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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

The upcoming depletion of fossil fuels calls for the development of alternative energies produced from renewable resources. Particularly, energy valorisation of agriculture and food processing wastes is one of the most promising tools for renewable energy production. The amount of food wastes is rapidly increasing due to urbanisation, industrialisation and population growth worldwide. They consequently represent a widely available resource, and their use as a raw material allows reducing the environmental cost associated with their disposal. These resources usually have high moisture content, making dry valorisation processes unattractive because of a costly drying step prior to conversion. Hydrothermal processes are conversely particularly well suited for the valorisation of wet organic wastes in an economical way, since they use water as the reaction medium. More specifically, liquid fuels can be produced using hydrothermal liquefaction (HTL). The process converts wet biomass into a crude like oil with higher heating values up to 40 MJ/kg using subcritical water (T = 250 – 370 °C, P = 10 – 30 MPa). Though this is an active research area, the mechanisms of hydrothermal liquefaction still remain unclear today. Some processes have already been developed at the pilot scale for valorising food processing wastes. However, the development of HTL processes at industrial scales is facing technological and economic challenges. This paper discusses the two main issues to address for development of the process at large scales. On the one hand, hydrothermal conversion of food processing residues and model compounds is necessary to better understand the fundamentals of hydrothermal liquefaction. As well, technological and process integration issues have to be addressed to ensure economic viability of commercial HTL processes.
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1. Introduction: hydrothermal liquefaction is a promising tool for renewable energy production

Access to sustainable energy is a strategic issue in our modern societies, becoming more and more important as the world population is growing. Our economies are based on fossil resources such as coal and crude oil, which represent more than 80% of the energy production in the world[1]. The exploitation of such non renewable resources will lead to a rise in prices, and to depletion of fossil fuels within a few decades. In addition, the rise in environmental concerns and legislations call for new, cleaner and economical ways to meet our needs for energy and products. For the future, there is no other alternative than using renewable resources. Nowadays, renewable energies represent less than 20% of the global energy demand worldwide. However, the share of renewables in the global energy supply is expected to increase at about 30% by 2025[1].

Among the many sources of renewable energies, biomass is sometimes described as a “sleeping giant” because of its high availability around the world, associated with a still limited valorisation, especially for energy production. The main advantage of using biomass is that it is a carbon neutral source of energy, since the CO₂ produced during combustion had been previously converted into organic matter by the process of photosynthesis. Organic wastes from agriculture and food processing industries have traditionally been reused to a large extent. However, the amount of food wastes is rapidly increasing due to urbanisation, industrialisation and population growth worldwide. They consequently represent a widely available source for valorisation, and modern centralised production techniques make it interesting to consider organic wastes for energy production. In addition, using organic wastes as a raw material allows reducing the environmental cost associated with their disposal. Their management is of crucial importance since they can cause environmental problems: contribution to the greenhouse effect by methane and carbon dioxide emissions, contamination of soils and groundwater and human health issues.

Food processing wastes have gained a growing interest in recent years, due to their potential for recovering high value compounds. Many processes are being developed for reusing food wastes in an efficient and environmentally friendly way. Useful materials are either obtained as such from extraction or separation processes, or produced by waste transformation. On the one hand, several recent techniques based on extraction using supercritical fluids, which are generally considered as green and safe solvents, have recently emerged. Details on the different processes for extracting high value additives for food and pharmaceutical industries can be found in recent reviews[2,3], and will not be discussed in this paper. On the other hand, many biochemical and thermochemical processes are currently being developed to participate in tomorrow’s energy supply, by converting biomass into renewable fuels. The main bio logical food processing waste to energy processes are anaerobic digestion to produce biogas for heat and electricity production, and bioethanol production by sugar fermentation [4]. Among thermochemical technologies, such as pyrolysis to produce liquid bio oils, the majority of processes require a biomass with relatively low moisture content, generally less than 30 wt%: they are therefore not adapted to wet biomass. In this context, hydrothermal processes are promising leads for the valorisation of wet organic wastes in an economical way, since they use water as both a reaction medium and reactant. Wet biomass in particular can be directly transformed into liquid fuels by hydrothermal liquefaction.

Energy valorisation of wet biomass through hydrothermal processes is an active research area, and many review papers have been published in the past fifteen years. Table 1 provides an overview of the review papers published in the field of hydro thermal processes and hydrothermal liquefaction (HTL). Various aspects are reported: some are interested in fundamental properties of water in the sub supercritical region as well as in the chemistry associated; while others report advances on every hydrothermal process. Certain articles focus specifically on hydrothermal liquefaction, even though they relate in majority to algae based bio refineries. Other review papers aim at comparing various conversion routes for producing renewable liquid fuels from wet biomass, but these papers are also related to HTL of micro and macro algae.

With this paper, we therefore intend to focus specifically on hydrothermal liquefaction applied to food processing residues. By providing information on the complete process chain, from the initial resources to issues related to process development, we aim to give a comprehensive overview of the production of renewable liquid fuels from food processing wastes by hydrothermal liquefaction (HTL).

Starting from the current situation and current ways of valorisation, we have reviewed HTL applied to food processing residues and model compounds. A special emphasis is given to the effect of process parameters, and to the nature and molecular composition of food processing residues. Extensive information is provided regarding the reactivity of model compounds in subcritical water, as such fundamental information is required to fully understand results from HTL experiments. Finally, we underline the main limitations to the industrialisation of hydrothermal liquefaction, therefore giving leads for future work.

2. Food processing residues

As introduced previously, food processing residues are of great interest for the future energy mix. In this section, we define first the nature and amount of food processing residues which could be potentially valorised. Secondly, we detail the current ways of valorisation of these wastes. Finally, the molecular composition is described, as it is a key point for further valorisation.

2.1. General figures and current situation

Food wastes correspond to different categories and are generated at every stage of the food supply chain. For instance, food wastes generated during purchase, preparation and consumption
of meals are considered as post consumer wastes: they are mainly influenced by the consumers' behaviour and cooking habits. On the other hand, food processing wastes are mostly pre consumer wastes, produced during the transformation of animal and vegetable material for animal feed and human consumption. The agricultural sector produces wastes as sub products (e.g. straw) but also as damaged products considered as non marketable. As well, food industries are accountable for a great portion of waste generation due to problems during transport, storage, processing or packing [39]. Food processing residues are available under a wide variety of forms. They are for example fruit and vegetable processing wastes (e.g. fruit pomace), wastes from meat and fish industries (e.g. carcasses, blood, bones and fats), winery and brewery wastes, aqueous phases (e.g. whey), sludge from waste water treatment and singular forms such as empty fruit bunches of oil palm trees.

Each year, a huge quantity of wastes is generated along the food supply chain: based on data from the year 2007, the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) estimated that 1.6 billion tons per year are lost, which represents more than 20% of the total world agricultural production, and about one third of the total world food production for human nutrition [40]. Depending on the industry, the proportion of generated wastes varies: approxi mately 30 wt% of cereals, 40 50 wt% of root crops, fruits and vegetables, 20 wt% of oilseeds, meat and dairy products, and 35 wt% of fish are lost [40,41]. The amount of food wastes is rapidly increasing due to urbanisation, industrialisation and population growth worldwide. It is expected to grow even more in future years. For instance, food wastes in the European Union are expected to grow from 89 million tons in 2006 to 126 million tons in 2020 [42].

Food and agricultural wastes are therefore abundant, cheap and renewable resources. The valorisation of the large amount of food processing residues generated each year is an issue of the utmost importance, because bad management of these wastes can contribute to environmental problems: contribution to the greenhouse effect by methane and carbon dioxide emissions, contamination of soils and groundwater and human health issues. It is also necessary to put into perspective the important amount of wet organic wastes produced by food industries with the increasingly limited space available for storage, which speaks in favour of the development of alternative ways of valorisation. This constitutes an opportunity for material and energy valorisation, and to develop a bio based economy. Management of food processing wastes requires important means for sorting, treating and valorising this material, with the objective of reducing the environmental cost associated with their disposal.

2.2. Current ways of valorisation

When considering the valorisation of food processing residues, two main aspects have to be taken into account: the processes and the applications. Thus, it is important to determine the nature of the secondary raw materials which could be obtained. In fact, this feature will importantly determine the type of processes needed to valorise the feedstock.

Currently, several ways of valorising food processing residues exist, such as animal feed, soil fertilizer or combustion. For instance, fruit and vegetable wastes (e.g. peels, shells and seeds) are currently used as animal feed or fertilizer. However, disposal of wet organic wastes in landfills can cause important emissions of methane (CH₄) and carbon dioxide (CO₂), which are important greenhouse gases, thus contributing to climate change. Meat and fish processing wastes (e.g. blood, fat, bones…) are used to produce bone meal that is burnt, used as fertilizer or in animal feed, leading to major sanitary problems in the past. The current ways of valorising food processing residues are therefore associated with environmental and human health issues, underlining the need for cleaner and better ways of valorisation. Even if they are discarded as wastes, food processing residues contain a lot of valuable compounds, from sugars to bioactive compounds, among others. For example, lignin rich wastes can be used for recovery of phenolic compounds, since it is the only renewable source of such compounds. They have consequently valuable properties for several industries, such as food and pharmaceutical industries, resins and adhesives, or even to enhance stability of biofuels [43,46]. As well, lignin contained in fruit and vegetable processing residues contributes to more than half of the heating value in biomass, and can then be valorised as energy carrier. Meat processing wastes contain many lipids which are products of interest for the food industry, as well as for renewable energies. In recent years, an increase of the use of edible oils has been observed around the world because of change in the diet of emerging countries as well as the rise in biodiesel production. Using lipid rich wastes can contribute to meet the needs for such products, either by extraction to recover valuable fatty acids or direct conversion into bio based fuels.

Due to the potential of food processing residues for recovering valuable compounds and renewable fuels, the key issue is to use suitable processes regarding both the nature of these wastes and the application expected. Considering the production of renewable fuels, many biochemical and thermochemical processes are currently being developed to participate in tomorrow’s energy supply. Particularly, several processes can be used to convert biomass into liquid fuels. Biochemical processes use micro organisms or enzymes at moderate temperatures to carry out specific reactions. The main biological food processing waste to energy processes
are anaerobic digestion to produce biogas for heat and electricity production, and bioethanol production by sugar fermentation [4]. Even though they are attractive to treat wet resources, they also have some limitations. For instance, fermentation of wet resources often requires an expensive hydrolysis step to produce fermentable sugars prior to fermentation. Anaerobic digestion requires expensive post treatment steps such as purification of the biogas. Finally, both processes use microorganisms which are highly sensitive to any kind of changes in the operating conditions (temperature, pH, presence of inhibitors...), leading to decreased performances. For instance, using lignin rich substrates can lead to inhibition of enzymatic hydrolysis, therefore decreasing the global performance of the process [47].

On the contrary, thermochemical processes are not limited by the biomass composition. These processes use high temperature chemical reactions to convert biomass into gas, solid or liquid fuels. Many technologies exist, such as direct combustion to generate heat and electricity, gasification to produce synthesis gas, or pyrolysis to produce liquid bio oils. However, all of these processes need a biomass with relatively low moisture content, generally less than 30 wt%. They are therefore not adapted to wet biomass such as food processing residues with moisture contents higher than 95 wt% in some cases. Consequently, ‘dry’ processes are often unattractive, because of the costly drying of the biomass before further processing, along with high transport costs and environmental issues. In this context, thermochemical conversion routes for wet organic wastes, namely hydrothermal processes, are promising leads for energy valorisation of such resources in an eco-friendly way, since they use water as both a reaction medium and a reactant. Hydrothermal processes allow applying to wet resources the same processes as for dry routes: carbonisation, liquefaction and gasification. The whole biomass is converted into energy dense products, either in solid, liquid or gaseous forms. The production of liquid fuels by thermochemical processing is driven by the conversion temperature of the molecules contained in biomass. It is therefore necessary to know the initial composition of the raw waste, as well as the composition of the final products of interest.

2.3. Molecular composition and physical aspect of food processing residues

Food processing residues are available under a wide variety of forms. Wastes produced by the food processing industries vary from fruit and vegetable wastes (e.g. fruit pomace), aqueous phases (e.g. whey from dairy industries), sludge from wastewater treatment, wastes from meat and fish industries (e.g. carcasses, blood, bones and fats); winery and brewery wastes, to singular forms such as empty fruit bunches of oil palm trees. Food processing residues contain a large variety of molecules, such as fibres, proteins and lipids among others, which are the basic building blocks of living cells. Fibres are important contributors to the dry matter content of food processing residues, mainly generated by the fruit and vegetable processing industries. They are also found in algae, and in forestry and agricultural wastes. Fibres are structural macro molecules of vegetal cell walls, mainly based on three polymers: cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin. In vegetal cells, the fibres are intertwined with each other to give structure and robustness to the plant: hemicelluloses are linked to cellulose through hydrogen bonds, and lignin forms hydrogen and covalent bonds with cellulose polymers to give rigidity to the cell walls. Therefore, the composition as well as the energy of intermolecular bonds may affect depolymerisation and conversion of fibres into fuels. Proteins are polymers of amino acids linked together by peptide bonds. They are also found in food processing residues, mostly in meat and fish processing wastes and in some seeds. Many amino acids are valuable compounds for industries such as pharmaceutical and cosmetics. As well, they can be converted into bio oils, yet increasing the nitrogen content of the products.

Lipids correspond to a broad variety of substances, such as fats, oils and waxes. They are mainly found as triglycerides in biomass, formed by up to 98 wt% of C12 to C18 fatty acids such as lauric, myristic, palmitic, stearic, oleic and linoleic acids. In food processing residues, lipids are mainly found in residues from seeds processing (olive, nuts...), meat processing wastes and in some fruit processing residues. Lipids are product of interest for the production of bio oils, mainly due to the long chain fatty acids that contribute to increase the amount and quality of the products. Molecules contained in food processing residues have great chemical differences. Depending on the nature of each molecule, the amount and quality of bio oils produced can be directly affected. For instance, lipids greatly contribute to bio oil production, while proteins can be valorised for other applications. In addition, interactions can form by products, either contributing to the production of bio oils or reducing it. Studies on the reactivity of each type of compounds individually and in mixtures are therefore necessary. In fact, the identification of reaction mechanisms and kinetics is the first step of optimising the process of producing liquid fuels from food wastes.

3. Properties of pressurised hot water and hydrothermal liquefaction

As mentioned previously, the focus of this paper is hydrothermal liquefaction of food processing wastes. In the following sections, the properties of water in the subcritical and supercritical regions are briefly reminded, prior to the presentation of the main influencing parameters of the process. Finally, the reactivity of model molecules in the conditions of hydrothermal liquefaction is detailed.

3.1. Properties of subcritical and supercritical water

Hydrothermal processes avoid the need to dry the biomass before further processing, which can lead to big energy savings on the global energy consumption of the conversion process. Hydrothermal processes use the variations of the properties of water at high temperatures and pressures. In particular, water above its critical point (\(T_c = 374 ^\circ C, P_c = 221.07 \text{ MPa}\)) acquires specific properties: variable density, gas like viscosity and increased diffusivity compared to liquid water. Subcritical water corresponds to pressurised liquid water at temperatures between its atmospheric boiling point and its critical temperature. Fig. 1 shows the phase diagram of water, as well as its variations of density, dielectric constant and ionic product with temperature. In the subcritical region, water loses its polarity, behaving similar as an organic solvent, while its ionic product \(K_w\) increases up to three orders of magnitude. These variations in the properties of water lead to better solubility of organic compounds and increased catalytic activities for acid base reactions such as hydrolysis of the bio polymers contained in biomass [6].

Various hydrothermal processes for biomass conversion exist, depending on operating conditions, as illustrated in Fig. 2. At low temperatures (150-250 °C) and pressures around 2.5 MPa, hydrothermal carbonisation (HTC) generates a solid phase valuable as a solid fuel, or for agricultural or energy storage purposes. At slightly higher temperatures and pressures, Aqueous Phase Reforming (APR) produces \(H_2\) in situ that reacts through heterogeneous catalytic reactions to hydrogenate degradation products of biomass. Beyond the critical point, Supercritical Water Gasification (SCWG) is
favoured, leading to a combustible gas: methane riche in the near critical region, hydrogen rich at temperatures above 600 °C. Between 250 and 370 °C and at pressures above the equilibrium vapour pressure of water, an energy dense bio oil can be obtained by hydrothermal liquefaction (HTL).

3.2. Main factors influencing hydrothermal liquefaction

Hydrothermal liquefaction, commonly referred to as HTL, is a process in which wet biomass is converted into a crude like oil using subcritical water \((T=250 \text{ to } 370 \degree C, P=10 \text{ to } 30 \text{ MPa})\). In subcritical water, molecules contained in biomass are subjected to many degradation and condensation pathways, leading typically to the formation of 4 phases: an oily product known as ‘bio oil’ with higher heating values (HHV) up to 35 \text{ 40 MJ/kg}, an aqueous phase containing dissolved polar organics, a solid residue called ‘char’ and a CO\(_2\) rich gaseous phase. Despite their high availability and potential for valorisation, a limited number of studies have focused to date on hydrothermal liquefaction of food processing residues. A much larger number of papers report HTL applied to micro algae \([29]\), or forestry and agricultural wastes \([48 \text{ 65}]\). In the field of food processing residues, HTL was effectively applied to wastes from meat industries such as offal \([66,67]\), carcasses \([68]\) or manure \([69,72]\). As well, hydrothermal liquefaction of fish processing residues was reported \([73,75]\). Other studies report hydrothermal liquefaction of fruit and vegetable processing residues \([76,82]\), real food as a model for food wastes \([83,84]\) or municipal wastes \([84,87]\). The vast majority of HTL studies have been carried out at the laboratory scale in closed vessels called autoclaves, running in batch mode. These studies mainly report the influence of different biomass compositions, as well as the influence of process parameters on the bio oil yield. The main product of interest in HTL processes is bio oil. Table 2 shows a comparison between various properties of bio oils and traditional fossil fuels.

Unlike pyrolysis oils, bio oils obtained by HTL have low moisture content and higher heating values up to 40 MJ/kg, which make them interesting from an energy perspective. The properties of the bio oils depend on parameters such as the initial feedstock,
Operating conditions as well as post conversion steps for bio oil recovery, which are discussed in this section.

The composition and structure of biomass influences the distribution of products. The amount of solid residue recovered during HTL of biomass is strongly correlated to the lignin content: the higher the lignin content in the biomass, the more char recovered. This is mainly due to the chemical robustness of lignin and to condensation reactions between unstable intermediates leading to polyaromatic structures [94-96]. On the other hand, cellulose and hemicelluloses contained in the biomass mainly produce char and water soluble molecules such as sugars, acids, ketones, aldehydes, furan and phenol derivatives. The lipid and protein contents of the biomass are important for increasing the bio oil yield, because they form long chain aliphatic molecules such as fatty acids, fatty acids alkyl esters and amides, as well as nitrogen heterocycles [86,97]. The bio oil yield depends on the chemical nature of the biomass, but process parameters such as temperature and residence time also have an influence on the distribution of products.

While a temperature under 280 °C leads to incomplete degrada
tion of biopolymers and negligible bio oil yields, a large number of papers report the existence of an optimum temperature near 300 °C for most substrates [27]. Increasing the temperature allows a complete depolymerisation, and further fragmentations and condensations generate heavy hydrophobic compounds found in the bio oil. Yet, above the optimum temperature, the bio oil yield decreases in favour of the solid residue and the gas phase: radical reactions become predominant at higher temperatures, leading to polymeric structures found in the char and to small fragments in the gas phase. Reaction time also has an influence on the bio oil yield: longer reaction times lead to higher yields of solid residue and gas. Temperature and reaction time are actually coupled, because higher temperatures increase reaction rates, including secondary reactions.

To avoid undesired secondary reactions, additives can be used. Studies on the influence of additives have mainly been focused on the use of alkali catalysts and reducing species to stabilise reaction intermediates, and inhibit secondary condensation reactions responsible for increasing the amount of polymeric char. Alkali catalysts such as NaOH and Na₂CO₃ are usually used to reduce the char yield and enhance bio oil formation [26,51,56]. Mechanisms behind experimental observations when using alkali catalysts are still unclear, even though one major effect is considered to be the catalysis of the water gas shift reaction [98], as well as decarbonylation of fatty acids and decarbomethoxylation of sugars [99,100]. The latter reactions improve the quality of bio oils, because they remove oxygen from the bio oil through CO₂ formation, therefore without removing hydrogen. In all cases, the supply of hydrogen in the reaction medium is necessary to stabilise reactive intermediates. The reducing effect provided by alkali catalysts can also be obtained when using process gases such as CO and H₂ [70,101]. Yin et al. [70] reported the effect of several process gases on HTL of swine manure, and observed an increase of the bio oil yield by using CO and H₂. Yet, the effect of such gases is sometimes considered as negligible by some authors, who underline the need for heterogeneous catalysts to compensate for bad mass transfer between phases [27]. To make up for these issues, alternative solvents can be used, either as substitutes for water or as co solvents. Hydrogen donor solvents such as tetralin are often used, because they are easy to handle and do not create any gas liquid phase boundary limitation in the reaction medium. Even if organic solvents from fossil resources are currently used, a recent study showed the feasibility of using bio based solvents as substitutes for tetralin [87].

Bio oils obtained by hydrothermal liquefaction are complex mixtures formed by hundreds of compounds with varying molecular weights, such as carboxylic acids, alcohols, aldehydes, ketones, esters, sugars, phenol and furan compounds. It is usually necessary to use an organic solvent to recover the bio oil. Many organic solvents have been used in the literature: dichloromethane is by far the most used solvent, either alone [70,71,85,102,107] or in combination with less polar solvents [108,110]. Other solvents are chloroform [111,112], acetone [92,113], tetrahydrofuran [83] or alkanes and aromatic compounds [101,112]. Even though rarely considered in the literature, the choice of extraction solvents can directly affect the amount and quality of the bio oil [112,114,115]. The polarity of the solvent will impact the type of molecules recovered in the bio oil. Gas chromatography coupled with Mass Spectrometry (GC MS) is the most used method to analyse HTL bio oils. However, the complexity of the mixtures makes difficult the exhaustive identification of the composition. In addition, this technique only provides partial information, because it often cannot identify molecules with high boiling points [112]. Analysis of HTL bio oils is therefore a challenge that calls for combination of techniques and development of specific analytical tools.

---

**Table 2**

Comparison of properties of bio-oils and fossil fuels [26,70,88-93].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hydrothermal liquefaction Oil</th>
<th>Pyrolysis Oil</th>
<th>Gasoline</th>
<th>Domestic heating oil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elemental composition (wt% on dry)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>60-80</td>
<td>55-70</td>
<td>85-88</td>
<td>86.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>12-15</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>10-20</td>
<td>35-50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Traces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>Traces</td>
<td>50-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>Traces</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture content</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2-1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher Heating Value (MJ/kg)</td>
<td>30-40</td>
<td>16-23</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity (mPas)</td>
<td>40-210 (60 °C)</td>
<td>15.6 (40 °C)</td>
<td>0.3-0.4</td>
<td>7.9-8.4 (20 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hydrothermal liquefaction is therefore a complex process depending on many parameters, leading to a wide number of reaction products. Even though the main parameters of influence have been identified through phenomenological approaches, mechanisms behind hydrothermal liquefaction are still unclear: studies on model compounds are needed to simplify the systems and allow the identification of reaction pathways and kinetics.

### 3.3. Reactivity of biomolecules in pressurised hot water

Food processing residues contain many different molecules. The global conversion efficiency depends consequently on the reaction mechanisms of each compound. Due to the complex composition of each feedstock, a global reaction scheme, including kinetic aspects, would be very difficult to achieve. This section addresses therefore a review of the reactions encountered during HTL of pure model compounds and some of their mixtures.

#### 3.3.1. Pure model compounds

**3.3.1.1. Fibres.** Cellulose was extensively studied in the literature, and several reaction mechanisms have already been suggested: above 250 °C, cellulose is rapidly hydrolysed to produce oligosaccharides and glucose [96,116-120]. Depending on the temperature, hydrolysis of cellulose occurs following two different mechanisms, either heterogeneous in the subcritical region or homogeneous in the near critical and supercritical region [116,121-123]. As shown in Fig. 3, increasing the temperature and pressure increases the solubility of cellulose in water, leading to faster hydrolysis rates [116,120,122-124].

Secondary reactions of glucose lead to a diversification of reaction products, following three main mechanisms: retro aldol condensation which breaks C-C bonds, acid catalysed dehydration to produce furanic compounds and isomerisation to produce fructose known as Lobry de Bruyn Alberda Van Ekenstein trans formation [125,127]. Other secondary reactions lead to phenolic compounds, light polar molecules such as aldehydes, ketones and carboxylic acids, and gaseous products such as CO₂ and light hydrocarbons [83]. Fig. 4 illustrates the many degradation pathways of glucose in subcritical water [128,129].

HTL of cellulose generates a complex mixture of solid, liquid and gaseous products, mainly formed by light polar water soluble molecules and char. For instance, Pavlović et al. [130] reported a maximum of 21% oil yield for HTL of cellulose at 250 °C during 60 min. The many competitive pathways are influenced by operating conditions such as temperature, reaction time and pH. For instance, an increase in temperature will enhance gas formation by decarboxylation and decarbonylation [130,131]. In addition, reaction times longer than a few minutes lead to an increased formation of carboxylic acids, as well as increased condensation reactions of reactive intermediates in the aqueous phase and in the bio oil, producing polymeric char [132,133]. To inhibit the formation of char, additives such as reducing gases (CO, H₂) and alkali catalysts can be used. Acidic conditions favour dehydration of monosaccharides and cellulose as well as polymerisation reactions, increasing therefore char formation [106,134,135]. On the other hand, high pH values favour retro aldol condensations, leading to C₃ - C₄ polar molecules. Consequently, high pH values decrease the bio oil and char yields in favour of the aqueous phase and the formation of carboxylic acids [106]. The two competing mechanisms can both be taking place at neutral pH values.

In comparison with cellulose, hemicelluloses are more sensitive to hydrolysis: they are rapidly hydrolysed at temperatures above 180 °C, while a minimum temperature of 250 °C is necessary to initiate cellulose hydrolysis [136-138]. In biomass, amorphous regions are hydrolysed first, giving access to more crystalline regions. As illustrated in Fig. 5, monosaccharides generated by hydrolysis of hemicelluloses have a similar reactivity as hexoses [125,139,142]. Substituents of hemicelluloses can influence the reactivity. While acyl substituents produce acetic acid able to catalyse depolymerisation of long chain polysaccharides [143], uronic acids are responsible for lowering reaction rates of hydrolysis reactions [144]. A very limited number of studies focus on HTL of hemicelluloses, probably because their reactivity is similar to the reactivity of cellulose. For instance, Gao et al. [145] reported a low bio oil yield of 11.61 wt% for HTL of xylan at 300 °C during 30 min. Contrarily to hemicelluloses, numerous studies focused on hydrothermal conversion of lignin. As illustrated in Fig. 6, several reaction mechanisms were established as soon as the 1980s.

![Fig. 3. Cellulose dissolution in water between 300 °C and 330 °C at P=25 MPa (Reproduced from [122], with permission of The Royal Society of Chemistry).](image)
particularly, studies on model compounds were conducted to identify reaction mechanisms and kinetics [148, 152, 161]. in hydrothermal conditions, lignin is first hydrolysed above 200 °c to produce mainly phenolic oligomers and monomers, which react through hydrolysis of methoxy groups, breakage of c c bonds, alkylations and condensations. while aromatic rings are relatively stable, fragmentations of side chains of lignin produce carboxylic acids, alcohols and aldehydes [148, 162, 163].

htl of lignin mainly generates water soluble phenolic compounds and an insoluble solid residue, which can account for up to 90 wt% of the initial lignin [79, 152, 159, 164]. char formation was even observed when using monomeric model compounds such as guaiacol, which means it cannot be avoided during htl of lignin [154, 156].

density of the reaction medium is considered as the key parameter for htl of lignin: increasing the density of water would enhance lignin conversion, because the ionic product of water increases with pressure. therefore, density can be modulated to inhibit condensations and enhance fragmentation reactions, shifting the char formation to bio oil production [165, 166]. finally, increasing the temperature drives secondary reactions such as hydrolysis of methoxy groups and alkylations of phenolic com pounds, while increasing the reaction time allows the degradation of heavy molecular structures [148, 149, 158, 167].

the use of additives such as phenol can drastically reduce the proportion of solid residue [150, 151, 165]. okuda et al. [150] reported only 1 wt% char yield after hydrothermal conversion of lignin in pre sence of phenol: according to the authors, phenol enhances frag mentation reactions and inhibits repolymerisation. other co solvents have been used, such as ethanol [168, 169] or model compounds of lignin [52, 160]. as well, homogeneous alkali catalysts such as potas sium salts (k₂co₃, koh) and sodium salts (na₂co₃, naoh) can be used to promote base catalysed conversion of lignin, enhance the forma tion of phenol derivatives and inhibit repolymerisation reactions leading to solid residue [51, 170]. in addition, some papers report the use of heterogeneous catalysts to improve hydrolysis of the ether bonds and stabilise phenolic monomers [161, 171].

3.3.1.2. Proteins. Hydrothermal conversion of proteins starts with hydrolysis of the peptide bond, leading to the release of amino acids in the reaction medium. even if it is slower than cellulose hydrolysis, hydrolysis of the peptide bond can benefit from the use of acid catalysts such as co₂ or hcl to increase the hydrolysis rate [120, 172], as well as base catalysts such as naoh to recover amino acids [172]. abdelmoez et al. [173] reported that most of the amino acids were stable at high ph values, while they showed lower stability at acidic and neutral ph values. basic hydrolysis of the peptide bond produces a carboxylate ion instead of a carboxylic acid, therefore not affecting the amino groups. because amino acids are high value molecules for the food and pharmaceutical industries, the majority of studies focus on the recovery of these building blocks from protein rich resources or model proteins: meat and fish processing wastes [73, 75, 174, 176], cereal wastes [177] or model compounds such as silk protein [172], soy protein [178] and bovine serum albumin [120, 179, 180].

amino acids degrade rapidly in subcritical water above 300 °c and produce mainly water soluble molecules: amines and co₂ by
decarboxylation, carboxylic acids and NH$_3$ by deamination [176,179]. Those two main reaction pathways have been identified in the literature by studies on two main model compounds: glycine and alanine [181–184]. In addition, degradation of complex amino acids can lead to the formation of simpler amino acids; for instance, glycine and alanine can be produced by degradation of serine [73,173,183,185]. Increasing temperature and reaction time leads to an increase of the degradation reactions.

Hydrothermal liquefaction of proteins usually results in a low bio oil yield: Dote et al. [180] obtained 10 wt% bio oil yield after HTL of egg albumin at 300 °C during 2 h, and comparable yields with amino acids used as model compounds [187]. Consequently, HTL of pure proteins mainly produces a nitrogen rich aqueous phase. However, better yields are obtained when using protein rich resources such as micro algae [107,108,110], onion wastes [77], fermentation wastes [91], grains [78] and yeasts [109]. This can be partly explained by the recombination reactions of degradation products above 300 °C to form molecules contributing to the bio oil yield, such as phenol, indole, pyrrole, pyrazine and pyridine. As well, interactions with other molecules of biomass can contribute to increase the amount of bio oil.

3.3.1.3. Lipids. Triglycerides are rapidly hydrolysed above 280 °C, producing fatty acids and glycerol. The reaction was first described as a three step equilibrium by Mills and McClain [188], in which the first hydrolysis step is rate limiting. Some studies showed that hydrolysis of lipids is autocatalysed by fatty acids [189,190]. In addition, studies on fatty acid esters used as model compounds showed that hydrolysis can be catalysed either by H$^-$ or OH$^-$ at extreme pH values, and even by H$_2$O at neutral pH values [191–195]. The reaction is mainly influenced by temperature and by the ratio lipid/water. While the reaction rate increases with temperature, increasing the water amount results in better yields of fatty acids at equilibrium. The reaction mainly takes place in the oil phase, which means that the hydrolysis rate is limited by the mass transfer of water towards the oil phase [196–199].

Fatty acids are generally considered stable under 300 °C. Above this temperature, decarboxylation of fatty acids generates alkanes, alkenes or ketones. The main focus of research papers is on the decarboxylation reaction, and additives can be used to drive reaction mechanism towards decarboxylation of fatty acids: alkali catalysts, metal oxides or supported noble metal catalysts can influence the selectivity of the reaction [99,200].

HTL of lipids was recently studied by several authors who used model compounds, such as sunflower oil [97,107], corn oil [201], soybean oil [189], butter [202] and castor oil [97]. Bio oil yields up to 90–95 wt% are generally obtained, associated with very low amounts of solid residue. Biller and Ross [107] showed that the bio oil is mainly formed by fatty acids and fatty acid esters using GC-MS analysis.

Glycerol is the by product of triglycerides hydrolysis. In sub critical water, it produces mainly water soluble organics, driving the hydrolysis equilibrium towards higher conversion of triglycerides. Long and Fang [203] reviewed the main reactions of glycerol in hydrothermal conditions, as illustrated in Fig. 7. Glycerol is also used for co liquefaction of biomass, due to its solvent effect. Furthermore, crude glycerol (mixture of glycine, methanol, soaps and catalysts) generated as a by product of biodiesel production can be valorised as a co substrate, solving at the same time economic and environmental issues associated with biodiesel production [90,204–206].

Studies on pure model compounds in the conditions of hydrothermal liquefaction evidenced the wide number of reactions occurring when pure model compounds are converted. After hydrolysis of biopolymers, many degradation pathways such as fragmentations, condensations and dehydrations lead to a wide variety of products in the bio oil, char, gas and aqueous phases. Real resources have a diverse and complex chemical composition, containing many different molecules. Interactions between either these molecules or their degradation products can also occur in the conditions of hydrothermal
liquefaction, leading to even more complex reactions schemes. The following section summarizes therefore the main interactions between model compounds of the biomass.

3.3.2. Mixtures

Bio oil yields generally increase when real resources are used instead of model compounds, due to interactions phenomena. While a great research effort has been made with pure model compounds, few studies are reported about mixtures of model molecules of various natures.

The majority of the studies dealing with mixtures of model compounds focuses on the interactions between proteins and sugars, which interact following a complex reaction mechanism known as the Maillard reaction. This reaction is a three step mechanism which produces nitrogen heterocycles and heavy nitrogenous polymers known as melanoidins. Melanoidins were identified after hydrothermal conversion of a binary mixture of glucose and glycine in several studies, and are responsible for the formation of a brown stable emulsion instead of a bio oil [207-209]. The formation of melanoidins is therefore a critical issue for hydrothermal liquefaction.

---

**Fig. 6.** Reaction schemes for hydrothermal conversion of lignin (Reprinted with permission from [79], © 2006 American Chemical Society, and from [148,149] with permission from Elsevier).
Above 200 °C, melanoidins decompose to produce gas, insoluble char, ammonia and water soluble organics [207]. At temperatures higher than 250 °C, nitrogenous compounds such as pyridine and pyrazines are formed, contributing to the bio oil yield. In addition, they could inhibit the reactions leading to char and gas by producing free radical scavengers in the reaction medium [207]. Therefore, the Maillard reaction is detrimental to the bio oil yield at temperatures under 250 °C by forming heavy insoluble nitrogenous polymers. However, it contributes to the bio oil yield above 250 °C, increasing at the same time the nitrogen content in the bio oil. Recently, Teri et al. [97] studied mixtures of model compounds for hydrothermal treatment of lipids, proteins and polysaccharides. They concluded that interactions between proteins and polysaccharides greatly influenced the bio oil yield, and used a mass averaged model of individual model compounds to predict with good accuracy yields for ternary mixtures.

Few studies focus on other interactions than those between polysaccharides and proteins. In subcritical water, fatty acids and amines interact to form long chain amides, therefore contributing to increasing the bio oil yield [86,202,211]. Some papers also report interactions between lignin and cellulosic fibres, which decrease the formation of gas. In fact, the degradation products of cellulose and hemicelluloses can act as hydrogen donors and inhibit free radical reactions leading to the formation of gas [212,214]. Finally, interactions between polysaccharides and lipids could increase the bio oil yield and decrease the amount of char: carboxylic acids produced by hydrothermal conversion of cellulose could enhance the formation of long chain aliphatic compounds found in the bio oil [202].

Studies on hydrothermal conversion of model compounds of the biomass evidenced that the first and common reaction of biopolymers in subcritical water is hydrolysis, releasing oligomers and monomers in the reaction medium. Monosaccharides are obtained from cellulosic polymers, methoxyphenol derivatives from lignin, amino acids from proteins, fatty acids and glycerol from triglycerides. Those monomers are not stable in the conditions of hydrothermal liquefaction and degrade subsequently following many degradation pathways, leading to the diversification of reaction products. Fragmentation reactions, such as breaking of C-C bonds by retro aldol condensations, are mostly responsible for the formation of light polar molecules found in the aqueous phase. Bio oil formation can result from monomers alone as in the case of fatty acids, or from condensation of light intermediates that generate hydrophobic molecules. Dehydration and decarboxylation reactions contribute to reducing the oxygen content of the reaction products, which favours the amount and the quality of the bio oil. Especially, decarboxylation is the main reaction of gas formation in the conditions of hydrothermal liquefaction, and removes oxygen from the products without removing hydrogen. Finally, condensation reactions of light intermediates, furan and phenolic derivatives lead to polymeric char, mainly during HTL of fibre rich resources. Depending on operating conditions, especially temperature, acidity and reaction time, the reactions driven can differ. For instance, high temperatures increase fragmentation reactions, and basic pH values contribute to reduce the production of char during HTL of fibre rich biomass. Finally, interaction reactions between molecules of different natures can impact positively or negatively the bio oil yield and quality, which can be used for adjusting the composition of the substrate at the inlet of HTL processes. As discussed in this section, hydrothermal conversion of model compounds provides useful information about fundamental reaction mechanisms. However, the question of the representativeness towards HTL of real resources also needs to be

Fig. 7. Products from hydrothermal conversion of glycerol [203].
considered, especially for modelling purposes and further implementation of the technology at larger scales.

4. Process development for HTL of food processing residues

Different categories of experimental HTL reactors have been presented in the literature. As discussed in the previous paragraphs, the most common research tool for studying HTL is the autoclave batch reactor, mainly because it is the easiest to operate. In fact, it does not require technological developments to pump the biomass at the inlet of the process, nor the highly viscous bio oil produced at the outlet. In this configuration, biomass, water and additives are mixed in a closed reactor and heated to the operating temperature. However in batch mode, heating and cooling times can be relatively long depending on the size and weight of the reactor, directly impacting the thermodynamics and kinetics of the reactions and thus the obtained products. An alternative type of reactor is a semi continuous system in which a heated tube containing biomass in a basket is put in contact with pressurised hot water. Reaction products are removed as water flows through the tube and are recovered downstream. This system retains most of the char in the reactor. Finally, continuous reactors also exist. In this configuration, a slurry of biomass and water flows through the reactor and the products are separated downstream. While lab scale studies showed the feasibility of hydrothermal liquefaction applied to food processing residues, and allowed the identification of the main influencing parameters, very few industrial reactors have been effectively built, even though some are described in patents.

4.1. Pilot and semi industrial scale: past and recent developments

Several HTL processes have been developed in the past. Table 3 shows the operating conditions and treatment capacities for the processes discussed in this section.

The first developments in the field of hydrothermal liquefaction of biomass date back to the 1970s. Early research efforts were made both in the USA and in the Netherlands. In the USA, two processes were developed for converting wood chips into oil: the BOM (Bureau Of Mines) process also known as the PERC (Pittsburgh Energy Research Centre) process, and the LBL (Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory) Process. Many details on these processes can be found in documents written by Douglas C. Elliott [217,218]. As illustrated by the block diagrams in Fig. 8 and Fig. 9, the BOM and the LBL processes have only slight differences. In fact, they can be considered as two versions of the same process: the main differences concern pre-treatment and post conversion steps.

The original BOM process involves drying and grinding the biomass prior to mixing it with anthracene oil. The LBL process does not require any drying nor grinding the biomass: it uses an acid hydrolysis step to turn the biomass into a pumpable water slurry. In addition, the LBL process does not mix the biomass slurry with anthracene oil. In both processes, the slurry is then pumped towards the reactor to be converted in presence of CO/H₂ as well as an aqueous solution of sodium carbonate catalyst. Conversion products are then separated. Unlike the BOM process, the LBL process uses a gravity separator to recover the aqueous phase. Oil can then be separated from heavy products by vacuum distillation. While the LBL process does not require recycling the oil produced, it is necessary to recycle the aqueous phase, especially to recover the catalyst and dissolved organic matter.

The first European developments in the field of hydrothermal liquefaction of biomass also date back to the 1980s, with research efforts made by the Dutch company Shell on the HTU process (Hydrothermal Upgrading). Wet agricultural wastes such as beetroot pulp were subjected to hydrothermal liquefaction in a pilot plant able to treat 100 kg of wet biomass per hour. A block diagram of the process is shown in Fig. 10. The company Nexifuels, subsidiary of Enagre Inc., is currently developing a commercial scale unit in Asia for producing 1000 barrels of oil equivalent per day by hydrothermal liquefaction of palm oil waste [219]. Another process is the CatLiq process developed by the Danish company SCF Technologies A/S, for treating various sources of biomass such as sewage sludge, algae and manure. A 20 301/h pilot plant facility was developed for producing a bio oil with a yield of 30-35 wt%, and an energy recovery of 70-75 wt% [221]. A simplified flow diagram is reported in Fig. 11. The CatLiq process is quite different from other HTL processes, as it combines a homogeneous alkali catalyst to solubilise the majority of the carbon in aqueous phase, which is further refined using a heterogeneous catalyst (ZrO₂) to produce bio oil. In 2011, the Turkish company Altacica Environmental Technologies and Energy bought the technology with the idea of developing a commercial scale unit for treating 200 t per day of dairy wastes [222]. Experience on the CatLiq process led the developers to found the company Steeper Energy, which currently runs a pilot plant in Aalborg University (Denmark) and is developing commercial plants up to 5000 bar rels per day [243].

In the early 2000s, the company Changing World Technologies developed the TDP process (Thermal Depolymerisation) at a commercial scale for the hydrothermal conversion of 250 t per day of meat processing wastes, yielding 500 barrels of bio oil per day [66,67]. Fig. 12 shows the block diagram of the TDP process. Two conversion stages allow the process to produce readily usable products: the solid minerals and nitrogen rich aqueous phase can be sold as bio based fertilizers, the fuel gas and char can be burnt directly to meet the heating needs of the process or sold as fuels. The second reactor heats the liquid phase up to 500 °C to produce C₁₅ to C₂₀ hydrocarbons which can be further upgraded to transportation fuels. The commercial plant operated from 2004 to 2009, before Changing World Technologies went bankrupt due to poor profitability as well as odour related complaints. In 2013, the Canadian firm Ridgeline Energy Services bought the commercial scale plant and added a wastewater treatment unit to the process [223].

The TCC process (Thermochemical Conversion) was developed by the University of Illinois (USA) and a pilot plant for performing HTL of swine manure was created in 2005, to produce 40 barrels of bio oil per day [69,224]. The particular feature of this process is the injection of CO in the reactor. The company Worldwide Bieneergy commercialised the process, and Fig. 13 shows a block diagram of the process.

Other processes for liquefying wet biomass have been developed in the past, as Toor et al. [26] discussed in a previous review. Yet, they do not all correspond to a strict definition of a hydro thermal process, for instance because they only use a hydrogen atmosphere without water as the DoS process developed in Hamburg [216]. Finally, the STORS processes (Sludge To Oil Reactor Systems) runs under the principle of a high pressure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>P (MPa)</th>
<th>t (min)</th>
<th>Plant scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BOM (PERC)</td>
<td>300–370</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10–30</td>
<td>18 kg wood/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBL</td>
<td>330–360</td>
<td>10–24</td>
<td>10–60</td>
<td>18 kg wood/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTU</td>
<td>300–360</td>
<td>10–18</td>
<td>5–20</td>
<td>100 kg/h of wet biomass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CatLiq</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1–15</td>
<td>20 L/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDP</td>
<td>200–300</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>250 tons of waste/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCC</td>
<td>275–350</td>
<td>55–18</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>40 barrels of oil/day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Distillation column removing continuously gases, volatile organics and an aqueous phase and recovering the oil by solvent extraction. STORS processes started developing in the USA in the 1980s [225], and in Japan in the 1990s [226]. Today, a STORS process is commercialised by the company ThermoEnergy under the name of ThermoFuel process [227].

Hydrothermal liquefaction of food processing residues has been effectively demonstrated at the pilot scale by the means of several processes developed as soon as the 1980s. They were designed to treat many different substrates, from fruit and vegetable processing residues to dairy and meat processing wastes. Many of these processes ceased operation, due to different reasons. First of all, most of the units faced critical technological issues, due to the harsh operating conditions of hydrothermal liquefaction which directly impact the lifetime of the units. Particularly, pumping and feeding solid suspensions at such conditions can lead to major plugging issues, even more when the amount of char produced is high. The economic viability of many of the processes described above was also a critical issue. In fact, the use of relatively harsh conditions importantly impacts the capital and operating costs. In addition, the variations in the price of fossil fuels along the years led to scattered research efforts, delaying the development of commercial scale units. Even though some of the processes described in the present section are now being developed for larger capacities, there are still many questions to answer before scaling up hydrothermal liquefaction at the commercial scale. Particularly, pre treatment methods adapted to the substrates, as well as development of efficient and reliable equipment and process integration through valorisation of every by product have to be addressed.

---

**Fig. 8.** Block diagram of the BOM process (adapted from [218]).

**Fig. 9.** Block diagram of the LBL process (adapted from [218]).
4.2. Future challenges for process development at commercial scales

This section discusses general challenges linked to the development of HTL processes at commercial scales, and does not focus specifically on food processing residues. In fact, irrespective of the substrates, scaling up hydrothermal liquefaction at the commercial scale is facing equipment related issues, as well as economic and environmental issues relative to the handling of the aqueous effluents and upgrading of bio oils.

4.2.1. Technological issues

Hydrothermal liquefaction occurs at relatively high pressures and temperatures. These harsh conditions are responsible for operating issues impacting the investment and lifetime of the units, namely high pressure pumping for feeding the biomass, high energy consumption for maintaining high temperatures and corrosion.

As underlined in a recent review by Elliott et al. [31], higher slurry concentrations and higher operating pressures are the key parameters to reduce capital costs. In fact, they would lower capital costs by allowing the use of smaller processing units. However, they lead to increased difficulties for pumping and call for innovative and reliable technologies for high pressure feeding of biomass slurries at high concentration of solids. In fact, pumping and feeding solid suspensions at such conditions can lead to major plugging issues, even more when the amount of char produced is high. Therefore, finding efficient pumping systems for the conditions of HTL is one of the technological challenges for the development of the process at industrial scales. Even though commercial scale systems do exist for other applications, they only have been demonstrated in bench scale studies for HTL [31]. In addition, pre treatment strategies could be found to avoid plugging: preparation of biomass slurries with sufficiently low granularity by a cost effective wet grinding step, or chemical
treatment such as acid hydrolysis used in the LBL process. Additives could also be used to limit the formation of char during the conversion step.

In addition to plugging issues, corrosion of pipes and conversion units also has to be taken into account when designing hydrothermal processes. This is especially true when dealing with ash rich resources in near or supercritical conditions. For instance, high chlorine content in the raw material could cause stress corrosion, leading to local and deep cracking into the material, therefore reducing the lifetime of the installation. Consequently, the construction material of the reactor has to be mechanically and chemically resistant. Very little experience on material corrosion in the field of hydrothermal liquefaction is available, mainly due to short operation times of the pilot scale units. However, corrosion is likely to be highly dependent on the composition of each feedstock, underlining the need for systematic studies to evaluate the potential for corrosion. A recent paper by Mørup et al. [228] provides detailed and valuable information on many technological issues encountered in the development of a pilot scale HTL unit, especially regarding feeding, heating and recovery of products.

4.2.2. Valorisation of the aqueous effluent

Due to the use of water as the reaction medium, hydrothermal liquefaction generates contaminated aqueous effluents in large amounts, especially when additional water is needed to meet the process input specifications. Most processes recycle water, but also maintain constant water to biomass ratio in the reactor. Consequently, all water entering the process with the biomass must be purged, leading to the generation of a certain amount of water contaminated with dissolved organics. This is a real challenge for the development of HTL processes at a large scale, because treatment of this aqueous effluent in a sewage plant is expensive and impairs the financial advantages of HTL. It is therefore necessary to set up ways of recovering or recycling the organic load and nutrients contained in the wastewaters. This is a crucial point to consider for the economics of the process, and several solutions have been suggested regarding the handling of the aqueous effluent.

The use of the contaminated aqueous stream in a post conversion step received a great deal of attention, especially for algae cultivation purposes. The idea is to treat the aqueous phase by Catalytic Hydrothermal Gasification (CHG), prior to recycling a relatively clean and nutrient rich aqueous phase as a growth medium for microbial or algae cultivation. Recycling of nutrients is a key parameter for the development of biofuel production systems based on algae feedstock, due to the high production and environmental costs associated with nutrient production. Depending on the operating conditions, CHG produces either CH$_4$ used as fuel gas or H$_2$ that can be used for hydrogenation of the bio oil. Carbon dioxide as well as a clean nutrient rich aqueous phase are also produced by CHG. Recycling nutrients to the algae growth facilities along with fuel gas production from water soluble organics was effectively demonstrated for microalgal and macroalgal systems [229,230]. Especially, CHG allows the recovery of phosphorus and nitrogen (recovered as dissolved ammonia) in the aqueous phase. In addition, the CHG post conversion step can obviously be extended to a broad range of feedstocks, including wastes.

Some studies report the direct recycling of the aqueous phase loaded with organic compounds towards algae cultivation facilities without CHG. In that case, dilution of the recycled effluents is necessary to avoid growth inhibition due to the presence of inhibitors such as phenols. However, studies showed that some algae are able to adapt and grow using the organic carbon in the aqueous phase [231,232].

Another way of handling the residual carbon contained in the aqueous stream generated at the outlet of the reactor is the direct recycling of the aqueous phase at the inlet of the conversion unit. This is especially true for biomass requiring dilution in the liquefaction reactor. For instance, Zhu et al. [233] reported an increase of the bio oil yield and heating value after recycling the aqueous phase produced by HTL of barley straw at 300 °C. Solid residues

---

Fig. 12. Block diagram of the TDP process (Adapted with permission from [67]).
were at the same time obtained in higher amounts and with higher heating values. As well, Ramos Tercero et al. [234] recently reported nearly a threefold increase of the bio oil yield from 14.3 wt% to 42.2 wt% after 6 recycles of the aqueous phase during HTL of the microalgae C. Vulgaris at 240 °C, 30 min residence time.

Anaerobic digestion has recently received some interest to treat aqueous streams loaded with residual carbon after hydrothermal processing of biomass [235, 236]. For instance, Wirth and Mumme [235] reported a good degradability of COD and TOC, respectively up to 75% and 54%, by anaerobic digestion of an aqueous effluent generated by hydrothermal carbonisation of corn silage.

4.2.3. Upgrading of the bio oil
Due to their high energy content, associated with relatively low nitrogen and sulphur contents, bio oils produced by HTL of wet bio mass can be used as an alternative to heavy fuel oil without further processing. They are also considered as promising alternative to transportation fuels. However, they still contain significantly more heteroatoms than traditional fuels such as gasoline and kerosene. The water content of bio oils is also an issue, not only from an energy perspective but because it may cause corrosion problems and favour microbial growth in long term storages. Bio oils have therefore to be upgraded before any use as engine fuels, due to their high oxygen content, high viscosity and lower energy content compared to traditional fuels. Efficient upgrading of bio oils to a readily usable and marketable hydrocarbon fuel is therefore an intense research area. Two ways of upgrading HTL bio oils have been considered, either by using analogous catalysts and operating conditions as in the petro leum catalytic hydrotreatment, or by upgrading the bio oils in hydrothermal conditions.

HTL bio oils can be upgraded by using well known petroleum catalytic hydrotreatment: heterogeneous catalysts such as supported metal catalysts (e.g CoMo, NiMo, Pt, Pd or Ru, mostly supported on alumina) are used in the hydrogenation process, as well as temperatures up to 400 °C and hydrogen pressures near 20 MPa. The main reactions of interest are hydrodeoxygenation, hydrodenitrogenation, and hydrodesulfurization. Hydrocracking, which is a catalytic process used to increase the yield of hydrogen rich fuel products from heavy hydrocarbons, can also be part of the upgrading step [237].

Some studies also report the upgrading of HTL bio oils in hydrothermal conditions. Zeolites [238] and supported metal catalysts [200, 239, 240] are examples of catalysts used to remove oxygen from the bio oils. Upgrading HTL bio oils has been demonstrated first at the lab scale, using either bio oils produced by HTL of real biomasses such as microalgae [241], or model compounds such as pyridine [242] or soy oil [241]. All studies report an efficient elimination of the oxygen content, along with very low levels of nitrogen and sulphur.

The main processing challenges encountered during the hydrotreatment of HTL bio oils are relative to the high viscosity of the oils, as well as catalyst activity reduction. In fact, biomass derived compounds can deposit over the active catalyst surfaces and reduce their efficiency as well as their lifetime. Although lab scale studies showed the feasibility of such treatment of bio oils produced by HTL of biomass, questions still remain regarding the long term operation of such processes. The question of catalyst activity is particularly important, as long as catalyst activities are needed to ensure the economic viability of the upgrading step.

5. Conclusion
The upcoming shortage of fossil fuels makes necessary the search for alternative solutions such as bio based fuels produced from renewable resources. At the same time, the amount of wet organic wastes generated by the food industries is increasing each year, mainly due to population growth worldwide and industrialisation of the food industry. These wastes are currently valorised for low value applications that sometimes lead to
environmental and sanitary problems. The huge amount of wet organic wastes must be seen as an opportunity for material and energy valorisation. In fact, using resources with low (or even negative) cost may create interesting economic opportunities. While many studies were conducted in small batch reactors for screening operating parameters on many resources, fundamental mechanisms behind HTL chemistry need further clarification. The complex reaction network, leading to hundreds of different reaction products, is still badly understood. Even though studies on pure model compounds and simple mixtures allowed the identification of the main reaction pathways, few studies are reported in the literature regarding the behaviour of complex mixtures of model compounds. This paper has presented the state of the art of these reaction mechanisms, but also that the comprehension of what happens to real biomass is still a long way off. Further work is therefore needed to reach an exhaustive understanding of the reactivity of real resources. The highly complex composition of HTL products represents an analytical challenge that needs to be addressed for improving the comprehension of all mechanisms involved in HTL. In addition, while using model compounds provides useful information about fundamental reaction mechanisms, the question of the representativeness towards HTL of real resources also needs to be considered.

Despite the promising results of HTL at laboratory scale, industrial development is slow due to both technical and economic issues. Along the last 40 years, the variations in the price of fossil fuels have led to scattered research efforts, delaying the development of commercial scale units. In addition, operating issues and poor profitability often prevented pilot scale HTL units to run for long time periods. The lack of reliable technologies adapted to the harsh conditions of the process directly impacts both the lifetime and the investment costs of the installations. This calls for technological development of robust high pressure/high temperature technologies. Finally, the economics of HTL also relies on efficient management of the various streams at the outlet of the HTL conversion unit. In particular, HTL bio oils need to be efficiently upgraded requiring further development of active and specific catalysts. The aqueous stream contaminated with organic compounds has to be valorised to ensure economic viability of the process, either by direct recycling, as fertilizer/culture medium, or as a source of bio based specialty chemicals.
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