightarrow k
\]
\[
\left( \sum_{j=0}^{b} c_j y_0^j y_1^{b-j}, \sum_{i=0}^{b} d_i z_i^j \right) \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{b} c_id_i
\]

The map \( \phi \) is \( \text{GL}_2 \)-invariant. Indeed, by bilinearity, it suffices to check that for all \( g \in \text{GL}_2 \), for all \( j = 0, \ldots, b \), and for all \( (u, v) \in k^2 \) we have
\[
\phi \left( g \cdot y_0^j y_1^{b-j}, g \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{b} u_i v^{b-i} z_i \right) = \phi \left( y_0^j y_1^{b-j}, \sum_{i=0}^{b} u_i v^{b-i} z_i \right) = u^j v^{b-j}.
\]

This can be checked directly for \( g = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \) and \( g = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & \delta \end{bmatrix} \). Since these elements generate \( \text{GL}_2 \), the \( \text{GL}_2 \)-invariance of \( \phi \) follows.

Therefore the \( \text{GL}_2 \)-representations \( k[y_0, y_1]_b \) and \( k[z]_{\leq b} \) are dual to each other. Since they are both irreducible (consequence of Remark 3.4.2), it follows from Schur’s lemma that \( \text{Hom}^{\text{GL}_2}((k[y_0, y_1])^*, k[z]_{\leq b}) = \{ \lambda f; \lambda \in k \} \). Identifying \( k[z]_{\leq b} \) with \( k[y_0, y_1]_b \) via the map \( \phi \) above, we see that \( \{1, z, \ldots, z^b\} \) is the dual basis of \( \{y_0^1, y_0^2 y_1^{b-1}, \ldots, y_0^b\} \). Hence the identity element corresponds to \( \sum_{i=0}^{b} y_0^i y_1^{b-i} z_i \) as an element of \( k[y_0, y_1]_b \otimes k[z]_{\leq b} \), and so it corresponds to the \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( Z_b^{b+2,P} \) with \( P \) as in the statement of the corollary.

**Remark 3.4.7.** In the proof of Corollary 3.4.6 we use the fact that the ground field is of characteristic zero to say that the \( \text{GL}_2 \)-representations \( k[y_0, y_1]_b \) and \( k[z]_{\leq b} \) are irreducible. However, the statement of the corollary is true over any algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic (but a general proof is longer).

### 3.5. The \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles \( \hat{S}_b \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \)

We now study two families of non-decomposable \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles \( X \rightarrow F_0 \), in §3.5 and §3.6, which will play an important role in the following (see Proposition 3.7.4).

**Definition 3.5.1.** For each integer \( b \geq 1 \), we define \( \hat{S}_b \rightarrow F_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) to be the \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( Z_b^{b+2,P} \rightarrow F_0 \) where \( P = \sum_{i=0}^{b} y_0^i y_1^{b-i} P_i(z) \) and \( P_i(z) = z_i \).

**Remark 3.5.2.** The \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( \hat{S}_b \rightarrow F_0 \) naturally arises in Corollary 3.4.6(2), which explains why the image of \( \text{Aut}^\circ(\hat{S}_b) \rightarrow \text{Aut}^\circ(F_0) \) contains the diagonal group \( H_\Delta = \{(g, g) \mid g \in \text{PGL}_2\} \subset \text{PGL}_2 \times \text{PGL}_2 = \text{Aut}^\circ(F_0) \). Lemma 3.5.5 below explicit this, and shows that \( \text{Aut}^\circ(\hat{S}_b) \cong \text{PGL}_2 \).

**Remark 3.5.3.** The \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( \hat{S}_b \rightarrow F_0 \) has numerical invariants \( (0, b, b + 2) \), since it is equal to \( Z_b^{b+2,P} \) for some polynomial \( P \) (see Remark 3.3.5).

**Remark 3.5.4.** We will prove in Lemma 4.2.4, that the \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( \hat{S}_b \) of Definition 3.5.1 coincides with the lift of the Schwarzenberger bundle \( S_b \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \) of Definition 1.2.6.

**Lemma 3.5.5.** Let \( b \geq 1 \) be an integer, and let us denote by \( \pi, \pi' \) the \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles \( \pi : \hat{S}_b \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \), \( \pi' : F_0^{b+1,b+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \). Then, the following holds.
Proof. $z$ isomorphic to $\Delta$.

We now construct a birational map $\varphi: S_0 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ of the curve $F$ to the diagonal $\pi: \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ with the surface $x_0 = 0$ and contract the strict transform of $x_0$.

The same reason shows that the map $\epsilon: \hat{S}_0 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is given by

$[x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1] \mapsto [x_0 : x_1 : \alpha y_0 + \beta y_1 : \gamma y_0 + \delta y_1 : \alpha z_0 + \beta z_1 : \gamma z_0 + \delta z_1],$

the morphism $\eta$ the blow-up of the curve $C' \subset \mathcal{F}^{b+1,b+1}$ given by $\mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathcal{F}^{b+1,b+1}$, $[u : v] \mapsto [1 : 1 : u : v : u : v]$, and the morphism $\epsilon$ is the blow-up of the curve $C$.

Moreover, every automorphism of the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\hat{S}_0 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is trivial.

(3) The curve $C$ is the unique curve invariant by $\text{Aut}^\circ(\hat{S}_0)$.

We write $m = b + 1$. The fact that $(\circ)$ yields an action of $\text{PGL}_2$ on $\mathcal{F}_{0,m}$ follows from the fact that $[x_0 : x_1 : \lambda y_0 : \lambda y_1 : \lambda z_0 : \lambda z_1] = [\lambda^m x_0 : \lambda^m x_1 : \lambda^m y_0 : \lambda^m y_1 : \lambda z_0 : \lambda z_1]$ for each $\lambda \in k^*$. The same reason shows that the map $\mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathcal{F}_{0,m}$, $[u : v] \mapsto [1 : 1 : u : v : u : v]$ is a well-defined closed embedding. The image $C'$ is sent to the diagonal $\Delta \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and the action on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ (via the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\mathcal{F}_{0,m} \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$) is the diagonal action.

We now construct a birational map $\varphi: \mathcal{F}_{0,m} \dashrightarrow \hat{S}_{m-1} = \hat{S}_0$.

The two open subsets $U_0, U_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_{0,m}$ where $z_0 \neq 0$ and $z_1 \neq 0$ respectively, are isomorphic to $F_m \times A^1$, via

$\iota_0: \left( x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1, z \right) \mapsto [x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1 : 1 : z]$

$\iota_1: \left( x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1, z \right) \mapsto [x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1 : z : 1]$

The transition function $\theta' = \iota_1^{-1} \iota_0 \in \text{Bir}(F_m \times A^1)$ is then given by

$[x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1, z] \mapsto \left( x_0 : x_1 z^m : y_0 : y_1, \frac{1}{z} \right)$

and the curve $C'' \subset \mathcal{F}_{0,m}$ yields a section of $F_m \times A^1 \to A^1$, given by $A^1 \hookrightarrow F_m \times A^1$, $z \mapsto ([1 : 1 : 1 : z], z)$ and $z \mapsto ([1 : 1 : z : 1], z)$ respectively on the two charts. We can then blow-up $C$ and contract the strict transform of $\pi'^{-1}(\pi'(C''))$, and do it on the two charts via

$F_m \times A^1 \dashrightarrow F_0 \times A^1$

$\varphi_0: \left( [x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1], z \right) \mapsto \left( [x_0 : (y_0 z - y_1), x_1 - x_0 y_0^m : y_0 : y_1], z \right)$

$\varphi_1: \left( [x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1], z \right) \mapsto \left( [x_0 : (y_0 - y_1 z), x_1 - x_0 y_0^m : y_0 : y_1], z \right)$
Computing the transition function \( \theta = \varphi_0 \theta'(\varphi_1)^{-1} \in \operatorname{Bir}(F_b \times \mathbb{A}^1) \), we obtain

\[
([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1], z) \mapsto \left( [x_0 : x_1 z^{m+1} + x_0 z^{-1} y_0^m y_0^{-1} y_1^{-1} : y_0 : y_1], \frac{1}{z} \right),
\]

which is the transition function of the \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( \hat{S}_b \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) (see Definition 3.5.1).

Since \( \mathcal{C}' = \pi'^{-1}(\pi'(\mathcal{C})) = \pi'^{-1}(\Delta) \) (where \( \Delta \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) is the diagonal) is invariant by \( \text{PGL}_2 \), the birational \( \varphi \) is \( \text{PGL}_2 \)-equivariant, for some birational action of \( \text{PGL}_2 \) on \( \hat{S}_b \), acting diagonally on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \), and preserving the curve \( \mathcal{C} \subset \hat{S}_b \) being the image of the contracted surface \( \pi'^{-1}(\pi'(\mathcal{C})) \). Note that this curve is given by the intersection of \( x_0 = 0 \) with \( \pi^{-1}(\Delta) \) in both charts, where \( \Delta \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) is the diagonal (follows by replacing \( y_0, y_1 \) with 1 and \( z \) in \( \varphi_0 \) and with \( z \) and 1 in \( \varphi_1 \)). This yields the commutative diagram of (2), with \( \psi = \varphi^{-1} \). To achieve the proof of (2), we only need to show that the homomorphism \( \text{PGL}_2 \to \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(\hat{S}_b) \) constructed by this map is surjective.

The second projection \( \mathfrak{p}_2 : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) satisfies that \( \mathfrak{p}_2 \pi : \hat{S}_b \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) is a \( \mathbb{F}_b \)-bundle, trivial on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0 : 1\} \) and \( \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{1 : 0\} \) with transition function \( \theta \). The union of the \((-b)\)-curves of the \( \mathbb{F}_b \)'s is a surface \( S_2 \subset \hat{S}_b \), which corresponds to \( x_0 = 0 \) on both charts and is then by \( \psi \) onto the surface \( S_2' \subset \mathcal{F}_0^{m,m} \) given by \( x_1 = 0 \).

The involution \( \sigma' = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_0^{m,m}) \) given by \( \sigma' : [x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1] \mapsto [x_1 : x_0 ; z_0 : z_1] \) commutes with \( \text{PGL}_2 \), preserves \( \mathcal{C}' \) and \( \pi'^{-1}(\pi'(\mathcal{C})) \), hence \( \sigma' = \psi^{-1} \sigma' \psi = \varphi '\). Since \( \sigma' \) acts on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) by the exchange of the two factors, \( \mathfrak{p}_2 \pi : \hat{S}_b \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) is also a \( \mathbb{F}_b \)-bundle. The union of the \((-b)\)-curves of the \( \mathbb{F}_b \)'s is a surface \( S_1 = \sigma(S_2) \subset \hat{S}_b \), which is then sent by \( \psi \) onto the surface \( S_1' \subset \mathcal{F}_0^{m,m} \) given by \( x_0 = 0 \). The two surface \( S_1', S_2' \subset \mathcal{F}_0^{m,m} \) are disjoint and also disjoint from \( \mathcal{C}' \). Their strict transform on \( X \) are then again disjoint, and their images on \( \hat{S}_b \) intersect only along \( C \). This yields (1).

To prove (2), it remains to show that \( \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(\hat{S}_b) \simeq \text{PGL}_2 \) and that every automorphism of the \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( \hat{S}_b \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) is trivial. Every element of \( \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(\hat{S}_b) \) permutes the fibres of the two \( \mathbb{F}_b \)-bundles \( \mathfrak{p}_1 \pi, \mathfrak{p}_2 \pi : \hat{S}_b \to \mathbb{P}^1 \), so \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) are both invariant, and the same holds for \( C = S_1 \cap S_2 \). Since \( \pi'(C) = \Delta \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \), the image of \( \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(\hat{S}_b) \to \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \) is the diagonal \( \text{PGL}_2 \). It suffices then to see that every automorphism of the \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( \hat{S}_b \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) is trivial. This amounts to show that every automorphism of the \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( \mathcal{F}_0^{m,m} \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) that fixes \( \mathcal{C}' \) is trivial. Indeed, every automorphism of the \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( \mathcal{F}_0^{m,m} \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) preserves \( S_1' \) and \( S_2' \), so is of the form

\[
[x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1] \mapsto [\lambda x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1]
\]

for some \( \lambda \in \mathbb{K}^* \). It preserves \( \mathcal{C}' \) if and only if \( \lambda = 1 \).

We finish the proof by proving (3). It follows from the construction that \( C = S_1 \cap S_2 \subset \hat{S}_b \) is invariant by \( \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(\hat{S}_b) \). It remains to show that every curve \( \ell \subset \hat{S}_b \) invariant by \( \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(\hat{S}_b) \) is equal to \( C \). The action of \( \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(\hat{S}_b) \) on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) being the diagonal action of \( \text{PGL}_2 \), we find that \( \pi(\ell) \) is the diagonal \( \Delta \), and thus have to see that \( C \) is the only curve invariant by the action of \( \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(\hat{S}_b) \simeq \text{PGL}_2 \) on the surface \( V = \pi^{-1}(\Delta) \), which is a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( V \to \Delta \). To do this, it suffices to find an isomorphism \( V \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) that sends \( C \) onto the diagonal. Indeed, the action of \( \text{PGL}_2 \) on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) will then have to be the diagonal action, which only preserves the diagonal.
We restrict the transition function of $\hat{S}_b$ to $V$ and get $([x_0 : x_1 : 1 : z], z) \mapsto ([x_0 : x_1 z^{b+2} + x_0 (b+1) z; 1 : z], z^{1/2}) = ([x_0 : x_1 z^2 + x_0 (b+1) z; 1 : z], z^{1/2})$. The curve $C$ corresponds to $x_0 = 0$ on both charts. The isomorphism $V \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ can then be chosen on the two charts as

$$
([x_0 : x_1 : 1 : z], z) \mapsto ([x_1 : x_0 (b+1) + x_1 z; 1 : z])
$$

and

$$
([x_0 : x_1 : z : 1], z) \mapsto ([-(b+1) x_0 + x_1 z; x_1; z : 1]).
$$

which is an isomorphism since $b+1 \neq 0$ (as we assumed $\text{char}(k) = 0$).

\[ \square \]

**Remark 3.5.6.** The result above really uses the fact that char($k) = 0$. When char($k)$ divides $b+1$, there are indeed two curves invariant by $\text{Aut}^o(\hat{S}_b) \cong \text{PGL}_2$.

**Remark 3.5.7.** Let $m = b + 1$. An element $g = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix} \in \text{PGL}_2$ sends $p_0 = [1 : 1 : 0 : 0 : 1]$ to $[1 : 1 : \alpha : \gamma ; \beta : \delta]$. Therefore $g \cdot p_0 = p_0$ if and only if $\beta = \gamma = 0$ and $\alpha = \delta$ is a $m$-th root of unity. As $\dim(\text{PGL}_2 / \mu_m) = 3$, we see that $\text{PGL}_2 / \mu_m$ is a dense open orbit for the diagonal action of $\text{PGL}_2$ on $\mathcal{F}_0^{m,m}$. As $\hat{S}_b$ is $\text{PGL}_2$-equivariantly birational to $\mathcal{F}_0^{m,m}$, the same holds for $\hat{S}_b$.

3.6. **Umema $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles.** In this section we introduce a new class of non-decomposable $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles on Hirzebruch surfaces. To the best of the authors knowledge, those appeared for the first time in the work of Umema [Ume88, § 10] and that is the reason why we chose to call them Umema bundles.

**Definition 3.6.1** (Umema bundles). Let $a, b \geq 1$ and $c \geq 2$ be such that $c = ak + 2$ with $0 \leq k \leq b$. We call **Umema $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle** the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $U_{a,b,c}^k \to F_a$ given by $U_{a,b,c}^k = Z_{a,b,c}^k P \to F_a$ with $P = y_0^k y_1^{b-k} z^{c-1}$.

**Remark 3.6.2.** Recall (see Notation 3.3.4) that $U_{a,b,c}^k = Z_{a,b,c}^k P$ is obtained by the gluing of two copies of $F_b \times \mathbb{A}^1$ along $F_b \times \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ by the automorphism $\nu \in \text{Aut}(F_b \times \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\})$,

$$
\nu: ([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1 : 1], z) \mapsto ([x_0 : x_1 z^c + x_0 y_0^k y_1^{b-k} z^{c-1} ; y_0 z^a : y_1 ; 1], z^{1/2})
$$

and that $U_{a,b,c}^k \to F_a$ sends $([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1 : 1], z) \in F_b \times \mathbb{A}^1$ onto respectively $[y_0 : y_1 : 1 : 0] \in F_a$ for $[y_0 : y_1 : z : 1] \in F_a$ on the two charts. It has then numerical invariants $(a, b, c)$.

**Lemma 3.6.3.** Let $a,b \geq 1$ and $c \geq 2$ be such that $c = ak + 2$ with $0 \leq k \leq b$. The morphism $\pi: U_{a,b,c}^k \to F_a$ yields a surjective group homomorphism

$$
\rho: \text{Aut}^o(U_{a,b,c}^k) \to \text{Aut}^o(F_a).
$$

**Proof.** The statement corresponds to show that the element of $M_{a,b,c}^k$ corresponding to $U_{a,b,c}^k$ is fixed by the whole group $\text{Aut}^o(F_a)$. We only need to check it for generators of $\text{Aut}^o(F_a)$, using Lemma 3.4.5. Recall that the polynomial $P$ is given by $P(y_0, y_1, z) = \sum_{i=0}^b y_0^i y_1^{b-i} P_i(z) z^{a_i+1}$, $P_i \in k[z]_{\leq c-2-a}$ (Notation 3.3.4), where here all $P_i$ are zero except one, namely $P_k$, equal to 1. Lemma 3.4.5(1) shows that $\text{GL}_2$ fixes the class of $U_{a,b,c}^k$ in $M_{a,b,c}^k$, since $P_k$ is sent onto $\sigma(P_k) \in k[z]_{\leq c-2-a} = k[z]$ of $F_a$ sends $P$ onto the polynomial $P'$ equivalent to the polynomial $P(y_0, y_1, z)$ that satisfies
\[ y_k^b y^{-k} z^{c-1} = P(y_0, y_1, z) = \hat{P}(y_0, y_1 + y_0 R(z, 1), z). \]  The polynomial \( \hat{P}_k \) is then equal to \( P_k = 1 \), and all polynomials \( \hat{P}_i \) with \( i < k \) are zero, and thus equal to \( P_i \). The other coefficients do not come in the equivalence class, since \( c - ai - 2 < 0 \) for these.

**Remark 3.6.4.** With the notation above, the group of automorphisms of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle of \( \mathcal{U}^{b,c}_a \) identifies with the vector group \( \bigoplus_{i=1}^k k[z_0, z_1]_{ai-1} \) whose action on \( \mathcal{U}^{b,c}_a \) can be described on the first chart by the following biregular action

\[
\mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1 
\to \frac{\mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1}{ ([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1], z) \mapsto ( [x_0 : x_1 + x_0 \sum_{i=1}^k y_0^b y_i^{b-1} y_i(z, 1); y_0 : y_1], z) },
\]

where \( Q = (q_i)_{i=1,...,b} \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^k k[z_0, z_1]_{ai-1} \). This can be computed for instance by using the transition function of \( \mathcal{U}^{b,c}_a \). The action on \( \pi^{-1}(s-a) \), which corresponds to \( y_0 = 0 \) on both charts, is then trivial.

**Remark 3.6.5.** The group \( GL_2 \) acts on \( \mathcal{U}^{b,c}_a \) by acting rationally on both charts respectively via

\[
\mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1 
\to \frac{\mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1}{ ([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1], z) \mapsto ( [x_0 : x_1 (\gamma z + \delta)^c + x_0 \frac{\gamma (\gamma z + \delta)^c - 1}{\alpha \delta - \beta \gamma} y_0^{b-k} y_i^{b-k} y_i(z, 1); y_0 : y_1], \frac{\delta z + \gamma}{\beta z + \delta} ) },
\]

as it can directly be checked using the transition function.

### 3.7. Invariant fibres

The following result shows that one can reduce the study of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles \( X \to \mathbb{F}_a \) to the case where the action of \( \text{Aut}^\circ(X) \) on \( \mathbb{F}_a \) acts transitively on the set of fibres of \( \tau_a : \mathbb{F}_a \to \mathbb{P}^1 \). This is in particular the case when the action on \( \mathbb{F}_a \) yields a surjective group homomorphism \( \text{Aut}^\circ(X) \to \text{Aut}^\circ(\mathbb{F}_a) \) (Remark 2.4.5), and holds for decomposable \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles (Lemma 3.1.5), for the \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles \( \hat{S}_a \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{F}^1 \) (Lemma 3.5.5) and for Umemura bundles (Lemma 3.6.3).

**Lemma 3.7.1.** Let \( \pi : X \to \mathbb{F}_a \) be a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle. If there is a point \( p \in \mathbb{P}^1 \) such that the surface \( \pi^{-1}(p) \subset X \) is invariant by \( \text{Aut}^\circ(X) \) (where \( \tau_a : \mathbb{F}_a \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) is the standard \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle), then there is a decomposable bundle \( \mathcal{F}^{b,c}_a \to \mathbb{F}_a \) and a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{CD}
X @>{\psi}>> \mathcal{F}^{b,c}_a \\
@VV{\pi}V \\
\mathbb{F}_a
\end{CD}
\]

where \( \psi \) is a birational map satisfying \( \psi \text{Aut}^\circ(X) \psi^{-1} \subseteq \text{Aut}^\circ(\mathcal{F}^{b,c}_a) \).

**Proof.** The surface \( S = \mathbb{F}_a \setminus (\tau_a)^{-1}(p) \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 \), and \( U = \pi^{-1}(S) \) is invariant by \( \text{Aut}^\circ(X) \). Applying Lemma 3.2.1, one can perform finitely many \( \text{Aut}^\circ(X) \)-equivariant birational maps and reduce to the case where \( \tau_a \pi : U \to \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{b\} \) is a trivial \( \mathbb{F}_b \)-bundle. We then find an integer \( c \geq 0 \) and an inclusion \( U \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{b,c}_a \) such that the action of \( \text{Aut}^\circ(X) \) extends to a biregular action on \( \mathcal{F}^{b,c}_a \). This will yield a birational map as above, satisfying \( \psi \text{Aut}^\circ(X) \psi^{-1} \subseteq \text{Aut}^\circ(\mathcal{F}^{b,c}_a) \). Moreover, the equality does not hold since \( \text{Aut}^\circ(\mathcal{F}^{b,c}_a) \) acts transitively on the set of fibres of \( \tau_a : \mathbb{F}_a \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) (Lemma 3.1.5 and Remark 2.4.5).
If $b > 0$, the action of every element $g \in \Aut^c(X)$ on $U$ corresponds then to an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1$ of the form

$$
([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1], z) \mapsto ([x_0 : \alpha x_1 + \sum_{i=0}^b y_0^b y_1^{b-i} p_i(z); f_1(y_0, y_1, z), f_2(y_0, y_1, z)], az + b)
$$

for some $\alpha \in k^*$, $p_i \in k[z]$ and where $f_1, f_2 \in k[y_0, y_1, z]$ correspond to the coordinates of the restriction of an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}_a$. The group $\Aut^c(X)$ being an algebraic group, the polynomials $p_i$ are bounded by an integer which does not depend on $g \in \Aut^c(X)$. It suffices then to take an integer $c > 0$ big enough and to send $([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1], z) \in F_b \times \mathbb{A}^1$ to $[x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1 : z : 1] \in \mathcal{F}_a^{b,c}$ to be able to extend the action of all elements $g \in \Aut^c(X)$ to $\mathcal{F}_a^{b,c}$. (This can be checked for instance using the description of $\mathcal{F}_a^{b,c}$ provided in §3.)

If $b = 0$, the action of every element $g \in \Aut^c(X)$ on $U$ corresponds similarly to an automorphism of $F_0 \times \mathbb{A}^1 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1$ of the form

$$
([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1], z) \mapsto ([\alpha(z)x_0 + \beta(z)x_1 : \gamma(z)x_0 + \delta(z)x_1; f_1(y_0, y_1, z), f_2(y_0, y_1, z)], az + b)
$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in k[z]$ are such that $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix} \in \PGL_2(k[z])$ (i.e. $\alpha \delta - \beta \gamma \in k^*$) and where $f_1, f_2 \in k[y_0, y_1, z]$ correspond to the coordinates of the restriction of an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}_a$.

The morphism $U \to \P^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1$, $([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1], z) \mapsto ([x_0 : x_1], z)$ then yields an algebraic group homomorphism $\Aut^c(X) \to \Aut(\P^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1)$. The image $H \subset \Aut(\P^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ is then a connected algebraic subgroup of $\Bir(\P^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ that preserves the set of fibres $\P^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1$. There exist thus an integer $c \geq 0$ and an inclusion $\P^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 \to \mathbb{F}_c$ which allows the image to extend. We find then an inclusion $U \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_a^{b,c}$ which allows the action of $\Aut^c(X)$ to extend.

**Lemma 3.7.2.** Let $H$ be a maximal proper connected subgroup of $\PGL_2 \times \PGL_2$. Then $H$ is $B \times \PGL_2$ or $\PGL_2 \times B$, where $B \subset \PGL_2$ is a Borel subgroup (conjugated to the group of upper-triangular matrices), or $H$ is isomorphic to $\PGL_2$. In the latter case, $H$ is conjugated to the diagonal embedding of $\PGL_2$ in $\PGL_2 \times \PGL_2$.

**Proof.** Let $p_1$ and $p_2$ be the two natural projections $\PGL_2 \times \PGL_2 \to \PGL_2$. If $p_i(H) \subset \PGL_2$, then $p_i(H)$ is contained in a Borel subgroup $B$ of $\PGL_2$ (e.g. by [Hum75, § 30.4, Th. (a)]), and so $H$ is $B \times \PGL_2$ or $\PGL_2 \times B$, since $H$ is maximal.

We now assume that $p_i(H) = \PGL_2$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let $K$ be the kernel of $p_{i\mid H} : H \to \PGL_2$. As $K$ is a normal subgroup of $H$ and $p_{2\mid H}$ is onto, $p_2(K)$ is a normal subgroup of $\PGL_2$. As $H$ is a proper subgroup of $\PGL_2 \times \PGL_2$, we must have $p_2(K) = \{1\}$, and so $K = \{1\}$ and $p_{i\mid H}$ is a bijective morphism of algebraic groups $H \to \PGL_2$. In particular, $\dim(H) = 3$ by [Hum75, § I.4.1, Th.].

We now show that $H$ is simple. Let $N$ be a normal subgroup of $H$. As $p_{i\mid H}$ is onto, $p_i(N)$ is a normal subgroup of $\PGL_2$. If $p_i(N) = \PGL_2$ for some $i$, then $N = H$ (as they have the same dimension). Otherwise, $p_1(N) = p_2(N) = \{1\}$, and so $N = \{1\}$. This achieves to prove that $H$ is a simple group.

As $\dim(H) = 3$ and $H$ is of rank at most 2, the classification of simple root systems yields that $H$ is isomorphic to $\SL_2$ or $\PGL_2$; see [Hum75, § 32 and Appendix]. Since $p_{i\mid H} : H \to \PGL_2$ is a bijective morphism, $H$ cannot be isomorphic to $\SL_2$ (otherwise $f_2$ would be sent to $I_2$), and so $H \cong \PGL_2$. In this case, $H$ is conjugated to the diagonal embedding of $\PGL_2$ in $\PGL_2 \times \PGL_2$. Indeed, this follows
from the fact that a bijective morphism of algebraic groups is an isomorphism in characteristic zero (e.g. by Zariski’s main theorem), together with the fact that all automorphisms of \( \text{PGL}_2 \) are inner [ABD+66, Exp. XXIV, 1.3, 3.6]. □

Remark 3.7.3. In characteristic \( p > 0 \), the above result is false: we get infinitely many embeddings of \( \text{PGL}_2 \) into \( \text{PGL}_2 \times \text{PGL}_2 \) with pairwise distinct images, up to conjugation, given by 

\[
\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & b^{p^n} \\ c & d^{p^n} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

Proposition 3.7.4. Let \( a \geq 0 \) and let \( \pi: X \to \mathbb{F}_a \) be a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle. Then, there exist \( b, c \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that one of the following holds.

1. \( X \) is isomorphic to a decomposable \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( \mathbb{F}_a^{b,c} \to \mathbb{F}_a \) (Definition 3.1.1);
2. \( X \) is isomorphic to an Unemura \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( \mathbb{U}_a^{b,c} \to \mathbb{F}_a \) (Definition 3.6.1);
3. We have \( a = 0 \) and \( (X, \pi) \) is square isomorphic to the \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( \mathcal{S}_b \to \mathbb{F}_0 \) of Definition 3.5.1; or
4. There exist a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( \tau: \mathbb{F}_a \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) and a closed point \( p \in \mathbb{P}^1 \) such that \( (\pi \tau)^{-1} (p) \) is invariant by \( \text{Aut}^\circ (X) \).

In cases (3)-(4), there is a decomposable bundle \( \mathbb{F}_a^{b,c} \to \mathbb{F}_a \) and a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
X \\
\psi \downarrow \\
\mathbb{F}_a^{b,c} \\
\pi \downarrow \\
\mathbb{F}_a
\end{array}
\]

where \( \psi \) is a birational map satisfying \( \psi \text{Aut}^\circ (X) \psi^{-1} \subseteq \text{Aut}^\circ (\mathbb{F}_a^{b,c}) \).

Proof. Lemma 3.7.1 and Lemma 3.5.5 give the existence of the birational map \( \psi: X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{F}_a^{b,c} \) in cases (3)-(4), with \( \psi \text{Aut}^\circ (X) \psi^{-1} \subseteq \text{Aut}^\circ (\mathbb{F}_a^{b,c}) \). Moreover, we cannot have equality, since the action of \( \text{Aut}^\circ (\mathbb{F}_a^{b,c}) \to \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_a) \) is surjective (Lemma 3.1.5). It remains to show that we can reduce to the above four cases.

We denote by \( H \subset \text{Aut}^\circ (\mathbb{F}_a) \) the image of \( \text{Aut}^\circ (X) \) by the natural homomorphism \( \text{Aut}^\circ (X) \to \text{Aut}^\circ (\mathbb{F}_a) \) (see Lemma 2.1.1). If the preimage of one fibre of \( \tau_a \pi: X \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) is invariant by \( \text{Aut}^\circ (X) \), we get case (4). We can in particular assume that all fibres of \( \tau_a \pi: X \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) are isomorphic to \( \mathbb{F}_b \) for the same \( b \geq 0 \) (no jumping fibre, see Proposition 3.2.2). Hence, \( X \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) has numerical invariants \( (a, b, c) \) for some \( c \in \mathbb{Z} \), which is positive if \( b = 0 \) (see Proposition 3.3.1 and Definition 1.4.1).

We can moreover assume that \( X \to \mathbb{F}_a \) is not a decomposable bundle, since otherwise we obtain case (1). This implies that \( b \geq 1 \), \( c \geq 2 \) and that \( X \to \mathbb{F}_a \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}_a^{b,c} \to \mathbb{F}_a \), where \( P(y_0, y_1, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{b} y_0^i y_1^{b-i} P_i(z) z^{ai+1} \) and \( P_i(z) \in k[z]_{\leq c - 2 - ai} \) for \( i = 0, \ldots , b \) (Corollary 3.3.7).

For each \( h \in \text{Aut}^\circ (\mathbb{F}_a) \), we have \( h \in H \) if and only if there exists \( \hat{h} \in \text{Aut}^\circ (X) \) such that the following diagram commutes

\[
\begin{array}{c}
X \\
\pi \downarrow \\
\mathbb{F}_a \\
\hat{h} \downarrow \\
\mathbb{F}_a
\end{array}
\]

This is thus equivalent to ask that the class of \( X \to \mathbb{F}_a \in \mathcal{M}_a^{b,c} \) (see Corollary 3.3.8) is fixed by the action of \( h \).

We now consider two cases, depending on whether \( a \geq 1 \) or \( a = 0 \).
Case \( a \geq 1 \): We denote by \( i_0 \) the smallest integer such that \( P_{i_0} \neq 0 \). For each element \( \sigma \in \text{PGL}_2 \), there exists an element of \( H \subseteq \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_a) \) whose action on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \), via \( \tau \), corresponds to \( \sigma \) in \( \text{PGL}_2 \). We can write this element as \( \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \), where \( \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_a) \) are given by

\[
\varphi_1 : [y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1] \mapsto [y_0 : y_1 : \alpha z_0 + \beta z_1 : \gamma z_0 + \delta z_1],
\]

\[
\varphi_2 : [y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1] \mapsto [y_0 : y_1 + R(z_0, z_1)y_0 : z_0 : z_1],
\]

where \( \sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2 \) represents the class \( \sigma \in \text{PGL}_2 \) and \( R \in k[z_0, z_1] \).

Lemma 3.4.5 describes the action of \( \varphi_1 \) and \( \varphi_2 \) on the class \([\pi]\). The element \( \varphi_2 \) does not change the polynomial \( P_{i_0} \) (Lemma 3.4.5(3)), so \( \sigma(P_{i_0}) \) has to be equal to a multiple of \( P_{i_0} \), for the action of \( \text{GL}_2 \) on \( k[z]_{\leq c - a i_0} \) given in Definition 3.4.1 (Lemma 3.4.5(1)). This implies that the class of \( P_{i_0} \) in \( \mathbb{F}(k[z]_{\leq c - 2 - a i_0}) \) is fixed by the corresponding action of \( \text{PGL}_2 \). This happens if and only if \( (k[z]_{\leq c - 2 - a i_0})_{\text{SL}_2} \) is non-zero. As \( k[z]_{\leq c - 2 - a i_0} \) is an irreducible \( \text{SL}_2 \)-representation (Remark 3.4.2), \( (k[z]_{\leq c - 2 - a i_0})_{\text{SL}_2} \) is non-zero if and only if \( k[z]_{\leq c - 2 - a i_0} \) is the trivial representation, that is, \( c - 2 = a i_0 \) and \( P_{i_0} \) is a constant polynomial. Since \( i_0 \) is the smallest integer such that \( P_{i_0} \neq 0 \), we have \( P_{i_0} = 0 \) for all \( i < i_0 \). Moreover, \( P_i = 0 \) for \( i > i_0 \), since \( c - 2 - a i < 0 \). This implies that \( P(y_0, y_1, z) = \lambda y_0^i y_1^{b-i} z^{a i_0 + 1} \), and so that \( X \to \mathbb{F}_a \) is an Umemura bundle (see Definition 3.6.1).

Case \( a = 0 \): Let \( a = 0 \) and \( \pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) be a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle. If \( H = \text{PGL}_2 \times \text{PGL}_2 = \text{Aut}^\circ(\mathbb{F}_0) \), then the moduli space \( \mathcal{M}_{0,c}^{b,c} \) must contain a fixed-point for the natural \( H \)-action, described in Corollary 3.4.6(2). This cannot happen since the \( \text{SL}_2 \times \text{SL}_2 \)-representation \( k[y_0, y_1]_b \otimes k[z]_{\leq c - 2} \) is irreducible, and non-trivial when \( b \geq 1 \) (as we assumed above).

Assume now that \( H \subsetneq \text{PGL}_2 \times \text{PGL}_2 \) is a proper subgroup. If one of the two projections \( H \to \text{PGL}_2 \) is not onto, then one fibre of a projection \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \), is invariant and we get case (4). We then assume that \( H \) surjects onto \( \text{PGL}_2 \) via both projections. By Lemma 3.7.2, \( H \) is conjugated to \( H_\Delta := \{ (h, h) \mid h \in \text{PGL}_2 \} \) in \( \text{PGL}_2 \times \text{PGL}_2 \).

If \( H \) is conjugate to \( H_\Delta \), then the moduli space \( \mathcal{M}_{0,c}^{b,c} \) contains a fixed-point for the natural \( H_\Delta \)-action. By Corollary 3.4.6(2), we have \( \mathcal{M}_{0,c}^{b,c} \simeq \mathbb{F}(\text{Hom}((k[y_0, y_1]_b)^*, k[z]_{\leq c - 2})) \) as a \( H_\Delta \)-variety, where we identify \( H_\Delta = \text{PGL}_2 \). Hence \( \mathcal{M}_{0,c}^{b,c} \) contains a fixed-point if and only if \( \text{Hom}_{\text{SL}_2}((k[y_0, y_1]_b)^*, k[z]_{\leq c - 2}) \neq \{0\} \). As the \( \text{SL}_2 \)-representations \( (k[y_0, y_1]_b)^* \) and \( k[z]_{\leq c - 2} \) are irreducible and of dimension \( b + 1 \) and \( c - 1 \) respectively, it follows from Schur’s lemma that \( \text{Hom}_{\text{SL}_2}((k[y_0, y_1]_b)^*, k[z]_{\leq c - 2}) = 0 \) when \( b \neq c - 2 \). On the other hand, if \( b = c - 2 \) then \( \text{Hom}_{\text{SL}_2}((k[y_0, y_1]_b)^*, k[z]_{\leq c - 2}) = \{\lambda\text{Id}; \lambda \in k\} \) and so \( \mathcal{M}_{0,c}^{b+b+2} \) has a unique fixed-point corresponding to the identity; the latter is given by \( P(y_0, y_1, z) = \sum_{i=0}^b y_0^i y_1^{b-i} z^{i+1} \) (Corollary 3.4.6(2)) and yields case (3).

\[\square\]

**Remark 3.7.5.** In the proof of Proposition 3.7.4 the assumption that the base field \( k \) is of characteristic zero is required. Indeed, the results from the representation theory of \( \text{SL}_2 \) that we use in the proof are not valid in positive characteristic. In positive characteristic there are actually more \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles to consider.
4. $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles over $\mathbb{P}^2$

The results in §4.1 are valid over an algebraically closed field $k$ of arbitrary characteristic, but in §4.2 we need to assume $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ (due to the fact that we work with a quadratic form and need 2 to be invertible). In §4.3, Lemma 4.3.1 and Proposition 4.3.4 rely both on Proposition 3.7.4, and so are valid only in characteristic zero, while Lemma 4.3.3 holds in characteristic $\neq 2$.

4.1. Decomposable bundles over $\mathbb{P}^2$. In this section we give an explicit description of the decomposable $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles over $\mathbb{P}^2$, similar to the one provided in §3.1 for the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles over the Hirzebruch surfaces. We give also here global coordinates on decomposable $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles over $\mathbb{P}^2$.

**Definition 4.1.1.** Let $b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define $\mathcal{P}_b$ to be the quotient of $(\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\}) \times (\mathbb{A}^3 \setminus \{0\})$ by the action of $(\mathbb{G}_m)^2$ given by

$$(\mathbb{G}_m)^2 \times (\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\}) \times (\mathbb{A}^3 \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\}) \times (\mathbb{A}^3 \setminus \{0\}) \quad \text{by} \quad ((\mu, \rho), (y_0, y_1, z_0, z_1, z_2)) \mapsto (\mu^{-b} y_0, \mu y_1, \rho z_0, \rho z_1, \rho z_2)$$

The class of $(y_0, y_1, z_0, z_1, z_2)$ will be written $[y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1 : z_2]$. The projection

$$\mathcal{P}_b \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2, \quad [y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1 : z_2] \mapsto [z_0 : z_1 : z_2]$$

identifies $\mathcal{P}_b$ with

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(b) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-b))$$

as a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^2$. As before, we get an isomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles $\mathcal{P}_b \simeq \mathcal{P}_{-b}$ by exchanging $y_0$ with $y_1$, and will then often assume $b \geq 0$ in the sequel.

**Lemma 4.1.2.** For each $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, the morphism $\pi: \mathcal{P}_b \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ yields a surjective group homomorphism

$$\rho: \text{Aut}^\circ(\mathcal{P}_b) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2) = \text{PGL}_3.$$  

**Proof.** The existence of $\rho$ is given by Lemma 2.1.1. The fact that it is surjective can be seen by making $\text{GL}_3$ act naturally on $\mathcal{P}_b$ and by doing nothing on $y_0, y_1$, and by acting naturally on $z_0, z_1, z_2$. \hfill \Box

**Remark 4.1.3.** Assume that $b \geq 1$. The group of automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle of $\mathcal{P}_b$ identifies with the (connected) group

$$\left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ p & \lambda \end{array} \right] \in \text{GL}_2(k[z_0, z_1, z_2]) \mid \lambda \in k^* \text{ and } p \in k[z_0, z_1, z_2] \right\}$$

whose action on $\mathcal{P}_b$ is as follows:

$$(\lambda, p) \cdot [y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1 : z_2] = [y_0 : \lambda y_1 + y_0 p(z_0, z_1, z_2); z_0 : z_1 : z_2].$$

This can be seen directly from the global description of $\mathcal{P}_b$ in Definition 4.1.1, and by using trivialisations on open subsets isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^2$.

4.2. Schwarzenberger $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles over $\mathbb{P}^2$. In this subsection, we study the Schwarzenberger $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles $\mathcal{S}_b \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$, with $b \geq -1$ given by $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(-b - 1, 0)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ (see Definition 1.2.6). As we will observe, only the cases $b \geq 1$ are interesting, since $\mathcal{S}_{-1}$ and $\mathcal{S}_0$ are decomposable (Corollary 4.2.2).
Lemma 4.2.1. Denoting by \( U_0, U_1 \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \) the two open subsets

\[
U_0 = \{[X : Y : Z] | X \neq 0\} \simeq \mathbb{A}^2, \quad U_1 = \{[X : Y : Z] | Z \neq 0\} \simeq \mathbb{A}^2,
\]

the restriction of \( \mathcal{S}_b \) on \( \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{[0 : 1 : 0]\} \) is obtained by gluing \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times U_0 \) and \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times U_1 \) along \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times (U_0 \cap U_1) \) via the isomorphism given by

\[
\theta : \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix}, [1 : u : v] \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11}(u, v) & \alpha_{12}(u, v) \\ \alpha_{21}(u, v) & \alpha_{22}(u, v) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix}, [1 : \frac{v}{u} : 1],
\]

where \( \alpha_{ij}(u, v) \in k[u, v] \) are the polynomials satisfying

\[
\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11}(s + t, st) \\ \alpha_{21}(s + t, st) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } b = -1, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -st \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s^b - t^b \\ s^{b+1} - t^{b+1} \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } b \geq 0. \end{cases}
\]

Proof. Recall that \( \mathcal{S}_b \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(-m, 0) \), where \( m = b + 1 \) and \( \kappa \) is given by

\[
\kappa : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2, \quad ([y_0 : y_1], [z_0 : z_1]) \mapsto [y_0 z_0 : y_0 z_1 + y_1 z_0 : y_1 z_1]
\]

(see Definition 1.2.6). The preimages of \( U_0, U_1 \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \) by \( \kappa \) are then two open subsets \( T_0 = \kappa^{-1}(U_0), T_1 = \kappa^{-1}(U_1) \) of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) isomorphic to \( \mathbb{A}^2 \) using the standard coordinates \( s_0 = \frac{s}{s_0}, t_0 = \frac{t}{t_0}, s_1 = \frac{s}{s_1}, t_1 = \frac{t}{t_1} \in k(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \):

\[
T_0 = \kappa^{-1}(U_0) = \{([y_0 : y_1], [z_0 : z_1]) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 | y_0 z_0 \neq 0\} = \text{Spec}(k[s_0, t_0]),
\]

\[
T_1 = \kappa^{-1}(U_1) = \{([y_0 : y_1], [z_0 : z_1]) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 | y_1 z_1 \neq 0\} = \text{Spec}(k[s_1, t_1]).
\]

The line bundle \( \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(-m, 0) \) is trivial on \( T_0 \) and \( T_1 \) so \( \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(-m, 0) \) is the gluing of two copies of \( \mathbb{A}^3 \), via the transition function

\[
(A^1 \setminus \{0\} \times A^1 \setminus \{0\}) \times A^1
\]

\[
\xrightarrow{(s_0, t_0, a_0)} (A^1 \setminus \{0\} \times A^1 \setminus \{0\}) \times A^1
\]

\[
(\frac{1}{s_0}, \frac{1}{t_0}, a_0 s_0^m),
\]

which corresponds to the identifications \( a_1 = a_0 s_0^m, s_1 = \frac{1}{s_0}, t_1 = \frac{1}{t_0} \). This transition function implies that a section on \( T_0 \cap T_1 \) corresponds on the first chart to an element \( f(s_0, t_0) \in k[s_0^{\pm 1}, t_0^{\pm 1}] \) and on the second chart to \( s_1^{-m} f(\frac{1}{s_0}, \frac{1}{t_0}) \in k[s_1^{\pm 1}, t_1^{\pm 1}] \).

To compute the transition on \( U_0 \) and \( U_1 \), we take standard coordinates \( u_0 = \frac{y}{x}, v_0 = \frac{z}{x}, u_1 = \frac{y}{x}, v_1 = \frac{z}{x} \) on \( U_0 = \text{Spec}(k[u_0, v_0]) \) and \( U_1 = \text{Spec}(k[u_1, v_1]) \). We then observe that for \( i = 1, 2 \), the morphisms \( \kappa|_{T_i} : T_i \rightarrow U_i \) corresponds to the injective algebra morphism \( k[U_i] = k[u_i, v_i] \rightarrow k[T_i] = k[s_i, t_i] \) that sends \( u_i \) and \( v_i \) onto \( s_i + t_i \) and \( s_i t_i \) respectively.

The space of sections \( \kappa_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(-m, 0)(U_i) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(-m, 0)(T_1) \simeq k[T_i] = k[s_i, t_i] \) is a free \( k[U_i] \)-module of rank 2 generated by 1 and \( \xi_i = s_i - t_i \). This base being chosen, it determines a transition function, which is of the form

\[
\begin{pmatrix} U_0 \times \mathbb{A}^2 \\ (u_0, v_0, \frac{b_0}{b_1}) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} U_1 \times \mathbb{A}^2 \\ (u_0, v_0, \frac{1}{b_1}) \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11}(u_0, v_0) & \alpha_{12}(u_0, v_0) \\ \alpha_{21}(u_0, v_0) & \alpha_{22}(u_0, v_0) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{v}{u} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s^b - t^b \\ s^{b+1} - t^{b+1} \end{pmatrix}.
\]
for some \( \alpha_{ij} \in k[u_0, v_0^{\pm 1}] \). We then take a section on \( U_0 \cap U_1 \), which is given on the first chart by \( f_0(u_0, v_0), f_1(u_0, v_0) \in k[u_0, v_0^{\pm 1}] \), and on the second chart by

\[
\begin{align*}
g_0(u_1, v_1) &= \alpha_{11}(u_1, v_1) f_0(u_1, v_1) + \alpha_{12}(u_1, v_1) f_1(u_1, v_1) \in k[u_1, v_1^{\pm 1}], \\
g_1(u_1, v_1) &= \alpha_{21}(u_1, v_1) f_0(u_1, v_1) + \alpha_{22}(u_1, v_1) f_1(u_1, v_1) \in k[u_1, v_1^{\pm 1}],
\end{align*}
\]

The corresponding section on \( T_0 \cap T_1 \) corresponds then on the two charts to

\[
\begin{align*}
f(s_0, t_0) &= f_0(s_0 + t_0, s_0 t_0) + (s_0 - t_0) f_1(s_0 + t_0, s_0 t_0) \in k[s_0^{\pm 1}, t_0^{\pm 1}], \\
g(s_1, t_1) &= s_1^{-m} f(\frac{1}{s_1}, \frac{1}{t_1}) \\
&= g_0(s_1 + t_1, s_1 t_1) + (s_1 - t_1) g_1(s_1 + t_1, s_1 t_1) \in k[s_1^{\pm 1}, t_1^{\pm 1}].
\end{align*}
\]

We then use the equalities

\[
\begin{align*}
2g_0(s_1 + t_1, s_1 t_1) &= g(s_1, t_1) + g(t_1, s_1) \in k[s_1^{\pm 1}, t_1^{\pm 1}], \\
2g_1(s_1 + t_1, s_1 t_1) &= \frac{g(s_1 + t_1) - g(t_1, s_1)}{s_1 - t_1} \in k[s_1^{\pm 1}, t_1^{\pm 1}], \\
g_0(u_1, v_1) &= \alpha_{11}(u_1, v_1) f_0(u_1, v_1) + \alpha_{12}(u_1, v_1) f_1(u_1, v_1) \in k[u_1, v_1^{\pm 1}], \\
g_1(u_1, v_1) &= \alpha_{21}(u_1, v_1) f_0(u_1, v_1) + \alpha_{22}(u_1, v_1) f_1(u_1, v_1) \in k[u_1, v_1^{\pm 1}],
\end{align*}
\]

to compute the \( \alpha_{ij} \):

\[
\begin{align*}
2g_0(u_1, v_1) &= \frac{a_{11} + a_{12}}{a_{11} - a_{12}}, \\
2g_1(u_1, v_1) &= \frac{a_{21} + a_{22}}{a_{21} - a_{22}}.
\end{align*}
\]

and get

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_{11}(s_0 + t_0, s_0 t_0) & \alpha_{12}(s_0 + t_0, s_0 t_0) \\
\alpha_{21}(s_0 + t_0, s_0 t_0) & \alpha_{22}(s_0 + t_0, s_0 t_0)
\end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix}
s_0^{m + t_0} - s_0^{m - t_0} & (s_0^m - t_0^m)(s_0 - t_0) \\
-s_0^{m + t_0} s_0^{m - t_0} - s_0^{m - t_0} s_0^{m + t_0} & -s_0^{m - t_0} + s_0^{m + t_0}
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

If \( m = 0 \), we get simply the matrix defined above. If \( m \geq 1 \), we change the transition function, by observing that

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & \frac{s + t}{2} \\
0 & \frac{s - t}{2}
\end{bmatrix}
= \frac{4st}{s^2 - t^2}
\begin{bmatrix}
s^{m - 1} - t^{m - 1} & st(s^{m - 2} - t^{m - 2}) \\
(s^{m} - t^{m}) & st(s^{m - 1} - t^{m - 1})
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

We then recover the following result already observed by Schwarzenberger [Sch61, Prop. 7].

**Corollary 4.2.2.** We have the following isomorphisms of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles \( S_{-1} \cong P_1 = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}), S_0 \cong P_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \), and \( S_1 \cong P(T_{\mathbb{P}^2}) \).

**Proof.** Let us note that to obtain the transition function of the tangent bundle \( T_{\mathbb{P}^2} \), it suffices to differentiate the map corresponding to the change of coordinates between two affine charts of \( \mathbb{P}^2 \). It follows that the transition function of the projectivised tangent bundle over \( \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{0 : 1 : 0\} \) is

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{P}^1 \times U_0 \cap U_1 & \cong [x_0 : x_1, [1 : u : v]] \\
\rightarrow & \quad \mathbb{P}^1 \times U_0 \cap U_1 \\
& \quad ([x_0 : x_0 u + x_1 v, [1 : u : v]]).
\end{align*}
\]
Applying Proposition 4.2.1, the transition functions, for \( b = -1, 0, 1 \), correspond respectively to the matrices \[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -v
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & v
\end{bmatrix},
\] which gives the result.

\[ \square \]

**Remark 4.2.3.** One can also see \( S_1 \simeq \mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}^2}) \) as
\[
Z = \{ ([x_0 : x_1 : x_2], [y_0 : y_1 : y_2]) \in \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 | \sum x_i y_i = 0 \},
\]
with \( \pi : S_1 \to \mathbb{P}^2 \) the projection on the first factor. We again find the same transition function, by trivialising the \( P \pi \) with all elements of \( \text{Aut} \).

**Lemma 4.2.4.** The \( P^1 \)-bundle \( \hat{S}_0 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) is isomorphic to
\[
S_0 \times_{\mathbb{P}^2} (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1,
\]
obtained by pulling-back the Schwarzenberger bundle \( S_0 \to \mathbb{P}^2 \), via the double cover \( \kappa : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^2 \). In particular, \( \hat{S}_0 \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{P}(\kappa^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(-b-1,0))) \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \).

**Proof.** (1) We take as usual coordinates \((y_0 : y_1), [z_0 : z_1])\) on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) and denote by \( T_0, T_1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) the open subsets given respectively by \( y_0 z_0 \neq 0 \) and \( y_1 z_1 \neq 0 \).

The restriction of \( \pi : S_0 \times_{\mathbb{P}^2} (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) to \( T_0 \cup T_1 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{(0 : 1), [1 : 0], (1 : 0), [0 : 1]\}) is given by gluing \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times T_0 \) and \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times T_1 \) along \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times T_0 \cap T_1 \) by the isomorphism \( \theta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times T_0 \cap T_1) \) induced by
\[
\begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix}, (1 : s, [1 : t]) \quad \mapsto \quad \begin{bmatrix} [x_0] \\ [x_1] \end{bmatrix}, (1 : s, [1 : t]).
\]

(Lemma 4.2.1). We then define two open embeddings \( \iota_i : \mathbb{P}^1 \times T_i \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1, i = 0, 1: \)
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{P}^1 \times T_0 & \xrightarrow{\iota_0} \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{A}^1 \\
([x_0 : x_1], (1 : s), [1 : t]) & \mapsto ([x_0 - t, x_1 : 1 : s], t) \\
\mathbb{P}^1 \times T_1 & \xrightarrow{\iota_1} \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{A}^1 \\
([x_0 : x_1], ([s] : 1), [t : 1]) & \mapsto ([x_0 + t, x_1 : s : 1], t)
\end{align*}
\]
and compute $\iota_1\theta(t_0)^{-1} \in \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1)$:

$$
\mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1 \quad \iota_1\theta(t_0)^{-1} \quad \begin{pmatrix} x_0 : x_1 : 1 : y \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \frac{y}{z} x_0 : \frac{b+1}{y-z} x_0 + z^{b+1} x_1 : 1 : 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

This yields then $\nu_{b,P} \circ t_0 = \iota_1\theta$ where $\nu_{b,P} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\})$ is given by

$$
\nu_{b,P} : \begin{pmatrix} x_0 : x_1 : 1 : y \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x_0 : x_1 z^{b+2} + x_0 z^{\sum_{i=0}^b y^i} x_{i+1} : 1 : 1 \end{pmatrix},
$$

with $P(y_0, y_1, z) = \sum_{i=0}^b y_i^{b-i} P_i(z) z$, and $P_i(z) = z^i \in k[z]_{\leq b}$ for $i = 0, \ldots, b$. This shows that the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle is isomorphic to $\hat{S}_b \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ over $T_0 \cup T_1$ (Definition 3.5.1) and thus over the whole $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ by Lemma 2.3.1. This achieves the proof of (1).

(2): By Lemma 3.5.5(3), there is a unique curve $C \subset \hat{S}_b$ invariant by $\text{Aut}^o(\hat{S}_b)$, which corresponds to the intersection of $\pi^{-1}(\Delta)$ with the surface $x_0 = 0$ in both charts $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1$. It then corresponds to the curve given in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times T_0$ and $\mathbb{P}^1 \times T_1$ by

$$
\{([x_0 : x_1], ([1 : t], [1 : t]) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times T_0 \mid x_0 + tx_1 = 0),
\{([x_0 : x_1], ([t : 1], [t : 1]) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times T_1 \mid x_0 - tx_1 = 0).
$$

Sending this curve in $S_b$ yields a bijection $C \to D$, where $D \subset S_b$ is given locally as above. □

**Lemma 4.2.5.** Let $\pi : S_b \to \mathbb{P}^2$ be the b-th Schwarzenberger $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle, with $b \geq 1$, let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be the conic which is the ramification locus of $\kappa : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^2$ (see Definition 1.2.6).

(1) If $L \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is a line, the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\pi^{-1}(L) \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is isomorphic to

- $\mathbb{F}_b \to \mathbb{P}^1$ if $L$ is a line tangent to $\Gamma$;
- $\mathbb{F}_0 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ if $L$ is a line not tangent to $\Gamma$ and $b$ is even; or
- $\mathbb{F}_1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ if $L$ is a line not tangent to $\Gamma$ and $b$ is odd.

(2) The action of $\text{Aut}^o(S_b)$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$ yields a group isomorphism

$$
\text{Aut}^o(S_b) \cong \begin{cases} 
\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2) & \text{if } b \geq 2; \text{or} \\
\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2) & \text{if } b = 1.
\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, every automorphism of the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $S_b \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is trivial.

**Proof.** Lemma 4.2.4 implies that $\pi : \hat{S}_b \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is isomorphic to $S_b \times_{\mathbb{P}^2}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, Lemma 3.5.5(2) then yields $\text{Aut}^o(S_b) \simeq \text{PGL}_2$, with an action on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ which is the diagonal one, and thus corresponds, via $\kappa : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, to $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2, \Gamma) \simeq \text{PGL}_2$. This implies in particular that the image of the group homomorphism $\text{Aut}^o(S_b) \to \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ (given by Lemma 2.1.1) contains $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2, \Gamma) \simeq \text{PGL}_2$.

Taking a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ tangent to $\Gamma$, the preimage $\pi^{-1}(L) \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ consists of two fibres $f_1, f_2$ of the two projections, exchanged by the involution associated to the double cover $\kappa$. In particular $\pi^{-1}(L)$ is isomorphic to $\pi^{-1}(f_1) \simeq \pi^{-1}(f_2) \simeq \mathbb{F}_b$, since $S_b$ has numerical invariants $(0, b, b + 2)$.

To finish the proof of (1), we take a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ not tangent to $\Gamma$, and show that $\pi^{-1}(L)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_0$ or $\mathbb{F}_1$, depending whether $b$ is even or odd. Using the action of $\text{Aut}^o(S_b)$, we can choose the line of equation $Y = 0$. Using the notation
of Proposition 4.2.1, the restriction of \( \mathcal{S}_b \to \mathbb{P}^2 \) on \( \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{0 : 1 : 0\} \) is given by by gluing \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times U_0 \) and \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times U_1 \) with

\[
\theta : \mathbb{P}^1 \times U_0 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times U_1,
\]

where \( a_{ij}(u, v) \in k[u, v] \) are the polynomials satisfying

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_{11}(s + t, st) & \alpha_{12}(s + t, st) \\
\alpha_{21}(s + t, st) & \alpha_{22}(s + t, st)
\end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{s - t} \begin{bmatrix}
\pm s^n - t^n & st(s^{b-1} - t^{b-1}) \\
\pm s^n + t^n & st(s^b - t^b)
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

We then observe that \( s + t \) divides \( s^n - t^n \) if \( n \) is even (by replacing \( t \) with \(-s\)), and that \( s^n - t^n \equiv s^{n-1} \equiv \pm(st)^{n-1} \) (mod \( s + t \)) if \( n \) is odd. This yields

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & \pm v^\frac{1}{2} \\
\pm v^\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
\pm v^{\frac{1}{n-1}} & 0 \\
0 & \pm v^{\frac{1}{n+1}}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

if \( b \) is even, and

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & \pm v^\frac{1}{2} \\
\pm v^\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
\pm v^{\frac{1}{n-1}} & 0 \\
0 & \pm v^{\frac{1}{n+1}}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

if \( b \) is odd, and achieve the proof of (1).

To prove (2), we study the group homomorphism \( \rho : \text{Aut}(\mathcal{S}_b) \to \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2) \). As we observed, the image contains \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2, \Gamma) \cong \text{PGL}_2 \). It is then equal to \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2, \Gamma) \) if \( b \geq 2 \) (follows from (1)). If \( b = 1 \), then \( \rho \) is surjective since \( \mathcal{S}_1 \cong \mathbb{F}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2}) \) (Corollary 4.2.2 and Remark 4.2.3). To finish the proof of (2), it remains to show that every automorphism \( \alpha \) of the \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( \mathcal{S}_b \to \mathbb{P}^2 \) is trivial. The lift of \( \alpha \) yields an element \( \hat{\alpha} \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{S}_b) \) which acts trivially on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) and thus is trivial by Lemma 3.5.5(2).

Remark 4.2.6. Let \( \Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \) be the smooth conic of Definition 1.2.6. Then the natural embedding of \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2, \Gamma) \cong \text{PGL}_2 \) in \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2) = \text{PGL}_3 \) is the one induced from the injective group homomorphism

\[
(\bullet) \quad \text{GL}_2(k) \to \text{GL}_3(k), \quad \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} a^2 & ab & b^2 \\ 2ac & ad + bc & 2bd \\ c^2 & cd & d^2 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

Also, \( \text{PGL}_2 \) acts on \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) with two orbits which are \( \Gamma \) and its complement. Indeed, the morphism \( \kappa \) of Definition 1.2.6 is \( \text{PGL}_2 \)-equivariant, where \( \text{PGL}_2 \) acts diagonally on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \), and as \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) is the union of two orbits (namely, the diagonal and its complement), the result follows.

Remark 4.2.7. Let \( m = b + 1 \geq 2 \). By Lemma 4.2.5 the group \( \text{PGL}_2 \) acts on \( \mathcal{S}_b \) and the \((2 : 1)\)-cover \( \mathcal{S}_b \to \mathcal{S}_b \) is \( \text{PGL}_2 \)-equivariant. Hence, by Remark 3.5.7, \( \mathcal{S}_b \) has an open \( \text{PGL}_2 \)-orbit, say \( \text{PGL}_2/F \), where \( F \) is a finite subgroup that fits into an exact sequence \( 0 \to \mu_m \to F \to \mu_2 \to 0 \). Actually, using (\( \bullet \)), an explicit computation of the stabiliser of a point in the \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-fibre over \( 0 : 1 : 0 \in \mathbb{P}^2 \) yields that \( F \) is the dihedral group of order \( 2m \); see [Ume88, Lem. 4.5] for details.

Remark 4.2.8. Writing \( X = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2}) \), Lemma 4.2.5 shows that the action of \( \text{Aut}^\circ(X) \) on \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) yields an isomorphism \( \text{Aut}^\circ(X) \to \text{PGL}_3 \). This classical observation can also be seen as follows. Let \( B \) be a Borel subgroup of \( G = \text{PGL}_3 \), and let \( P \) be a maximal parabolic subgroup of \( G \) containing \( B \). Then the structure morphism
\( \pi: X \to \mathbb{P}^2 \) identifies with the projection \( G/B \to G/P \) (see e.g. [IP99, Ex. 2.1.8]). Therefore, by [Dem77, Th. 1], we have \( \text{Aut}^\circ(X) = \text{Aut}^\circ(G/B) = G \).

### 4.3. Reduction to \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles of the four families.

We first show that Schwarzenberger and decomposable \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles over \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) are those which have large automorphism groups.

**Lemma 4.3.1.** Let \( \pi: X \to \mathbb{P}^2 \) be a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle and let \( \Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \) be the conic of equation \( Y^2 = 4XZ \), and let \( H \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2) \) be the image of \( \text{Aut}^\circ(X) \). Then, the following hold:

1. \( H = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2) \) if and only if \( \pi: X \to \mathbb{P}^2 \) is a decomposable bundle or isomorphic to the projectivised tangent bundle.
2. \( \text{PGL}_2 \simeq \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2, \Gamma) \subset H \) if and only if \( \pi: X \to \mathbb{P}^2 \) is a decomposable bundle or isomorphic to a Schwarzenberger \( S_b \to \mathbb{P}^2 \) for some \( b \geq 1 \).

**Proof.** If \( \pi: X \to \mathbb{P}^2 \) is a decomposable bundle, then \( H = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2) \) (Lemma 4.1.2).

The same holds if \( \pi: X \to \mathbb{P}^2 \) is isomorphic to \( S_1 \simeq \mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}^2}) \) (Corollary 4.2.2 and Lemma 4.2.5). If \( \pi: X \to \mathbb{P}^2 \) is isomorphic to \( S_b \) for some \( b \geq 2 \), then \( H = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2, \Gamma) \) (Lemma 4.2.5).

It remains then to assume that \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2, \Gamma) \subset H \) and deduce from it that \( \pi: X \to \mathbb{P}^2 \) is decomposable or isomorphic to \( S_b \to \mathbb{P}^2 \) for some \( b \geq 1 \). We use the double cover \( \kappa: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^2 \) ramified over \( \Gamma \) given in Definition 1.2.6, and define a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( \hat{\pi}: \hat{X} = X \times_{\mathbb{P}^2} (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \). By construction the image of \( \text{Aut}^\circ(\hat{X}) \to \text{Aut}^\circ(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \) contains the group \( \text{PGL}_2 \) embedded diagonally, namely the subgroup of \( \text{Aut}^\circ(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \) preserving the diagonal \( \Delta \), branch locus of \( \kappa \). This implies that \( \hat{\pi}: \hat{X} \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) is either a decomposable \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle or square isomorphic to \( S_b \) for some \( b \geq 1 \) (Proposition 3.7.4). In the latter case, the isomorphism of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) that comes in the square has to preserve the diagonal, and lifts to \( \text{Aut}^\circ(\hat{X}) \) (Lemma 3.5.5) so \( \hat{\pi}: \hat{X} \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) is in fact isomorphic to \( S_b \). Denoting by \( \sigma \in \text{Aut}(\hat{X}) \) the involution induced by the automorphism of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) exchanging the two factors, we find \( \hat{X} = \hat{X}/\sigma \).

We first assume that \( X \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) is a decomposable \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle, say \( \mathbb{F}_{0,m}^m \). For \( i = 1, 2 \), the fibres of \( \text{pr}_i \circ \hat{\pi}: \mathbb{F}_{0}^{m,m} \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) are the Hirzebruch surface \( \mathbb{F}_{0,m,i} \), where \( \text{pr}_i: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) is the \( i \)-th projection. As \( \sigma \) exchanges the fibres, we get \( m_1 = \pm m_2 \). We now prove that up to conjugation by an isomorphism of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles, we have one of the following situations for the action of \( \sigma \) on \( \hat{X} \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma: & \quad \mathbb{F}_{0}^{m,m} \\
& \begin{cases}
[x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1] &\mapsto [x_0 : x_1 : z_0 : z_1 : y_0 : y_1], \quad m \geq 0; \\
[x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1] &\mapsto [x_0 : -x_1 : z_0 : z_1 : y_0 : y_1], \quad m \geq 0; \text{ or} \\
[x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1] &\mapsto [x_1 : x_0 : z_0 : z_1 : y_0 : y_1], \quad m \geq 0.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

If \( m_1 = m_2 = 0 \), then \( \mathbb{F}_{0}^{0,0} \) is isomorphic to \( (\mathbb{P}^1)^3 \), so \( \sigma \) is of the form \( [x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1] \mapsto \iota(x_0 : x_1); z_0 : z_1; y_0 : y_1 \) for some element \( \iota \in \text{PGL}_2 \), which is either the identity or of order two. Applying conjugation, we get one of the above cases (the last two cases being conjugate).

We then assume that \( m_1 = -m_2 = m > 0 \). The union of \(-m\)-curves in the fibres of \( \text{pr}_i \circ \hat{\pi}: \mathbb{F}_{0}^{m,-m} \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) are then given by \( x_0 = 0 \) and \( x_1 = 0 \). This implies
that \( \sigma \) is of the form \([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1] \mapsto [x_1 : \xi x_0 ; z_0 : z_1 ; y_1 : y_0] \) for some \( \xi \in k^* \). Conjugating by an automorphism of the form \([x_0 : x_1 ; y_0 ; y_1 ; z_0 ; z_1] \mapsto [\mu x_0 ; x_1 ; y_0 ; y_1 ; z_0 ; z_1] \), we can assume that \( \xi = 1 \). This gives the third case.

The remaining cases are when \( m_1 = m_2 = m > 0 \). The union of \(-m\)-curves in the fibres of \( pr_i \circ \pi \) is then given by \( x_0 = 0 \), for \( i = 1, 2 \). This implies that \( \sigma \) is of the form \([x_0 : x_1 ; y_0 ; y_1 ; z_0 ; z_1] \mapsto [x_0 : \mu x_1 + x_0 P(y_0, y_1, z_0, z_1) ; z_0 : z_1 ; y_1 ; y_0] \) where \( \mu \in k^* \) and \( P \) is of bidegree \( m, m \) in \( y_0, y_1, z_0, z_1 \). As \( \sigma \) is an involution, we get \( \mu = \pm 1 \) and \( \mu P(y_0, y_1, z_0, z_1) + P(z_0, z_1, y_0, y_1) = 0 \). In both cases, conjugating by the automorphism \([x_0 : x_1 ; y_0 ; y_1 ; z_0 ; z_1] \mapsto [x_0 : x_1 \pm \frac{1}{2} x_0 P(y_0, y_1, z_0, z_1) ; y_0 ; y_1 ; z_0 ; z_1] \), we can assume that \( P = 0 \) and obtain one of the first two cases.

We now study the three cases, and show that only the first case can appear, in which case \( X \) is a decomposable \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle over \( \mathbb{P}^2 \). In the first case, we obtain that \( X = \mathbb{P}^{m,m}_0 / \sigma = \mathbb{P}(O_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-m) \oplus O_{\mathbb{P}^2}) \) is a decomposable \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle over \( \mathbb{P}^2 \).

In the second case, we consider \( U := [1 : x_1 : 1 ; y_1 ; z] \) \( \simeq \mathbb{A}^3 \) which is a \( \sigma \)-stable affine open subset of \( \mathbb{P}^{m,m}_0 \). The corresponding action on \( \mathbb{A}^3 \) is \( (x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y, z) \), which is conjugate to \( (x, y, z) \mapsto (-x, -y, z) \). In the third case, we consider \( V := [x \ominus 1 : x_1 \ominus 1 ; y_1 ; z] \) \( \simeq \mathbb{A}^3 \) which is a \( \sigma \)-stable affine open subset of \( \mathbb{P}^{m,m}_0 \). The action is again given by \( (x, y, z) \mapsto (-x, -y, z) \).

In both cases the quotient is singular, since the invariant algebra is \( k[x^2, x y, y^2, z] \). We can assume that \( P = 0 \) and obtain one of the first two cases.

It remains to study the case where \( \hat{X} \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) is isomorphic to \( \hat{S}_0 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \).

By Lemma 4.2.4, \( \hat{X} \) is equal to the pull-back of \( S_0 \to \mathbb{P}^2 \), so there is an involution \( \sigma' \in \text{Aut}(\hat{X}) \), acting on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) as the exchange of the two factors \( \tau \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \), such that \( \hat{X}/\sigma' = S_0 \). Since every automorphism of the \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( S_0 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) is trivial (Lemma 3.5.5(2)), \( \sigma' = \sigma' \) is the unique lift of \( \tau \), which shows that \( \pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^2 \) is isomorphic to \( S_0 \to \mathbb{P}^2 \).

Remark 4.3.2. If \( k = \mathbb{C} \), then Lemma 4.3.1(1) is a particular case of a classical result due to Van de Ven [VdV77, Th.] and Lemma 4.3.1(2) follows from a result due to Vallès [Val00, Th.].

The following result is well-known but since we could not find a reference we chose to give its proof for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 4.3.3. If \( G \subseteq \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2) = \text{PGL}_3 \) is a proper connected algebraic subgroup that does not fix any point of \( \mathbb{P}^2 \), then one of the following holds.

\begin{enumerate}
\item \( G = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2, \Gamma) \simeq \text{PGL}_2 \), where \( \Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2 \) is a smooth conic; or
\item \( G \) is a subgroup of \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2, L) \simeq \text{GL}_2 \ltimes \mathbb{k}^2 \), where \( L \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2 \) is a line, equal either to \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2, L) \) or to \( \text{SL}_2 \ltimes \mathbb{k}^2 \subseteq \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2, L) \).
\end{enumerate}

Proof. According to [Sei87, Th. 3], the maximal proper connected subgroups of \( \text{PGL}_3 = \text{PGL}(V) \) are either parabolic or isomorphic to \( \text{PSO}(V) = \text{PSO}_3 \simeq \text{PGL}_2 \), for some non-degenerate quadratic form \( q \) on the 3-dimensional vector space \( V \). There are two conjugacy classes of proper maximal parabolic subgroups in \( \text{PGL}_3 \). As all the non-degenerate quadratic forms are equivalent over an algebraically closed field of characteristic \( \neq 2 \), the subgroups isomorphic to \( \text{PSO}_3 \) form a single conjugacy class in \( \text{PGL}_3 \).

If \( G \) is conjugate to a subgroup of \( \text{PSO}_3 \), then either \( G \) itself is conjugate to \( \text{PSO}_3 \simeq \text{PGL}_2 \) and we get case (1) or \( G \) is conjugate to a proper subgroup of \( \text{PSO}_3 \). In the latter case \( G \) has to be contained in a Borel subgroup of \( \text{PSO}_3 \) (e.g. by
Let $\Gamma$ be the image of the natural group homomorphism $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2, \Gamma) \to \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2)$. The assumption implies that $H$ does not contain any group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2, \Gamma)$, for some smooth conic $\Gamma$ (Lemma 4.3.1).

If $H$ fixes a point $p \in \mathbb{P}^2$, we blow-up simultaneously $p$ in $\mathbb{P}^2$ and $f = \pi^{-1}(p)$ in $X$. Computing explicitly these blow-ups in a trivializing local chart containing $p_0$, we see that $\hat{X} \to \mathbb{F}_1$ is again a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle. Moreover, since $p$ and $f$ are $\text{Aut}^\circ(X)$-stable in $\mathbb{P}^2$ and $X$ respectively, the group $\text{Aut}^\circ(X)$ acts on $\hat{X}$.

It remains to show that the case where no point of $\mathbb{P}^2$ is fixed by $H$ is impossible. By Lemma 4.3.3, this case can only happen if $H = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2, L) \simeq \text{GL}_2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ or $H \simeq \text{SL}_2 \rtimes \{\pm 1\} \subseteq \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2, L)$, where $L \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is a line. We take a point $p \in \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus L$ and denote by $G_0$ and $H_0$ the subgroups of $G = \text{Aut}^\circ(X)$ and $H$ stabilizing $p$ (note that $H_0 \simeq \text{GL}_2$ or $H_0 \simeq \text{SL}_2$). Blowing-up the point $p$ and its fibre yields a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\hat{X} \to \mathbb{F}_1$ equipped with a $G_0$-action. As the group $H_0$ acts transitively on $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{p\}$, it acts transitively on the exceptional divisor of the blow-up in $\mathbb{F}_1$, and thus $H_0$ acts transitively on $\mathbb{F}^1$ via the structure morphism $\mathbb{F}_1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$. Therefore, by Proposition 3.7.4, the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\hat{X} \to \mathbb{F}_1$ is a decomposable or an Umemura $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle. In both cases, the natural group homomorphism $\tilde{G} = \text{Aut}^\circ(X) \to \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_1)$ is onto (Lemmas 3.1.5 and 3.6.3), and so $H_0$ acts transitively on the complement of the exceptional section of $\mathbb{F}_1$ (see Remark 2.4.4). Moreover, by Lemma 2.3.1, the group $\tilde{G}$ identifies with a subgroup of $G$; in particular, $G$ must act transitively on $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{p\}$, contradicting the equality $H = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2, L)$. \hfill $\square$
5. The classification

In this section, we first reduce to four families of $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles, in Proposition 5.1.1, which uses Propositions 3.7.4 and 4.3.4, and is thus valid only in characteristic zero. We then study equivariant square birational maps between the four families, in §§5.2–5.7; the content of these subsections is valid over any algebraically closed field of characteristic $\neq 2$. We then apply the results obtained in §§5.1–5.7 to get the main result in §5.8, valid only in characteristic zero.

5.1. Reduction to the four families. As a first step towards Theorem A, we prove the following:

**Proposition 5.1.1.** Let $\pi: X \to S$ be a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over a smooth projective rational surface $S$. There exists a $\text{Aut}^S(X)$-equivariant square birational map $(X, \pi) \dashrightarrow (X', \pi')$, such that $(X', \pi')$ is isomorphic to one of the following:

1. a decomposable $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\mathcal{F}_{a}^{b,c} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{F}_a$ for some $a, b \geq 0, c \in \mathbb{Z}$;
2. a decomposable $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\mathcal{P}_b \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ for some $b \geq 0$;
3. an Umemura $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\mathcal{U}_{a}^{b,c} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{F}_a$ for some $a, b \geq 1, c \geq 2$;
4. a Schwarzenberger $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\mathcal{S}_b \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ for some $b \geq 1$.

**Proof.** Using the descent lemma (Lemma 2.3.2), we can assume that $S = \mathbb{P}^2$ or that $S = \mathbb{F}_a$ for some $a \geq 0$.

In the case where $S = \mathbb{F}_a$, we apply Proposition 3.7.4 to reduce to the case of decomposable or Umemura bundles.

In the case where $S = \mathbb{P}^2$, we apply Proposition 4.3.4 to reduce to the case of decomposable Schwarzenberger bundles, or to the case of $\mathbb{F}_1$, already studied. $\square$

5.2. First links.

**Remark 5.2.1.** We now study equivariant square birational maps from a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $X \to S$ to another $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $X' \to S'$, both of the above families. The action being equivariant, we get a birational map $\eta: S \dashrightarrow S'$ which conjugates the image $H \subset \text{Aut}^S(S)$ of $\text{Aut}^S(X)$ to a subgroup of $\text{Aut}^S(S')$. Hence, $\eta$ is a sequence of blow-ups of points fixed by $H$ followed by a sequence of contractions of curves invariant by $H$. The nature of the pair $(H, S)$ given above implies that no point of $S$ is fixed, and that the only $(-1)$-curve invariant by $H$ is the exceptional curve of $\mathbb{F}_1$. We then only need to consider this case and study the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^2$ obtained (which is given by the descent lemma (Lemma 2.3.2)), square isomorphisms/birational maps of $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles (doing nothing on $S$).

We then observe that no decomposable $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over $\mathbb{F}_1$ has a maximal group of automorphisms.

**Lemma 5.2.2.** For each $b \geq 0$ and each $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, the rational map

$$\varphi: \mathcal{F}_{1}^{b,c} \dashrightarrow [x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1] \mapsto [x_0 y_0 : x_1 : y_0 z_0 : y_1 : y_0 z_1]$$

is a square birational map above a biregular morphism $\eta: \mathbb{F}_1 \to \mathbb{P}^2$ corresponding to the blow-up of $[0 : 1 : 0]$. Moreover, $\varphi \in \text{Aut}^S(\mathcal{F}_{1}^{b,c})\varphi^{-1} \subset \text{Aut}^S(\mathcal{P}_{b-c})$.

**Proof.** The birational morphism $\eta: \mathbb{F}_1 \to \mathbb{P}^2$, $[y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1] \mapsto [y_0 z_0 : y_1 : y_0 z_1]$ is the blow-up of $[0 : 1 : 0]$, and by construction of $\varphi$, we find that this one is a square birational map above $\tau$. We then write $U = \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{(0 : 1 : 0)\}$,
\[ \hat{U} = \tau^{-1}(U) \subset \mathbb{P}_1, \] and observe that \( \varphi \) induces an isomorphism \( \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\hat{U}) \overset{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \pi^{-1}(U) \).

By Lemma 2.3.2, \( \varphi \) is the unique square birational map above \( \tau \) having this property (up to composition by an isomorphism of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles at the target), and is \( \text{Aut}^o(\mathcal{F}_{i}^{bc}) \)-equivariant, which yields \( \varphi \text{Aut}^o(\mathcal{F}_{i}^{bc})\varphi^{-1} \subset \text{Aut}^o(\mathcal{P}_{b-c}) \). We moreover have \( \varphi \text{Aut}^o(\mathcal{F}_{i}^{bc})\varphi^{-1} \subseteq \text{Aut}^o(\mathcal{P}_{b-c}) \), since \( \text{Aut}^o(\mathcal{P}_{b-c}) \) acts transitively on \( \mathbb{F}_2 \) (Lemma 4.1.2), but every element of \( \varphi \text{Aut}^o(\mathcal{F}_{i}^{bc})\varphi^{-1} \) acts on \( \mathbb{F}_2 \) by fixing \([0 : 1 : 0]\).

5.3. Reduction of birational maps to elementary links. We show here that every birational map of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles between the four types in Proposition 5.1.1 is a sequence of elementary links.

**Lemma 5.3.1.** Let \( G \) be a connected algebraic group acting on a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( X \to S \), let \( H \subset \text{Aut}^o(S) \) be the image of \( G \) under this action, and assume that either no curve of \( S \) is invariant by \( H \) or that \((H,S)\) is one of the following pairs: \((\text{Aut}^o(\mathbb{F}_a),\mathbb{F}_a), a \geq 1, (\text{Aut}^o(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1),\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1), \) or \((\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2,\Gamma),\mathbb{P}^2), \) where \( \Gamma = \{(X : Y : Z) \mid Y^2 = 4XZ \} \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \) and \( \Delta \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) is the diagonal.

If \( \varphi : X \dasharrow X' \) is a \( G \)-equivariant birational map of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles (as in Definition 1.2.1) which is not an isomorphism, then we have a sequence of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles \( \pi_i : X_i \to S, i = 0, \ldots, n, \) with \( \pi_0 = \pi, \pi_n = \pi' \), and we can write \( \varphi = \varphi_n \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_1 \), where \( \varphi_i : X_i \dasharrow X_{i+1} \) is the blow-up of an irreducible curve \( \ell_i \subset X_i \), followed by the contraction of the strict transform of \( \pi_i^{-1}(\pi(\ell_i)) \) and where \( \pi_i|_{\ell_i} : \ell_i \to \pi_i(\ell_i) \) yields an isomorphism between \( \ell_i \) and either \( s_{-a} \subset \mathbb{F}_a, \) \( a \geq 1, \Delta \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) or \( \Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \).

Moreover, taking \( n \) minimal, the sequence \( \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n \) is unique, up to isomorphisms of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles.

**Proof.** Taking an open subset \( U \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \) isomorphic to \( \mathbb{A}^2 \), the \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( X \) is trivial, so corresponds to \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^2 \). On this chart, the birational map \( \varphi \) is of the form

\[
([x_0 : x_1], (u, v)) \mapsto ([a(u, v)x_0 + b(u, v)x_1 : c(u, v)x_0 + d(u, v)x_1], (u, v))
\]

for some \( a, b, c, d \in k(u, v) \) with \( ad - bc \neq 0 \). Choosing \( a, b, c, d \in k[u, v] \) with no common factor, the polynomials \( a, b, c, d \) are unique, up to multiplication by an element of \( k^* \). The zero locus of the determinant \( P = ad - bc \) corresponds thus exactly to the subset of \( U \) over which \( \varphi \) is not an isomorphism.

Denoting by \( K \subset S \) the subset over which \( \varphi \) is not an isomorphism, we find that \( K \) is a union of closed irreducible curves. The map \( \varphi \) being \( G \)-equivariant, \( K \) is invariant by \( H \). The assumption made on \((H,S)\) implies that either \( K = \emptyset \), in which case \( \varphi \) is an isomorphism, or \((K,S)\) is one of the three cases \((s_{-a}, \mathbb{F}_a), (\Delta, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \) or \((\Gamma, \mathbb{P}^2)\).

In the three cases, we can choose, for each point \( p \in K \), an open set \( U \subset S \) and an isomorphism \( U \overset{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{A}^2 \) which sends \( K \cap \mathbb{A}^2 \) onto the line \( u = 0 \). Writing \( \varphi \) with \( a, b, c, d \in k[u, v] \) as above, we find that \( ad - bc = \lambda v^n \) for some integer \( n \geq 1 \) and \( \lambda \in k^* \). As \( u \) does not divide all polynomials \( a, b, c, d \), the matrix \( M(u, v) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \) is such that \( M_0 = M(0, v) \) has rank 1. The ring \( k[v] \) being a PID (principal ideal domain), we can use the Smith normal form and find \( A, B \in \text{GL}_2(k[v]) \) (that are in fact product of elementary matrices since \( k[v] \) is Euclidean) such that \( AM_0B = \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \), for some \( e \in k[v] \setminus \{0\} \). Replacing \( M \) with \( AMB \),
we then obtain \( b = ub', \ d = ud'\), for some \( b', d' \in k[u,v] \), and get \( M = M'R \)
with \( M' = \begin{bmatrix} a & b' \\ c & d' \end{bmatrix} \) and \( R = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & u \end{bmatrix} \). The base locus of \( \varphi \) is then given, in these coordinates, by \( u = 0 \) and \( x_0 = 0 \), corresponding to a curve \( \ell \subset X \) such that \( \pi \) yields an isomorphism \( \pi|_{\ell} : \ell \to K \). The blow-up of this curve followed by the contraction of the strict transform of the surface \( \pi^{-1}(K) \) is locally given by the matrix \( R \). As \( \det(M') = \lambda u^{-1} \), we proceed by induction and obtain the result. \( \square \)

5.4. Links between decomposable bundles over Hirzebruch surfaces.

**Lemma 5.4.1.** Let \( a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, a, b \geq 0 \). The curves of \( F_a^{b,c} \) invariant by \( \text{Aut}^0(F_a^{b,c}) \) are given as follows.

1. The curve \( l_{00} \) given by \( x_0 = y_0 = 0 \) is invariant if and only if \( \text{and only if } ab > 0 \) or \( ac < 0 \).
2. The curve \( l_{10} \) given by \( x_1 = y_0 = 0 \) is invariant if and only if \( \text{and only if } ac > 0 \).
3. No irreducible curve \( \ell \in F_a^{b,c} \) with \( \ell \neq l_{00}, \ell \neq l_{10} \) is invariant.

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.1.5, the morphism \( \pi : F_a^{b,c} \to \mathbb{F}_a \) yields a surjective group homomorphism \( \text{Aut}^0(F_a^{b,c}) \to \text{Aut}^0(F_a) \). Hence, if \( \ell \subset F_a^{b,c} \) is a curve invariant by \( \text{Aut}^0(F_a^{b,c}) \), then \( \pi(\ell) = s_{a^{-1}} \), and \( a > 0 \) (Remarks 2.4.3 and 2.4.4). Moreover, \( G_m \) acts on \( F_a^{b,c} \) via \( [x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1] \mapsto [x_0 : tx_1 : y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1] \), so any point of \( \ell \) should satisfy \( x_0 = 0 \) or \( x_1 = 0 \) (otherwise we get a whole fibre of a point of \( s_{a^{-1}} \) which is contained in \( \ell \)), hence \( \ell \) has to be equal to \( l_{00} \) or \( l_{10} \). This yields (3).

We can now assume that \( a > 0 \), and show (1), (2), by proving when \( l_{00}, l_{10} \) are invariant. The surface \( \pi^{-1}(s_{a^{-1}}) \approx \mathbb{F}_a \) being invariant, the curve \( l_{00} \) is invariant when \( c < 0 \) and \( l_{10} \) is invariant when \( c > 0 \). Moreover, the fibres of the \( \mathbb{F}_a \)-bundle \( F_a^{b,c} \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) (given in Remark 3.1.4) are exchanged by \( \text{Aut}^0(F_a^{b,c}) \). If \( b > 0 \), the surface \( S_{a^{-1}} \) given by \( x_0 = 0 \) is the union of the negative sections and is then invariant, so \( l_{00} = S_{a^{-1}} \cap \pi^{-1}(s_{a^{-1}}) \) is invariant.

It remains to show that \( l_{10} \) and \( l_{00} \) are not invariant in the other cases. If \( c \leq 0 \), the group \( G_a \) acts on \( F_a^{b,c} \) via \( (t, [x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1]) \mapsto ([x_0 : x_1 + tx_0 y_1 z_1^{-1} : y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1]) \) so \( l_{10} \) is not invariant. If \( b = 0 \) and \( c \geq 0 \), then \( G_a \) acts on \( F_a^{b,c} \) via \( (t, [x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1]) \mapsto ([x_0 + tx_1 z_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1]) \) so \( l_{00} \) is not invariant. \( \square \)

**Lemma 5.4.2.**

1. For all \( a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, a, b \geq 0 \), the blow-up of the curve \( l_{00} \subset F_a^{b,c} \) given by \( x_0 = y_0 = 0 \) followed by the contraction of the strict transform of the surface \( \pi^{-1}(s_{a^{-1}}) \) onto \( l_{10} \subset F_a^{b+1,c+a} \) given by \( x_1 = y_0 = 0 \) yields a birational map \( \varphi : F_a^{b,c} \to F_a^{b+1,c+a} \) \( ([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1]) \mapsto ([x_0 : x_1 y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1]) \).

We have then \( \varphi \circ \text{Aut}^0(F_a^{b,c}) \) \( \sim \subset \text{Aut}^0(F_a^{b+1,c+a}) \) if and only if \( \text{and only if } ab > 0 \)
or \( ac < 0 \), and \( \varphi^{-1} \circ \text{Aut}^0(F_a^{b+1,c+a}) \) \( \subset \text{Aut}^0(F_a^{b,c}) \) if and only if \( \text{and only if } ac < 0 \).

2. For all \( a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, a, b \geq 0 \), every \( \text{Aut}^0(F_a^{b,c}) \)-equivariant birational map of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles \( F_a^{b,c} \to X \) is a composition of birational maps as in (1) (and of their inverses) and of isomorphisms of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles.

**Proof.** As we can check in local coordinates, the birational map \( \mathbb{F}_b \to \mathbb{F}_{b+1}, [x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1] \mapsto [x_0 : x_1 y_0 : y_1] \) is the composition of the blow-up of the point \([0 : 1 : 0 : 1]\), followed by the contraction of the strict transform of \( y_0 = 0 \) onto the
point \([1:0:0:1]\). Doing this in family yields \(\varphi\). We have then \(\varphi \operatorname{Aut}^o(F_a^{b,c}) \varphi^{-1} \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}^o(F_a^{b+1,c+a})\) if and only if \(\operatorname{Aut}^o(F_a^{b,c})\) preserves \(l_{00}\) and \(\varphi^{-1} \operatorname{Aut}^o(F_a^{b+1,c+a}) \varphi \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}^o(F_a^{b,c})\) if and only if \(\operatorname{Aut}^o(F_a^{b+1,c+a})\) preserves \(l_{10}\). Hence, (1) follows from Lemma 5.4.1 for \(b \geq 0\).

It remains to prove (2). By Lemma 5.3.1, we only need to consider elementary links \(F_a^{b,c} \to X\), obtained by blowing-up an invariant curve \(\ell \subset F_a^{b,c}\), followed by contracting \(\pi^{-1}(\pi(\ell))\). The only curves in \(F_a^{b,c}\) that are invariant are \(l_{00}\) and \(l_{10}\) (Lemma 5.4.1), and the links associated to these are given in (1): if we start with \(l_{00}\), then it is equal to \(\varphi\) as in (1); if we start with \(l_{10}\), then it is equal to \(\varphi^{-1}\) as in (1) when \(b \geq 1\), and is the composition of the isomorphism \(F_a^{b,c} \simeq F_a^{b-1,c+a}\) exchanging \(x_0\) and \(x_1\) with a link \(\varphi\) as in (1) if \(b = 0\).

We recall that the notions of stiff and superstiff \(\mathbb{P}^1\)-bundle, used in the next result and in the sequel were defined in the introduction (Definition 1.2.3).

**Corollary 5.4.3.** Let \(a, b \geq 0\) and \(c \in \mathbb{Z}\) be such that \(c \leq 0\) when \(b = 0\). Then, \(\operatorname{Aut}^o(F_a^{b,c})\) is maximal if and only if \(a \neq 1\) and one of the following holds:

1. \(a = 0\), i.e. \(F_a^{b,c}\) is a decomposable \(\mathbb{P}^1\)-bundle over \(P_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\);
2. \(b = c = 0\), i.e. \(F_a^{b,c}\) is isomorphic to \(F_a^{0,0} \simeq F_a \times \mathbb{P}^1\);
3. \(-a < c < ab\).

Moreover, \(F_a^{b,c}\) is superstiff in Cases (1)-(2), and is not stiff in Case (3).

More precisely, denoting by \(r\) the smallest integer such that \(c - ra \leq 0\), we find \(r \leq b\) and get an infinite sequence of elementary links

\[
F_a^{b-r,c-ra} \to \cdots \to F_a^{b,c} \to F_a^{b+1,c+a} \to \cdots \to F_a^{b+n,c+an} \to \cdots
\]

which conjugates \(\operatorname{Aut}^o(F_a^{b,c})\) to \(\operatorname{Aut}^o(F_a^{b+s,c+as})\) for all integers \(s \geq -r\). This gives all \(\operatorname{Aut}^o(F_a^{b,c})\)-equivariant square birational maps from \(F_a^{b,c}\) to another \(\mathbb{P}^1\)-bundle.

**Proof.** If \(a = 1\), then \(\operatorname{Aut}^o(F_a^{b,c})\) is not maximal by Lemma 5.2.2. We can thus assume that \(a \neq 1\). In this case, as we have a surjective group homomorphism \(\operatorname{Aut}^o(F_a^{b,c}) \to \operatorname{Aut}^o(P_a)\), every \(\operatorname{Aut}^o(F_a^{b,c})\)-equivariant square birational map starting from \(F_a^{b,c}\) is in fact the composition of an element of \(\operatorname{Aut}^o(F_a^{b,c})\) with a \(\operatorname{Aut}^o(F_a^{b,c})\)-equivariant birational map of \(\mathbb{P}^1\)-bundles. These are compositions of links given in Lemma 5.4.2(1) and isomorphisms of \(\mathbb{P}^1\)-bundles, as explained in Lemma 5.4.2(2).

If \(a = 0\) or \(b = c = 0\), then every \(\operatorname{Aut}^o(F_a^{b,c})\)-equivariant birational map of \(\mathbb{P}^1\)-bundles starting from \(F_a^{b,c}\) is in fact an isomorphism of \(\mathbb{P}^1\)-bundles (Lemma 5.4.2). This shows that \(F_a^{b,c}\) is superstiff and thus that \(\operatorname{Aut}^o(F_a^{b,c})\) is maximal in these cases, corresponding to (1) and (2).

We then assume \(a \geq 2\) and suppose that \((b,c) \neq (0,0)\).

Let us show that \(\operatorname{Aut}^o(F_a^{b,c})\) is not maximal when \(c \geq ab\). Note that \(b > 0\) in this case, by assumption (we suppose \(c < 0\) when \(b = 0\)). Lemma 5.4.2 yields a \(\operatorname{Aut}^o(F_a^{b,c})\)-equivariant birational map \(\psi : F_a^{b,c} \to F_a^{b,c+ab}\) given as the composition of the links \(F_a^{b,c} \to F_a^{b-1,c-a} \to \cdots \to F_a^{1,c-a} \to F_a^{b,c+ab}\). By construction \(\psi \operatorname{Aut}^o(F_a^{b,c}) \psi^{-1} \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}^o(F_a^{b,c+ab})\), which implies then that \(\psi \operatorname{Aut}^o(F_a^{b,c}) \psi^{-1} \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}^o(F_a^{b,c+ab})\) by Lemma 5.4.1(1).

We now prove that \(\operatorname{Aut}^o(F_a^{b,c})\) is not maximal when \(c \leq -a\). In this case, we use the \(\operatorname{Aut}^o(F_a^{b,c})\)-equivariant link \(\varphi : F_a^{b,c} \to F_a^{b+1,c+a}\) given by Lemma 5.4.2, which is made such that \(\varphi \operatorname{Aut}^o(F_a^{b,c}) \varphi^{-1} \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}^o(F_a^{b+1,c+a})\) preserves the curve \(l_{10}\) and thus yields \(\varphi \operatorname{Aut}^o(F_a^{b,c}) \varphi^{-1} \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}^o(F_a^{b+1,c+a})\) by Lemma 5.4.1(1).
5.5. Links between Umemura bundles over Hirzebruch surfaces. We can naturally treat the case of Umemura \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles. Recall that such bundles \( U_a^{b,c} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_a \) are defined by positive integers \( a,b \geq 1 \) and \( c \geq 2 \), such that \( c = ak + 2 \) with \( 0 \leq k \leq b \) (see Definition 3.6.1 and Remark 3.6.2 for more details).

We start with the case where \( a = 1 \), and study the \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( V_1^b \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^2 \) obtained from \( U_1^{b,2} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_1 \) as follows.

**Lemma 5.5.1.** Let \( \eta: \mathbb{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^2, [y_0 : y_1 : z_0 : z_1] \mapsto [y_0z_0 : y_1 : y_0z_1] \) be the blow-up of \([0 : 1 : 0]\), which induces an isomorphism between \( \hat{U} = \eta^{-1}(U) \) and \( U = \mathbb{F}^2 \setminus \{[0 : 1 : 0]\} \).

1. For each integer \( b \geq 1 \), there is a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( \pi: V_1^b \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^2 \), unique up to isomorphism of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles, and a birational morphism \( \psi: U_1^{b,2} \rightarrow V_1^b \) such that the following hold:
   (i) \( \psi \) is a square birational map over \( \eta \);
   (ii) \( \psi \) induces an isomorphism \( \hat{\pi}^{-1}(\hat{U}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi^{-1}(U) \);
   (iii) \( \psi \) is the blow-up of the smooth rational curve \( \pi^{-1}([0 : 1 : 0]) \subset V_1^b \).

2. If \( L \subset \mathbb{F}^2 \) is a line, the \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( \pi^{-1}(L) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}^1 \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{F}_b \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^1 \) if \( L \) is a line through \([0 : 1 : 0]\);
   \( \mathbb{F}_{[b-2]} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^1 \) if \( L \) is a line not passing through \([0 : 1 : 0]\).

3. The image in \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}^2) \) of \( \text{Aut}^o(V_1^b) \) is equal to \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}^2) \) if \( b = 1 \) and to \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}^2, [0 : 1 : 0]) \) if \( b \geq 2 \).

4. We have \( \psi \in \text{Aut}^o(U_1^{b,2}) \psi^{-1} \subset \text{Aut}^o(V_1^b) \), with equality if \( b \geq 2 \).

**Proof.** The existence of a unique birational map \( \psi: U_1^{b,2} \rightarrow V_1^b \) satisfying (i)-(ii) follows from the descent lemma (Lemma 2.3.2). We now prove that \( \psi \) also satisfies (iii), which implies that \( \psi \) is a birational morphism. To do this, we denote by \( W \subset \mathbb{F}_1 \) the open subset given by \( y_1 \neq 0 \), and show that \( \hat{\pi}: U_1^{b,2} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_1 \) is trivial over \( W \). As \( W \) contains the exceptional curve \( s_{-1} \subset \mathbb{F}_1 \) of \( \eta \) (given by \( y_0 = 0 \)), this will show that one can contract \( \hat{\pi}^{-1}(s_{-1}) \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) and obtain a birational morphism having the desired properties. To show the triviality of \( \hat{\pi} \) over \( W \), we take the transition function of \( U_1^{b,2} \), given by \( \nu \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}) \) as follows

\[
\nu: ([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1], z) \mapsto ([x_0 : x_1 z^2 + x_0 y_0 z : y_0 z : y_1], \frac{1}{z}).
\]

The intersection of \( W \) with each chart is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^2 \), via the inclusion \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1 \), \( ([x_0 : x_1], y, z) \mapsto [x_0 : x_1 : y : 1] \), and the transition function becomes \( ([x_0 : x_1], y, z) \mapsto ([x_0 : x_1 z^2 + x_0 z], y, \frac{1}{z}) \), which yields a trivial \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle, since

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{z} & z & z^2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & -z \\
0 & 1 \\
0 & z
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
z & 0 \\
0 & z
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

This achieves the proof of (1).
(2)-(3)-(4): The existence of unicity of $\psi$ and $V^b_1$ being proven, we then observe that $\psi \operatorname{Aut}^c(U^b_1)$ yields $\psi^{-1} \subset \operatorname{Aut}^c(V^b_1)$ also follows from the descent lemma (Lemma 2.3.2).

This shows in particular that the subgroup $H_b \subset \operatorname{Aut}(P^2)$ being the image of $\operatorname{Aut}^c(V^b_1)$ contains the group $\operatorname{Aut}(P^2, [0 : 1 : 0]) \simeq \text{GL}_2 \times k^2$.

We now take the open subsets $U_0, U_1 \subset P^2$ given by $U_0 = \{ [X : Y : Z] | X \neq 0 \} \simeq A^2$, $U_1 = \{ [X : Y : Z] | Z \neq 0 \} \simeq A^2$, and observe that $U_i = \eta^{-1}(U_i) \simeq U_i$ for $i = 0, 1$. Hence, $\pi^{-1}(U_i) \simeq \hat{\pi}^{-1}(U_i)$, and the transition function is computed as follows: a point $[2] \in U_0 \cap U_1$ corresponds to $[1 : u ; 1 : v] \in \mathbb{F}_k$ and thus its preimage to $([x_0 : x_1 : 1 : u], v) \in \mathbb{F}_k \times A^1$ on the first chart, sent onto $([0 : x_1 u^2 + x_0 u^b v : v ; u], \frac{1}{v}) = ([x_0 : x_1 u^2 - b + x_0 u^b v^{1-b} : 1 : \frac{1}{v}])$ on the second chart. The transition function is then given by

$$
\mathbb{P}^1 \times U_0 \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathbb{P}^1 \times U_1
$$

$([x_0 : x_1], [1 : u : v]) \quad \mapsto \quad ([x_0 : x_1 u^{2-b} + x_0 u^b v^{1-b}], [\frac{1}{v} : \frac{u}{v} : 1])$.

For $b = 1$, we find the transition function of $\mathcal{S}_1 \simeq \mathbb{P}(T_{P^2})$ (Corollary 4.2.2), which yields $V^b_1 \simeq \mathcal{S}_1$ and thus $H_1 = \operatorname{Aut}(P^2)$ (Remark 4.2.3 or Lemma 4.2.5(2)). In particular, $\psi \operatorname{Aut}^c(U^b_1)$ (3)-(4) are then proven for $b = 1$.

We now prove (2) (for each $b \geq 1$). We observe that if $L$ passes through $[0 : 1 : 0]$, its strict transform on $F_1$ is a fibre $f \neq 1$ of the $P^1$-bundle $F_1 \rightarrow P^1$, so $\pi^{-1}(f) \simeq F_b$. Since $\pi^{-1}(L) \simeq \hat{\pi}^{-1}(f)$ (because $\psi$ is a blow-up of a curve), we obtain $\pi^{-1}(L) \simeq F_b$. We now take a line $L$ not passing through $[0 : 1 : 0]$, use the action of $\operatorname{Aut}^c(V^b_1)$ to restrict to the case where $L$ is the line given by $Y = 0$ and replace $u = 0$ in the transition function above to get $\pi^{-1}(L) \simeq \mathbb{F}_{[b-2]}$.

Assertion (3) for $b \geq 2$ is now given as follows: $[0 : 1 : 0]$ has to be fixed by $H_b$ because of (2), and $\operatorname{Aut}(P^2, [0 : 1 : 0]) \subset H_b$ was already proven.

It remains to show (4), and then to prove that every element $g \in \operatorname{Aut}^c(V^b_1)$ belongs to $\psi^{-1} g \psi \in \operatorname{Aut}^c(U^b_1)$ when $b \geq 2$. Assertion (3) implies that $g$ preserves the curve $\pi^{-1}(0) \subset \mathbb{F}_{[0 : 1 : 0]}$, so this follows from (1)(ii).

Lemma 5.5.2. Let $a, b \geq 1$ and $c \geq 2$ be such that $c = ak + 2$ with $0 \leq k \leq b$. The curves of $U^b_a$ invariant by $\operatorname{Aut}^c(U^b_a)$ are given as follows.

1. The curve $l_{00}$ given by $x_0 = y_0 = 0$ on both charts is invariant.
2. The curve $l_{10}$ given by $x_1 = y_0 = 0$ on both charts is invariant if and only if $k > 0$ (i.e. when $c > 2$).
3. These are the two (respectively the only curve) of $U^b_a$ invariant by $\operatorname{Aut}^c(U^b_a)$ if $c > 2$ (respectively when $c = 2$).

Proof. Since $a \geq 1$, the curve $s_{-a} \subset \mathbb{F}_a$ is invariant by $\operatorname{Aut}^c(\mathbb{F}_a)$, hence the surface $\pi^{-1}(s_{-a})$ given by $y_0 = 0$ on both charts. The fibres of the $\mathbb{F}_b$-bundle $U^b_a \rightarrow P^1$ are exchanged by $\operatorname{Aut}^c(U^b_a)$. Since $b \geq 1$, the surface $S_{-a}$ given by $x_0 = 0$ is the union of the negative sections and is then invariant. This yields (1).

Recall that the transition function of $U^b_a$ is given by

$$
\nu: ([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1], z) \quad \mapsto \quad ([x_0 : x_1 z^c + x_0 y_0^k y_1^{b-k} z^{c-1}, y_0 z^a : y_1], \frac{1}{z})
$$

(Remark 3.6.2). If $k > 0$, the surface $\pi^{-1}(s_{-a})$, corresponding to $y_0 = 0$, is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_c$, and the curve $l_{10}$ given by $x_1 = y_0 = 0$ on both charts corresponds to the curve $s_{-c} \subset \mathbb{F}_c$ (with $c > 0$) and is thus invariant.
It remains to see that \( l_{10} \) is not invariant if \( k = 0 \) and that no curve distinct from \( l_{10} \) or \( l_{00} \) can be invariant.

(3): Let \( \ell \subset \mathcal{U}^{b,c}_a \) be an invariant curve. As the morphism \( \pi: \mathcal{U}^{b,c}_a \to \mathbb{F}_a \) yields a surjective group homomorphism \( \text{Aut}^\circ(\mathcal{U}^{b,c}_a) \to \text{Aut}^\circ(\mathbb{F}_a) \) (Lemma 3.6.3), and because \( a \geq 1 \), we have \( \pi(\ell) = s_{-a} \). We then use the fact that \( \ell \) has to be invariant by the GL\(_2\)-action given explicitly in Remark 3.6.5. We consider the action of the upper triangular group by taking \( \gamma = 0 \) and obtain that the image of \( ([x_0 : x_1 : 0 : 1], 0) \), on the first chart, is equal to \( ([x_0 : \frac{x_1 a^{c-1}}{a} : 0 : 1], 0) \) if \( k > 0 \) and to \( ([x_0 : \frac{x_1 a^{c-1}}{a} - \frac{x_0 a^{c-2} b}{a}, 0 : 1], 0) \) if \( k = 0 \). We then find that either \( ([0 : 1 : 0 : 1], 0) \in \ell \) or \( ([1 : 0 : 1], 0) \) is \( \ell \) and \( k > 0 \). In the first case, we get \( \ell = l_{00} \), since \( l_{00} \) is an orbit. In the second case, \( l_{10} \) is an orbit and \( \ell = l_{10} \). This achieves the proof.

\[\text{Lemma 5.5.3.}\]

(1) For each Umemura \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( \mathcal{U}^{b,c}_a \to \mathbb{F}_a \), the blow-up of the curve \( l_{00} \subset \mathcal{U}^{b,c}_a \) followed by the contraction of the strict transform of the surface \( \pi^{-1}(s_{-a}) \) yields a birational map \( \varphi: \mathcal{U}^{b,c}_a \to \mathcal{U}^{b+1,c+a}_a \), satisfying \( \varphi \circ \text{Aut}^\circ(\mathcal{U}^{b,c}_a) \varphi^{-1} = \text{Aut}^\circ(\mathcal{U}^{b+1,c+a}_a) \).

(2) For each \( a \geq 1 \), we have a birational map \( \varphi: \mathcal{U}^{b+1,c+a}_a \to \mathcal{F}^{0.2}_a \) such that \( \varphi(\mathcal{U}^{b+1,c+a}_a) \varphi^{-1} \subseteq \text{Aut}^\circ(\mathcal{F}^{0.2}_a) \).

\[\text{Proof.}\] (1): For each \( a \geq 1, b \geq 0, \) and \( 0 \leq k \leq b \), we write \( c = ak + 2 \) and denote by \( \nu_a^{b,c} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_b \times \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}) \) the birational map

\[\varphi_b^{b,c}: ([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1], z) \mapsto ([x_0 : x_1 z^c + x_0 y_0 k, y_1^{b+1} : y_0 z^a : y_1], z", 1\).\]

and observe that \( \nu_a^{b,c} \) is the transition function of \( \mathcal{U}^{b,c}_a \) if \( b \geq 1 \) (Remark 3.6.2). We then denote by \( \varphi_b \) the birational map

\[\varphi_b^{b,c}: ([x_0 : x_1 : y_0 : y_1], z) \mapsto ([x_0 : x_1 y_0 : y_1], z),\]

and observe that \( \varphi(b^{b,c}) \varphi^{-1} = \nu_a^{b+1,c+a} \). If \( b \geq 1 \), the blow-up of \( l_{00} \subset \mathcal{U}^{b,c}_a \), followed by the contraction of the strict transform of the surface \( \pi^{-1}(s_{-a}) \), yields a birational map given in the two charts by \( \varphi_b \). This corresponds then to a birational map \( \mathcal{U}^{b,c}_a \to \mathcal{U}^{b+1,c+a}_a \), which is the blow-up of the curve \( l_{00} \subset \mathcal{U}^{b,c}_a \) followed by the contraction of the strict transform of the surface \( \pi^{-1}(s_{-a}) \). Since \( l_{00} \) and \( \pi^{-1}(s_{-a}) \) are invariant by \( \text{Aut}^\circ(\mathcal{U}^{b,c}_a) \) (Lemma 5.5.2), we get \( \varphi \circ \text{Aut}^\circ(\mathcal{U}^{b,c}_a) \varphi^{-1} \subseteq \text{Aut}^\circ(\mathcal{U}^{b+1,c+a}_a) \). We then observe that \( \varphi^{-1} \) is the blow-up of \( l_{10} \subset \mathcal{U}^{b+1,c+a}_a \) followed by the contraction of the strict transform of the surface \( \pi^{-1}(s_{-a}) \). As \( l_{10} \) is invariant by \( \text{Aut}^\circ(\mathcal{U}^{b+1,c+a}_a) \), we obtain \( \varphi \circ \text{Aut}^\circ(\mathcal{U}^{b,c}_a) \varphi^{-1} = \text{Aut}^\circ(\mathcal{U}^{b+1,c+a}_a) \), and achieves the proof of (1).

We now consider the above construction in the case \( b = 0 \) (which yields \( k = 0 \) and \( c = 2 \) ). The transition function \( \nu_a^{b+1,c+a} \) still corresponds to the transition function of \( \mathcal{U}^{b+1,c+a}_a = \mathcal{U}^{1,a+2}_a \), but the transition function \( \nu_a^{b,c} \) corresponds to a transition function on a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle over \( \mathbb{F}_a \) with numerical invariants \((a, b, c)\), which is therefore decomposable and isomorphic to \( \mathcal{F}^{b,c}_a = \mathcal{F}^{0.2}_a \) (Proposition 3.3.1(2)). The maps \( (\varphi_b)^{-1} \) on both charts yield then an elementary link \( \varphi: \mathcal{U}^{1,a+2}_a \to \mathcal{F}^{0.2}_a \) centered at \( l_{10} \), which is then \( \text{Aut}^\circ(\mathcal{U}^{1,a+2}_a) \)-equivariant. We moreover have
\[ \varphi(\text{Aut}^c(\mathcal{U}_{a}^{1,a+2}))\varphi^{-1} \subseteq \text{Aut}^c(\mathcal{F}_{a}^{0,2}), \text{ since } \text{Aut}^c(\mathcal{F}_{a}^{0,2}) \text{ contains a torus of dimension } 3 \text{ (Remark 3.1.3), which is not the case for } \text{Aut}^c(\mathcal{U}_{a}^{1,a+2}) \text{ (follows from Remark 3.6.4). This achieves then the proof of (2).} \]

**Corollary 5.5.4.** Let \( \mathcal{U}_{a}^{b,c} \) be an Umemura bundle. Then, \( \text{Aut}^c(\mathcal{U}_{a}^{b,c}) \) is maximal if and only if one of the following hold:

1. \( a \geq 2 \) and \( c - ab < 2 \);
2. \( a = 1 \) and \( c - ab < 1 \).

In this case, \( \mathcal{U}_{a}^{b,c} \) is not stiff. More precisely, denoting by \( k \) the integer such that \( c = ak + 2 \), we find \( 0 \leq k < b \) and get a sequence of birational maps

\[ \mathcal{U}_{a}^{b-k,2} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{-1}} \mathcal{U}_{a}^{b-k+1,2+a} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi^{-1}} \mathcal{U}_{a}^{b-n,2+n+a} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{-1}} \cdots \]

such that \( \varphi_n \text{Aut}^c(\mathcal{U}_{a}^{b-n,2+n+a})\varphi_n^{-1} = \text{Aut}^c(\mathcal{U}_{a}^{b+n,2+(n+1)a}) \) for each \( n \geq -k \). If \( a \geq 2 \), this gives all \( \text{Aut}^c(\mathcal{U}_{a}^{b,c}) \)-equivariant square birational maps from \( \mathcal{U}_{a}^{b,c} \) to another \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle. If \( a = 1 \), we add the birational morphism \( \mathcal{U}_{a}^{b-k,2} = \mathcal{U}_{1}^{b-k,2} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{b-k,1} \) of Lemma 5.5.1.

**Proof.** We denote as usual by \( k \) the integer satisfying \( 0 \leq k \leq b \), such that \( c = ak + 2 \) and find that \( c - ab < 2 \iff k < b \).

If \( c - ab \geq 2 \), then \( k = b \), so \( c = ab + 2 \). We construct a \( \text{Aut}^c(\mathcal{U}_{a}^{b,c}) \)-equivariant birational map of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles \( \mathcal{U}_{a}^{b,c} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{a}^{1,a+2} \) which is the composition of birational maps \( \mathcal{U}_{a}^{b,c} = \mathcal{U}_{a}^{b,ab+2} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{a}^{b-1,a(b-1)+2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{a}^{1,a+2} \) (Lemma 5.5.3(1)). Since \( \text{Aut}^c(\mathcal{U}_{a}^{1,a+2}) \) is not maximal (Lemma 5.5.3(2)), so is \( \text{Aut}^c(\mathcal{U}_{a}^{b,c}) \).

We now assume \( c - ab < 2 \), which means \( k < b \). Lemma 5.5.3(1) yields a sequence of birational maps

\[ \mathcal{U}_{a}^{b-k,2} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{-1}} \mathcal{U}_{a}^{b-k+1,2+a} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi^{-1}} \mathcal{U}_{a}^{b-n,2+n+a} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{-1}} \cdots \]

such that \( \varphi_n \text{Aut}^c(\mathcal{U}_{a}^{b-n,2+n+a})\varphi_n^{-1} = \text{Aut}^c(\mathcal{U}_{a}^{b+n,2+(n+1)a}) \) for each \( n \geq -k \).

If \( a \geq 2 \), Every \( \text{Aut}^c(\mathcal{U}_{a}^{b,c}) \)-equivariant birational map of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles \( \mathcal{U}_{a}^{b,c} \rightarrow X \) is a composition of birational maps as these and of isomorphisms of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles, since \( t_{10} \) is not invariant by \( \text{Aut}^c(\mathcal{U}_{a}^{b-k,2}) \) (Lemma 5.5.2). We then get the result in this case \( (a \geq 2) \).

It remains to do the case where \( a = 1 \), which yields \( c = k+2 \) and \( c - ab = k - b + 2 \). If \( c - ab = 1 \), then \( b - k = 1 \), which implies that \( \text{Aut}^c(\mathcal{U}_{1}^{b-k,2}) \) is not maximal (Lemma 5.5.1), and thus also \( \text{Aut}^c(\mathcal{U}_{1}^{b,c}) \). If \( c - ab < 1 \), then \( b - k > 2 \). We thus get a birational morphism \( \psi: \mathcal{U}_{1}^{b-k,2} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{1}^{b-k} \) which satisfies \( \psi \text{Aut}^c(\mathcal{U}_{1}^{b-k,2})\psi^{-1} = \text{Aut}^c(\mathcal{V}_{1}^{b-k}) \) (Lemma 5.5.1). It remains to show that we cannot get any further link.

At the level of surfaces, the only \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_1) \)-equivariant birational maps \( \mathbb{F}_1 \rightarrow S \), where \( S \) is a smooth projective surface, are isomorphisms or blow-ups \( \mathbb{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \) of a point of \( \mathbb{P}^2 \). We then only need to show that there is no square birational map \( \mathcal{V}_{1}^{b-k} \rightarrow X \), to a \( \pi \)-bundle \( X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \), which is not a square isomorphism. We can reduce to the case of birational of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle (doing nothing on \( \mathbb{P}^2 \)), and use then Lemma 5.3.1, and only need to observe that no curve of \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) is invariant by the action of \( \text{Aut}^c(\mathcal{V}_{1}^{b-k}) \), which acts as \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2, [0 : 1 : 0]) \). The result then follows from Lemma 5.3.1. \( \Box \)

### 5.6. Links between Schwarzenberger bundles
Lemma 5.6.1. Let $b \geq 1$ and let $\pi: S_b \to \mathbb{P}^2$ be the $b$-th Schwarzenberger $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle. If $b = 1$, no curve of $S_b$ is invariant by $\text{Aut}^\circ(S_b)$. If $b \geq 2$, there is a unique curve invariant by $\text{Aut}^\circ(S_b)$, which is given on the two charts of Lemma 4.2.1 by

\[
\begin{align*}
\{(x_0 : x_1, [1 : 2t : t^2]) &\in \mathbb{P}^1 \times U_0 \mid x_0 + tx_1 = 0, \\
\{(x_0 : x_1, [t^2 : 2t : 1]) &\in \mathbb{P}^1 \times U_1 \mid x_0 - tx_1 = 0, 
\end{align*}
\]

Proof. Let $\rho: \text{Aut}^\circ(S_b) \to \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ be the group homomorphism induced by $\pi$. If $b = 1$, then $\rho$ is surjective (Lemma 4.2.5(2)), so there is no curve of $S_b$ which is invariant. Suppose now that $b \geq 2$, in which case $\rho$ yields an isomorphism $\text{Aut}^\circ(S_b) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2, \Gamma) \cong \text{PGL}_2$ (again by Lemma 4.2.5(2)). Every curve of $S_b$ is then contained in the invariant surface $X = \pi^{-1}(\Gamma) \subset S_b$. To understand the action of $\text{Aut}^\circ(S_b) \cong \text{PGL}_2$ on $X$, we use the corresponding action on the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\tilde{\pi}: \tilde{S}_b = S_b \times_{\mathbb{P}^2} (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, obtained by Lemma 4.2.4. The pull-back of $X$ on $\tilde{S}_b$ is the surface $\tilde{X} = \tilde{\pi}(\Delta) \subset \tilde{S}_b$ (where $\Delta \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is the diagonal), isomorphic to $X$, via a $\text{PGL}_2$-equivariant isomorphism. By Lemma 4.2.4, there is a unique curve in $\tilde{X}$ invariant by $\text{Aut}^\circ(\tilde{S}_b) \cong \text{PGL}_2$, which is sent onto the curve of $X$ given locally as above.

Lemma 5.6.2. Let $b \geq 2$ and let $\pi: S_b \to \mathbb{P}^2$ be the $b$-th Schwarzenberger $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle. There is a birational involution $\varphi: S_b \dashrightarrow S_b$ such that $\varphi \text{Aut}^\circ(S_b)\varphi^{-1} = \text{Aut}^\circ(S_b)$. Moreover, every $\text{Aut}^\circ(S_b)$-equivariant birational map of $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $S_b \dashrightarrow X$ is either an isomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles or a composition of $\varphi$ with an isomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles.

Proof. By Lemma 5.6.1, there is a unique curve $D \subset S_b$ which is invariant by $\text{Aut}^\circ(S_b)$, and satisfies $\pi(D) = \Gamma$. We consider the following birational involutions

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi_0: &\quad \mathbb{P}^1 \times U_0 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times U_0, \\
&\quad ([x_0 : x_1, [1 : u : v]) \mapsto ([ux_0 - 2vx_1 : 2x_0 + ux_1, [1 : u : v]])
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi_1: &\quad \mathbb{P}^1 \times U_1 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times U_1, \\
&\quad ([x_0 : x_1, [v : u : 1]) \mapsto ([ux_0 - 2vx_1 : 2x_0 - vx_1], [v : u : 1])
\end{align*}
\]

which correspond locally to the blow-up of $D$, followed by the contraction of the strict transform of $\pi^{-1}(\Gamma)$, in the two charts (see Lemma 5.6.1 for the equation of $D$). We then check that $\varphi_1 \theta = \theta \varphi_0$, where $\theta: \mathbb{P}^1 \times U_0 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times U_1$ is the transition function of $S_b$ given in Lemma 4.2.1. This follows from the equality

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-s - t & 2 \\
-2st & s + t \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
s^b - tb^b & st(s^{b-1} - t^{b-1}) \\
s^{b+1} - t^{b+1} & st(s^b - t^b) \\
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
s^b + tb^b & st(s^{b-1} + t^{b-1}) \\
s^{b+1} + t^{b+1} & st(s^b + t^b) \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

and yields then a birational map of $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles $\varphi: S_b \dashrightarrow S_b$, given by the blow-up of $D$, followed by the contraction of the strict transform of $\pi^{-1}(\Gamma)$ onto $D$.

By Lemma 5.3.1, every birational map of $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $S_b \to X$ which is not an isomorphism is a composition of $\varphi$ with an isomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles.

Corollary 5.6.3. Let $b \geq 1$. Then, $\text{Aut}^\circ(S_b)$ is maximal and $S_b$ is stiff. It is moreover superstiff if and only if $b = 1$.

Proof. If $b = 1$, the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle has no invariant curves (Remark 4.2.3) (it is actually a homogeneous variety) and we conclude by Lemma 5.3.1. If $b \geq 2$, we apply Lemma 5.6.2.
5.7. Rigidity for decomposable bundles over $\mathbb{P}^2$.

**Lemma 5.7.1.** Let $b \geq 0$ and let $\pi: \mathcal{P}_b \to \mathbb{P}^2$ be a decomposable $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle.

1. $\mathcal{P}_b$ does not contain any $\text{Aut}^o(S_b)$-invariant curve.
2. $\text{Aut}^o(\mathcal{P}_b)$ is maximal and $\mathcal{P}_b$ is superstiff.

**Proof.** (1) follows from the fact that $\text{Aut}^o(\mathcal{P}_b)$ surjects onto $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ (Lemma 4.1.2).

(2): Let $\varphi: \mathcal{P}_b \dashrightarrow X$ be a $\text{Aut}^o(\mathcal{P}_b)$-square birational map of $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle, over $\eta: \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow X$ (where $X$ is a smooth projective rational surface). We want to show that $\varphi$ is a square isomorphism. The action of $\text{Aut}^o(\mathcal{P}_b)$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$ being transitive, the birational map $\eta$ is an isomorphism, so we can assume that $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, and that $\eta$ is the identity. We then apply 5.3.1 to get that $\varphi$ is an isomorphism. □

5.8. Last step. We have all the ingredients to prove the main theorems of this paper stated in the introduction.

**Proof of Theorems A and B.** These are simply a consequence of Proposition 5.1.1, together with Corollaries 5.4.3, 5.5.4, 5.6.3 and Lemma 5.7.1. □

**Remark 5.8.1.** Our original motivation was to study the maximal connected algebraic subgroups of the Cremona group Bir($\mathbb{P}^3$). These will be studied in a forthcoming paper [BFT]. Most of the families appearing in our classification give in fact maximal connected algebraic subgroups of the Cremona group, even if some sporadic cases (like $S_2$ and $\mathbb{P}^1$) disappear, as they are conjugate to bigger subgroups, with a birational map which does not preserve any $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle structure.

**Remark 5.8.2.** If we assume the characteristic of the algebraically closed field $k$ to be positive, the strategy to prove Theorems A and B should be analogous, but some of the results that we use in characteristic zero are no more valid in positive characteristic; see e.g. Remarks 3.7.3 and 3.7.5. As a consequence, it seems that new $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles $X \to S$ analogous to Umemura bundles and Schwarzenberger bundles, and such that $\text{Aut}^o(X)$ is maximal, could show up in the classification. The positive characteristic case will be studied by the authors in a future work.
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