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Abstract: Contamination of foods with mycotoxins represents an important limit to the income of farmers and a major public health concern especially in tropical countries. Cassava represents an important part of the diet of many people in this part of the world and the most important smallholder crop in Africa. Fungal contamination of cassava products can occur at pre-harvest level or after, during processing, according to the conditions (moisture, temperature, competition with other microorganisms). Such fungal contamination can also lead to mycotoxin accumulation. The most common fungi found in cassava products belong to genera *Rhyzopus*, *Aspergillus*, *Fusarium*, *Phoma* and *Penicillium*. Their corresponding mycotoxins could also be found in cassava. However, until now, the correlation between the presence of *Aspergillus flavus* and its toxins aflatoxins remains unclear. In this review, we broadly report data about mycotoxins contamination of cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) and its derivatives, with a special emphasis on aflatoxins.

Keywords: cassava; mycotoxin; aflatoxins; tropical region.


Biographical notes: Yann C.S. Adjovi is a Research Assistant, PhD and specialist of biochemistry and molecular biology applied to food security.

Benoit J.G. Gnonlonfin is a PhD and specialist of sampling strategy and aflatoxin analysis.

Sylviane Bailly is a DVM and specialist of fungal eco-physiology and morphological analysis.

Jean-Denis Bailly is a DVM, PhD, and Professor in Food Hygiene at the National Veterinary School of Toulouse.

Soraya Tadrist is a technician and specialist in purification of fungal metabolites and mycotoxin analysis.

Olivier Puel is a research engineer, PhD and specialist of molecular analysis of mycotoxin biosynthesis and fungal metabolome.

Isabelle P. Oswald is an agronomist, PhD and specialist of mycotoxin toxicity and on their impact on immune function. She is the leader of the team Biosynthesis and Toxicity of Mycotoxins within Toxalim unit.

Ambaliou Sanni is a biochemist and molecular biologist, Professor, head of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology unit and head of PhD School in University of Abomey-Calavi (Benin).
1 Introduction

Mycotoxins are toxic secondary metabolites of filamentous micromycetes. Exposure to mycotoxins can lead to both acute and chronic toxicities ranging from death to deleterious effects on the central nervous, cardiovascular, pulmonary and digestive systems. Mycotoxins may also be carcinogenic, mutagenic, teratogenic and immunosuppressive (FAO, 2001). Some, such as aflatoxin B1, also have synergistic effect with the hepatitis B virus in the etiology of liver cancer and probably interact with HIV/AIDS (Williams et al., 2004).

Problems caused by mycotoxins have consequences on trades and economy. On domestic and international markets, economic losses due to the existence of regulations occur at various levels along the processing chain, from the producers to the commodity brokers, processors and the animal producers (FAO, 2004).

Different foods such as cereals, spices and dried crops can be contaminated by mycotoxins. Cereal products (corn and wheat), peanuts, cottonseeds and mixed feeds appeared to be the most commonly contaminated foodstuffs. In tropical areas, aflatoxins could be found at high levels in several crops such as maize [30–920 µg/kg (Rustom, 1997) and 24 µg/kg in 2006 in Kenya (Johnni et al., 2011)] but also in dried fish, dried chili peppers, corn and peanuts in Thailand, at levels of 772, 996, 2,700, and over 12,000 µg/kg, respectively (Shank et al., 1972). In temperate areas, the most common mycotoxins are deoxynivalenol (DON) and its derivatives found in cereal grains and animal feeds, fumonisins that are frequent contaminants of maize, zearalenone (ZEA) in the cereals and silage. These three kind of toxins are produced in the fields, before harvest of grains. Patulin can also contaminate apple and its derivatives (Marczuk et al., 2012).

Within this context, the quality and safety of agricultural products and food are surveyed to limit consumer exposure.

Cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) is the staple food and source of nourishment for more than one billion people worldwide (FAO, 2011) especially in Africa, Asia and South America. Because of its high water content, cassava must be transformed into various derivatives to ensure its availability outside harvest periods and to reduce post-harvest losses. The cassava products can be fermented, dried or roasted, and the most common form is chips. This product serves as food for both human and animals and can be maintained up to one year (Wareing et al., 2001).

However, tropical climate of some geographical areas of cassava production may contribute to fungal development of many species and subsequent toxinogenesis on such raw material (Bankole and Adebanjo, 2003). Moreover, the processing conditions and storage premises are not always well adapted to protect cassava products from secondary contamination and/or fungal development.

Considering the importance of this crop in developing countries and subsequent possible fungal toxin production, various studies have attempted to evaluate cassava products contamination with moulds and mycotoxins. A number of potentially mycotoxigenic fungi have been isolated from cassava products and mycotoxins contamination of cassava has been documented but the potential sanitary risk of such contamination was not fully assessed (Westby, 2002).

The goal of this review is to report the available data regarding the contamination of cassava products by moulds and mycotoxins.
2 Mycotoxins and toxinogenic fungi

2.1 Definition and health impacts

Mycotoxins are highly toxic substances. They can contaminate agricultural commodities before or after harvest (Cole et al., 2003). Their presence in foods and feeds is linked to cancers, immune system defects, growth retardations, liver diseases and death. Adverse effects of mycotoxins poisoning have been well documented over the years (Wu, 2004). The presence of mycotoxins also limits incomes of farmers due to existing export trade restrictions. Factors that contribute to mycotoxins contamination of foods and feeds are mainly related to environmental conditions and especially humidity and temperatures that may favour fungal proliferation and toxinogenesis.

2.2 Toxinogenic species

Mycotoxins are secondary metabolites of fungi that are microorganisms lacking chlorophyll production and depend on substrate to grow. They may act as saprophytes that breakdown non-living organic materials and transport available nutrients to the growing hyphae or parasites attacking and exhibiting pathogenic effect on both plants and animals (Adaku et al., 2012). Fungi have a worldwide distribution, and are able to grow in a wide type of environments, including deserts, hyper saline environments (Sancho et al., 2007), deep sea (Hawksworth, 2006), rocks (Mueller and Schmit, 2006), and under a broad range of conditions such as extremely low and high temperatures. They have been shown to be able to survive to intense ultra violet and cosmic radiations encountered during space travel (Alexopoulos et al., 1996).

Many fungi are pathogens of plants, while a smaller number are pathogenic for animals, including human beings. They attack and destroy raw and manufactured products for post-harvested steps resulting in great economic losses (Atanda et al., 2011).

Food fungi were classified into three groups namely: field, storage and advanced decay fungi.

Field fungi invade developing and mature seeds before harvest. They include species belonging to Alternaria, Fusarium, Helminthosporium, Cladosporium, Chaetomium and Curvularia genera by decreasing order of predominance (Jarvis, 1971; Magro et al., 2010). All field fungi require high moisture content between 20% to 25% to grow and are therefore referred as hygrophilic fungi (Jesenska et al., 1993; Magro et al., 2010). The same authors gave the representative species of field fungi as Alternaria alternata, Cladosporium herbarum, Fusarium graminearum, Rhizippus nigricans and Trichoderma lignorum. The latest is ranked in the top 10 of plant pathogens in the world (Dean et al., 2012).

The fungi that invade grains after harvest and during storage mainly belong to Aspergillus, Penicillium, Phoma, Sporendonema genera and some species of Fusarium (Jarvis, 1971; Elegbede, 1978; Rasch et al., 2010; Magro et al, 2010). They are able to grow on substrates in which the moisture content has been reduced to 13% to 18%, equivalent to an equilibrium relative humidity of 70% to 85% (Jarvis, 1971; Codex Alimentarius, 2012). This group, known as mesophilic storage fungi, has the following representative species: Aspergillus flavus, A. fumigatus, A. terreus, Paecilomyces varioti, Penicillium aurantiogriseum, P. citrinum and P. viridicatum. Others are Aspergillus ochraceus and A. versicolor (Lillehoj, 1973; Codex Alimentarius, 2012). The main
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Factors influencing their development are moisture content in stored food, temperature, storage length, degree of invasion before arrival at the storage site, amount of foreign material and insects and mites activities (Ominski et al., 1994; Codex Alimentarius, 2012). According to fungal physiology, mycotoxins contamination may start in fields, and ultimately cuts across the value chain, affecting farm families, traders, markets and finally, consumers. There are more than 400 mycotoxins produced by a plethora of fungi. Toxin profiles differ across crops, countries and regions within countries (Cole et al., 2003). Among the fungal genera, *Fusarium*, *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* are considered as the most important because of their ability to produce mycotoxins such as fumonisin (FB), aflatoxin (AF), ochratoxin (OTA), ZEA and trichothecenes (DON, T-2 toxin, nivalenol) (Adaku et al., 2012). Table 1 shows major mycotoxins and their producers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mycotoxins</th>
<th>Fungal species</th>
<th>Toxicity</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aflatoxins</td>
<td><em>Aspergillus section Flavi</em>: A. flavus; A. parasiticus</td>
<td>Hepatotoxic, Carcinogenic, and other harmful effects to human, poultry, pigs and cattle can be noticed.</td>
<td>Wu (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citrinin</td>
<td><em>Penicillium citrinum</em>; <em>P. expansum</em></td>
<td>Nephrotoxic</td>
<td>Peraica et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclopiazonic acid</td>
<td><em>Penicillium cyclopium</em>, <em>Penicillium camemberti Aspergillus flavus</em></td>
<td>Induces hyperemia and ulceration of proventriculus; focal necrosis in the liver and spleen; increased weight of pancreas and kidney.</td>
<td>Dorner et al. (1983), Gentles et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deoxynivalenol</td>
<td><em>Fusarium graminearum</em>; <em>F. culmorum</em></td>
<td>Provokes barley acute human toxicosis, internal disturbances, growth inhibition in pigs.</td>
<td>Pestka (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diacetoxyscirpenol</td>
<td><em>Fusarium sporotrichioides</em>; <em>F. poae</em></td>
<td>Provokes ill-thrift, decrease feed consumption, slow growth, diarrhea and abortion.</td>
<td>Pronk et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fumonisins</td>
<td><em>Fusarium moniliforme</em>; <em>F. verticillioides</em>; <em>F. proliferatum</em></td>
<td>Suspected to cause esophageal cancer in human pulmonary edema in pigs and leukoencephalomalacia inequines.</td>
<td>Marasas (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ochratoxin A</td>
<td><em>A. ochraceus</em>; <em>A. carbonarius</em>; <em>Penicillium verrucosum</em></td>
<td>May be carcinogenic, kidney damage and other harmful effects in pigs and poultry.</td>
<td>Gentles et al. (1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patulin</td>
<td><em>P. expansum</em>; <em>A. clavatus</em></td>
<td>Neurotoxic; responsible for haemorrhages of lung and brain.</td>
<td>Peraica et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The most dangerous mycotoxin is aflatoxin B1 and the principal producer is *Aspergillus flavus*. The toxicity of other mycotoxins is tested in animals and its consequences on human health are studying.
Table 1  Mycotoxins, fungi and toxicity (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mycotoxin</th>
<th>Fungal species</th>
<th>Toxicity</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peniccillic acid</td>
<td><em>Penicillium viridicatum</em></td>
<td>Toxic to kidneys and liver; can cause abortion; reduces growth rate and immunity.</td>
<td>Pandiyan et al. (1990), Bernhoft et al. (2004), Keblys et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sterigmatocystin</td>
<td><em>Aspergillus nidulans!</em></td>
<td>Carcinogenic (group 2B), hepatotoxic; causes toxemia.</td>
<td>IARC (1987), Sun et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-2 toxin</td>
<td><em>Fusarium sporotrichioides</em>; <em>F. poae</em></td>
<td>Alimentary aleucy toxic; reduces inflammatory response and inhibits protein DNA, RNA synthesis in poultry.</td>
<td>Sokolovic et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenuazonic acid</td>
<td><em>Alternaria alternata</em></td>
<td>Causes hematological disorder in human (onyaalai); collapse in cardiovascular system, dysplasia, nausea in mouse and rat.</td>
<td>Steyn and Rabier (1976), Zhou and Qiang (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zearalenone</td>
<td><em>Fusarium. culmorum</em>; <em>F. graminearum</em></td>
<td>Estrogenic toxin causes infertility in animals;</td>
<td>JECFA (2001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The most dangerous mycotoxin is aflatoxin B1 and the principal producer is *Aspergillus flavus*. The toxicity of other mycotoxins is tested in animals and its consequences on human health are studying.

2.3  Human and animals toxicity with regulatory consequences

Mycotoxins concerns have grown during the last few decades because of their implications in human and animal health, productivity, and the cost of their management for trades.

This has significant consequences in both developed and developing countries. Worldwide, the primary concern with mycotoxins contamination of the food supply chain is human health (Shier et al., 2005; Wild, 2007; Shephard, 2008b; Bryden, 2012) followed by the impact on animal health and production (Shier et al., 2005).

2.3.1  Human toxicity

The implications of ingestion or absorption of mycotoxins on human health include immunosuppression, impaired growth, various cancers and death depending on the type, the period of exposure and the amount of toxins ingested. Moreover, a synergistic effect between mycotoxins exposure and some important diseases in the African continent such as malaria, kwashiorkor, hepatitis B and HIV/AIDS have been suggested (Williams et al., 2004; Wagacha and Muthomi, 2008). The most toxic and dangerous mycotoxins are aflatoxins. Indeed, aflatoxin B1 (AFB1) is the most potent hepatic carcinogen known in mammals and has been classified by the International Agency for Cancer Research in the group I of molecules that are carcinogenic for both human and animals (IARC, 1993). AFB1 also displays immunosuppressive properties (Meissonnier et al., 2008) and is involved in growth impairment observed in children (Gong et al., 2004; Khlangwiset...
et al., 2011). Exposure to aflatoxin in Sub-Saharan Africa is very frequent. In some areas, 99% of tested children display aflatoxin residues in their blood (Gong et al., 2002) and this high exposure contributes to appearance of chronic hepatomegaly in children (Gong et al., 2012). Contamination of food by very high levels of aflatoxin can also lead to fatal consequences such as the death of 125 people in Kenya (Lewis et al., 2005; Probst et al., 2007).

Some studies on fumonisins, that appear to be the second most important mycotoxin family in tropical countries, have found their major role in the etiology of human oesophageal cancers in regions where this ailment is abnormally highly prevalent. A role in neurological diseases in human population can also be probably considered. Due to the structural similarity between fumonisins and sphingosine which is a principal constituent of cell membranes, fumonisins are the first known naturally occurring inhibitors of sphingolipid biosynthesis (Gamal et al., 2012).

2.3.2 Animal toxicity

Mycotoxin-contaminated feeds reduce animal growth, productivity and may lead to death. AFB1 is the most toxic aflatoxin, followed in decreasing order by AFM1, AFG1 and AFB2 to AFG2. The toxicity of aflatoxin G1, G2 and B2 are respectively 50%, 80% and 90%. In animals, AFB1 causes liver necrosis at centro-lobular level.

The effects of aflatoxins on animal health vary according to the species. Animals such as veals, chicken, duckling, guinea pigs and porks are sensitive to AFB1 whereas goats, sheeps, rats and mices are relatively resistant (Patterson and Allcroft, 1970). Among birds, the susceptibility varies according to the species. In turkeys, the disease known as ‘Turkey X disease’ resulted in the death of 20,000 turkeys after renal dilatation and congestion in England in 1960 (Stevens et al., 1960). Cats are particularly sensitive, with death occurring between 48 and 72 hours. This sensitivity is also noted in ducklings when exposed to AFB1 with a LD50 of 0.56 mg/kg. There may be a reduction in income from poultry, pigs and cattle as a result of death due to either carcinogenesis or aflatoxicosis by aflatoxin B1 (Nyathi et al., 1987).

In Africa, the second most common mycotoxin is fumonisin B1. The prevalence of fumonisins has been reported to be 100% or close to it in all surveillance data that have been reported on maize from different parts of Africa (Bankole et al., 2006). Fumonisins have been implicated in a number of animal diseases such as leucoencephalomalacia in equines, which involves a massive liquefaction of the cerebral hemisphere of the brain with neurological manifestations such as abnormal movement, aimless circling, and lameness. These toxins are also responsible for porcine pulmonary edema, rat liver cancer and haemorrhage in the brain of rabbits (Marasas, 1995).

Fumonisin B1 is followed by ZEA. This mycotoxin acts as a xenoestrogen and is considered as an endocrine disruptor (Schoevers et al., 2012) that could significantly damage thymus and spleen of mice (Liang et al., 2010).

In temperate regions DON toxicity studies in animals have targeted a specific toxicological outcome or mechanism, and thus provided insight into potential hazards (Pestka and Smolinski, 2005). Many studies of host resistance, mitogen-induced lymphocyte proliferation, and humoral immune response have yielded a common theme that trichothecenes are both immuno-stimulatory and immunosuppressive depending on dose, exposure frequency and timing relative to functional immune assay (Pestka et al., 2004).
Table 1 shows the important mycotoxins (aflatoxins, fumonisins, deoxynivalenol, ZEA, and patulin) and effect on health.

2.4 Economicals consequences

Mycotoxins importance as public health issue has led various countries to setup maximum tolerated limits for these compounds in foods. The existence of such regulations can represent a strong limit to international trades and lead to important economic losses.

In the case of Africa, the European Union regulation on aflatoxins costs $670 million each year due to limitation of exports of cereals, dried fruits, and nuts (Annan, 2001). Food products rejected for exportation are consumed locally and can lead to exposure to high concentrations of toxins. For instance, the greatest recorded fatal mycotoxins-poisoning outbreak caused by mycotoxin (aflatoxin) occurred in Africa in 2004 (Wu, 2004).

In developed economies, where mycotoxins contamination in foods and feeds chains is tightly regulated to reduce human and animal exposure, the additional costs to meet the economic burden of regulating the foods and feeds supply is supported by the producer and/or the consumer. In a study of Wu (2004), an empirical economic model for mycotoxins impact suggests that, if a regulation limit of fumonisins in foods of 2 mg/kg would be adopted internationally, the export losses for USA, China and Argentina would range between $20 and $40 million annually, with a total loss of about $100 million. If a more stringent fumonisin regulations, such as the formerly proposed EU standard of 0.5 mg/kg, is adopted as a worldwide norm, the estimated losses linked to limitation of corn export would rise to $170 million in the USA, $60 million in China, and $70 million in Argentina for a total of about $300 million each year.

This could also have consequences on animal health and production (Shier et al., 2005; Bryden, 2012). Indeed, those developing regulations for the risk management of mycotoxins seek to balance the need to protect human health with economic concerns that requires a very detailed risk assessment process (Kuiper-Goodman, 2004).

Another difficulty could result from the long period that is often required for transportation of crops from rural and commercial farmers of the commodity to the distribution centre of the importing country. Inappropriate transporting and storage conditions may offer considerable opportunities for moulds to grow, leading to alteration and/or mycotoxin appearance. Economic losses related to fungal and mycotoxin contamination are also a result of the decreased yields associated with mould infection of plants, costs incurred by inspection, sampling and analysis before and after shipment, losses attributed to compensation paid in case of claim, farmers subsidies to cover losses, research training and extension program costs and costs of detoxification (Sibanda et al., 2010).

As a result, mycotoxins appear as important contaminants with significant impacts on human and animal health, but that also have also economic and international trade implications (Wu, 2004; Bryden, 2007; Wild, 2007; Wild and Gong, 2010). The supply of meat, milk and eggs, the human food products of animal production, can also be adversely affected by mycotoxins due to their adverse effects on breeding performances.
3 Importance of cassava

3.1 Production of cassava in the world

Ranked 10th among the top 20 agricultural commodities produced in the world, and third most important food crop in tropical regions after rice and maize (FAO, 2011), cassava represents an important part of the diet of almost one billion people or who it represents one main source of energy. Its global production is estimated at 250 million tons (FAO, 2011). Figure 1 shows the geographic distribution of cassava production and exporting countries in the world. Among producing regions, Africa accounts for more than half (150 Mt) of the global supply. Nigeria, which alone accounts for about a third of the production of Africa (45 Mt), is also by far the world’s largest producer followed by the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) with about 15 Mt, Angola and Ghana (12 Mt each) and Mozambique (9 Mt). Asia contributes to about one third of world’s production, 60% being produced by Thailand (about 25 Mt) and Indonesia (22 Mt). China and Vietnam appear as increasing producers and each of these countries produces between 9 and 8 million tons per year since 2008. India, now the third largest producer of cassava in Asia, also displays a continuous growing production with more than 30% of increase between 2006 and 2010. In Latin America and the Caribbean, the production is relatively stable between 2006 and 2009 at about 35 Mt, which represents nearly 20% of world supply. Brazil dominates with about 70% of regional production (Gamal et al., 2012). The other two significant producers are Paraguay (approximately 5 Mt) and Colombia (1.5 to 1.7 Mt) (UNCTAD, 2012).

Cassava production is primarily used for human consumption. It represents staple food and a major contributor to food security in producing areas and Africa consume almost its entire production. Cassava products, fermented or not, are also devoted to foodstuff (Akoroda, 2007). Cassava can be used for animal feed but this is done mainly in Brazil and Colombia where about half of the production is devoted to such use. The remainder is dedicated to food of local population and exportation to Nigeria, China, the Netherlands and Spain.

3.2 Economic importance

On the economic front, 10% of the world production of cassava is traded. In recent years, flows to Asia were strongly accelerated to such an extent that Asia accounts for 98% of world imports and 97% of exports. It was in 2001 that, for the first time, imports of cassava in developing countries have surpassed developed countries. Since then, international flows focused on Asia, especially China.

The Asiatic continent is the largest importer of cassava roots with 6.247 Mt out of a total of 6.392 Mt in 2010. China alone imports more than 92% of Asian importations, and purchases have tripled since 2001. This interest in cassava is explained by the dynamism of the ethanol industry: China is now the third largest producer of ethanol after the USA and Brazil. Beijing’s decision in 2007 not to use grain to produce biofuels in order to preserve their food security has undoubtedly boosted demand for cassava. Currently, 50% of ethanol production is from cassava and sweet potato (FAO, 2010).
Main destination for world exports of cassava for a long time, mainly as pellets for animal feed, the European Union, which applies for annual tariff quotas for imports of cassava and tapioca starch, became a minor player with volumes that fluctuate according to the European grain market (FAO, 2010). Since 2009, the abundance of community supplies of feed grains has contributed to the decline of cassava importations (UNCTAD, 2012).

**Figure 1** Cassava production and exportation flux in the world

![Cassava production and exportation flux in the world](image)

Notes: Africa is the first cassava producer with in order: Nigeria, Democratic Republic of Congo, Angola, Ghana and Mozambique. Asia is in second ringed with: Thailand, Indonesia, Vietnam, China and India. The third position is occupied by America Caribbean: Brazil, Paraguay and Colombia. Other low producers are also encountered on the continents mentioned above. For commercialisation, Asia accounts for 97% of world exports. Thailand leading with 4–4.5 Mt per year followed by Cambodia, Vietnam, Indonesia, Costa Rica Paraguay and Uganda.

Thailand is a leading exporter of cassava and dominates the market. It exports annually about 4 Mt of roots, and approximately 4.5 million tons of chips, of which 4.4 Mt to China (UNCTAD, 2012). Volumes are also relatively stable in Indonesia with 145,000 tons of cassava exported while Vietnam and to a lesser extent Cambodia recorded a significant increase in exports: Vietnam went from 304,000 tons in 2006 to 1 Mt in 2010. On the same way, Cambodia, virtually non-existent before 2008, when the country embarked on the production of ethanol, amounted to 345,000 tones in 2009 and 128,000 tons in 2010 (UNCTAD, 2012).
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Outside Asia, Costa Rica exported 92,000 tons in 2010 mainly towards the US market (70% of the exports) while Paraguay devotes all of its exports to Brazil (UNCTAD, 2012).

In Africa, Uganda is the only African country that is distinguished by its exports to Burundi, Rwanda and the Democratic Republic of Congo (UNCTAD, 2012).

Like many other commodities, the export prices of flour and starch in Thailand (Bangkok FOB), have been appreciated especially during the second half of the last decade. Over the last 11 years, they have been multiplied by more than three and went from $146.15 per ton in January 2000 to $509.21 per ton in May 2011, with a highest cost recorded in August 2010 at $630 per ton following a poor harvest in Thailand. Since then, prices are ranging between $500 and $600 a ton.

3.3 Nutritional importance

The drive for attainment of foods self-sufficiency in developing countries requires total exploitation of all potential sources of nourishment. Hence, the search for an alternative will go a long way in solving the problem of competition. Cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz) is rich in carbohydrates but low in protein. The food crisis of 2008 has strengthened African Governments in their policy development of cassava cultivation and promotion of consumption, especially by mixing flour of cassava and wheat to make bread. Besides its own favourable characteristics such as high yields per hectare, low input requirement or tolerance to drought, cassava has the advantage of being able to stay in the ground for over one year and then be harvested in case of food shortages or rising grain prices. Cassava makes a greater contribution to total calorie intake in Africa than maize or sorghum. The roots are normally processed or consumed while the peels are being discarded as waste. However, these parts could be also used in animal feeding. Cassava leaves, as by-products of roots harvest, are (depending on the varieties) rich in proteins, minerals, vitamins B, C and carotenes (Ravindrian and Blair, 1992; Adewusi and Bradbury, 1993; Aletor and Adeogun, 1995). The only handicap remains fragility and once harvested they cannot be easily transported.

Regarding peels, Iyayi and Tewe (1994) reported that cassava peel meal could make up to 40% of the diet of fryer rabbits without any deleterious effects on performance. Cassava peels, leaves, petioles and stems have been incorporated at different levels in livestock feeds (Ikwele, 1999; Tewe and Kasali, 1986). The main factor limiting the use of cassava roots is their cyanide content that affects nutrient utilisation in livestock animals. Agunbiade et al. (2002) reported the hydrocyanic content of cassava peel meal to be 27 mg/kg. Symptoms of cyanide toxicity include increased respiratory rhythm, increased pulse rate and spasmodic muscular movements (Oke, 1969). Several processing methods like cooking, sun drying and roasting have been used to reduce the cyanide content of cassava products and improve cassava utilisation in practical livestock nutrition (Iyayi and Tewe, 1994; Oguntimein, 1992; Omole, 1977).

3.4 Cassava derivatives

In Africa, Caribbean and Pacific (ACP), cassava is consumed as fresh roots or products of primary processing after being peeled, grated or soak into water to ferment (atteke, lafun) and as wet mash (fufu) or varied dry products (tapioca, gari, chip/crums/chunks,
or milled into flour) (Akoroda, 2007); it is also a source of income in urban areas. Cassava consumption was about 115 kg per capita in 2010 in the ACP countries against 18 kg for the rest of the world (UNCTAD, 2012). Ugwu and Ay (1992) has classified cassava products into nine groups as follows: S cooked fresh roots; S – cassava flour: fermented and unfermented; from chips or lafun; S granulated roasted cassava (gari); S granulated cooked cassava (attieke, kwosai); S fermented pastes; S sedimented starches; S drinks (with cassava components); S leaves (cooked as vegetable); S medicines. Their processes are presented in Figure 2(a).

Cassava products can be subdivided into two groups: fermented and non-fermented products.

Fermentation corresponds to the chemical transformation of organic substances into simpler compounds under the action of enzymes that are produced by microorganisms such as moulds, yeasts, or bacteria. Enzymes act by hydrolysing, a breaking down or predigesting phenomenon, complex organic molecules to form smaller (and in the case of foods, more easily digestible) compounds and nutrients (Steinkraus, 1997). Fermented foods are food substrates that are invaded or overgrown by edible microorganisms whose enzymes, particularly amylases, proteases, and lipases hydrolyse the polysaccharides, proteins and lipids to non-toxic products with flavours, aromas and textures pleasant and attractive for the human consumer (Steinkraus, 1997). The longer the fermentation period, the stronger is the sour taste (FAO, 2005).

In this group the following products can be found: gari, fermented chips, fufu, lafu, attieke; and in non-fermented group, cooked roots, tapioca and leaves.

Gari is the most consumed product in West Africa. Demand increases and its processing capacity is reinforcing across the region. The second derivative, fufu, is a popular staple in Ghana, Nigeria, Sierra Leone and other parts of West Africa. Fufu is the second most consumed/sold cassava product in West Africa. Fufu is traditionally made from fresh cassava that is pounded. In recent years, the demand for instant fufu flour has increased since it is faster to prepare and its conservation is longer.

Other traditional products: lafun, granulated products tapioca and attieke are popular processed products made from cassava in West Africa (Padonou et al., 2009; FAO, 2005). Most African traditional products were obtained after a sun-drying step.

Purpose of drying foods is to reduce the amount of free water in the substrate since free water is available for the growth of microorganisms. A significant reduction of water content in a food contributes to curb the proliferation of microorganisms (El Adlouni et al., 2006). Sun-drying is probably the oldest and most common way to reduce the moisture of any crop. It utilises solar energy from the sun directly. The sun’s heat allows the free water contained in the food to evaporate and wind causes the water vapour. The air above the product is never saturated with water vapour, which facilitates drying (El Adlouni et al., 2006).

In Africa, cassava is sun-dried on virtually any surface in the open air such as a large flat rock in the field, on the sides of a paved road, on tops of flat roofs, in a flat basket, or on bare ground (FAO, 2005). This traditional mode of solar drying often leads to poor quality products, since they are usually not protected during the drying process, nor against dust, rain and wind or against insects, birds, rodents and pests. This can result in fouling products, exposure to micro-organisms, mycotoxins formation and infection by pathogens (Her and Ankila, 1995).
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Figure 2  Different cassava products process, (a) principal product derivate from cassava (b) production of different cassava chips
Another cassava product is starch, used in the processing of many food products, such as glucose and alcohol, and as an industrial product in the chemical, textile, paint, adhesive glues and laundry industries. Nigeria has sizeable plants average and important manufacture of starch from cassava, exporting their production to large multinational food companies such as Cadbury and Nestle (Djoko, 1995; FAO, 2004).

Flour is the most widely used cassava product in Africa and it is processed in various ways. Drying and milling are the most essential steps. Flours from unfermented cassava roots are more common in areas where sweet cassava varieties dominate. Indeed, because of its high water content, this process is used as a way of preservation. Flour is produced by grinding cassava chips (FAO, 2004, 2005).

The conditions of production and storage of cassava and its derivatives in developing countries, may lead to mould contamination and development. Previous studies have demonstrated the importance of fungal contamination in processed and stored cassava products (Westby and Twiddy, 1991; Kaaya and Eboku, 2010).

### 4 Fungi and mycotoxins in cassava products during processing

Due to its economical and nutritional importance, it appears necessary to ensure safety of cassava products and limit the presence of contaminants that may be hazardous for human health among which moulds are of special importance. An uncontrolled mould development may result in discoloration, quality deterioration, reduction in commercial value and production and accumulation of toxic secondary metabolites in contaminated foods (Krogh, 1992). The potential mycotoxins risk of cassava products is not really evaluated.

The variation of mycotoxins in the cassava chips is greatly depending on production and storage conditions as well as storage duration. Nonetheless a study in Ghana had identified toxins of *Aspergillus* (patulin, sterigmatocystin, cyclopiazonic acid), *Penicillium* (penicillic acid), *Phoma or Alternaria* (tenuazonic acid) species (Wareing et al., 2001). Most of the samples of this study were unpeeled cassava, washed and sun-dried before storage in house.

In cassava chips flour from Uganda and Ivory Coast, Westby et al. (1994) identified neosolaniol, T-2 toxin and diacetoxyscirpenol (*Fusarium* sp), patulin, cyclopiazonic acid, penicillic acid. In 2009, Manjula et al. (2009) detected low level of aflatoxin (0.3–4.4 ppb in chips and flour; 0.1–13 Mg/kg in stored chips) in cassava samples from Tanzania and Congo, and traces of fumonisins. In this study, the samples corresponded to several form of cassava: fresh, stored, dried, just dried, stored-smoked, non-smoked, and fermented. No relationship between production process and aflatoxin contamination was found.

Another survey conducted in Tanzania, found no aflatoxin contamination of cassava flour from Tanzanian villages produced following a direct drying process (Muzanila et al., 2000). Similarly, works done in Ghana by Wareing et al. (2001), in Nigeria by Jimoh and Kolapo (2008), in Benin by Gnonlonfin et al. (2008, 2012) and Adjovi et al. (2013) also showed that fully direct processed cassava chips samples were not contaminated by aflatoxins.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Derivates</th>
<th>Production</th>
<th>Storage or fresh product</th>
<th>Major fungi</th>
<th>Mycotoxins (contaminated tested)</th>
<th>Countries</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chips</td>
<td>Unfermented/sundried and smoked</td>
<td>Fresh product and storage</td>
<td>Cladosporium sp, Aspergillus (A. flavus, A. candidus, A. versicolor, A. wentii, A. niger, A. ochraceus), Penicillium sp, Fusarium sp, Rhizopus sp</td>
<td>Sterigmatocystin (10/125), patulin (4), ACP (4), penicilic Ac (5), tenuazonic Ac (3). AFB1-</td>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>Wareing et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chips</td>
<td>Unfermented/sundried</td>
<td>During processing and storage</td>
<td>A. flavus, Mucor sp, Fusarium verticillioides, Rhizopus sp, Nigrospora sp</td>
<td>AFB1-; FB1-(0/100)</td>
<td>Benin</td>
<td>Gnonlonfin et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chips</td>
<td>Unfermented/sundried</td>
<td>Storage/marketed</td>
<td>Rhizopus sp, Chrysospora sp, Nigrospora sp, Aspergillus (A. flavus, A. niger, A. ochraceus, A. candidus)</td>
<td>AFB1- (0/60)</td>
<td>Benin</td>
<td>Gnonlonfin et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chips</td>
<td>Unfermented</td>
<td>During different processing and storage</td>
<td>Rhizopus sp, Penicillium sp, Aspergillus flavus, Fusarium sp, Mucor sp</td>
<td>AFB1+ (30%)(high moisture, drying bareground drying, storage on floor and old containers)</td>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>Kaaya and Eboku (2010)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: AFB1: Aflatoxin B1; AFB2: Aflatoxin B2; OTA: Ochratoxin A; FB1: fumonisin B1.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Derivatives</th>
<th>Production</th>
<th>Storage or fresh product</th>
<th>Major fungi</th>
<th>Mycotoxins (contaminated tested)</th>
<th>Countries</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chips</td>
<td>Fermented/sundried</td>
<td>Stored</td>
<td>Aspergillus (<em>A. flavus, A. parasiticus</em>)</td>
<td>AFB1- (0/10)</td>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>Westby et al. (1995b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chips</td>
<td>Fermentation</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Aspergillus sp</td>
<td>AFB- (0/10)</td>
<td>Mozambique, Uganda</td>
<td>Essers (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chips</td>
<td>Unfermented/sundried</td>
<td>Fresh and stored products</td>
<td>Aspergillus flavus, <em>Aspergillus parvisclerotigenus, Aspergillus noxoparasiticus</em></td>
<td>AFB- (100%)</td>
<td>Benin</td>
<td>Adjovi et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chips for animal</td>
<td>Dried</td>
<td>Stored</td>
<td>AFB1 (100%) Zearalenone (8%)</td>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>Nguyen et al. (2007)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chips and flour</td>
<td>Unfermented/sundried and smoked</td>
<td>Fresh products and storage</td>
<td>Aspergillus flavus; Fusarium sp</td>
<td>AFB1 + (fresh not processed and storage; sundried and storage 4 months; smoked after sundried) Tanzania, AFB1-(Congo)</td>
<td>Tanzania and Congo</td>
<td>Manjula et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flour</td>
<td>3 types</td>
<td>Aspergillus sp, Fusarium sp</td>
<td>OTA (2/33) with 32, 65</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Soares and Rodriguez-Amaya (1989)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flour</td>
<td></td>
<td>Aspergillus sp</td>
<td>OTA</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Pohland et al. (1992)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: AFB1: Aflatoxin B1; AFB2: Aflatoxin B2; OTA: Ochratoxin A; FB1: fumonisin B1.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Derivates</th>
<th>Production</th>
<th>Storage or fresh product</th>
<th>Major fungi</th>
<th>Mycotoxins (contaminated tested)</th>
<th>Countries</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flour</td>
<td>Stored</td>
<td>Aspergillus niger, Aspergillus flavus, Rhizopus stolonifer, Mucor racemosus and Fusarium oxysporum</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AFB+ (18/18) with 16 ppb</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>Kolawole et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flour</td>
<td>Dried</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Aspergillus niger</td>
<td>Fumonisin B1 (421 μg/kg), AFB2 (&lt; LOQ8 μg/kg), AFB1 (&lt; LOQ9 μg/kg), diacetoxyisocupreol (&lt; LOQ6 μg/kg) and zearalenone (&lt; LOQ12 μg/kg)</td>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>Kitya et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flour for bread</td>
<td>Dried</td>
<td>Penicillium oxalicum, Aspergillus flavus, Fusarium spp</td>
<td>AFB1 (1.91Mg / kg)</td>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>Adegoke et al. (1993)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bread</td>
<td>Fermented and waved</td>
<td>No fungi</td>
<td>AFB1 (0.03 μg / kg)</td>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>Adegoke et al. (1993)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meal</td>
<td>Stored (exported)</td>
<td>Aspergillus (A. flavus, A. parasiticus)</td>
<td>AFB1 (0.7 ng/kg); Zearalenone (90 μg/kg)</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Bottalico et al. (1980)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meal</td>
<td>Stored (exported)</td>
<td>Aspergillus (A. flavus, A. parasiticus); Fusarium sp</td>
<td>AFB1 (9 ng/kg); zearalenone (3 mg/kg)</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>Bottalico et al. (1980)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gari</td>
<td>Fermented</td>
<td>Stored</td>
<td>Aspergillus (A. niger, A. repens, A. flavus), melenniera aquatica</td>
<td>AFs-</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>Osho et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gari</td>
<td>Fermented</td>
<td>Stored</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>OTA (3.28–22.73 μg/kg)</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>Makun et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attieke</td>
<td>Fermented and roasted</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AFs-, DON- (0/5); OTA (0.2 μg/kg)</td>
<td>Ivoiry cost</td>
<td>Kastner et al. (2010)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cassava feeds</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Stored</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AFs-</td>
<td>Fiji and Tonga island</td>
<td>Lovelace and Albersberg (1989)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: AFB1: Aflatoxin B1; AFB2: Aflatoxin B2; OTA: Ochratoxin A; FB1: fumonisin B1.
Recently, several studies aimed to establish prevalence of key mycotoxins in Africa have been initiated, although only a few have been carried out in Tanzania, Benin, and all have focused on aflatoxins and fumonisins. In Benin, Adjovi et al. (2013) have shown the presence of toxigenic strains of *Aspergillus* of the *Flavi* section in cassava chips produced and sold in Benin, but no presence of aflatoxins was observed. This study also revealed the presence of new species of genus *Aspergillus* which are aflatoxigenic: *Aspergillus parvisclerotigenes* and *Aspergillus novoparasiticus*. Table 2 shows different types of fungi and mycotoxins found in cassava products.

Cassava products contamination begins at pre-harvest and may also occur later, depending on transformation processes and storage conditions.

4.1 Pre-harvest and harvest conditions

Pre-harvest fungal contamination is due to cultural practices including crop rotation, cropping pattern, irrigation, planting and harvesting (Kumar and Kumari, 2010). During this stage some fungi can grow on plants and produce mycotoxins (Cwalina-Ambroziak, 2004). The surface of tubers can be contaminated by various soil fungi that penetrate into the tissues through injuries caused by devastators (Jesenska et al., 1993). The main fungi found at pre-harvest stage are *Fusarium* sp. with species such as *F. graminearum*, *F. oxysporum*, *F. avenaceum*, *F. moniliformes* that are met in potatoes, maize and wheat; *Alternaria* sp. with *Alternaria solani* and *Alternaria alternata* in potatoes, *Alternaria infectoria* in maize (Jesenska et al., 1993; Cwalina-Ambroziak and Czajka, 2000; Sorensen et al., 2010), *Phoma* sp. with *Phoma exigua* in potatoes and *Phomapomorum* in maize (Sorensen et al., 2010). Among pre-harvest fungi the most important mycotoxin producers are *Fusarium* and *Alternaria* (Sorensen et al., 2010). During pre-harvest, the microorganisms of soil and the insects attack may also cause damage on cassava crops. As for other crops, the principal genera that contaminate cassava are *Aspergillus*, *Fusarium* and *Alternaria* (Sorensen et al., 2010; Atanda et al., 2011).

The proximity of cassava and cereals culture can be responsible for cross-contamination by cereals’ fungi.

Field fungi invade developing and mature seeds before harvesting. These kinds of mycobiota were found in cassava chips sold in Benin (Gnonlonfin et al., 2008, 2012).

As for cereals, cultural practices and pre-harvest conditions also contribute to microbial contamination of cassava crops. The drying season, the rapid variation of temperature, the presence of insects and the previous usage of the soil by another culture can favour high microbial contamination (Wicklow, 1994).

4.2 Processing and storage of cassava and derived products

Without special precautions, cassava roots are not conserved beyond 3 or 4 days. But there are some techniques to prolong the fresh root storage time until about 2–8 weeks. To achieve conservation of several months, it is essential to transform the roots of durable products such as chips, gari, etc. Due to their perishable nature, storage of fresh cassava roots is little practiced in Africa. Traditional methods to prolong the shelf life include the practice of pruning the plant three weeks before harvest, store in pits, pack them and water them, coat them with mud or stored under water. Even in this case, the roots will deteriorate after a few days. Many farmers prefer to leave while cassava in the fields until they need it (FAO, 2000).
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After harvest, the aim is to reduce the time to process or use. Considering the distances between the production areas and the main places of consumption, it is very difficult to avoid substantial losses. That is why there is a major interest, economic point of view, to prolong the shelf life of cassava.

Among the preservation techniques used include:

- storage in silo pits is covered with a thatched roof
- storage in moist sawdust
- immersed in a fungicide and bagging polyethylene
- coating with wax
- refrigerated storage
- storage under plastic sheeting roots soaked in water.

All methods may include the retention period of one or two weeks. But these methods still have some drawbacks such as cost (fungicides, refrigeration), lack of ability to control the quality of the roots and the possibility of attacks by rodents (FAO, 2000).

The fact that cassava roots quickly rot is one of two principals reasons of the common cassava processing wherever it is grown and consumed. The second reason is related to the need to make consumables roots man removing cyanogenic glycosides. To increase the shelf life, it is necessary to reduce the water content, either by drying or by roasting. The two dry goods processing the most popular-cassava are the chips (root dried pieces) and Gari, a fermented cornmeal. Chips and Gari easily keep bags in a cool, dry-in rights for about a year. However, it should inspect the products regularly (at least once a month) in order to monitor the quality as they are hygroscopic (meaning they absorb water) and that the chips be little wind attacked by storage pests (FAO, 2000). The studies on cassava products mainly interested on chips (fermented or not) in Africa and few concerned attieke, meal and flour. The contamination of cassava products by fungi is studied according to different process applied to chips production and mostly the presence or absence of fermentation step.

4.3 Production of fermented and non-fermented products

Fermented products often contain mixed microbial populations because of the lack of sterility and the use of natural (spontaneous) fermentation or mixed-culture fermentation starters. In Asia, fungi are used for their enzymatic ability to degrade polymeric substances, as well as for texture forming properties. The main fungi encountered in Asian fermented food are Rhizopus sp. (in tempeh), Monascus sp. (in fermented rice), Actinomucor sp. (Ac. elegans in fermented sojae) and Aspergillus sp. (A. oryzae, A. sojae in soy sauce). In Africa, the use of mycelial fungi for fermentation is less common in the fermentative detoxification of bitter cassava roots (Nout and Aidoo, 2010).

In fermented cassava derivatives, the fermentation can be accomplished by two ways: stacking in heaps or soaking in water for a certain period. The fermentation process, whether in water or in heaps, influences the taste of the final product (FAO, 2005).

Generally, the microorganisms associated with cassava fermentation are mostly lactic acid bacteria (Lactobacillus plantarum, Streptococcus faecium and Leuconostoc mesenteroides) and spore-forming bacteria such as Bacillus sp. (Bokanga, 1989;
Nwankwo et al., 1989; Okafor et al., 1984; Ngaba and Lee, 1979). Nevertheless, the most important microorganisms are fungi belonging to genus *Rhizopus*, *Mucor*, *Actinomucor*, and *Neurospora* (Steinkraus, 1997).

During the production of cassava-fermented chips, the use of ferment (starter) likely occurs as a result of the carryover of these ferments contained in the water used during the soaking process. Some studies demonstrate that bacteria that are present in the fermented foods could prevent the contamination with mycotoxins. It is the case during the fermentation of Meju by *Bacillus subtilis* which reduces the production of aflatoxin B and G by *Aspergillus parasiticus* (Park et al., 2003). A study of Essono et al. (2007) on fermented chips produced in Cameroon, demonstrated that the predominant fungi isolated from the analysed samples belong to the genus *Aspergillus* and especially to the following species: *A. clavatus*, *A. flavus*, *A. niger* and *Aspergillus versicolor*. Other species of the genus Aspergillus were identified in this study. They belonged to the *Flavi* section: *A. nomius*, *A. tamarii* and *A. parasiticus*; and to other sections as well: *A. aculeatus*, *A. candidus*, *A. flavipes*, *A. fumigatus*, *A. ochraceus*, and *A. terreus*. This study correlates the presence of aflatoxin B1 to fermentation and high moisture of cassava chips (Essono et al., 2009). Essers (1995) noted the absence of aflatoxin B1 in fermented cassava chips with low moisture. In another study, cassava fermented product like gari, which is fermented and roasted with low moisture content, and despite the presence of *Aspergillus flavus* and *Aspergillus parasiticus*, once again no aflatoxins were detected (Westby, 2001). By contrast, in the study of Kastner et al. (2010) on Attieke, ochratoxin A (OTA) has been detected (0.2 ug/kg). In cassava flour, Njumbe et al. (2011) have detected fumonisin B1 (421 ug/kg). The presence of mycotoxins does not depend only on fermentation process but also on other parameters like moisture content, temperature, drying process and conditions.

In the case of non-fermented cassava, after peeling, cassava is washed with fresh water and transformed directly. During non-fermented chips production the peeled roots may be sliced to reduce the size of the pieces before drying (Kaaya and Eboku, 2010). The drying is done on roof or on bare ground, rock surface, tarpaulin, iron sheets and mats [Figure 2(b)] (Gnonlonfin et al., 2008; Kaaya and Eboku, 2010). A survey on cassava chips processing areas in Benin, Ghana and Nigeria (Gnonlonfin et al., 2008; Wareing et al., 2001) has indicated that, despite low moisture of chips, the most common fungi were *Rhizopus sp.* and *Aspergillus sp.*. In these studies, authors have detected the presence of sterigmatocystin, patulin, cyclopiazonic acid, penicillic acid, tenuazonic acid (Wareing et al., 2001), but not aflatoxin B1 and fumonisin B1 (Gnonlonfin et al., 2008) despite the presence of *Aspergillus flavus* and *Fusarium sp.*. In case of high moisture associated with inadequate drying condition, aflatoxin B1 has been detected in cassava chips (Kaaya and Eboku, 2010). Table 2 shows cassava products processing and relationship with contamination by fungi and mycotoxins.

### 4.3.1 Sun-dried products

During the drying, some moulds can develop such as *Penicillia* (Flannigan, 1970) [and produce ochratoxin A (OTA)] (El Adlouni et al., 2006), *Aspergillus sp.* and *Alternaria sp.* (Jackson and Al-Taher, 2008). In cassava derivatives, fungi proliferate when the moisture content exceeds 14%. And then, a relationship between drying process and mould contamination was observed. The influence of drying process on the sensitivity to
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Aflatoxin contamination has also been reported for other products such as figures (Ozer et al., 2012).

A study of Wareing et al. (2001) has reported that fire-dried cassava gave counts of $10$ to $10^2$ CFU/g less than sun-dried. When the dried chips were obtained after fermentation process, moulds observed on products were white, black, or orange (Kaaya and Eboku, 2010). The presence of greenish moulds could also occur and could be attributed to insufficient first surface drying step.

In Uganda, Kaaya and Eboku (2010) reported that the majority of farmers dry the cassava products on bare ground or bare rocks and this method exposes the crop to contamination with soil, dust, moulds because the soil is the primary source of moulds (Diener et al., 1987).

4.3.2 Storage of cassava products

Storage fungi include all species of *Aspergillus*, *Fusarium* and *Penicillium* genera. The growth of fungi during storage is governed by moisture and temperature, but also biotic factors like competition or the presence of insects (Atanda et al., 2011).

Indeed, storage fungi are much more frequent in lots infested by insects, because insects generate moisture and distribute fungi spores in the commodity. Storage fungi require a relative humidity of, at least, 65% (or a water activity of $aw = 0.65$), which is equivalent to an equilibrium moisture content of 13% in grains and cassava chips (Atanda et al., 2011; Gnonlonfin et al., 2012). They grow at temperature ranging from 10 to 40°C (Atanda et al., 2011).

Storage of harvested grains at > 10% moisture content and for prolonged period in poor storage facilities may cause proliferation of moulds on grains (Ominski et al., 1994; Abdalla, 1998; Ahmed et al., 2009). Similarly unwholesome practice of mixing grains of different grades in order to improve the quality of contaminated grains, especially when one contains a large number of fungi spores, will provide inoculum for the good grade and probably contaminate the toxin-free grain (Wagacha and Muthomi, 2008). Other compelling factors adduced by the authors that worsen the fungi and mycotoxins burden in Africa are: public ignorance of the existence of the toxins; complete absence or lack of enforcement of regulatory limits and introduction of contaminated food into the food chain, which has become inevitable due to shortage of food supply caused by drought, wars and other socio-economic and political insecurity.

4.4 Particular case of aflatoxin contamination

Among all moulds and mycotoxins found in cassava, only *Aspergillus flavus* and aflatoxin contamination remain blurred. Indeed, previous studies (Brudzynski et al., 1977; Bottalico et al., 1980; Adegoke et al., 1993) that focused on the contamination of cassava by aflatoxin, mentioned its presence revealed by thin layer chromatography whereas a compound of cassava, scopoletin was interfering the dosage. Indeed, this molecule has the same retention time as aflatoxin. So the first studies have long assumed that cassava products were contaminated with aflatoxin. With the development of new analytical methods for the determination of mycotoxins, some features have permitted to identify exactly the presence aflatoxin in cassava products.
Surveys that have studied the contamination of cassava by aflatoxin were most interested in chips. So the development of the following paragraph is based on data regarding both fermented and non-fermented cassava chips.

4.4.1 Relationships between aflatoxin concentration and processing practices

The peeling of cassava suppresses its protection and makes it more vulnerable to attack by moulds and possibly subsequent contamination by mycotoxins. The form of cassava pieces can result in uneven drying which can lead to increase moulds contamination. The direct sun drying of cassava products is likely to shorten the drying period since drying is started immediately after peeling and chipping the cassava roots. Drying on tarpaulin and drying on paved surface were associated with no contamination by aflatoxin. The duration of drying affects the moisture and, in association with temperature that favour fungal growth, may influence aflatoxin production (Kaaya and Eboku, 2010). An experimental quick drying of in-shell Brazil nuts (70–102°C during 48 hours) reduced fungal contamination and decreased production of aflatoxin from 11.13 to 4.8 ug/kg (Pacheco and Scussel, 2006).

Essers et al. (1994) and Kaaya and Eboku (2010) have noted that, in Uganda, the fermented cassava chips are more contaminated by moulds than non-fermented ones. In that case, level of mycotoxins contamination and specifically aflatoxins contamination is related to the degree of mouldiness.

4.4.2 Aflatoxin in cassava stored products

*A. flavus* produces highest aflatoxin levels at water activity of 0.996 and temperature of 30°C between 5–15 days of storage (Gqaleni et al., 1997). Additionally cassava chips are hygroscopic and tend to pick up moisture during storage, which promotes moulds and other deterioration agents (Knoth, 1993). The storage in the huts is known to expose the product to rain, which causes the dry pieces to become wet. Usually, cassava products with 12% moisture can be stored for long time but moisture content greater than 12% allows microbial growth. For instance, Kaaya and Eboku (2010) have reported an aflatoxin contamination on cassava products contaminated by *A. parasiticus* in Uganda, at 40% moisture.

The cassava chips can be stored from one week to one year. A short storage period averaging 8–12 weeks reported in the study of Wareing et al. (2001) in Ghana, reduce the risk of contamination by aflatoxins. The storage of cassava product for a long time is one important factor predisposing the products to aflatoxin contamination (Kaaya and Eboku, 2010; Wareing et al., 2001).

Kaaya and Eboku (2010) reported that storing cassava in Jerricans would lead to a 34 times higher contamination while storage in polypropylene bags and heaping on bare floor would lead to 19 to 16 times increased contamination compared to other conditions. Storage of cassava chips with other agricultural commodities prone to mould contamination promotes cross-contamination (Bankole and Eseigbe, 2004).

The chips are most often stored with grain crops especially corn which can be heavily contaminated with *A. flavus* and aflatoxin. This proximity may contribute to the transfer of toxigenic mould spores on cassava chips.
5 Conclusions

Cassava is a highly nutritious substrate favouring the growth of microorganisms. Its transformation into different derivatives, though ensuring the availability of the food during welding does not guarantee the absence of the development of moulds.

Consequently, studies have revealed in cassava products, a diverse mycobiota according to the area of production. But the predominance of the genus *Aspergillus* is however noted. Moulds found can produce mycotoxins in the processed cassava such as ZEA, fumonisins, penicillic acid, patulin and ochratoxin A. In several studies, the absence of aflatoxin in cassava was highlighted. Yet, the few studies that have found this mycotoxin show that its presence is related to the manufacturing process, production and storage conditions that accelerate the physiological deterioration (fermentation) and degradation. Adjovi et al. (2013) have proved the existence of anti-aflatoxin property in fresh cassava, independently of geographical origins. This data suggest that cassava compounds have the ability to block toxinogenesis leading to *Aspergillus* secondary metabolites. However, this property was lost after processing: heating, sun drying and freezing.
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