Characterization of char and soot from millimetric wood particles pyrolysis in a drop tube reactor between 800 degrees C and 1400 degrees C

S. Septien, S. Valin, M. Peyrot, Celine Dupont, Sylvain Salvador

► To cite this version:

S. Septien, S. Valin, M. Peyrot, Celine Dupont, Sylvain Salvador. Characterization of char and soot from millimetric wood particles pyrolysis in a drop tube reactor between 800 degrees C and 1400 degrees C. *Fuel*, 2014, 121, pp.216-224. 10.1016/j.fuel.2013.12.026 . hal-01611616

HAL Id: hal-01611616

<https://hal.science/hal-01611616>

Submitted on 12 Mar 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Characterization of char and soot from millimetric wood particles pyrolysis in a drop tube reactor between 800 °C and 1400 °C

S. Septien^a, S. Valin^{a,*}, M. Peyrot^a, C. Dupont^a, S. Salvador^b

^aCEA, 17 rue des Martyrs, 38054 Grenoble cedex 09, France

^bRAPSODEE, Mines-Albi, CNRS UMR 5302, 81013 Albi CT cedex 9, France

A B S T R A C T

Char and soot characterization was performed for samples obtained from beech particles pyrolysis in a drop tube reactor at various temperatures and residence times. Firstly, an experimental study was performed and highlights the variation of char and soot composition and reactivity with operating conditions. A structure ordering with temperature for soot samples was also experimentally put into evidence. These variations are believed to be consequence of structural changes during char thermal annealing and soot formation process, affecting both carbonaceous matrix and mineral matter. Secondly, a semi empirical model was developed and validated with thermogravimetry experiments. This model was then used for conversion time estimation in conditions representative of an entrained flow reactor, and shows that a complete conversion of char and soot is possible in a few seconds under severe operating conditions.

Keywords:

Biomass pyrolysis
Char
Soot
Steam gasification
Thermal annealing

1. Introduction

Biomass gasification is one of the most promising low carbon emission technologies. It enables to convert lignocellulosic matter, such as wood or agricultural residues, into syngas. This gas mixture has a great potential since it can be directly used for the production of heat and electricity or for the synthesis of liquid or gaseous bio fuels. The entrained flow reactor is one of the main gasification technologies at a large scale. The typical process conditions are as follows: high temperature (>1300 °C), short particle residence time (<5 s) and very high heat flux at particle surface ($>10^6$ W m⁻²). The main advantage of this technology is the high conversion of biomass into a syngas almost free of tar and gaseous hydrocarbons.

Different types of carbonaceous solids are produced in biomass gasification process, namely char and soot. These compounds can

subsequently react with the O₂, H₂O and CO₂ present in the atmosphere in gasification reactions which produces syngas. The conversion of carbonaceous solids is of high interest in the industrial process as it increases the syngas yields. Besides, soot particles are pollutant and fouling compounds. The gasification reaction rate is related to the solid characteristics, which depend on the conditions of their formation.

Char is issued from biomass particles pyrolysis, which is the first stage of the process. In an entrained flow reactor, the high heating rate leads to a very porous and reactive char, with a highly damaged structure due to the brutal release of volatiles during fast pyrolysis [1–3].

However, the high temperatures in the entrained flow reactor could induce thermal annealing which includes various transformations: loss of hydrogen and aliphatic groups, loss and changes in mineral matter and graphitization. Thermal annealing can have a negative effect on char reactivity. Several authors observed that char from coal prepared at temperatures higher than 1000 °C suffers from thermal deactivation, i.e. a loss of reactivity, with

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +33 438784358.

E-mail address: sylvie.valin@cea.fr (S. Valin).

Nomenclature

E_a	activation energy, kJ mol^{-1}
$F(X)$	surface function,
k_0	pre exponential factor, $\text{s}^{-1} \text{bar}^{-n}$
m	mass of the solid, g
n	order of the reaction,
$P_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$	partial pressure of H_2O , bar
$R(t)$ or $R(X)$	reactivity, s^{-1}
R	constant of ideal gas, $\text{kJ mol}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$
t	time, s
T	temperature, K
X	conversion,

Acronyms

DTR	drop tube reactor
EDX	Energy Dispersive X ray

HRTEM	High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscopy
TGA	ThermoGravimetric Analyzer
XRD	X ray Diffraction

Chemical nomenclature

$\text{Ca}(\text{CO}_3)$	calcium carbonate
CO_2	carbon dioxide
H_2O	water/steam
MnSiO_3	manganese silicate
O_2	di oxygen
SiC	silicon carbide

the increase of temperature [4–6]. Based on HRTEM observation or Raman spectroscopy analysis, they concluded that thermal deactivation is due to structure ordering (graphitization), which gives a more chemically resistant solid. Other authors demonstrated the influence of mineral matter on thermal deactivation: Liu et al. [4] put into evidence mineral reactions occurring at high temperatures by XRD detection of SiC which is a reactive chemical complex with very low reactivity. Tremel et al. [7] observed a char surface decrease above 1200 °C, which was attributed to the blockage of pores by ash melting. Senneca et al. [8] proposed an investigation to better understand thermal annealing mechanistics, with the analysis of char microstructural changes during severe heat treatments.

In the case of biomass, only two studies about thermal annealing have been found in literature [6,9]; they highlight that biomass char also suffers from thermal deactivation, but moderately compared to char from coal.

Soot is a carbonaceous solid mainly composed of graphite layers and synthesized from a complex series of phenomena, which involve gaseous hydrocarbon precursors and lead to the formation of carbonaceous solid particles. An extensive bibliographic review about soot formation process is given by Roth [10]. The influence of the pyrolysis conditions on soot reactivity has been mostly explored in the context of oxidation with O_2 . Indeed, Ruiz et al. [11] studied the oxidation of soot obtained from gaseous hydrocarbons pyrolysis between 1000 °C and 1200 °C. In this study, a reactivity decrease with temperature was observed and corroborated with a structure ordering increase. Vander Wal and Tomasek [12,13] obtained a similar result for soot coming from the pyrolysis of different gaseous and liquid fuels. They assumed that structure ordering is related to different soot formation pathways dependant on temperature, and not to thermal annealing. Besides, no appreciable changes in soot structure can be observed below 1800 °C after its formation, according to some experiments in very high temperature devices [14,15].

As soot formation process is considerably influenced by the initial fuel, the results obtained in literature have to be taken with a lot of caution in the case of biomass. Until now, soot characteristics were mostly studied for samples obtained from the pyrolysis of liquid or gaseous hydrocarbons.

Steam gasification kinetic parameters for char obtained from biomass fast pyrolysis and for soot are very rare in literature. Only one work has been found for char [16] and one for soot [17]. Nevertheless, in the former work, char samples were prepared in an atmosphere containing a low O_2 content which could partially oxidise the carbonaceous solids and then affect its reactivity; in the

latter, soot samples were obtained from the pyrolysis of hydrocarbons and thus the gasification kinetic parameters could be different from those for soot coming from biomass pyrolysis.

The present study is an attempt to fill in the lack of information about char and soot in the context of biomass gasification in an entrained flow reactor. Only one recent work has been found in literature about this subject [18]. Characterization experiments were then performed on char and soot coming from wood particles pyrolysis in a drop tube reactor (DTR), a well adapted reactor to study biomass conversion in the conditions of an entrained flow reactor at lab scale. This study aims at studying the influence of temperature and residence time on carbonaceous solids composition and reactivity to steam gasification. A kinetic model for char and soot steam gasification is derived from the reactivity measurements.

2. Materials and methods

This section is dedicated to the description of the experimental procedures and of the modeling methodology. Firstly, the conditions of char and soot formation are described, followed by the characterizations and thermogravimetry experiments. Secondly, the approach chosen for char and soot gasification modeling is described.

2.1. Experimental procedures

2.1.1. Beech particles pyrolysis in a drop tube reactor

Carbonaceous solids in the present study were obtained from beech particles pyrolysis experiments. The beech particle size was between 0.313 and 0.400 mm after a sieving classification.

Table 1

Biomass, char and soot composition (weight content on dry basis).

	C	H	O ^a	Ash
Wood	50.8	5.9	42.9	0.4
Char 1000 °C – 4 s	76.7	1.7	10.2	11.4
Char 1200 °C – 2 s	76.8	1.6	9.6	12.0
Char 1200 °C – 4 s	81.0	1.6	5.6	11.8
Char 1400 °C – 4 s	79.8	1.4	0.4	18.3
Soot 1200 °C – 2 s	95.6	1.3	0	3.0
Soot 1200 °C – 4 s	98.9	0.7	0	0.7
Soot 1400 °C – 4 s	97.6	0.4	0	2.5

^a By difference.

This particle size range is representative of what could be introduced into an industrial entrained flow reactor.

According to a proximate analysis, the beech sample is composed of 85.3 wt% of volatile matter and 14.3 wt% of fixed carbon on dry basis. The moisture of the sample is about 7 wt%. The chemical composition of the biomass (C, H, O and ash) is shown in Table 1. Ash is mainly composed of Ca (~30 wt%), K (~20 wt%), Mg (~10 wt%) and Si (~10 wt%).

The beech particles pyrolysis experiments were conducted in a drop tube reactor (DTR) at several temperatures: 800 °C, 1000 °C, 1200 °C and 1400 °C [19]. Sampling was performed at the middle and at the bottom of the reactor, which respectively corresponds to 2 s and 4 s of residence time for gas. Note that sampling at the middle of the reactor at 1400 °C could not be performed. According to a shrinking core model developed to describe wood pyrolysis in a DTR [20], the slip velocity of char particles (~0.03 m/s) is much lower than gas velocity (~0.3 m/s), and can then be neglected. Soot is considered to behave like an aerosol in the gas stream, and thus the particles are not subjected to slipping. Therefore, the gas and carbonaceous solids have approximately the same residence time during DTR experiments.

2.1.2. Char and soot characterization

Char and soot particles were separately collected in the experimental device during DTR experiments. Indeed, a segregation between the two solids, due to difference of size, was observed within the sampling line [19,21]. This was also observed by other authors [22]. The segregation between char and soot was also verified by thermogravimetry experiments, as char and soot have very different reactivities, and by SEM observations, which showed that only few soot particles were mixed with char samples.

Different types of analyses were conducted on the collected samples:

- composition analysis (C, H, O and ash);
- observation of morphology by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) coupled to EDX (Energy Dispersive X ray) analysis;
- observation of structure by High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HRTEM);
- XRD (X ray Diffraction) analysis;
- reactivity measurement with thermogravimetry experiments.

Note that enough amounts of soot for composition analysis and thermogravimetry experiments could only be collected in experiments at 1200 °C and 1400 °C.

The SEM observations of char and soot samples were already analysed and discussed in [19].

2.1.3. Thermogravimetry experiments

Steam gasification of char and soot was studied in an atmospheric ThermoGravimetric Analyzer (TGA) coupled to a wet gas generator, which can produce a gas with a maximum steam content of about 27 mol%. Fig. 1 provides a scheme of the TGA facility.

The experimental procedure is defined so that gasification takes place at constant temperature. The reactor is firstly heated at 24 °C/min under a nitrogen atmosphere until reaching a temperature plateau where gasification takes place. Once reaching the gasification plateau, the N₂ carrier gas flow is stopped and is substituted by the wet gas. The gasification experiment is considered to begin at this instant. The flow rate of the carrier gas is fixed at 50 ml/min for all experiments. Note that in the case of char samples, the reactor is firstly heated up to 950 °C and then cooled to the gasification temperature, in order to release volatile matter from the solid. Indeed, char obtained from biomass pyrolysis somehow behaves like an activated carbon and can adsorb components

from the atmosphere, such as moisture and hydrocarbons. This is not the case for soot.

The gasification temperatures were 750, 800 and 850 °C for char and 910, 950 and 980 °C for soot. These temperatures were selected so that the duration of gasification is between several minutes and several hundred of minutes, at a steam concentration of 20 mol% or 5 mol%.

Gasification experiments in TGA can be subject to mass transfer limitations into the bed of particles placed on the crucible [23]. The absence of interparticle mass transfer limitation in gasification experiments was experimentally verified by varying the sample mass, and therefore bed thickness. No influence of the mass was observed below 5 mg. Accordingly, char or soot mass used for TGA experiments was about 3–4 mg. Nonetheless, the absence of intra-particle mass transfer limitations was not verified and then cannot be discarded. No grinding of samples was applied because this could reduce the representativeness of samples from DTR experiments.

The reactivity R was calculated from the mass loss measured in the TGA (Eq. (1)), and can also be expressed as a function of the conversion rate, dX/dt (Eq. (2)).

$$R(t) = \frac{1}{m(t)} \frac{dm(t)}{dt} \quad (1)$$

$$R(t) = \frac{1}{1 - X(t)} \frac{dX(t)}{dt} \quad (2)$$

Repeatability experiments showed that the results for some samples were highly scattered, surely due to their heterogeneity. Thereby, the dispersion is represented by an error bar of 15% of each reactivity value.

In this study, the reference reactivity is the mean value calculated in a conversion range between 10% and 70% of conversion, referred to as $R(X = 10-70\%)$.

2.2. Char and soot gasification modeling methodology

Char and soot gasification was modelled by simple n th order model (Eq. (3)). This model associates the kinetic parameters to a function $f(X)$ which implicitly describes the evolution of the solid surface as a function of conversion.

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = k_0 P_{H_2O}^n \exp\left(-\frac{E_a}{R T}\right) f(X) \quad (3)$$

The steam gasification kinetic parameters were derived from thermogravimetry experiments, which were performed on different samples, collected after 4 s of residence time:

- char sample obtained at 1000 °C ('Char 1000 °C');
- char sample obtained at 1400 °C ('Char 1400 °C');
- soot sample obtained at 1200 °C ('Soot').

After plotting $\ln(R(X = 10-70\%))$ versus $(1/T)$ and $(1/P_{H_2O})$, the values of the activation energy E_a , pre-exponential factor k_0 and of the order of the reaction n could be determined from the slopes of the graphs, by using the expression of the following equation:

$$\ln(R(X = 10-70\%)) = \frac{E_a}{R} \frac{1}{T} + n \ln(P_{H_2O}) + \ln(k_0) \quad (4)$$

The surface function was calculated as the ratio of the reactivity at a given conversion and of the reference reactivity (Eq. (5)) and then fitted by a polynomial (Eq. (6)). This simple approach was used by several authors [24,25], as the classical models, based on physical assumptions, are usually not well adapted to describe gasification of char from biomass pyrolysis, as later demonstrated in Section 3.3.1.

Fig. 1. Scheme of the TGA facility.

$$f(X) = \frac{R(X)}{R(X) - 10 - 70\%} \quad (5)$$

$$f(X) = a X^6 + b X^5 + c X^4 + d X^3 + e X^2 + f X + g \quad (6)$$

3. Results and discussion

In this section, the experimental and modeling results are shown and discussed. Firstly, the evolutions of char and soot characteristics as a function of temperature and residence time in the reactor are discussed. Secondly, the gasification model is validated and used for the estimation of conversion time in industrial conditions.

In order to better understand the results described below, it is very important to precise that char formation is very rapidly achieved, before 2 s of residence time, whereas soot formation advances all along the reactor [21].

3.1. Characterization of char samples

3.1.1. Char composition

The char composition (on dry basis) is given in Table 1. At every temperature, char is mainly composed of carbon with a content higher than 75 wt%. The hydrogen represents about 1–2 wt%, while the oxygen content is under 10 wt%. The ash content at 1000 °C and 1200 °C is similar (~12 wt%), whereas it is higher (~18 wt%) at 1400 °C. This result could be attributed to the gasification of char at 1400 °C with the H₂O and CO₂ released by wood particles decomposition [21,26].

The molar compositions (on dry ash free basis) of char samples are represented in a ternary plot in Fig. 2. This graph also includes the beech composition and that of char prepared by Chen [20,27]. These results can be compared to ours as experiments in both works were conducted with the same feedstock and same experimental facility. Chen [20] observed that, during wood pyrolysis at 800 °C and 950 °C, the solid composition varies linearly in this diagram (dotted line in Fig. 2), from wood composition towards 67 mol% of carbon, 21 mol% of hydrogen and 12 mol% of oxygen at the end of pyrolysis. This transformation is achieved within

the first half of the reactor for 0.35 mm wood particles, i.e. after a residence time under 2 s.

Our results show that, above 1000 °C, the char carbon content still increases with temperature and residence time. This increase cannot be linked to wood pyrolysis which is achieved in a shorter time, but rather to secondary transformations occurring after char formation.

3.1.2. Char reactivity

Fig. 3a compares the gasification reactivity calculated in a conversion range of 10–70% for char samples obtained at 800 °C, 1000 °C, 1200 °C and 1400 °C after 2 s and 4 s of residence time. Char samples from 800 °C and 1000 °C experiments have a considerably higher reactivity than the samples obtained at 1200 °C and 1400 °C after 4 s residence time, which have a similar reactivity. The 800 °C char seems to have a slightly lower reactivity than the 1000 °C one. However, this trend has to be taken with a lot of caution because the difference of reactivity is within the limits of the error bars. As for char obtained at 1200 °C, the sample recovered after 2 s of residence time has a higher reactivity than the one recovered after 4 s.

So, the char reactivity significantly decreases as pyrolysis temperature increases from 1000 to 1200 °C, and as residence time increases from 2 s and 4 s at 1200 °C. Similarly to the composition evolution (previous section), this reactivity decrease with residence time is not related to the characteristics of char during its formation, which is achieved in less than 2 s, but rather to its posterior evolution.

3.1.3. Analysis of crystalline structures

Fig. 4 presents the XRD spectra obtained with char samples from pyrolysis at 1000 °C and 1400 °C. No crystalline structures were found for 1000 °C char. On the contrary, the XRD analysis of char from pyrolysis at 1400 °C clearly shows peaks related to inorganic salts Ca(CO₃), MgO and MnSiO₃ and to graphite. The latter is attributed to soot impurities on char sample, in agreement with HRTEM observations (refer to Section 3.2.3), and not to char which remains amorphous.

This result suggests that the higher temperature in DTR experiments induces ash crystallization. In counterpart, no carbonaceous crystalline structure formation was detected.

Fig. 2. Triangular diagram with the molar composition (daf basis) of wood particles and char samples prepared at different temperatures and residence times.

Fig. 3. Reactivity $R(X=10-70\%)$ determined by TGA experiments at 750 °C and 950 °C, under a steam partial pressure of 0.20 bar, for respectively char (a) and soot (b) samples obtained at different temperatures and residence times.

3.1.4. Discussion

SEM observations of chars formed between 800 °C and 1400 °C show the typical morphology of a porous fast pyrolysis char [19]. However, our results show some evolutions concerning composition and mineral matter with the increase of temperature and residence time. We suppose these could be a consequence of thermal annealing, whose influence increases with temperature.

Thermal annealing is known to lead to an ordering of the char carbonaceous structure. In our experiments, the evolution of char

towards pure carbon with the increase of temperature and residence time (Section 3.1.1) may be a consequence of this phenomenon. However, this ordering would remain weak as no graphitized structures were detected in char itself (Section 3.1.3).

Thermal annealing is also known to induce ash modifications in char. In our case, inorganic depositions on char surface, observed by SEM for chars prepared at 1400 °C [19], may reflect mineral changes in char. Besides, XRD analyses show inorganic crystalline structures on char from pyrolysis at 1400 °C but not on that from 1000 °C pyrolysis (Section 3.1.3). According to the study of Misra et al. [28], inorganic compounds can be easily volatilized from char structure above 1100 °C. In our study, this is at least the case of potassium which was detected in soot samples, as later explained in Section 3.2.1.

Thermal annealing usually leads to char thermal deactivation, i.e. to a reactivity decrease with temperature and residence time. In our experiments, this was observed above 1000 °C (Section 3.1.2). We propose some possible explanations for this observation:

The carbonaceous matrix ordering could lead to a more stable structure and to a less reactive solid;

The loss of potassium, which is known to be an efficient catalyst for gasification, and mineral crystallization could lower ash catalytic activity; note that the total ash content cannot be directly linked with char reactivity as it is the highest in 1400 °C char (Table 1) whereas reactivity is the lowest;

Ash depositions on char surface could decrease the access for steam within the particle.

Further studies should be performed in order to clarify the contribution of each of the phenomenon on char thermal deactivation.

Char reactivity can be influenced by other factors, such as the heating rate and the gasification conversion. In fact, char reactivity generally increases with the heating rate during its formation [1-3] and with the gasification advancement, as shown later in Section 3.3.1. In our study, the solid heating rate which increases with reactor temperature seems to play a minor role and could only explain the slight reactivity difference between chars

Fig. 4. XRD spectra of char samples from experiments at 1000 °C and 1400 °C.

obtained at 800 °C and 1000 °C. On the contrary, gasification could have a relevant influence on reactivity for char obtained at 1400 °C. Indeed, as said before (Section 3.1.1), char was then already partially gasified. So the supposed char thermal deactivation at 1400 °C may be then attenuated; this could explain the same reactivity measured between the chars from pyrolysis at 1200 °C and 1400 °C, whereas the latter would be expected to have a lower reactivity with thermal deactivation only.

3.2. Characterization of soot samples

3.2.1. Soot composition

Table 1 shows the composition (on dry basis) of soot recovered from experiments at 1200 °C and 1400 °C after 2 s and 4 s of residence time. Soot samples are mostly composed of carbon, with also some hydrogen. A small fraction of ash was also measured, and was mainly attributed to potassium, according to EDX analyses (Fig. 5). As soot is able to fix inorganic elements on its structure during its formation, this potassium should be volatilized from char at high temperatures (Section 3.1.4). No oxygen is present in the organic matrix, as the sum of carbon, hydrogen and ash is around 100%.

Soot composition depends on the experimental conditions in the DTR. Soot sampled at 1200 °C after 2 s of residence time, has

a chemical formula of $(C_6H)_n$, which is very close to the formula of 'young' soot proposed by Palmer & Cullis (1965). On the contrary, the mean formula for soot after 4 s of residence time is $(C_{12}H)_n$ at 1200 °C and $(C_{22}H)_n$ at 1400 °C. Carbon content tends then to increase with temperature and residence time, whereas hydrogen content decreases.

Soot ash content also changes with the experimental conditions. Soot sampled at 1200 °C after 4 s of residence time has lower ash content than soot samples obtained after a lower residence time 2 s or at a higher temperature 1400 °C. However, these results are quite difficult to explain as they both depend on inorganic release by char, and on inorganic fixation on soot particles, whose yield was shown to depend on residence time and temperature in the DTR [21,26].

3.2.2. Soot reactivity

Fig. 3b compares the reactivity calculated between 10% and 70% conversion for soot samples obtained at 1200 °C after 2 s and 4 s of residence time, and for samples obtained at 1400 °C after 4 s of residence time.

Reactivity of soot sampled at 1200 °C after 4 s of residence time is significantly lower than the reactivity of soot samples obtained at 1200 °C and 1400 °C, sampled after 2 s and 4 s of residence time respectively.

Fig. 5. EDX spectra of soot sampled at 1400 °C.

Fig. 6. HRTEM observations of soot from experiments at 1000 °C (a) and 1400 °C (b).

3.2.3. HRTEM soot structure observation

Crystalline structures were observed by HRTEM in soot particles which were present in chars sampled at 1000 °C and 1400 °C (Fig. 6). These should correspond to the graphite layers, put into evidence by XRD analysis (Fig. 4), which compose soot structure. The carbon particles in soot formed at 1000 °C are not well arranged (Fig. 6a). On the contrary, the carbon particles in soot formed at 1400 °C show a quite good alignment (Fig. 6b). Thus, it can be said that soot at 1400 °C has a more ordered structure than soot at 1000 °C. This suggests that a higher temperature during soot formation leads to a higher structure ordering of soot particles.

3.2.4. Discussion

Soot composition and reactivity were shown to change with temperature and residence time in the DTR. These variations are possibly due to structural changes related to soot formation process, which vary as a function of temperature and the transformation progress [13,26]. Thermal annealing is a less probable explanation, as it has never been observed below 1800 °C for soot (Section 1).

According to our results, an increase of temperature or residence time leads to a higher carbon content and to different reactivity to steam gasification. A structure ordering with temperature has also been put into evidence.

Surprisingly, on the contrary to what could be expected, a more ordered structure does not necessarily imply here a decrease of soot reactivity. Nevertheless, under the explored conditions, soot reactivity may be corroborated with its mineral content mainly composed of potassium, which is known to have a high catalytic influence on gasification. Indeed, the soot samples with the highest mineral content are the more reactive to steam gasification. This can explain the higher reactivity of char from 1400 °C experiments compared to that from 1200 °C, and also the higher reactivity of soot sample collected after 2 s of residence time compared to that of sample collected after 4 s of residence time for experiments at 1200 °C. The same correlation between the increase of reactivity and mineral content with temperature, for soot from biomass pyrolysis, was also observed by Qin et al. [18].

3.3. Char and soot gasification modeling

3.3.1. Determination of kinetic parameters

The steam gasification kinetic parameters of char and soot samples are presented in Table 2. It can be noticed that the activation energy and the order of the reaction of the three samples are consistent with those usually reported in literature for char prepared under a wide variety of conditions, i.e. E_a 88–250 kJ mol⁻¹ and n 0.4–1 [29].

Table 2
Steam gasification kinetic parameters of char and soot.

Samples	E_a (kJ mol ⁻¹)	k_0 (s ⁻¹ bar ⁻ⁿ)	n	[a; b; c; d; e; f; g]
Char 1000 °C	149	217893	0.7	[-142.6010; 409.4666; -425.8725; 208.9970; -44.9996; 4.8158; 0.2031]
Char 1400 °C	113	1763	0.9	[366.2818; -993.8326; 988.4238; -442.2056; 90.5639; -5.9203; 0.4409]
Soot	178	345915	0.7	[15.2916; 1.4528; -38.7355; 40.9488; -16.9383; 3.7950; 0.4168]

Fig. 7. Surface function versus conversion for char and soot samples gasification in TGA.

Fig. 7 compares the surface function of the samples Char 1000 °C, Char 1400 °C and Soot. Note that for each sample the same surface function globally applies whatever the gasification experimental conditions (result not shown here). At the end of transformation, some differences were observed, which may be in major part due to the high uncertainty at this stage. Surface functions globally increase with conversion (**Fig. 7**) which reflects a reactivity increase as the reaction proceeds. This may be explained by a reactive surface growth during the transformation and by the increase of inorganic matter concentration, which leads to a higher catalytic activity. The surface functions are quite close for the three samples until 50% conversion, and then increase differently. So the sample type may have an influence on the surface function evolution on the final stage of the transformation.

The surface functions experimentally obtained are very different from those predicted by classical models: the volume reaction model and the shrinking core model where the surface function constantly decreases as the volume or external surface of the particle decreases along the transformation; the random pore model where the surface function firstly increases due to surface pore growth and then decreases after pore coalescence.

3.3.2. Validation of the model

The results from the model developed in this work were compared to the results of the TGA experiments. **Fig. 8** gives an example corresponding to the char and soot gasification at 850 °C and 980 °C respectively, with 5 mol% and 20 mol% of steam.

Fig. 8 globally shows a good agreement between the modeling and experimental results. For almost all cases, the difference between $R(X \text{ } 10 \text{ } 70\%)$ from the model and the experiments is lower than the error bar. The only exception is for the gasification of Char 1000 °C, under 5 mol% of steam. In this case, the difference between the calculated and experimental reactivities $R_{10-70\%}$ is about 30%. The same accuracy of the model was observed for the other experimental cases, which are not shown in the present article.

3.3.3. Estimation of conversion times in industrial conditions

The model described in this work was used for the estimation of char and soot gasification conversion time in representative conditions of an entrained flow reactor, namely high temperatures and steam partial pressures. The extrapolation of the model under such conditions is based on the assumption of the validity of the model kinetic parameters at high pressures.

Table 3 displays the char and soot gasification characteristic times, which correspond to the time needed for 95% conversion, at 1200 °C and 1400 °C, under steam partial pressures of 0.2 bar, 2 bars and 20 bars. According to **Table 3**, the characteristic times

Fig. 8. Experimental and modeling conversion profile during the gasification of Char 1000 °C (a) and Char 1400 °C (b) at 850 °C, and of soot (c) at 980 °C, with 5 mol% and 20 mol% of steam.

Table 3

Gasification characteristic time (time needed for 95% of conversion) for char and soot samples, at 1200 °C and 1400 °C, under a steam pressure of 0.2 bar, 2 bar and 20 bar.

Sample	1200 °C			1400 °C		
	0.2 bar	2 bar	20 bar	0.2 bar	2 bar	20 bar
Char 1000 °C	5 s	1 s	0.2 s	1 s	0.2 s	0.05 s
Char 1400 °C	50 s	7 s	1 s	16 s	2 s	0.3 s
Soot	35 s	7 s	1 s	6 s	1 s	0.2 s

at high temperatures and steam partial pressures are globally in the scale of the second. Char 1000 °C sample is gasified the fastest, with characteristic times of a few seconds or inferior to the second

for all the experimental conditions. In contrast, Char 1400 °C and Soot samples, which present close characteristic times, require the highest temperature (1400 °C) and/or the highest steam partial pressure (20 bar) investigated here, to expect a complete conversion within a few seconds.

In summary, a complete conversion could be expected for a non annealed char corresponding here to Char 1000 °C, under the operating conditions of an entrained flow reactor, in which the residence time equals a few seconds. Nevertheless, if char is annealed, the probability of complete conversion is drastically reduced. Indeed, char gasification in an entrained flow reactor will depend on the competition between the reaction rate and thermal annealing. In the case of soot which has a relatively low reactivity, a complete conversion is uncertain.

In all cases, under very severe temperature and steam partial pressure conditions, a complete conversion can be expected for soot and char, annealed or not.

4. Conclusions

This paper focuses on the characterization of char and soot recovered from biomass fast pyrolysis experiments in a drop tube reactor. According to the experimental study, the char and soot characteristics vary with the operating conditions. Indeed, high temperatures (>1000 °C) induce changes in the carbonaceous and mineral matrix of char and soot. Carbon content increases with temperature and residence time for both types of solids. Evolutions in char mineral matter were observed, with crystallization of inorganics and volatilization of some elements, mainly potassium, which are subsequently fixed on soot structure. All these changes are assumed to be due to char thermal annealing and soot formation process, which probably influences the reactivity to steam gasification of carbonaceous solids. In the case of char, a decrease of reactivity with temperature was observed, whereas the opposite occurred for soot.

In a second step, the kinetics of char and soot gasification were determined on the basis of thermogravimetry experiments and led to the development of a semi empirical model. This model was then used for conversion time estimation in industrial conditions. This study concludes that severe conditions in an entrained flow reactor high temperatures and high steam partial pressures are required for the complete conversion of annealed char and soot.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the invaluable support of the technical staff at the 'Ecole des Mines d'Albi Carmaux' (DTR experiments) and at the CEA (TGA experiments), and especially Mrs. Christèle VERNE TOURNON (CEA) and Mr. Bernard AUDUC (Mines Albi). We also thank Cyril CAYRON (CEA) for HRTEM observations, and Olivier SICARDY (CEA) for XRD analyses.

References

- [1] Mermoud F, Salvador S, Van De Steene L, Golfier F. Influence of the pyrolysis heating rate on the steam gasification rate of large wood char particles. *Fuel* 2006;85:1473–82.

- [2] Cetin E, Gupta R, Moghtaderi B. Effect of pyrolysis pressure and heating rate on radiata pine char structure and apparent gasification reactivity. *Fuel* 2005;84:1328–34.
- [3] Cetin E, Moghtaderi B, Gupta R, Wall TF. Influence of pyrolysis conditions on the structure and gasification reactivity of biomass chars. *Fuel* 2004;83:2139–50.
- [4] Liu H, Luo C, Toyota M, Kato S, Uemiyi S, Kojima T, et al. Mineral reaction and morphology change during gasification of coal in CO₂ at elevated temperatures. *Fuel* 2003;82:523–30.
- [5] Russell NV, Gibbins JR, Williamson J. Structural ordering in high temperature coal chars and the effect on reactivity. *Fuel* 1999;78:803–7.
- [6] Shim H-S, Hurt RH. Thermal annealing of chars from diverse organic precursors under combustion-like conditions. *Energy Fuels* 2000;14:340–8.
- [7] Tremel A, Haselsteiner T, Nakonz M, Spliethoff H. Coal and char properties in high temperature entrained flow gasification. *Energy* 2012;45:176–82.
- [8] Senneca O, Salatino P, Masi S. Microstructural changes and loss of gasification reactivity of chars upon heat treatment. *Fuel* 1998;77:1483–93.
- [9] Kumar M, Gupta RC. Influence of carbonization conditions on the gasification of acacia and eucalyptus wood chars by carbon dioxide. *Fuel* 1994;73:1922–5.
- [10] Roth K. Soot formation during the production of syngas from the partial oxidation of diesel fuel. Ph.D. thesis, Ruhr-Universität Bochum; 2006.
- [11] Ruiz MP, De Villoria RG, Millera A, Alzueta MU, Bilbao R. Influence of the temperature on the properties of the soot formed from C₂H₂ pyrolysis. *Chem Eng J* 2007;127:1–9.
- [12] Vander Wal RL, Tomasek AJ. Soot oxidation: dependence upon initial nanostructure. *Combust Flame* 2003;134:1–9.
- [13] Vander Wal RL, Tomasek AJ. Soot nanostructure: dependence upon synthesis conditions. *Combust Flame* 2004;136:129–40.
- [14] Leung KM, Lindstedt RP, Jones WP. A simplified reaction mechanism for soot formation in nonpremixed flames. *Combust Flame* 1991;87:289–305.
- [15] Marsh PA, Voet A, Mullens TJ, Price LD. Quantitative micrograph of carbon black microstructure. *Carbon* 1971;9:797–805.
- [16] Matsumoto K, Takeno K, Ichinose T, Ogi T, Nakanishi M. Gasification reaction kinetics on biomass char obtained as a by-product of gasification in an entrained-flow gasifier with steam and oxygen at 900–1000 °C. *Fuel* 2009;88:519–27.
- [17] De Soete G. Catalysis of soot combustion by metal oxides. IFP report; 1988.
- [18] Qin K, Lin W, Fæster S, Jensen PA, Wu H, Jensen AD. Characterization of residual particulates from biomass entrained flow gasification. *Energy Fuels* 2013;27:262–70.
- [19] Septien S, Valin S, Dupont C, Peyrot M, Salvador S. Effect of particle size and temperature on woody biomass fast pyrolysis at high temperature (1000–1400 °C). *Fuel* 2012;97:202–10.
- [20] Chen L. Fast pyrolysis of millimetric particles between 800 °C and 1000 °C. Ph.D. thesis, Université de Lyon; 2009.
- [21] Septien S. High temperature gasification of millimetric wood particles between 800 °C and 1400 °C. Ph.D. thesis, Université de Toulouse; 2011.
- [22] Zhang Y, Kajitani S, Ashizawa M, Miura K. Peculiarities of rapid pyrolysis of biomass covering medium- and high-temperature ranges. *Energy Fuels* 2006;20:2705–12.
- [23] Ollero P, Serrera A, Arjona R, Alcantarilla S. Diffusional effects in TGA gasification experiments for kinetic determination. *Fuel* 2002;81:1989–2000.
- [24] Gómez-Barea A, Ollero P, Villanueva A. Diffusional effects in CO₂ gasification experiments with single biomass char particles. 2. Theoretical predictions. *Energy Fuels* 2006;20:2211–22.
- [25] Van de steene L, Tagutchou JP, Escudero Sanz FJ, Salvador S. Gasification of woodchip particles: experimental and numerical study of char-H₂O, char-CO₂, and char-O₂ reactions. *Chem Eng Sci* 2011;66:4499–509.
- [26] Septien S, Valin S, Peyrot M, Spindler B, Salvador S. Influence of steam on gasification of millimetric wood particles in a drop tube reactor: experiments and modelling. *Fuel* 2013;103:1080–9.
- [27] Chen L, Dupont C, Salvador S, Grateau M, Boissonnet G, Schweich D. Experimental study on fast pyrolysis of free-falling millimetric biomass particles between 800 °C and 1000 °C. *Fuel* 2013;106:61–6.
- [28] Misra MK, Ragland KW, Baker AJ. Wood ash composition as a function of furnace temperature. *Biomass Bioenergy* 1993;4:103–16.
- [29] Di Blasi C. Combustion and gasification rates of lignocellulosic chars. *Prog Energy Combust Sci* 2009;35:121–40.