Ge mediated surface preparation for twin free 3C-SiC nucleation and growth on low off-axis 4H-SiC substrate

K. Alassaad¹, M. Vivona³, V. Soulière¹, B. Doisneau², F. Cauwet¹, D. Chaussende², F. Giannazzo³, F. Roccaforte³, G. Ferro^{1*}

¹Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, UMR 5615, Laboratoire des Multimatériaux et Interfaces, 43 Bd du 11 Nov. 1918, 69622 Villeurbanne –France

²Univ. Grenoble Alpes, LMGP, F-38000 Grenoble, France

³CNR-IMM, Strada VIII, n. 5 – Zona Industriale, 95121 - Catania, Italy

Corresponding author * gabriel.ferro@univ-lyon1.fr

Ge mediated surface preparation for 3C-SiC nucleation and growth on low off-axis 4H-SiC substrate

Abstract

In this work, we report on the effect of adding GeH_4 during 3C-SiC heteroepitaxial growth on low off-axis 4H-SiC substrate using chemical vapor deposition technique. When added together with SiH_4 and C_3H_8 , GeH_4 does not significantly modify the 3C layer quality which contains a high density of twin boundaries. But when it is introduced before the growth, i.e. during a surface pretreatment step at 1500 °C, a remarkable change in the layer morphology is seen. Furthermore for optimal GeH_4 flux, twin boundaries are completely eliminated. Investigation of the results obtained when varying growth parameters (temperature, C/Si ratio, gas composition during the surface preparation) allowed proposing a mechanism leading to twin boundary elimination. It involves a transient homoepitaxial growth step followed by 3C nucleation when large terraces are formed by step faceting. Preliminary electrical characterizations of the twin free 3C-SiC layers, using conductive atomic force microscopy (c-AFM), are given.

Keywords: Ge, twin free, 3C nucleation and c-AFM

Introduction

The properties of silicon carbide (SiC) make it an appropriate material for high temperature, harsh environments and high power electronics ¹. In the past years, 4H-SiC based devices have been mainly developed due to its relative superior properties compared to those of other polytypes and its commercial availability as large area and high quality seed crystals. The technology based on 3C-SiC polytype, despite its higher electron mobility and better SiO_2/SiC interface ^{2 3}, is still far behind due to the lack of commercial substrate.

The most studied solution for growing epitaxial 3C-SiC was (and is still) the use of Si substrate, with a two-step chemical vapor deposition (CVD) process ⁴. However, the high lattice and thermal expansion mismatches between SiC and Si lead to still unsolved difficulties in terms of crystalline quality and wafer bowing ⁵. Among the various attempts for solving these problems, the use of Ge pre-deposition was proposed as a way to reduce the stress in the 3C-SiC layer and improve the crystalline quality ⁶⁻⁸. Switching to better matched hexagonal SiC substrates is possible when using on-axis or low off-axis (0001) substrate. Virtually, high quality 3C-SiC (111) can be grown on it by resolving the stress and mismatch issues but this is not the case experimentally. Indeed it creates a new difficulty, i.e. the quasi-systematic formation of twin defects (also called double positioning boundary or DPB).

Different techniques were explored for the DPB density reduction in the 3C-SiC grown layers on commercial α -SiC substrates. The more frequent attempts were using liquid-based techniques which seem to be more adapted. For instance, vapor-liquid-solid (VLS) mechanism established the ability to produce DPB-free 3C-SiC layers but homogeneity, sample size and impurity level are still pending issues ⁹. More recently, Ujihara et al using top seeded solution growth (TSSG) demonstrated high quality 3C on 6H substrate although a few DPBs and 6H-inclusions remained ¹⁰. Using high temperature vapor phase process (CFPVT), it was demonstrated the possibility to grow large area DPB free, thick 3C-SiC layers but reproducibility and control of the process are very difficult ¹¹. There have been other few studies on the heteroepitaxial growth of 3C-SiC on 6H-SiC substrates by other non-CVD techniques ^{12, 13}. They pointed out the possibility of reducing the twins' density by varying different growth conditions.

The use of CVD based approach should be the best solution due its high scalability, reproducibility and the good performances of the SiC-CVD reactors. But, very few attempts were successful for DPB elimination using this technique, most probably due to the lack of a full understanding of the fundamental reasons which can help selecting one of the two possible 3C orientations. From the literature, it is obvious that the in-situ surface preparation of the substrate before 3C growth plays a major role in twin density reduction¹⁴⁻¹⁹. Pure hydrogen or carbon-rich conditions were studied as surface preparation steps. Addition of chlorine during the growth seems to improve the process^{15,17}. The growth temperature could be as low as 1350°C¹⁵⁻¹⁷ while other studies suggest better results at higher temperature²⁰.

An interesting approach was proposed by Neudeck et al., in which 0.2mm×0.2mm mesas were used to produce step free surfaces and to grow subsequently 3C-SiC layers without DPBs²¹. However, the local presence of screw dislocations reduces the yield of fabrication of these step free mesas. As a result, more work is needed to understand and master the nucleation and growth in this difficult heteroepitaxial system.

To the best of our knowledge, the effect of adding a foreign iso-electronic element, such as Ge, before or during 3C-SiC growth on (hexagonal) α -SiC substrate-using CVD has not been reported yet. In the present study, we report the effect of adding GeH₄ to the standard chemistry H₂-SiH₄-C₃H₈ CVD system.

Experimental Details

The experiments were carried out in homemade epitaxy equipment working at atmospheric pressure. It is composed of a 70 mm diameter vertical cold wall reactor made of quartz and equipped with a homogenization grid on its upper part. The samples are held on a cylindrical SiC covered graphite susceptor, 40 mm in diameter, which is inductively heated. The substrate temperature is monitored with an optical pyrometer connected to a temperature controller. High purity hydrogen (16 slm), silane (1.25 to 5 sccm) and propane (2.1 to 8.33 sccm) are used, respectively, as vector gas and precursors for the SiC growth. C/Si ratio was set to 5 except when mentioned differently. The growth rate was changed from 1.25 to 5 $\mu\text{m/h}$ and deposition occurred on $1 \times 1 \text{ cm}^2$ pieces sawed from complete commercial 4H-SiC wafers nominally on-axis (0001) Si face unless specified differently. Addition of high purity GeH_4 gas (0.02 to 0.2 sccm) was used either during the deposition time or during the in situ surface pre-treatment of the substrates, i.e. before adding SiH_4 and C_3H_8 to start the CVD growth. These pre-treatments typically involved introducing GeH_4 flux in the reactor at 1500 °C for 10 min.

The layers were routinely characterized by Nomarski optical microscopy and μ -Raman spectrometry for surface morphology and polytype determination. The HeNe laser beam ($\lambda = 633 \text{ nm}$) was focused down to a spot of a few micrometers squared in a confocal mode configuration. Atomic force microscopy (AFM), composed of a Scientec, picoscan 5 apparatus, was used in the nucleation study. Complementary information was obtained using electron backscattered diffraction (EBSD) for surface mapping of the grown polytype, with the possibility of separating areas with different orientation, such as on each side of a twin boundary²². The imaging was performed using a TSL (TexSEM Lab.) system installed on a ZEISS Ultra 55 FEG SEM with the

Orientation Imaging Microscopy TM software. EBSD mappings were made on a large area up to (250x250 μm^2) with a very low step ($\leq 1.5 \mu\text{m}$).

A morphological characterization of the 3C-SiC epilayer was performed by a Veeco DI dimension 3100 atomic force microscope (AFM), equipped with a nanoscope V electronics. The two dimensional current distribution was monitored using a conductive module (C-AFM) and correlated to the epilayer morphology. ~~In order to study the electrical behavior of these samples, circular Schottky contacts of different radii (ranging from 5 to 150 μm) were fabricated using Au as barrier metal. Due to the typically high defect density in this material, a rectifying behavior has been observed only for the smallest contacts. Hence,~~ In order to study the electrical behavior of these samples, firstly an Ohmic back-contact based on nickel silicide was fabricated by Ni-deposition followed by a rapid thermal annealing (RTA) treatment at 950°C. Then, circular Schottky contacts of different radii were defined by Au-sputtering, optical lithography and wet etching. Due to the typically high defect density in this material, a rectifying behavior was observed only for the smallest diodes. Thus, diodes with radius of 5 or 10 μm were investigated. The biased conductive tip of the AFM has been used in order to acquire the current-voltage (I-V) characteristics of these small Au-contacts, as reported elsewhere in more detail ²³.

3C-SiC CVD growth results

Our usual in situ surface preparation step before CVD growth involves an etching under C_3H_8 for 10 min. When using such procedure, the 3C-SiC layer grown at 1500°C is highly twinned as can be seen in Figure 1a which displays a dense and random network of DPBs. Similar result is

obtained when keeping the same procedure and adding 0.1 sccm GeH₄ during the growth (Figure 1b). One can see that the average density, size and shape of the DPBs are not much affected by the addition of GeH₄ (in the 0.02 to 0.2 sccm flux range of the present study). Note in this case that some Ge accumulates on the surface and forms micrometric to nanometric droplets decorating the DPBs. If now 0.02 sccm of GeH₄ is added together with propane during the in situ surface preparation, no change in the surface morphology can be noticed. But if the GeH₄ flux increases to 0.06 sccm or higher (while keeping propane constant), the morphology significantly evolves (Figure 2). It is still composed mostly of 3C polytype (as seen from μ -Raman spectroscopy) but exhibits elongated features, oriented toward the same directions. This is first evidence that Ge element can modify the 3C nucleation on low off axis SiC substrate. Finally, using GeH₄ alone (without C₃H₈) during the 10 minutes surface preparation leads to considerable morphological changes (Figure 3 to 6). The best result was obtained for the case of 0.06 sccm GeH₄ flux (Figure 3). The layer is globally much smoother and is composed of triangular hillocks (all oriented toward the same direction) surrounded by large step bunched areas (Figure 3a). Raman spectroscopy evidenced that the layer is of the 3C polytype, even on the triangular hillocks (Figure 3b). The most surprising and positive result came from EBSD phase mapping which shows that the layer is not only 100% 3C-SiC, but also it is almost twin free (Figure 3d). In particular, no twinning could be detected at the places where the triangles are located.

When using the minimum GeH₄ flux (0.02 sccm) for surface preparation (Figure 4a), the layer appears similar to that grown when adding both GeH₄ and C₃H₈ (Figure 2), EBSD phase mapping showed that the layer is mostly composed of twinned 3C with few hexagonal inclusions (Figure 4b). When increasing GeH₄ flux to 0.10 sccm, the layer was mainly homoepitaxial with

high amount of carrot like 3C inclusions (Figure 5). By further increase of GeH_4 flux to 0.20 sccm during surface preparation, the morphology after CVD growth was rather similar as with 0.10 sccm of GeH_4 , i.e. mainly 4H homoepitaxial layers with triangular 3C inclusions (Figure 6a).

For comparison purpose, an experiment was performed using SiH_4 instead of GeH_4 during the surface preparation, at a flux of 0.06 sccm (identical as for sample shown in Figure 3). In this case, the layer morphology (Figure 6b) is rather similar to the one obtained using high GeH_4 flux. Raman spectroscopy (not shown) confirmed that the layer is mostly of 4H polytype.

C/Si ratio, growth temperature and growth rate are the major parameters either for stabilizing one polytype over the other or determining the quality of the grown layer. Hence, the influence of these parameters has been studied by keeping the same preparation step as for the best grown 3C-SiC sample shown in Figure 3 ($\text{GeH}_4 = 0.06$ sccm at 1500°C for 10 min). For growth temperatures different than 1500°C , the temperature transition step was very short (< 30 sec) and performed under H_2 only.

After growth at 1450°C , the layer looks very similar to the one grown at 1500°C (Figure 7a). The surface is again decorated by triangular features, which have apparent smaller mean size and higher density compared to those seen on sample grown at 1500°C . But when the growth temperature is increased to 1550°C , the layer is mostly 4H-SiC homoepitaxy (as detected by Raman spectroscopy) with a high density of triangular defects (Figure 7b). This is more or less a similar morphology as in Figure 6).

Concerning the effect of the C/Si ratio, an increase of this ratio to 8 (for a growth at 1500°C) did not induce any morphological difference compared to C/Si of 5 (Figure 3), i.e. with the formation of small triangular hillocks, suggesting a twin-free 3C-SiC layer. But when the C/Si ratio was decreased to 3, the morphology changed significantly (Figure 7c) and the layer is found to be a mixing of polytypes, as detected by μ -Raman analyses performed at various areas.

The effect of the growth rate was investigated within the range 1.25 – 5 $\mu\text{m/h}$. The growth time is kept constant at 1 hour. At high growth rate of 5 $\mu\text{m/h}$ (Figure 8a), the layer is clearly single domain 3C-SiC like for sample in Figure 3 (grown at 2.5 $\mu\text{m/h}$). The main difference is an overall rougher surface with higher density of triangular hillocks. On the other hand, the use of low growth rate (1.25 $\mu\text{m/h}$) resulted in very smooth morphology without observable triangular hillocks or step bunching by Nomarski optical microscopy (Figure 8b). Confirmation of the 3C-SiC polytype was obtained from EBSD investigation which also revealed that the layer is single domain, Figure 8c.

To better understand the role of Ge in the nucleation stage of 3C-SiC, a series of experiments targeting the early stage of growth was performed. Such study was rather difficult so that 1° off oriented substrates were used for reducing the scattering of the results thanks to off orientation control. The first parameter to be checked was the effect of Ge droplets on the surface morphology/reconstruction of the 4H-SiC seeds. After performing a 10 min surface preparation under 0.06 sccm GeH_4 , the sample was cooled down and analyzed. Not surprisingly, spherical droplets were seen on the surface and identified as pure Ge by μ -Raman spectroscopy (not shown). After chemical etching of these droplets, AFM revealed that the surface does not exhibit

any specific ~~surface reconstruction~~ surface morphology feature like macro-step (Figure 11). As a first conclusion, GeH₄ gas is not modifying the surface of the seed before starting the growth. The next step is to see what is happening to this surface at the early stage of SiC growth. Towards this end, three samples were fabricated with similar surface preparations followed by 30, 60 and 90 s growth respectively. On the sample grown with the shortest time, the presence of Ge droplets was still seen on the surface. AFM characterization on this sample showed that the surface is now composed of regular parallel steps with some local triangular protrusions (Figure 12a). The measured step height is around 22 nm. EBSD phase mapping on this sample suggests that the protrusions are made of 3C-SiC (Green) while the surrounding is 4H-SiC (Red) (Figure 12b). Note that this 3C-SiC is predominantly of one orientation (green color). The surface coverage of 3C-SiC increases after 60 seconds growth while Ge is no more detected on the surface (Figure 12c). Again, the 3C-SiC is predominantly of one orientation. Finally, an almost complete 3C layer with nearly one orientation is then observed after 90 seconds of growth (Figure 12d). The twinned areas (blue inclusions) are very small and isolated one to each other.

It was previously shown that high temperature regrowth on top of 3C-SiC VLS seed could lead to surface smoothening²⁴. That is why the twin-free 3C-SiC layer shown in Figure 3 was subjected to a CVD regrowth at 1600 °C to try eliminating the triangular features. Figure 9 shows the resulting morphology after 8.5 μm thick regrowth at 1600 °C and C/Si of 5. One can notice that this thickening led to complete elimination of the triangular features. Instead the regular 60° jagged step bunching was enhanced and homogeneously distributed on the entire sample. The resulting 11 μm thick layer “re-growth” looks obviously rougher than before thickening. This is better seen from the AFM images recorded before and after thickening. The

morphological analyses obtained by AFM on a scan area of $50 \times 50 \text{ } \mu\text{m}^2$ of the two 3C-SiC epilayers are reported in Figure 10a and Figure 10b. For the as grown layer, the RMS (acquired excluding the triangular hillocks) was 5.5 nm while it reaches 17.4 nm after the 8.5 μm further thickening. It is also worth noting that, in the “regrowth” sample, these steps increased their size and height with respect to the as grown. Besides these morphological features, the “as-grown” sample shows several surface defects (i.e., the triangular hillocks visible with a bright contrast in Figure 10a), some hundreds nanometers high and some micrometers in width.

Discussion

The role of Ge in twin boundary elimination

From these results, it is obvious that Ge element can help improving the quality of the 3C-SiC grown on low off-axis 4H-SiC (0001) substrate. However, its effective role needs to be clarified. When GeH_4 is simply added during the growth, DPB density in the grown layer is the same as without GeH_4 addition. This later means at least that Ge element does not favor eliminating the DPBs, for instance by changing their propagation direction like mentioned in ref ²⁵. Ge atoms basically accumulate on the surface and incorporate to a certain extent inside the matrix ²⁶, but apparently do not affect significantly the growth itself. When considering the positive results obtained when adding GeH_4 during surface preparation, one can say that, in order to affect SiC nucleation, Ge needs to be present on the surface in a certain amount before starting the growth. Namely, it is not enough to introduce GeH_4 at the same time as starting SiC growth.

When GeH_4 is introduced during the surface preparation, the most obvious consequence is its accumulation on the surface under the form of separated droplets whose size depends on the GeH_4 flux. The simple presence of Ge droplet on the surface directly affects the 3C-SiC nucleation. However, in order to eliminate the DPBs from the 3C-SiC grown layer, having Ge droplets on the surface before growth is not enough. For instance, if propane is added to GeH_4 or if the GeH_4 flow itself is not adequate during surface preparation, then DPBs are formed or 4H polytype inclusions can be obtained.

Clearly, according to the literature works, the exact mechanism allowing DPB elimination inside vapor phase grown 3C-SiC on α -SiC substrate is still under debate^{14 15 20 27}. In all the works, the surface preparation is reported to be a crucial phase and interaction of the nuclei with step edges is also mentioned. Indeed, our present investigation confirms the importance of this surface preparation step. The best proof is that the parameter window for surface preparation is very narrow (only 0.06 sccm GeH_4 , without other gases) while it is much less narrow for the growth itself (temperature from 1450 and 1500 °C, C/Si ratio from 5 to 8 and growth rate between 1.25 to 5 $\mu\text{m/h}$).

~~From this set of samples~~ from the results of the nucleation study, we propose the following mechanism which is summarized in Figure 13. The first conclusion which can be made is that growth does not start directly with 3C-SiC but with a transient 4H-SiC homoepitaxial period (Figure 12a**b**). This delay in 3C-SiC growth is attributed to the presence of Ge atoms on the surface. Indeed, as seen from Figure 5, a high flux of GeH_4 during surface preparation promotes the stabilization of 4H homoepitaxy against 3C nucleation despite the low off-axis of the seeds.

In order to interpret this result different scenarios can be proposed. Ge atoms can induce an enhancement of the surface mobility of Si and C adatoms so that they can more easily reach the step edges. Another possibility is that Ge can reduce (or even remove) the 3C nucleation sites or can lower the probability of 2D nucleation on terraces. Note that these two proposed effects of Ge atoms do not take into account the fact that these Ge atoms are agglomerated under the form of droplets. We believe that the main effect of these droplets is to act as many local sources of gaseous Ge by their progressive evaporation.

After the initial homoepitaxial growth, the step front **should** start to become irregular with the local formation of ~~perfectly~~ on-axis facets (Figure 12b). These facets ~~are obviously~~ should be preferential sites for 3C nucleation (Figure 12**ab**). Then the 3C coverage increases either by lateral expansion of the initial nuclei or by the formation of new on-axis facets and 3C nucleation on them, until an almost complete 3C coverage is reached (**Figure 12d**) ~~after ~90 sec of growth~~. Note that the preferential selection of one orientation of the deposited 3C (which should lead to DPB free layer) seems to occur from the beginning. The lateral expansion of the 3C nuclei favors selecting at the end one among the two possible orientations. Such mechanism is very similar to the one proposed by Latu-Romain et al ²⁷. **It is important to emphasize that within this scenario Ge atoms are just directly affecting the homoepitaxial growth and the faceting. The 3C-SiC nucleation is only the consequence of the faceting.**

Another important conclusion from this nucleation study is that, to avoid twin formation, one has to finely control the 3C nucleation. In the present study, this is a self-controlled process mediated by the presence of Ge on the surface. Ge should play the role of transient 4H homoepitaxy promoter so that SiC growth does not start with 3C-SiC. The transition from 4H to 3C occurs

smoothly, at separated places where on-axis facets form, which should leave the time for the early nucleated 3C islands to expand laterally. Though the exact reason for orientation selection is still to be found, we believe that the key parameter is to be able to move progressively from homo to heteroepitaxy, in the same way as in reference ²⁷. Note that the “selection rule” (inheritance of 3C orientation from the terraces) as described in ref²¹ could be considered also for promoting orientation selection. Using this model, 4H-SiC substrate is found better for eliminating DPBs but SFs can be formed above step edges so that it might explain the stacking faults presence inside the layer (as discussed below).

All the twin free layers obtained in this study display triangular hillocks on the surface, which do not correspond to inclusions of polytype or to twinned 3C areas. We believe that this could be the result of some overgrowth of these early formed inclusions. After thickening of a twin free layer (Figure 9), all the triangular hillocks have vanished, which means that they are just transient morphological features. This result goes well with the hypothesis of early inclusion overgrowth which should happen only once and at the beginning. But the negative point brought to light by the regrowth experiment is that increasing 3C thickness also leads to surface roughening by enhanced step bunching. This may be the consequence of the growth on low off-angle which is known to favor step bunching ²⁸.

Some of the samples grown in this study display both 4H and 3C areas which suggest a competition between homo and heteroepitaxy all along the growth runs. This is layers grown with high GeH₄ fluxes, low C/Si ratios, high temperatures and excess of Si on the surface before growth. High temperatures and low C/Si ratios are known parameter promoting homoepitaxy ²⁰.

Having excess of Si on the surface before growth is similar to having a low C/Si ratio at the beginning of the growth. And as discussed earlier, excess of Ge atoms on the surface seems to promote homoepitaxy. As a consequence, using conditions promoting 4H homoepitaxy is not good for twin boundary elimination. It probably delays too much the transition from 4H to 3C so that the 3C nucleation is too scarce. It then remains as isolated islands and leads to the formation of 3C inclusions in a hexagonal layer.

Electrical characterization results

A preliminary electrical characterization was carried out to get insight on the quality of the obtained twin free 3C layer and monitor the presence of electrically active defects on the surface. The measurements were conducted on an “as-grown” layer shown in Fig. 3 and on the “regrowth” sample presented in Figure 9. The electrical behavior of the epilayer surface was investigated at nanometric scale by means of C-AFM and correlated with the surface morphology. The AFM surface morphology images are reported in Figures 14a and 14b, while the corresponding C-AFM current maps are reported in Figures 14c and 14d. As can be seen, the nanoscale electrical behaviour of the two samples was rather similar. In particular, in the two dimensional current maps of the “as-grown” (Figure 14c) and the “re-growth” (Figure 14d), two types of electrically active features are observed. Firstly, some conductive structures resembling the surface steps and observed in the morphological analysis are clearly visible also in the C-AFM, especially for the “as grown” sample (Figure 14c). This observation can be attributed to an enhanced conduction in the sidewalls of the surface steps, due to the increased contact area of the probe tip encountering such morphological features. In addition, most interesting is the presence of some isolated electrically active defects lines, forming an angle of $\sim 55^\circ$ with respect to the

morphological surface steps, which are visible in the two samples. Some of these defects were marked by red lines in Figures 14c and 14d. These electrically active defects can be ascribed to electrical signatures of stacking faults (SFs).

From the two dimensional current maps, it was possible to estimate a density of the electrically active defects in the order of the mid 10^2 cm^{-1} range, in both cases. Hence, the different surface roughness, 5.5 nm for the “as-grown” (Figure 14a) and of 17.4 nm for the “re-growth” (Figure 14b) samples, seems to be not directly correlated to the density of these defects.

The electrical investigation of the Au-contacts on both epilayers was carried out by C-AFM on the small devices, i.e. those showing a rectifying behavior. Figure 15 reports the J-V characteristics for the as-grown and re-grown samples. Both contacts behave as Schottky diodes with low barrier height. Quantitatively, comparable values of the barrier height were found in the as-grown sample (0.76 eV) and in the re-grown case (0.73 eV). The derived Schottky barrier heights result lower than the ideal value of $\sim 1.3 \text{ eV}$, predicted by the Schottky-Mott theory and given from the difference between the metal work function and the semiconductor electron affinity. The deviation of the Schottky barrier height from the ideal behavior can be ascribed to the presence of electrically active defects in the 3C material, which provide preferential current paths through the epilayer, as already reported in ref. ²³. The two diodes exhibit high ideality factor values (3.3 and 1.8 for the as-grown and regrown layers, respectively), consistently with a non-perfect Schottky behavior and a strong recombination of the direct current. Regarding the reverse behavior with the characteristics reported in the inset of Figure 15, the two samples show leakage currents of the same order of magnitude ($1 \times 10^{-7} \text{ A/cm}^2$), with a slight lower value in the case of the as-grown layer, in agreement with the higher value of Schottky barrier height.

Conclusion

Pre-treatment under GeH_4 of low off-axis 4H-SiC seeds was found to positively affect the 3C-SiC nucleation up to the complete elimination of twin boundaries under optimal conditions. The proposed mechanism involved a smooth transition between 4H homo and 3C heteroepitaxy thanks to the presence of Ge on the surface which transiently promotes 4H homoepitaxy. Based on a similar mechanism than the one proposed in ref 22, which was described but not really controlled, here we demonstrate that the transition can be effectively controlled in a CVD reactor if it is mediated by Ge atoms. This result could pave the way of a future development of large size and high quality 3C-SiC epilayers. A preliminary electrical characterization confirms the good quality of the grown layers.

Acknowledgements

This work is financially supported by Marie Curie Actions under the project n°264613-NetFISiC

References

1. S. E. Saddow and A. K. Agarwal, *Advances in Silicon Carbide Processing and Applications* (Artech House, Inc, Norwood, MA, 2004).
2. A. Schöner, M. Krieger, G. Pensl, M. Abe, and H. Nagasawa, *Chem. Vap. Deposition* **12**, 523 (2006).
3. J. Lorenzzi, R. Esteve, N. Jegenyes, S. A. Reshanov, A. Schöner, and G. Ferro, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **158**, 630 (2011).
4. S. Nishino, J. A. Powell, and H. A. Will, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **42** 460 (1983).
5. A. Severino, et al., *ECS Trans.* **35**, 99 (2011).
6. P. Masri, N. Moreaud, M. R. Laridjani, J. Calas, M. Averous, G. Chaix, A. Dollet, R. Berjoan, and C. Dupuy, *Mater. Sci. Eng. B* **61-62**, 535 (1999).

- 1 7. J. Pezoldt, C. Förster, P. Weih, and P. Masri, Appl. Surf. Sci. **184**, 79 (2001).
- 2 8. C. Zgheib, L. E. McNeil, P. Masri, C. Förster, F. M. Morales, T. Stauden, O. Ambacher,
3 and J. Pezoldt, Appl. Phys. Lett. **88**, 211909 (2006).
- 4 9. M. Soueidan and G. Ferro, Adv. Funct. Mater. **16**, 975 (2006).
- 5 10. T. Ujihara, R. Maekawa, R. Tanaka, K. Sasaki, K. Kuroda, and Y. Takeda, J. Cryst.
6 Growth **310**, 1438 (2008).
- 7 11. D. Chaussende, L. Latu-Romain, L. Auvray, M. Ucar, M. Pons, and R. Madar, Mater.
8 Sci. Forum **483-485**, 225 (2005).
- 9 12.
- 10 13.
- 11 14. Z. Y. Xie, J. H. Edgar, B. K. Burkland, J. T. George, and J. Chaudhuri, J. Cryst. Growth
12 **224**, 235 (2001).
- 13 15. A. Henry, S. Leone, F. C. Beyer, S. Andersson, O. Kordina, and E. Janzén, Mater. Sci.
14 Forum **679-680**, 75 (2011).
- 15 16. X. Li, H. Jacobson, A. Boule, D. Chaussende, and A. Henry, ECS Journal of Solid State
16 Science and Technology **3**, P75 (2014).
- 17 17. S. Leone, F. C. Beyer, A. Henry, O. Kordina, and E. Janzén, Phys. Status Solidi RRL **4**,
18 305 (2010).
- 19 18.
- 20 19.
- 21 20. M. Soueidan, G. Ferro, B. Nsouli, F. Cauwet, J. Dazord, G. Younes, and Y. Monteil,
22 Mater. Sci. Eng., B **130**, 66 (2006).
- 23 21.

22. D. Chaussende, P. Chaudouet, L. Auvray, M. Pons, and R. Madar, Mater Sci Forum **457-460**, 387 (2004).
23. J. Eriksson, M. H. Weng, F. Roccaforte, F. Giannazzo, S. Leone, and V. Raineri, Appl. Phys. Lett. **95**, 081907 (2009).
24. N. Jegenyess, J. Lorenzzi, V. Soulière, J. Dazord, F. Cauwet, and G. Ferro, Mater. Sci. Forum **645-648**, 127 (2010).
25. G. Ferro, edited by F. L. Via (Research Signpost, 2012), Vol. ISBN: 978-81-308-0500-9, p. 213.
26. K. ALASSAAD, V. Soulière, F. Cauwet, H. Peyre, D. Carole, P. Kwasnicki, S. Juillaguet, T. Kups, J. Pezoldt, G. Ferro, Acta Materialia, **in press** (2014).
27. L. Latu-Romain, D. Chaussende, and M. Pons, Cryst Growth Des **6**, 2788 (2006).
28. B. Kallinger, P. Berwian, J. Friedrich, and B. Thomas, J. Cryst. Growth **381** 127 (2013).

Legends

Figure 1. Surface morphology of 3C-SiC grown layers using pretreatment of 10 min at 1500°C under 2.5 sccm C₃H₈: a) without GeH₄ addition and b) with 0.1 sccm GeH₄ addition during the deposition time.

Figure 2. Surface morphology of a layer grown at 1500°C using a surface preparation under 0.06 sccm of GeH₄ together with 2.5 sccm of C₃H₈.

Figure 3. Characterization of a 3C-SiC layer grown after surface preparation of 10 min under 0.06 sccm GeH₄ : a) Surface morphology as observed by optical microscopy, b) μ -Raman spectrum recorded on a triangular hillock, c) and d) respectively SEM image and EBSD phase mapping of the same area; In d), with blue code meaning that the area has only one 3C

orientation denoted as 3C(II) and the white spots corresponds to areas which could not be indexed by the software due to local surface roughness.

Figure 4. Characterization of a SiC layer grown after surface preparation of 10 min under 0.02 sccm GeH₄ : a) Surface morphology as observed by optical microscopy, b) EBSD phase mapping; In b), the colour code is green = 3C(I), blue = 3C(II), red = 4H, white = areas which could not be indexed by the software due to local surface roughness.

Figure 5. Characterization of a SiC layer grown after surface preparation of 10 min under 0.1 sccm GeH₄ : a) Surface morphology as observed by optical microscopy, b) EBSD phase mapping; In b), the colour code is green = 3C(I), blue = 3C(II), red = 4H, white = areas which could not be indexed by the software due to local surface roughness.

Figure 6. Surface morphology of epitaxial layers grown after a surface preparation step performed at 1500°C for 10 min under a) 0.20 sccm of GeH₄ and b) 0.06 sccm of SiH₄.

Figure 7. Surface morphology of epitaxial layers grown after the optimal surface preparation step (1500°C for 10 min under 0.06 sccm of GeH₄): a) growth temperature of 1450°C and C/Si of 5, b) growth temperature of 1550°C and C/Si of 5 and c) growth temperature of 1500°C and C/Si of 3.

Figure 8. Surface morphology of epitaxial layers grown after the optimal surface preparation step (1500°C for 10 min under 0.06 sccm of GeH₄) : a) growth rate of 5 µm/h, C/Si ratio of 5, b) growth rate of 1.25 µm/h, C/Si ratio of 5; in c) is shown the EBSD phase mapping of sample displayed in b), with Green code meaning that the area has only one 3C orientation denoted as 3C(I) and the white spots corresponds to areas which could not be indexed by the image software

Figure 9. Optical image of layer shown in fig. 3 after 8.5 µm thickening at 1600 °C with a C/Si ratio of 5.

Figure 10. $50 \times 50 \mu\text{m}^2$ AFM images of a) the as-grown layer shown in fig. 3a and b) the regrowth sample in Fig. 9.

Figure 11. AFM image ($1 \times 1 \mu\text{m}$ scan) showing the surface morphology of a sample treated 10 min at 1500°C under 0,06 sccm GeH_4 , after wet etching of the accumulated Ge droplets on the surface

Figure 12. AFM and EBSD characterization of the 3C-SiC nucleation experiments performed for 30, 60 and 90 seconds at 1500°C after optimal GeH_4 surface preparation for obtaining 3C twin free layer. a) $95 \times 95 \mu\text{m}^2$ AFM image of the 30 seconds growth experiment and b) is its equivalent EBSD imaging. c) and d) are the EBSD mapping of the 60 and 90 seconds experiment respectively. The color code is green = 3C(I), blue = 3C(II), red = 4H, white = areas which could not be indexed by the software due to local surface roughness.

Figure 13. Mechanism of Ge assisted 3C nucleation on 4H-SiC low off axis seed. (a), 4H-SiC substrate with GeH_4 surface preparation, (b), starting the growth by 4H-homoepitaxy along with the formation of on-axis facets, and (c), nucleation of a 3C-SiC island on the facet and expansion toward all directions.

Figure 14. Surface morphologies (a and b) and current maps (c and d) measured by C-AFM on the “as grown” 3C-SiC sample and “re-growth” one. Electrically conductive defects, most probably associated to the presence of SFs, are visible in the current map (highlighted by transversal red lines).

Figure 15. Forward J-V curves, acquired by C-AFM, related to the “as-grown” layer and “re-growth” one. The inset reported a semi-log plot of the forward characteristics.