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Abstract

Innovative dry chemo- and chemo-mechanical pretreatments form an interesting approach for modifying the native physico-chemical composition of lignocellulose facilitating its microbial conversion to carboxylates. Here, the impact of four dry-pretreatment conditions on the microbial transformation of wheat straw was assessed: milling to 2 mm and 100 µm, and NaOH chemical impregnation at high substrate concentrations combined with milling at 2 mm and 100 µm. Pretreatment effect was assessed in the light of substrate structure and composition, its impact on the acidogenic potential and the major enzyme activities of a rumen-derived microbial consortium RWS. Chemo-mechanical pretreatment strongly modified the substrate macroporosity. The highest carboxylate production rate was reached after dry chemo-mechanical treatment with NaOH at 100 µm. A positive impact of the dry chemo-mechanical treatment on xylanase activity was observed also. These results underline that increasing substrate macroporosity by dry chemo-mechanical pretreatment had a positive impact on the microbial acidogenic potential.

Keywords: lignocellulose bioconversion; anaerobic microbial consortium; carboxylate production; enzymes; dry chemo-mechanical pretreatment.
Introduction

For over three decades intense R&D activity has focused on the development of industrial processes to convert lignocellulosic (LC) biomass into fuels, energy and value added chemicals, using various combinations of mechanical, chemical and bioconversion technologies (Kim and Dale, 2004). Among the manufacturing routes that have been investigated, the carboxylate platform is rather attractive (Agler et al., 2011). Operating under non-sterilized, non-aerated conditions, the carboxylate platform exploits the robustness and large enzymatic potential of mixed microbial communities for the transformation of LC biomass into carboxylates (Agler et al., 2011). In this platform, microbial communities hydrolyze the LC biomass producing soluble oligomeric and monomeric compounds that are further transformed into short chain carboxylates (or volatile fatty acids – VFA) by acidogenic and acetogenic microorganisms. In this respect, the carboxylate platform is related to the biogas platform, a two-stage anaerobic digestion system that produces carboxylates as intermediates that are then broken down by methanogenic archaea into methane. However, in the carboxylate platform, the products are considered to be building blocks for the production of added value chemicals and materials (e.g polyhydroxyalcanoate bioplastics), and liquid biofuels (Agler et al., 2011; Torella et al, 2013), rather than intermediates for low value renewable energy (i.e. bio-H₂, and bio-CH₄), which is inevitably in direct competition with low-priced fossil resources, such as shale gas.

Despite its attractive features, the carboxylate platform is nonetheless limited by the ability to extract fermentable components from LC biomass, which constitutes a highly recalcitrant raw material. Indeed, LC biomass is a composite material composed mainly of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin. Together, these polymers and other minor components, form a chemically- and structurally-complex, three dimensional matrix that is particularly resistant to both abiotic and biotic aggression. The structural and protective role played by LC-based
structures in plants prevents their destruction by microorganisms and their enzymes (Mosier et al., 2005). To overcome LC biomass recalcitrance, various types of strategies involving a pretreatment step have been investigated (Alvira et al., 2010; Hendriks and Zeeman, 2009). In this case, chemical and/or physical processes are first used to increase the accessible surface area and porosity of LC biomass, thus rendering it more amenable to the subsequent action of biocatalytic agents (Hendriks and Zeeman, 2009; Mosier et al., 2005). Despite the development of numerous pretreatments, cost effectiveness still has to be achieved, notably by reducing non-specific effects and energy expenditure and maximizing beneficial effects for the subsequent biocatalytic processes.

The effect of different pretreatments on LC biomass has been extensively studied (Alvira et al., 2010; Hendriks & Zeeman, 2009; Mosier et al., 2005), revealing that each type of pretreatment is characterized by advantages and disadvantages. For example, chemical treatments often generate fermentation inhibitors while mechanical methods are usually associated with high energy expenditure (Barakat et al., 2013; Jönsson et al., 2013). However, the absolute need for pretreatment is cleverly illustrated by the truism “the only process more expensive than pretreatment is no pretreatment” (Wyman, 2007).

Among the vast array of LC biomass pretreatments that have been tested, alkaline pretreatment using sodium hydroxide is one of the most effective and attractive methods. It presents a high capacity for delignification, disrupts the biomass structure and increases porosity, thus making cellulose and hemicellulose more accessible, but with low sugar degradation (Kaar and Holtzapple, 2000; Mathew et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2008). Some form of particle size reduction using mechanical methods also usually forms part of pretreatment technologies (Hendriks and Zeeman, 2009), because it is required to increase biomass surface area and can improve depolymerization and reduces residual waste (Barakat et al., 2014; Palmowski and Müller, 2000).
Recently, innovative procedures so-called ‘dry chemical’ (DC) and ‘dry chemo-mechanical’ (DCM) pretreatments have been developed to reduce energy consumption (Miao et al., 2011, Barakat et al., 2013). DC pretreatment consists of moderate chemical treatment using alkali impregnation of LC biomass at high solids loadings, while dry-chemo-mechanical pretreatment describes a process in which dry chemical pretreatment is performed simultaneously with mechanical particle size reduction (Barakat et al., 2014).

Advantageously, these pretreatments reduce the use of chemicals and energy demand, enhance polysaccharide saccharification when using enzyme cocktails (Barakat et al., 2014) and, thanks to high solids loadings, permit process intensification and reactor downsizing.

To investigate whether DC and DCM pretreatments can be beneficial for the carboxylate platform, we have investigated the use of these technologies in combination with anaerobic conversion of wheat straw using a lignocellulolytic cow rumen-derived microbial consortium (RWS). The effect of the pretreatments on the subsequent microbial activity has been investigated, monitoring the kinetics of LC biomass conversion, carboxylate production and the dynamics of key enzymatic activities. Our findings reveal that DC pretreatment increased the initial VFA production rate and that this increase was most pronounced when DCM pretreatment was employed. This increase was accompanied by an increase in early phase xylanase activity, but CMCase activity was unchanged. Finally, our results indicate a correlation between lignocellulose macroporosity and its degradability by a hydrolytic microbial consortium.

**Materials and methods**

2.1. Wheat straw

A 20 kg batch of wheat straw (Koreli variety grown on an INRA-owned experimental farm, Boissy-le-Repos, France) was harvested (in August 2011), milled to 2 mm using a knife mill.
(Retsch SM 100, Germany) and stored at room temperature (20-25°C) until use as the for all
the experiments, hereafter referred to as 2 mm wheat straw (biomass A).

2.2. Dry chemical pretreatment

Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) was dissolved in distilled water (5g in 20 mL). Wheat straw at 2
mm (100g) was impregnated during 5h at ambient conditions (25 °C) with this alkaline
solution using a pulverizing system (5 g of NaOH per 100 g of wheat straw) according to the
procedure described previously (Barakat et al., 20014). The chemically treated wheat straw-
2mm was dried at 105 °C (12h) resulting in a final moisture content of 8-10% (w/w) and
designated biomass B.

2.3. Mechanical treatment

Biomass A and B were comminuted using an impact mill operating at ambient temperature
and 18,000 rpm (Hosokawa-alpine, type UPZ, Augsburg, Germany). Fine particulate fractions
were collected using a 100 µm mesh (the material was milled until it passed through the grid)
and designated as biomass C and D, respectively.

2.4. Substrate characterization

2.4.1 Substrate composition

For compositional analysis wheat straw (40 mg) was submitted to the sulfuric acid hydrolysis
method described by de Souza et al. (2013), analyzing monosaccharides (glucose, xylose and
arabinose) by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using an Ultimate 3000
Dionex separation system equipped with a BioRad Aminex HPX 87H affinity column and a
refractive index detector (Thermo Scientific). The protocol used for HPLC analysis was that
described elsewhere (Monlau et al., 2012).

Lyophilized wheat straw samples were also analyzed by Fourier transform infrared
spectroscopy (FT-IR) analysis using an attenuated total reflection (ATR) Nicolet 6700 FT-IR
spectrometer (Thermo Fisher), equipped with a deuterated-triglycine- sulfate (DTGS)
detector, following the procedure described by Lazuka et al. (2015). For FT-IR spectral analysis, the peak ratio $1512:1375 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ was considered representative of the lignin:holocellulose ratio, while peaks at $1430 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $898 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ were attributed to crystalline and amorphous cellulose respectively (Monlau et al., 2012), and the ratio of these peaks was considered to be the lateral order index (LOI), which represents the ratio of crystalline:amorphous cellulose.

2.4.2 Determination of particle size and energy consumption

Particle size was analyzed by laser granulometry using a Mastersizer 2000 (Malvern Instruments, Orsay, France).

The energy consumed during milling was determined in triplicates using a watt meter following a previously described procedure (Barakat et al., 2014).

2.4.3 Determination of macroporosity by suction pressure

Macroporosity of the four treated wheat straw samples (A-D) was determined by measuring the water-absorption kinetics at different osmotic pressures (Robertson and Eastwood, 1981). The water retention capacity (macroporosity profile) was obtained by dialysis of hydrated wheat straw samples using three solutions of polyethylene glycol (PEG) (MW 10,000) at 10, 75 and 100 g.L$^{-1}$ inducing a known suction pressure (0.009, 0.112 and 0.206 MPa, respectively). The water retained by the fiber matrix was related to pore size through suction pressure and surface tension according to equation 1:

$$D = \frac{4S}{\Delta P} \quad (\text{Eq. 1})$$

where $D$ (mm) is the pore diameter, $S$ the solute (water) surface tension, $\Delta P$ the suction pressure (MPa) used to measure the ratio water held:pore volume.

Water absorption at different suction pressures (used to explore different pore diameters) was determined by presoaking wheat straw samples overnight at 4°C, and transferring the equivalent of 0.2–0.3 g dry wheat straw as hydrated fibers into dialysis bags (6-8 kDa cutoff,
32 mm diameter, Visking R dialysis bag, PolyLabo, Strasbourg, France). The dialysis bags were then sealed and placed in a 100 mL PEG solution and shaken overnight at 37°C (100 cycles.min\(^{-1}\)). The content of the dialysis bags was weighed accurately then dried overnight (100°C) to determine dry weight and water content. Each wheat straw sample at each PEG concentration was tested in triplicate. Results (g water g\(^{-1}\) dry sample) represented the difference between the total pore volume (estimated at 10 g/L PEG) and the volume of 1 µm-diameter pores (estimated at 100 g/L PEG), which constitute the pore volume with diameter >1µm likely to be accessible to bacteria. After presoaking, the liquid fraction was collected and the neutral sugar content was evaluated using a Skalar autoanalyzer (Skalar, Breda, Netherlands), employing the sulfuric orcinol method (Tollier and Robin, 1979).

2.4.4 Saccharification with commercial enzymes
Saccharification of the four treated wheat straw samples, A to D, was evaluated using a commercial enzymatic mixture (Celluclast 1.5L Novozyme). Dry wheat straw (1 %, w/w) was incubated for 72h at 50°C with 20 FPU.g\(^{-1}\) dw cellulase supplemented with 81 U.mL\(^{-1}\) β-glucosidase (Novozyme 188) in 50 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.0) containing 0.5 g.L\(^{-1}\) sodium azide. Following centrifugation (7197 g, 10 min at 4°C), the reducing sugar content in the supernatant was quantified using the di-nitro-salicylic acid method (DNS).

2.5. Lignocellulose bioconversion by RWS in anaerobic reactors
Microbial bioconversion of LC substrate by a cow-rumen derived consortium (RWS) that displays a good ability to degrade wheat straw was carried out in anaerobic batch reactors (2L BIOSTAT® A+, Sartorius, Germany). Bioreactors containing wheat straw samples (A to D) as the sole carbon source (20g.L\(^{-1}\)) suspended in mineral medium (Lazuka et al., 2015). The bioreactors were operated under agitation (300 rpm) at a mesophilic temperature (35°C) and pH (6.15), which was adjusted by the appropriate addition of H\(_3\)PO\(_4\) at the beginning of the experiment (before inoculation) and thereafter regulated by the automated addition of 1 M
NaOH, according to the protocol established by Lazuka et al., (2015). Each microbial bioconversion reactor was performed in biological duplicates over a 15-day period. Wheat straw removal, residual substrate compositional analysis, total organic carbon (TOC), VFA production and enzymatic activities were monitored throughout the incubation period.

2.6. Chemical analyses

To quantify total solids (TS) 10 mL samples were removed, centrifuged (7197 x g, 10 min, 4°C), rinsed twice with distilled water and dried 24h at 105°C. For the mineral fraction (MF), mineralization was performed at 500°C for 2h, and volatile solids (VS) were estimated from the difference between TS and MF. VS degradation was expressed as weight/weight percentages.

The composition of the residual substrate in the reactor was characterized as described above for substrate characterization (section 2.4.1).

VFA production was monitored using a Varian 3900 gas chromatograph as described by Cavaillé et al. (2013). The total organic carbon (TOC) content of the liquid fraction was measured using a TOC analyzer (TOC-V<sub>CSN</sub>, Shimadzu Co., Japan). Gas composition was analyzed using an HP 5890 gas chromatograph equipped with a conductivity detector and a HAYSEP D column. All chemical measurements were done in technical duplicates.

All the macro-kinetic parameters are expressed as average values obtained from duplicate biological reactors (biological duplicates). Smoothed data and derivatives were obtained after polynomial regression on the raw data. The statistical significance of differences between the types of pretreatment was evaluated by one-way ANOVA (P<0.05) for characterization parameters (LOI, macroporosity, saccharification, composition).

2.6. Enzyme activity assays

To measure enzyme activity, triplicate samples (5 mL) were withdrawn at regular intervals and centrifuged (7197 x g, 10 min, 4°C) yielding a supernatant and a sonicated solid pellet.
fraction as described by Lazuka et al. (2015). These two fractions were considered to be representative of extracellular (supernatant), and sum of intracellular and cell-bound (sonicated pellet) localizations respectively. For each reactor and each sampling time, end-point enzymatic activities were measured in technical duplicates in both the extracellular and the intracellular and cell-bound fractions. All enzymatic activities were expressed as averages of the two values obtained from the duplicate reactors.

Xylanase and endoglucanase (CMCase) activity were measured using 1% w/v xylan beechwood (Sigma) and 1% w/v carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) (Sigma) respectively according to the previously described protocol (Lazuka et al., 2015). One unit of CMCase or 1 unit of xylanase activity (UA, unit of activity) was defined as the amount of enzyme that produces 1 µmol of reducing sugars per minute.

Results and discussion

The impact of dry pretreatment on the kinetics of wheat straw degradation and carboxylate production by a microbial consortium RWS was assessed using four different pretreated wheat straws. Samples A and C were milled to 2 mm, B and D to 100 µm, and C and D were submitted to 5% (w/w) NaOH impregnation at high LC solids. These conditions facilitated the assessment of a possible synergy between dry milling and chemical pretreatment. Moreover, it is important to underline that D was first chemically pretreated and then milled, because this sequence is expected to reduce the energy demand associated with milling and increase biomass component extractability (Barakat et al., 2014).

3.1. Characterization of the pretreated wheat straw

The energy consumption associated with the production of A was 223.3 kJ.kg⁻¹, while the production of B required 2.8 times more energy (Table1). The energy demand associated with the production of C was the same as that for A, which is logical since chemical impregnation was carried out after milling. However, energy consumption associated with the production of
D (100 µm) was almost half that required to produce B, which clearly demonstrates that prior chemical pretreatment was beneficial. It is likely that during NaOH impregnation, the lignocellulosic matrix is weakened and disintegrated into finer components, thus increasing overall energetic efficiency (Kaar and Holtzapple, 2000; Mathew et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2008; Barakat et al., 2014).

In order to identify the biomass features that affect microbial bioconversion, samples A to D were characterized with regard to four parameters: (i) the LOI which gives insight into substrate crystallinity; (ii) the 1 µm-pore volume or macroporosity, considered as the volume accessible to bacteria (Guillon et al., 1998); (iii) biochemical composition in terms of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin (C, H, L) and (iv) the enzymatic saccharification under standard conditions. Figure 1A shows that particle size had no significant impact on wheat straw crystallinity (LOI), irrespective of the substrate pretreatment. However, chemical pretreatment decreased LOI from 0.766 ± 0.079 (mean of A and B substrates, without chemical pretreatment) to 0.572 ± 0.05 (mean of C and D substrates, with chemical pretreatment), irrespective of particle size. Regarding the impact of NaOH pretreatment on cellulose crystallinity, previous studies have provided contradictory results. For instance, when applying DCM, soda pretreatment to wheat straw at a particle size below 60 µm, Barakat et al. (2014) obtained an 11% increase in crystallinity. This result was attributed to the solubilization of amorphous polymers and not to an actual increase in crystallinity. In fact, several parameters can impact cellulose crystallinity, notably the severity of a given treatment. Bali et al. (2015) showed that the cellulose crystallinity of alkali-pretreated *Populus* varied as function of severity. The authors showed that shorter pretreatments (lower severity) reduced cellulose crystallinity, probably through the actual disruption of the cellulose crystalline structure. However, in the same study, it was reported that crystallinity increased when the pretreatment was prolonged, this observation being attributed to the...
solubilization of the amorphous cellulose component. The results obtained in the present study are consistent with the hypothesis that NaOH pretreatment causes the cellulose to swell and decreases cellulose crystallinity, as described by Agbor et al. (2011), suggesting that the severity of the applied treatment did not induce any rise in crystallinity.

The comparison of the macroporosity of samples A to D (Fig. 1B) revealed a negative correlation between macroporosity with fine milling (100 µm) in the absence of chemical pretreatment. For sample B, macroporosity was $1.5 \pm 0.3 \text{ g.g}^{-1}$, which is lower than that measured for A, $2.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ g.g}^{-1}$. On the other hand, soda pretreatment had a positive effect on macroporosity, reaching $4.4 \pm 0.9 \text{ g.g}^{-1}$ and $4.6 \pm 0.6 \text{ g.g}^{-1}$ for samples C and D, respectively. These results suggest that milling to 100µm (B) actually reduced accessibility to bacteria, whereas chemical pretreatment (i.e. samples C and D) increased it. In early studies on resistant starch dietary fiber, a correlation between macroporosity (generally in the range 1 and 10 g.g$^{-1}$) and substrate fermentability was described (Guillon et al., 1998; Robertson et al., 2000). Consistently, in the present study, macroporosity was shown to be correlated to the quantity of fermentable sugars formed by pretreatment, with samples C and D displaying the highest amounts of soluble neutral sugars ($1.705 \pm 0.003 \text{ mg.L}^{-1}$ and $2.394 \pm 0.003 \text{ mg.L}^{-1}$, respectively), while non-chemically treated substrates displayed lower levels ($0.948 \pm 0.004 \text{ mg.L}^{-1}$ and $0.682 \pm 0.003 \text{ mg.L}^{-1}$ for substrates A and B, respectively).

The biochemical analysis of the four wheat straw samples revealed no significant compositional changes after pretreatment, with the average composition being (in % w/w) $43.6 \pm 3.4 \%$ cellulose, $23.2 \pm 1.4 \%$ hemicellulose and $19.7 \pm 1.7 \%$ lignin (Fig. 1C), values that are similar to those measured in the raw substrate. This compositional stability can be explained by the fact that no extraction was performed, meaning that solubilized components remained in the sample, and also indicates that very little biomass loss occurred, consistent with the findings of Barakat et al. (2014). Carbon loss is often associated with alkaline
pretreatments and occurs in the form of carbon dioxide release associated with peeling reactions. This phenomenon is highly correlated with the pretreatment severity factor and substrate recalcitrance (Hendriks and Zeeman, 2009; Karp et al., 2015). Therefore, the results obtained on the biochemical composition of LC before and after pretreatment indicate that the pretreatments used in this study are relatively mild, ensuring good mass conservation. Enzymatic saccharification of the four pretreated materials (Fig. 1D) did not reveal any clear correlations between particle size and substrate accessibility to enzyme hydrolysis. However, soda treatment clearly enhanced the enzymatic release of reducing sugars, since on the dry chemo-mechanical pretreatment (D) the release of soluble reducing sugars was 2-fold higher when compared to A and B (no soda treatment) and approximately 1.2-fold higher than that obtained with C, consistent with previous findings (Barakat et al. 2014).

In conclusion, assuming that cellulose crystallinity, the aptitude towards enzymatic saccharification and macroporosity are reliable indicators of pretreated substrate accessibility for microbial bioconversion, it appears reasonable to suggest that samples C and D should be readily amenable to bioconversion by suitable microbial consortia. In this regard, considering samples A and B, which had not been submitted to soda treatment, the only clear difference concerned their macroporosity (lower for B). This makes prediction more difficult, but suggests that B (100 µm particle size) might be less amenable to microbial bioconversion.

3.2. Fermentation of the pretreated wheat straw by the microbial consortium RWS

3.2.1 Wheat straw degradation and VFA production by RWS

Wheat straw A to D were anaerobically fermented by the RWS consortium. The biochemical analysis of residual wheat straw revealed that only the holocellulose fraction was degraded, irrespective of the pretreatment applied, meaning that the lignin concentration was unchanged throughout the experiment (average lignin concentration of 4.35 ± 0.55 g L⁻¹ for all reactors and sampling times, representing 21.7 ± 2.75 % w/w of the initial substrates; data not show).
Therefore, subsequently wheat straw degradation was expressed more simply as the percentage of holocellulose-related carbon (in moles) removal (expressed as percentage of the initial holocellulose-related carbon content, % $i\text{Cmol}_{\text{Holo}}$).

In this respect, the results obtained for holocellulose removal (% $i\text{Cmol}_{\text{Holo}}$) on samples A, C and D at the end of the experiment were similar with removal values of 64.2 ± 1.0, 68.8 ± 3.4 and 58.2 ± 5.4 %, respectively (Fig. 2A). As predicted by the macroporosity analysis, despite the larger surface area available in B, this sample was less apt for bioconversion by the RWS consortium (37.0 ± 1.1 % of holocellulose removed at the end of the experiment). Likewise, measurement of specific VFA production (expressed as moles of carbon of VFA produced per mole of carbon of the initial holocellulose, $\text{Cmol}_{\text{VFA}}.i\text{Cmol}^{-1}_{\text{Holo}}$) revealed a similar trend.

Maximal VFA production levels (approximately 0.45 $\text{Cmol}_{\text{VFA}}.i\text{Cmol}^{-1}_{\text{Holo}}$) were obtained with A, C and D at the end of the incubation, whereas significantly lower VFA production was recorded for B (0.31 ± 0.02 $\text{Cmol}_{\text{VFA}}.i\text{Cmol}^{-1}_{\text{Holo}}$) (Fig. 2B). Accordingly, the holocellulose degradation and VFA production rates (Fig. 2C and D) displayed similar trend for each substrate but the maximal value and time needed to reach such maximum value varied importantly in function of the pretreatment applied. Comparing these specific rates for the different pretreated substrates, it is noteworthy that the highest rates for holocellulose degradation and VFA production were obtained after DCM pretreatment (sample D), DC pretreatment being the next best option (sample C). Indeed, with these samples, holocellulose degradation reached a maximum at an earlier stage in the experiment (i.e. 12 and 14.6 % $i\text{Cmol}^{-1}_{\text{Holo}.\text{day}^{-1}}$ after 3.5 and 2.8 days for C and D, respectively) whereas maximum degradation rate was lower and occurred later for A and even more for B (i.e. 7.6 and 4% $i\text{Cmol}^{-1}_{\text{Holo}.\text{day}^{-1}}$ after 4.8 and 5.2 days for A and B, respectively). Likewise, the highest VFA production rates were also measured for C and D (0.066 and 0.094 $\text{Cmol}_{\text{VFA}}.i\text{Cmol}^{-1}_{\text{Holo}.\text{day}^{-1}}$, respectively), with maximum production being reached after 2.5 days. In contrast, with
samples A and B, VFA production peaked after 5 days, with rates reaching only 0.045 and 0.028 Cmol\textsubscript{VFA}·iCmol\textsubscript{Holo}·day\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively. Overall, these results underline the beneficial effect of the soda treatment, particularly when it was coupled to mechanical milling to 100µm. Compared to the fermentation of 2mm wheat straw (sample A), the VFA, CO\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2} yields increased when chemically-pretreated substrates were used (Table 2). The highest yields were systematically obtained after DCM pretreatment (sample D), while the fermentation of samples B and C procured intermediate values.

The composition of the carboxylates produced at the end of the experiment (Table 2), was for all samples mostly acetic, propionic and butyric acids, with minor quantities of valeric and hexanoic acids. Quantification of TOC level in the supernatant corresponded to the VFA concentration (data not shown) indicated that no other metabolites were produced. The main difference was observed for chemically-treated samples C and D. The fermentation of these substrates procured a higher amount of butyric acid, which was associated with a lower level of acetic acid. It is known that members of the \textit{Clostridia} genus are able to ferment hexoses into acetate, and xylose into butyrate and dihydrogen (Jaros et al., 2013; Liu and Yang, 2006; Raganati et al., 2014). Furthermore, it is known that alkaline pretreatment efficiently removes hemicellulose from LC biomass (Hendriks and Zeeman, 2009). Since \textit{Clostridia} is one of the main microbial groups found in RWS (Lazuka et al., 2015), it is possible that a metabolic shift from acetate (from glucose) to butyrate (from xylose) pathway in this microbial group induced a higher proportion of butyrate in soda treatment conditions. Nevertheless, to confirm such a hypothesis, further characterization of the microbial community’s composition during incubation time will be needed.

Regarding particle size, it is often assumed that size reduction facilitates substrate accessibility to enzymes and microorganisms. However, herein we show that extremely fine
milling (i.e. to 100µm) has an adverse effect on biodegradation and VFA production. This result could be attributed to the liberation of inhibitory compounds or to an increase in recalcitrance due to pretreatment severity. However, as discussed above, LOI results revealed that crystallinity did not increase. Moreover, it is unlikely that mechanical milling would generate inhibitors of the type associated with chemical pretreatments (Kumar et al., 2009). In contrast, measurements showed that milling decreased macroporosity level, a phenomenon that was no doubt responsible for the lowered fiber degradation and thus fermentability of sample B.

Previous studies comparing the degradability of lignocellulosic substrates showed a positive effect of pretreatment on the degradation performance of different microbial consortia. For instance, Wongwilaiwalin et al. (2013) reported an increase in the degradation of alkali-pretreated rice straw, sugarcane bagasse and corn stover by two selected thermophilic consortia BGC-1 and CRC-1 (50°C). Compared to the raw substrate, Guo et al., (2011) also reported a 3.3-fold increase in the degradation of NaOH-pretreated rice straw by the MC1 thermophilic consortium (50°C) and the production of 2.72 g.L\(^{-1}\) organic acids after 3 days. Similarly, Zhao et al. (2014) reported 75% degradation of alkali-pretreated rice straw by the BMC-9 consortium, which accumulated a maximum of 3.3 mg VFA.L\(^{-1}\) after 12 days incubation at 60°C. Although it is difficult to compare the bioconversion efficiency reported in studies applying different pretreatment protocols (different NaOH concentration, solids loadings, incubation period) and culture conditions (inocula, culture media, incubation temperature), it is clear that alkali-pretreatment enhances the accessibility of lignocellulose towards bacteria. In this respect, the results reported herein are consistent with previous data, although VFA production levels were much higher, probably because of the strict anaerobic conditions that were applied (static or anoxic conditions were used in previous studies).

Indeed, strict anaerobic conditions prevent microbial VFA consumption.
3.2.2. Enzymatic activity profiles and biomass composition during wheat straw degradation by RWS

Xylanase and CMCase activities were measured regularly over the 15-day incubation period (Figure 3). For xylanase activity, two distinct profiles can be distinguished. Fermentation of the dry milled samples, A and B, procured maximum activities of 0.61 ± 0.14 UA.mL⁻¹ and 0.81 ± 0.11 UA.mL⁻¹, respectively, after 5 and 7 days of incubation. Thereafter, the activities remained stable. In contrast, the dry milled, chemically-pretreated samples, C and D, procured maximum enzyme activities after just 3 days of incubation, with the two activities attaining approximately 1.2 UA.mL⁻¹. Thereafter, the activities decreased slightly (Figure 3A).

Correlating this data with the dynamics of hemicellulose degradation (Supplementary data and Figure 3C) revealed that the highest hemicellulose degradation rates (Figure 3C) coincided with increasing xylanase activity. For chemically-treated samples, measurements performed at day 1 revealed that xylanase levels were quite low, although hemicellulose degradation had already started, suggesting that the chemical pretreatment had already degraded the xylans, producing xylo-oligosaccharides. This observation is consistent with the release of free sugars observed after 24h presoaking during macroporosity measurements.

Comparing xylanase activity profiles and hemicellulose degradation with those of CMCase and cellulose degradation revealed quite different trends (Figure 3B and 3D). CMCase activity remained low (approximately 0.05UA.mL⁻¹) in all of the experiments, irrespective of pretreatment. Moreover, no reliable correlations between CMCase activity and cellulose degradation rates were evidenced, CMCase activity did appear to reach maximum levels earlier (around day 4) in the case of samples C and D, compared to A and B (peaking after day 5). Correspondingly, cellulose degradation rates were also reached earlier (around day 3) for samples C and D (12.7 and 16.0 %mCmol.day⁻¹ respectively), whereas maximum rates in the case of A and B (7.5 and 5 %mCmol.day⁻¹ respectively) were achieved latter (about 5
days). Taken together, our results indicate that hemicellulose and cellulose were degraded simultaneously. However, in the case of the chemically-pretreated samples the hemicellulose was probably solubilized and partially degraded, meaning that xylose was more readily available at early stage for bioconversion.

To understand whether the different CMCase activity profiles reflect differential attack of the amorphous and crystalline fractions of cellulose, the evolution of crystallinity was investigated throughout the bioconversion of the four wheat straw samples. Figure 4 shows that crystallinity (LOI) evolved differently for each substrate. Since LOI is the ratio of crystalline versus amorphous cellulose, increases in LOI correlate with increased degradation of amorphous cellulose relative to the crystalline fraction. The microbial bioconversion of sample A was characterized by increasing LOI (from 0.73 ± 0.04 to 0.98 ± 0.11), whereas bioconversion sample B produced an opposite trend (decreased LOI, Fig. 4). However, in the chemically-pretreated samples (C and D) LOI remained mostly unchanged, suggesting that amorphous cellulose was preferentially degraded during substrate A fermentation, while the attack of the crystalline fraction of substrate B appeared to be facilitated by milling pretreatment. It is known that endocellulases mainly act on amorphous cellulose regions, while exocellulases act on crystalline regions (Lynd et al., 2002). Hence, simply measuring CMCase did not provide a complete view of cellulose degradation during RWS-mediated bioconversion. Nevertheless, our results indicate that RWS did possess a full cellulose arsenal, allowing it to degrade both amorphous and crystalline cellulose. Caution should nonetheless apply, because determining LOI using FT-IR only procures surface-related information, thus it is unclear whether FT-IR provides information on intra-fiber crystallinity.

Further analyses at the metaproteomics will no doubt be needed to demonstrate whether RWS can adapt its enzymatic pool to a substrate structure.
Conclusion

Dry milling combined with NaOH pretreatment enhanced wheat straw enzymatic hydrolysis and bioconversion using a microbial consortium RWS, leading to increased xylanase activity and VFA production rate. Compared to raw wheat straw, the optimal pretreatment was dry milling to 100 µm, combined to alkaline impregnation, which procured a greater than two-fold increase in VFA production rate. Acetic, propionic and butyric acids were the main VFA produced by RWS, irrespective of the pretreatment method. An increase in butyric acid production was observed with chemically-pretreated substrates. Macroporosity appeared as the parameter that best predicts the biological acidogenic potential of RWS.

Acknowledgments. This research was supported by the French National Agency for Energy and the Environment (ADEME), the CEPIA department of INRA and the PROBIOS project funded by the Carnot Institute 3BCAR. We would like to acknowledge M. Abadie’s important contribution in enzymatic analysis. M. Bounouba and E. Mangelle are also thanked for their technical support.
References


Figure captions

**Figure 1.** Characterization of the pretreated wheat straw substrates. (A) Lateral order index (LOI). (B) Macroporosity estimated at 1 μm-pore volume determined as the difference between total and inferior-to-1 μm pore volume. (C) Biochemical composition of wheat straw (Cellulose -C, hemicellulose -H and lignin -L). The types of pretreatment are indicated by the bar colors as in A. (D) Reducing sugars liberated from wheat straw by the saccharification test performed under standard conditions (Cellulase, 20 FPU.L^{-1}, β-glucosidase 81U.mL^{-1}, 72h, 50°C, pH 5). Error bars indicate the standard deviation of the mean of three technical replicates. Different lowercase letters indicate differences within a treatment (one-way ANOVA, P<0.05).

**Figure 2.** Wheat straw transformation kinetics by RWS incubated with the four pretreated wheat straws. (A) Holocellulose degradation and (C) Holocellulose degradation rate. (B) Specific VFA production and (D) Specific VFA production rate. Experimental points and smoothed curves are presented with error bars corresponding to the standard deviation of the mean of two biological replicates.

**Figure 3.** Kinetics of enzymatic activity and polysaccharide degradation throughout the fermentation of pretreated wheat straw by a RWS consortium. Xylanase (A) and CMCase (B) activity and cellulose (C) and hemicellulose (D) degradation rates. Experimental points and smoothed curves are presented with error bars corresponding to the standard deviation of two biological replicates.

**Figure 4.** Lateral order index (LOI) profiles during fermentation of pretreated wheat straw by a RWS consortium. Error bars correspond to the standard deviation of 2 biological duplicates.
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### Tables

**Table 1:** Size and energy consumption of the four types of wheat straw pretreatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pretreatment</th>
<th>Size (µm), D50</th>
<th>Energy (kJ·kg⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>2 mm</td>
<td>223.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>100 µm</td>
<td>115.87±3.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2 mm - NaOH</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>100 µm - NaOH</td>
<td>91.54±2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(A) 2 mm</td>
<td>(B) 100 µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFA yield*</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(g eq AA.g⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ yield*</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(g CO₂.g⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂ yield*</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.19</td>
<td>1.52 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mg H₂.g⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time at maximum</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFA prod. rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(days)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max VFA prod.</td>
<td>25.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>13.4 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rate (mCmol.L⁻¹.day⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFA concentration</td>
<td>109.0 ± 20.8</td>
<td>72.2 ± 7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at max VFA prod.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rate (mCmol.L⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final VFA prod.</td>
<td>226.5 ± 21.1</td>
<td>149.0 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mCmol.L⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% AA (%Cmol)</td>
<td>65.6 ± 3.9</td>
<td>50.8 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% PA (%Cmol)</td>
<td>20.5 ± 3.4</td>
<td>24.7 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% BA (%Cmol)</td>
<td>10.0 ± 2.9</td>
<td>17.8 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% VA (%Cmol)</td>
<td>3.8 ± 3.1</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Metabolic yield, against consumed substrate
Highlights

- Dry chemo-mechanical pretreatments impact biomass crystallinity and macroporosity.
- Dry chemo-mechanical pretreatment increased the carboxylate production rate by RWS.
- Dry chemo-mechanical pretreated biomass enhances the microbial xylanase activity.
- Initial biomass macroporosity correlated with the biological acidogenic potential.