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Abstract

During the 6th millennium BC, southern France was affected by deep cultural changes in the form of the western Mediterranean Neolithization process; a turning point in subsistence agriculture and husbandry. In southern France, the department of Aveyron has several archeological sites attributed to a particular Early Neolithic culture, historically named « Roucadourien », which was previously interpreted as the result of an autonomous Neolithization or as the process of indigenous acculturation. Today, the reliability of these archaeological contexts and the definition of this vast and homogenous cultural entity are being questioned. Revisions of this regional data take place within the European Neolithization debate and allowed us to discuss and test the main hypotheses: demic or cultural diffusion. The aim of this research was to consider this Continental entity using new paradigms, and not only in terms of central, peripheral or marginal territory within the Neolithization process. In order to shed new light on this debate, it was important to review all the material data provided by these sites. Recent typotechnological studies on the lithic and ceramic assemblages from some sites of the Aveyron department (Combe-Grèze, Clos de Poujol, Roquemissou and Les Usclades) allowed us to renew our perception of these south-western contexts, and made it possible to assess the analysis of these sites from both a cultural and a functional point of view. Therefore, this study should be considered as a step towards a deeper understanding of cultural relationships and technical know-how transfers between the Second Mesolithic and the Early Neolithic. This revision highlights stratigraphical mixtures, evidence of functional variability and/or cultural recombining derived from the coastline area.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd and INQUA. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Generally, the term Neolithization is used to signify all stages during the transition from a predatory to a production economy: from the last communities of hunter-gatherer-collectors to the first farming societies. In archaeology, it is possible to detect this phenomenon by observing changes in subsistence economy and material productions.

Indeed, the transition between the last hunter-gatherer populations and the first farmers involves several socio-economical processes: colonization, acculturation or cultural recombining (e.g. Gallay, 1995; Zvelebil, 2000 or Rowley-Conwy, 2011). This study focuses on the modern French department of Aveyron, to highlight a specific part of the global phenomenon of Neolithization in the western Mediterranean. Currently, the Neolithization process of the southern French coastline and the Rhône valley is well-understood (Manen and Guilaine, 2010). Here, three cultural entities characterized by different ceramic styles have been distinguished: Impressa, Cardial and Epicardial. The first stage took place between 5800 and 5600 cal BC, with the arrival of the first Impressa colony on the coastline (Fig. 1-a). The second stage saw the large Franco-Iberian Cardial/Epicardial complex expand between Provence and southern Portugal, between 5400 and 4900 cal BC (Fig. 1-b), and finally the Neolithic’s territory extended into the Rhône valley.
In contrast, the Continental extension of the Neolithic economy is still badly defined (Fig. 1-c). It is based on a number of sites which spread out from Aquitaine to the Pyrenean and the Massif Central piedmont, whose various Mesolithic and Neolithic economic and material components have been studied as a combined entity under the various terms: Roucadourien, Pericardial, Early Neolithic para-Mediterranean or Continental Early Neolithic.

Historically, south-western France has been less explored than southern France. The first chronological model was established on the basis of Sauveterre-la-Lemance’s stratigraphy (Lot-et-Garonne) which followed several consecutive phases: Tardenoisien I, II and III (Coulange, 1935). This chrono-cultural entity covered the beginning of the Mesolithic to the Chalcolithic (Valdeyron, 2000). In 1966, layer C from Roucadour (Thèmine, Lot), defined as Tardenoisien III, provided a ceramic count of naive coarse ware and lithic elements characteristic of the Mesolithic and Neolithic. This assemblage was termed “Roucadourian” in order to distinguish it from the Cardial/Epicardial Early Neolithic, which was characteristic of the Mediterranean coastline (Niederlander et al., 1966). Moreover, this complex was also described as a “Mesolithic group, neolithized on the spot, without any population displacement” (Bailloud, 1970, p.25, translated from the French). For these reasons, emphasis has been placed on the indigenousness and marginality of this Continental complex.

At the end of 1970’s, Julia Roussot-Larroque, using data from Le Martinet (Sauveterre-la-Lemance, Lot-et-Garonne), la Borie del Rey (Blanquefort-sur-Briolance, Lot-et-Garonne) and layer C from Roucadour (Thèmine, Lot), proposed an internal evolution of this complex, called the “Roucadourian cycle” (Roussot-Larroque, 1977). She also brought together the Aquitaine settlements and the Pyrenean sites, such as Jean Cros – which Jean Guillaume interpreted as a phase of transition from a predatory to a production economy (Guillelaine, 1979a) – and then extended the geographical area to south-western France.

In the 1980’s two hypothesis were opposed. For J. Guillaume the Continental complex was directly linked to the Cardial/Epicardial entity (Guillaumelle, 1982). He considered it to be due to “depleted lateral facies” (Guillaumelle, 1986, p.73, translated from the French) that had caused the acculturation of Mesolithic people with the Cardial/Epicardial culture; he termed this cultural complex “Pericardial”. In direct opposition, G.-B. Arnal distinguished two Neolithization currents: a “Cardial Mediterranean process” and a “para-Mediterranean complex” exclusively linked to indigenous populations (Arnal, 1987). Early dates from La Poujade (Aveyron) – around 6000 cal BC (MC 1239, 8010 ± 145 BP) – gave momentum to the antiquity and the independence of this phenomenon (Arnal et al., 1991).

However, since then these patterns have been questioned; the polymorphism of Cardial/Epicardial groups has been highlighted (Manen, 2003); domestic vegetable and animal species (in particular corn, barley and sheep) have been demonstrated to have an Asiatic origin (e.g. Popelin et al., 1986; Uerpmann, 1987; Marinval, 1987; Zohary, 1992); Gregor Marchand has evidenced the stratigraphy of the reference deposits to be mixed (Marchand, 1999); a critique of carbon dating has been proposed (Manen, 2000); and even the absence of systematic sieving (Valdeyron et al., 2011) can be used to question the homogeneity of this cultural complex. These elements do not necessarily deny the existence of a south-western Early Neolithic (SWEN) but they do invite us to more clearly define it.

Consequently, because of its geographic location on the margin of the Cardial/Epicardial culture emergence and their early carbon dates (up to 7th millennium BC), the settlements analyzed in this study play a crucial role in the current debate of the Neolithization process.

The aim of our research is threefold: to shed new light on the

Fig. 1. Map of the Neolithization process in southern France (according to Manen and Guillaumelle, 2010).
comprehension of the Continental context, to revise the chro-
nostratigraphical context and to question the variability of material
productions thorough analysis of the lithic and ceramic assem-
blages from several sites located in the Aveyron department. The
results of our research will be rigorously tested using various hy-
potheses that will continue to fuel the debate:

a) a pool of indigenous Neolithization, independent from the
Cardial/Epicardial group;
b) acculturated Mesolithic groups who preserved part of their
cultural backgrounds;
c) a phenomenon related to the expansion of the Cardial/
Epicardial complex;
d) the results of stratigraphic mix and/or the lack of chrono-
logical resolution.

The three first hypotheses (a, b and c) have social and cultural
connotations and could combine with the fourth (d) which is
related to a taphonomic bias.

2. Materials

The current department of Aveyron was investigated in the
1970’s for evidence of Continental Neolithization; however, neither
a synthesis of the work nor a review of the collected data has been
undertaken. Several excavations, which have fuelled the question of
mixed cultural features, were carried out in an area called Les
Grands Causses. This region corresponds to a group of limestone
highlands located in the south of the Massif Central range, in the
department of Aveyron. We selected four rock shelter sites (Fig. 2)
that have relatively well defined stratigraphic sequences and ho-
mogeneous lithic and/or ceramic sets in order to minimize the
inference of intrusive elements: Roquemissou (Montrozier), Clos de
Poujol (Campagnac), Combe-Grèze (La Cresse) and Les Usclades
(Nant).

2.1. Roquemissou (Montrozier)

The site of Roquemissou is located near the Aveyron river, at the
interface of the southern border of the Causse Comtal, an important
forest area, at an altitude of 556 m (Perrin, 2012). P.-M. Blanquet
discovered the area in 1980, whilst carrying out two archaeological
surveys. In 1982, G.-B. Arnal began the first excavation (22 m²)
against the rock wall. During 1985 to 1989, in the aftermath of grave
robbing in the initial excavation area, he extended his field by
opening a second area (Arnal and Gruat, 1986). This second area
principally contained Final Paleolithic to First Mesolithic layers
(Arnal, 1995; Bobœuf, 2003a). In the first area, many stacked com-
bustion structures around 1 m deep were identified. These were
attributed to the Early Neolithic period on the basis of a mixed lithic
industry associated with ceramics, the presence of wheat seeds,
and sheep and bovine remains throughout the stratigraphic
sequence (Arnal, 1995, 2006). Arnal’s initial results of carbon dates
from charcoal from these layers, spanned from 6825 to 4370 cal BC
(Ly-4099: 6240 ± 300; Ly-4100: 7040 ± 200; Ly-4687: 5780 ± 110;
Ly-4688: 7400 ± 250). All the radiocarbon dates presented here
were calibrated at 2 sigma against IntCal13 (Reimer et al., 2013)
using OxCal 4.2.3 (Bronk Ramsey et al., 2013). These dates refer
partly to a period predating the Early Neolithic which supplied the
definition of the “Roucadourien complex”. In order to both char-
acterize the stratigraphy of the site and to renew the knowledge
concerning the Neolithization processes of Continental regions, T.
Perrin undertook renewed excavation campaigns from 2012; car-
rrying out stratigraphic rectifications in the first area excavated by
G.-B. Arnal, and opening up three new excavation areas. This work
evidenced a Final Neolithic settlement and a Second Mesolithic
layer dating to 6066-5931 cal BC (Beta 398965: 7140 ± 30, on bone
sample; Perrin, 2015). It also highlighted the complex imbrication
of deposits in the first area, with numerous archaeological features
and taphonomic perturbations (e.g. pits, collapsed walls…) which
overcomplicated the stratigraphical reading (Perrin et al., 2016)

Fig. 2. Mapping of studied settlements in Aveyron: Roquemissou (Montrozier), Clos de Poujol (Campagnac), Combe-Grèze (La Cresse) and Les Usclades (Nant), and in Gard: Le Tai (Remoulins).
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However, the question of transitional features between the Second Mesolithic and the Early Neolithic remains unanswered.

2.2. Clos de Poujol (Campagnac)

The site of Clos de Poujol covers around 25 m². It is located on the Cause de Severac at an altitude of 850 m. The site was discovered in 1973 by P.-M. Blanquet and its stratigraphy was established in 1975 by G.-B. Arnal. In 1990, M. Bobeuf estimated its archaeological potential as high (Boboeuf and Bridault, 1997) and led several campaigns between 1997 and 2005. He excavated two areas. The first, inside the shelter, provided a chronocultural sequence dating to the First Mesolithic. The second covered an area of 3.5 m² directly in front of the shelter and contained Early Neolithic deposits, with a relevant stratigraphy of 40–115 cm deep. According to M. Bobeuf, the Early Neolithic survey was characterized by three principal sedimentary groups on top of a Middle Mesolithic Montclusien layer (Bobeuf, 2003b). Charcoals found in the Early Neolithic context were dated to around 5992 and 4082 cal BC (L3-2122 (Poz): 5440 ± 45; Ly-2670 (GrA): 6600 ± 45; Ly-2138 (OxA): 7015 ± 40). The archaeozoological data consist of a highly fragmented corpus with around a hundred determined remains. The preliminary analysis mostly indicates a hunting economy, but the presence of domesticated animals is also attested with the remains of goats, bovines and, possibly, pigs (Vigne, pers. com.). Finally, concerning carpological data, only hazelnut remains have been identified from within the archaeozoological sequence and there was no evidence of any cultivated plants (Marinval, 2003).

2.3. Combe-Grèze (La Cresse)

The site of Combe-Grèze, also called Puechmargues II, is located in the western part of the Cause Noir. This rock shelter opens out at an altitude of 800 m, at the northern end of a doline (Costantini and Maury, 1986). In 1960, M. Lacas discovered the entrance and a Chalcolithic burial, but soon after, grave robbers disturbed the archaeological fill. The first campaign began in 1963, lead by J. Maury and M. Lacas, with the aim of preserving the site. They excavated 2 m² at the bottom of the shelter and identified four layers. All the layers had been disturbed; the first layer supplied numerous out of context artefacts and the other two provided a mixed lithic industry and several ceramic shards. The deepest layer, resting on sterile sand, was attributed to the Mesolithic (Maury and Lacas, 1965). One carbon date from charcoal, found in the third layer, provided a date of 5707–4981 cal BC (Gif 446: 6420 ± 180; Maury, 1967). A new campaign from 1979 until 1981 was led by G. Costantini and J. Maury. They excavated 14 m² in the shelter entrance and established a new stratigraphy, defined by six layers up to a depth of 2.5 m. These new partially disturbed layers (3, 2 and 1) concerned the Chalcolithic and the Late Roman Empire; they reattributed layer 4 to the Early Neolithic. Due to the homogeneity of the sediment, layer 4 was arbitrarily divided into six strata, around 10 cm wide (4A to 4F). Layer 5 corresponded to the Mesolithic (formerly layer 3 for the first excavators) and layer 6 corresponded to sterile sand on top of the limestone substratum. Regarding the Early Neolithic layer (4), the archaeozoological study by T. Poulain documents numerous sheep and pig remains despite a correlation between the rock shelter excavation and the core sampling has yet to be established (Carozza, pers. com.).

2.4. Les Usclades (Nant)

The site of Les Usclades is located under a wide rock shelter 10 m deep, at an altitude of 730 m on the Plateau du Larzac. Unfortunately, several grave robberies had already taken place before. J. Maury began excavating the site from 1988 to 1992, exposing stratigraphy which testified to human occupation from the Final Paleolithic to the Final Bronze Age (Maury, 1997), and identified six layers. The first corresponded to a disturbed level of Final Bronze Age material productions and Gallo-Roman material. Layers 2 and 3, the most important for us, were attributed to the Early Neolithic period. The fourth layer contained First Mesolithic artefacts and the subsequent layers (5 and 6) consisted of the Final Paleolithic on top of a sterile sand layer, just above the limestone substratum. According to J. Maury, layers 2 and 3 both belonged to the same Early Neolithic complex, similar to the Epicardial and Proto-Chassean features known from the Languedoc (ibid.). Nevertheless, according to G. Marchand, the lithic industry discovered in layer 3 presented specific Second Mesolithic characteristics. In which case, layers 2 and 3 of Les Usclades could illustrate two separate human occupations during the Early Neolithic: an Early Neolithic with a Mesolithic lithic industry and ceramic in layer 3; and a standard Early Neolithic with printed ceramic in layer 2 (Marchand, 1999). However, archaeozoological data from both layers, studied by T. Poulain, mentions both wild and domestic animals in equal proportions (Maury, 1997); however, the presence of horse remains suggests partial disturbance. One carbon date has been recorded from layer 3 of 4539–4262 cal BC (Gif 9143: 5550 ± 70 on a charcoal sample).

2.5. Common features of these sites

The archaeological corpus used here contains some common features. Firstly, all the settlements are either stratified caves or shelters. Secondly, the levels relating to the Early Neolithic overlay the Mesolithic layers, which is why the possibility of stratigraphic mixing cannot be excluded. Thirdly, most of the radiocarbon dates are old, often realised on undetermined charcoals, with large standard deviation and associated with an imprecise archaeological context. This could also indicate that this complex spans over one millennium.

Fourthly, the hunter economy was prevailing, but in association with traces of animal and plant domestication. Fifthly, the lithic industry of this complex is historically defined as “mixed”, which means that the typical elements of the Second Mesolithic are combined with elements from the Early Neolithic. Finally, ceramic production is systematically described as coarse. As previously mentioned, all of these components suggest numerous possibilities: an indigenous Neolithization, an acculturation of hunter-gatherers, a cultural recombining of coastaline facies, functional variability or purely by taphonomic bias. The aim of our study, therefore, is to test the relevance and the credibility of such hypotheses using the data provided by both lithic and ceramic analysis.

2.6. Analytical methods for the lithic and ceramic industry

The main value of lithic studies is twofold: firstly, it can
highlight a mixture of industries; both the last hunter-gatherers and the first farmers knapped lithic resources, but with the chaîne opératoire approach it is possible to distinguish between the two technical spheres. Secondly, with more diagnostic elements, it is possible to observe different cultural influences.

The lithic assemblages differed from a quantitative and qualitative point of view, highlighting their different uses:

- Layers 2 and 3 from Les Usclades yielded 6369 lithic artefacts, supposedly from the Early Neolithic; however, the homogeneity of the assemblage was questionable. Microlithic arrowheads from the First Mesolithic coexisted with definitive artefacts from the Second Mesolithic or Early Neolithic. In addition we found ceramics in layer 8, which was supposedly Epipaleolithic. Consequently, we can only be certain about elements which obviously belong to the Early Neolithic or Second Mesolithic.

- The recent excavation and a better stratigraphic knowledge of Clos de Poujol allowed us to consider the lithic industry from the upper layers (called Se, Sn and Sn sup). Here, the small number of lithic artefacts is the principal constraint: there were only around 200 knapped elements (not including debris and splinters).

- At Roquemissou, the assemblage provided by both the recent and previous excavation was numerically important (around 5000 artefacts), but the assemblage from previous research showed the same homogeneous problem as in Les Usclades. Moreover, the recent excavation yielded very few elements from the Early Neolithic.

- Finally, the exhaustive analysis of the lithic industry from Combe Grèze has been published (Defranoud, 2014). Layers 4 and 5 yielded 2095 lithic remains from the Early Neolithic and Second Mesolithic.

It should be also noted that the importance of burned pieces at Combe-Grèze and Clos de Poujol (sets which benefit from exhaustive studies) made the petrographic analysis difficult, which meant that we could only distinguish the raw material between local Bajocian cherts and the regional flint.

The ceramic presents particular features commonly described as archaic or testifying to a lack of skill (Niederlander et al., 1966; Bailloud, 1970; Roussot-Larroque, 1977; Guilaine, 1979b; Arnal, 1995). As a consequence, it was attributed to Mesolithic hunter-gatherers who lacked knowledge about ceramic handcrafts, and subsequently became totally disconnected with the Neolithic sphere of influence. In this paper, we suggest several approaches in order to observe the variability of SWEN ceramic productions. On the basis of new typo-technological analysis, compared to standard characters of Early Neolithic pottery, we questioned the notion of “quality production”: is the formerly called archaic ware actually linked to the hunter-gatherer population? Can we identify functional qualities instead of only aesthetic qualities? We then considered the sites functions: can we infer a kind of “functional determinism” in the formation of the ceramic sets? Furthermore, we describe the convergence criteria between coastline facies and SWEN traditions as well as pointing out the modalities of the transmission process.

In order to systematize the descriptions, we made a typo-technological analysis of the three most representative sets from Aveyron: Clos de Poujol (Campagac), Combe-Grèze (La Cresse) and Les Usclades (Nant). These sets consist of 271 shards (from a minimum of 28 pots), 268 shards (from a minimum of 23 pots) and 459 shards (from a minimum of 34 pots), respectively. The fragmentation rate is important. Each set contained at least 2/3 shards less than 5 cm tall, and a few shapes which were archaeologically complete or restorable. However, they also benefit from good preservation of their surfaces and edges, which is an advantage for technological observations.

In addition, we compared our results with data from Le Taï (Remoulins, Gard), a site located in Languedoc region (Fig. 2), which provided typical material for the coastline Epicardial complex. The ceramic set consists of 2331 shards (from a minimum of 272 pots) which were observed using the same analysis criteria (Caro and Manen, 2014). The lithic assemblage from Le Taï consists of 741 pieces of flint and 4631 pieces of quartz. Nevertheless, the lithic assemblages from the Aveyron sites and from Le Taï cannot be systematically compared because of the stratigraphic mixture in the Aveyron assemblages; therefore, we only assessed some diagnostic pieces, essentially tools.

3. Discussion

3.1. Witness to an indigenous Neolithization?

One of the major arguments for the development of the “Roucadourien complex” is the common features of its ceramic: a) the presence of coarse pastes with irregular inclusions; b) the frequent breaks in coil junctions; and c) incomplete firing and few decorations with simple and poorly executed patterns. The pottery shapes are still insufficiently known but thick walls and a rarity of handles are often underlined. Generally, the shapes seem to be simple and close to the southern Early Neolithic standards (Guilaine, 1982).

Nevertheless, the presumption of pottery with pointed bottoms in several sets has been interpreted as evidence of a hunter-gatherer ceramic production. Indeed, the “nonconformism” of the SWEN ceramic productions has previously been discussed (Niederlander et al., 1966). These descriptions, of Aveyron ceramics, contrast with the descriptions of Early Neolithic ceramics from the Mediterranean coast. As a consequence, some authors were given to defend a phenomenon of convergence with the northern productions of La Hoguette, Le Limbourg or the Ertebøllian complexes rather than influences from the southern Early Neolithic (Niederlander et al., 1966; Bailloud, 1970; Roussot-Larroque, 1977). Their assumptions were based on the presupposition of both a linear technical increase (Van Berg, 1996) and a totally subjective perception of the cognitive skills of hunter-gatherer populations, which tends to prove the hypotheses of a Mesolithic acculturation or even of a native and independent Neolithization process. However, as most of the ceramic sets contained only a few shards and were affected by considerable fragmentation, poor resolution is a problem that must be considered. In addition, they focused their observations purely on the aesthetical criterion without taking into consideration the contexts of these productions. Therefore, the coarse pottery aspect is the only argument we have to defend the hypothesis of a hunters-gatherer ceramic tradition, which still remains to be demonstrated (Valdeyron et al., 2013).

3.2. A mix of two production types

As previously mentioned, the excavated cave (or shelter) yielded important stratigraphies, with numerous anthropogenic features which had disturbed previous layers. Moreover, we also had to consider the impact of the climatic phenomena during the ancient Holocene, which would have reduced detrital input or erosive processes (Berger, 2005), that skew our perception of these stratigraphical contexts. It has been suggested that these layers correspond to a palimpsest of several occupations, with the mixed assemblages being regarded as “spurious” (Bernabeu Auban et al., 2001).

The lithic industries, implemented both by the last hunter-gatherers and the first farmer, could also be a good indicator of...
this stratigraphical mixture. Indeed, at Combe Grèze we observed that the vast majority of the lithic assemblage corresponded to the production of blade blanks, detached by indirect percussion. We also observed a bimodal distribution of the width of the pieces (Fig. 3), with part of the blank widths being centred around 9 mm and others around 12–13 mm; the widest blanks often had three surfaces. The production of two types of blades enabled the distinction of two different chaînes opératoires.

The first one aimed (Fig. 4) to produce bladelets, usually with a triangular section, by indirect percussion. However, as there were no cores that corresponded to this way of knapping, and our understanding of the latter is limited, we could only propose a hypothesis for the restitution of the first chaîne opératoire. Despite this, the presence of many large and arched flakes, with the cortical distal part, seems to imply a phase of reshaping the flaking surface. Some of those blanks were broken by the microburin technique and then transformed into symmetric or asymmetric trapezes (Fig. 5 upper), possibly in relation to the Second Mesolithic way of knapping (Barbaza et al., 1984). Regarding the second chaîne opératoire (Fig. 6), we observed the production of large blades along a unidirectional flaked surface on the narrow or — more rarely — wide side of the nucleus. These blades were also created by indirect percussion with a section then being shaped into a triangular or trapezoidal arrowhead, sometimes with direct low angled retouches (Fig. 5 lower). Even if the presence of BG3 (from Perrin’s typology: Perrin, 2009 for an updated version) refers primarily to the Early Neolithic (Marchand, 2009; Perrin, 2014). There is also a third chaîne opératoire, but it is anecdotic from a quantitative point of view as it corresponds to only around thirty pieces. The goal of this chaîne opératoire was the production of micro-bladelets, with an average width of 6 mm. Only one core corresponds to this way of knapping. It is small, made from a thick flake from an exotic flint, and was flaked in two orthogonal directions. The bladelets were detached by marginal percussion with an organic hammer. The blanks were sometimes modified with an abrupt retouch to create a back. This microlithic production is usually attributed to the technotypological realm of the First Mesolithic.

The evidence of two different ways of knapping suggests that this lithic assemblage results from a mixture of three different and successive occupations, rather than from the coexistence of hunter-gathers and farmers. The graph based on the diagnostic elements of these chaînes opératoires (Fig. 7) shows that there is indeed an altitudinal distribution of these technical strategies, even if no interruption can be detected. The elements associated with micro-bladelets, indicating a First Mesolithic occupation, were mostly found at the bottom of the sequence. The central part of the stratigraphy, containing a higher number of elements associated with the manufacturing of bladelets and trapezes could indicate a Second Mesolithic occupation. And finally, the upper part of the sequence, dominated by elements related to the manufacturing of wide blades, sharp arrowheads and the appearance of ceramic, suggests an Early Neolithic occupation.

The question of the trapeze arrowheads broken by the microburin technique takes place in the debate between two hypotheses: could their association with the classical Neolithic arrowhead be explained as a result of stratigraphical mixture or taphonomic contamination? Or could we consider this association in the acculturation hypothesis? Indeed, these are definitive artefacts for describing a Mesolithic context (Binder, 1987). But we can also imagine that the first Neolithic population borrowed this technique from the late hunter-gatherers to produce their own geometric

Fig. 3. Frequency histogram of the widths of whole blanks using a frequency interval of 2 mm (in blue) and a density curve of these widths (in red), n = 767 pieces. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
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arrowheads. In fact, they could be key to understanding the know-how transfer process and the continuation of certain Mesolithic techniques in the Neolithic world. Even if we must remain cautious, due to the small size of the sample, the question can partly be solved through the analysis of the raw materials used in the manufacture of arrowheads and microburins in Combe-Greze and Les Usclades. Transverse arrowheads (from blades broken by flexion) with direct low angled retouches, sometimes called Montclus arrowheads and referring to the Early Neolithic, are made of regional flint, while trapezes and microburins are made of local flint.
3.3. SWEN identity: functional variability and/or cultural recombining

The ceramic analyses underlines and estimates a specific variability of Continental sets, and can be expressed in three main points.

The first concerns the thickness of the walls. Sets from Aveyron measured around 8–10 mm. The set of Le Tai showed a lower thickness of between 6 and 8 mm, and a wider variety of thicknesses (Fig. 9). The thickness is hugely important as it correlates to a shaping technique using small low-stretched coils. This method can be used to control both the thickness and the pottery shape more easily (Petrequin and Petrequin, 1999). The thick walls could also result from a deliberate choice in order to make stronger pots (Mayor, 1994).

The second point concerns the use of clay with coarse inclusions, which can be clearly observed in the sets of Clos de Poujol or Combe-Grèze, less so at Les Usclades, and almost never in Le Tai (Fig. 9). Two hypotheses could explain this disparity: the presence of coarse inclusions in the paste — Epicardial production or those from Aveyron — seems to correlate with the walls’ thicknesses; however, to shape thick pottery, there is no need for an accurate sorting of pastes; or, deliberately choosing a type of clay full of

![Fig. 6. Diagram of second chaîne opératoire. Sequence 1: conception and selection of a narrow volume. A natural surface serves as the striking platform or one is created by detaching a thick flake (hypothesized modalities for creating a striking platform). Sequence 2: initiation of flaking surface. The blades obtained retain parts of the original stone surface on their upper face. Sequence 3: full-flaking phase, blade production. The narrow flaking surface explains the low number of blades with three surfaces. Sequence 4: variant of the method: after abandoning the narrow face, a second face is used and a new flaking sequence is initiated.](image)

![Fig. 7. Proportions of the different chaînes opératoires of Combe-Grèze in relation to their depth. On the left, histogram with total size (n = 519); on the right, with percentage by levels.](image)

![Fig. 8. Raw material of arrowheads and microburins from Combe-Grèze and Les Usclades.](image)
natural inclusions – such as in the south western sites – could avoid temper additions in the preparation stage (Binder and Schomacker, 1991). Furthermore, these pastes are generally homogeneous and advocate well for mixing and shaping.

The third point concerns the coil’s junction. Two main methods have been identified: a U-shaped junction causing frequent breaks and a slanted junction providing stronger walls (Fig. 9). Both of them are known in Epicardial and SWEN, but the U-shaped junction is more largely used in ceramic productions from Aveyron. However, each set contains slanted coil junctions and it appears that this method is not linked to the type of paste or morphological criteria. The choice of a U-shaped junction does not necessarily indicate a lack of knowledge, but it could facilitate the acquisition of shaping skills (Petrequin and Petrequin, 1999). Moreover, in several...
sets we observed some scratching marks on coils used to create a stronger bond between the pieces.

Other characteristics, which exist in standard Early Neolithic productions, are missing from the south-western sets such as necked pottery, storage pottery and handles. Other characteristics are found roughly in the same numbers in both productions such as surfaces which are generally well-smoothed and often shiny due to burning (Fig. 9). To reiterate, this study highlights the sound technical knowledge of SWEN productions, or at least a detailed knowledge of clay material. It dismisses the ideas of inferior technical skill. Reducing the range of technical practices to simple ineptitude deprives the potters of any technical, stylistic, aesthetic or functional intentions. Therefore, we suggest considering that SWEN ceramic productions were a result of several considered choices whose underlying motives must be clarified.

Putting aside the notion of quality and considering the ceramic productions in view of their functional aspects, three interlocking factors seem to impact on the sets variability: the context of production, the pots function and the potter’s technical investment. Instead of “How it was?” we have to consider “Could it be another way?” (Jennbert, 2007, p. 96). The context of production could simply imply the specific requirement of the pots and/or environmental constraints such as the availability of local clay sources. Therefore, the technical investment given to pottery shaping would be adapted with regards to the production purpose and context, insofar as “the potential benefits outweigh the costs of investment” (Amkreutz et al., 2010, p. 23; Ugan et al., 2003).

According to our typo-technological observations, it seems that the SWEN productions were less technically invested in and used more simplified shaping processes than the southern Early Neolithic productions. However, due to the sets’ fragmentation, the definition of a specific pot’s function remains difficult. Nevertheless, some clues indicate that these productions were mostly composed of small and medium sized opened pots with a convex bottom: forms which optimize the diffusion of heat and evoke culinary pottery (Diop, 2000). The homogeneity of production suggests basic functions or limited needs (Van Berg, 1990, 1997; Jeunesse et al., 1991). The question of production context links the subject of site function and population mobility within southern France’s Neolithization process. Although there is insufficient data from sites within the Aveyron department to completely analyze their functions, several similarities between SWEN ceramic productions and those from the Early Neolithic temporary settlements can be observed: few shards, limited technical investment in the local part of the production, uniform shapes suggesting a cooking function and scant decorative elements and handles (Guilaine, 1979b, 1993; Binder dir., 1991; Brois and Vaquier, 2009). The cooking function implies repeated fire exposure and frequent manipulations, which led to a reduction in the pot’s lifetime (Mayor, 1994; Diop, 2000). As a consequence, the limited amount of ceramic shards could correlate to a short period of occupation. In addition, the rarity of storage pottery enforces the hypothesis of a logistical site function, with storage being reserved for residential sites (Binford, 1980; Audouze, 2007).

Finally, SWEN ceramic sets could result from productions adapted to groups moving across a territory, being made purely to fulfill immediate needs and requiring little technical investment. The south-western ceramic production variability could have ensued from their different economical position with each way of life implying specific ceramic needs (Van Berg, 1990; Jeunesse et al., 1991; Cemnick de, 1994). However, this important functional factor cannot deliberately dismiss the hypothesis of cultural variability.

The variability observed on the Aveyron sets could be evidence of a Mesolithic acculturation process. A phenomenon generally characterized by filtering processes such as learning by imitation without suitable contacts or external teaching. The adoption of ceramic shaping, via this method, is generally associated with technical simplification and inferior shape ranges (Petrequin and Petrequin, 1999). It can also be characterized by changes in the finished object or techniques used and social value and status, all of which vary according to the potter’s acquired skills (Perles, 2007).

Nevertheless, there are numerous technical and stylistic similarities with southern Early Neolithic ceramic traditions. As previously mentioned, the sharing of some technical practices like coil junction methods or specific surface treatments, especially in the ceramic production at Les Usclades, is very close to the tradition of Le Taï (Fig. 9). The decorative patterns may be part of the Epicardial repertory, though they are less complex, with frequent printed lips (Fig. 10, n° 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9 and 10), vertical patterns of striations associated with plastic elements (Fig. 10, n°7) and a general decrease of decoration which became widespread in the Languedoc at the end of the 6th millennium (Manen, 2002; Binder et al., 2010; Valdeyron et al., 2013). This also highlights the rare and simple ornamentation of SWEN sets which, rather than being inferior, questions the cultural investment in ornamentation and the value and meaning given to their expression (Van Berg, 1996). Functional efficiency could have been favoured at the expense of ornamental treatment as well as the availability of local clay sources.

In this context, the south-western variability could result from a real transmission of the Epicardial ceramic tradition. This also highlights the rare and simple ornamentation of SWEN sets which, rather than being inferior, questions the cultural investment in ornamentation and the value and meaning given to their expression (Van Berg, 1996). Functional efficiency could have been favoured at the expense of ornamental treatment as well as the availability of local clay sources.
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Indeed, both Le Taï and the four sites of Aveyron yielded some blades that often present trapezoidal sections obtained by punch technique.

In contrast, there are significant differences between Le Taï and the sites of Aveyron. Due to the wide assemblage of tools we were able to consider these sites from a functional perspective, particularly when comparing the arrowhead ratio to the domestic tools ratio. However, because we cannot typologically distinguish the Mesolithic domestic tools from the Early Neolithic ones (in both cases blades with lateral retouches obtained by the punch technique) the study was somewhat limited. To counteract this we decided to consider the tools as a whole, which enabled us to at least indicate a trend. The assemblage of Le Taï, a habitat site, evidenced a majority of domestic tools, whereas the Aveyron sites showed an inverse proportion (Table 1). In contrast, the available data from other sites interpreted as logistic site, such as the Jean Cros rock shelter (Guilaine et al., 1979 p.49 and p. 85) and the Lombard cave (Binder, 1991, pp. 29–86), indicates similar proportions between arrowheads and domestic tools than assemblages from Aveyron (Table 1). It should be added that conducting use-wear analysis would have provided a clearer vision of the

![Fig. 10. Main shapes and ornamentations in south-western Early Neolithic sets. Combe-Grèze (La Cresse): n° 1 to 5; Les Usclades (Nant): n° 6 and 7; Clos de Poujol (Campagnac): n° 8 to 10.](image)

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Arrowheads Population</th>
<th>Arrowheads %</th>
<th>Domestic tools Population</th>
<th>Domestic tools %</th>
<th>Total Tools Population</th>
<th>Total Tools %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Le Taï</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combe-Grèze</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Les Usclades</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clos de Poujol</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roquemissou</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean Cros</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lombard Cave</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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different activities carried out at the sites, but we can assume that
the Continental sites also functioned as logistic sites. A recent study
of Iberian Early Neolithic sites showed the same complementarity
between astable occupations such as butchering, craft activities,
harvesting and occasional hunting, and secondary occupations
specializing in activities connected solely to animal exploitation
such as hunting or pastoralism (Mazzucco and Gibaja, 2016). With
this in mind, the functional approach could explain the originality
of the SWEN lithic assemblages.

Furthermore, there are arguments in favour of cultural recom-
bination. Indeed, Roquemissou and Clos de Poujol yielded three
examples of Martinet’s arrow, also known as an arrowhead with a
concave base (type PB 42 of Thomas Perrin’s typology, ibid). This
type of arrow is generally less well-represented in lithic assem-
blages and is known mostly from south-western Early Neolithic
contexts (Fig. 11), from key sites such as Le Martinet, La Borie-del-
Rey or Le Cuzoul de Gramat (Roussot-Larroque, 1977), Buholoup
in Haute Garonne (Briois and Vaquer, 2009), Sagnebaude in Tarn
(Vaquer, 1990). More rarely the Cardial/Epicardial complex has
yielded some Martinet’s arrows from sites such as the Gazel cave
(Briois, 2005). The origin of these arrowheads is unknown, but it
could refer to a Continental entity and an innovation of the SWEN
complex.

Using our current data, we can make two hypotheses (Fig. 12).
The first is linked with the beginning of the Neolithization process
through the incursions of small groups (Gallay, 1995). In this case,
the population transfer led to a functional recombining of practices


According to material factors, the SWEN variability could, therefore,
be an adaptation of the material productions within the specific
context of the Cardial/Epicardial development toward Les Grands
Causses. The second hypothesis suggests a Mesolithic acculturation
process (Mazurie de Keroualin, 2003). In this case, the knowledge
transfer, in one way or another, implies a cultural recombinating or a
reinterpretation of practices according to conceptual factors. The
SWEN variability here could result from selective borrowing

Fig. 12. Drawing of hypothetical interpretations concerning the south-western Early
Neolithic productions variability.
alongside the acculturation of native people. However, in the context of a territory potentially travelled and occupied by Mesolithic and Neolithic people, both of these processes are not exclusive; indeed, their implications are probably highly interlocked (Binder and Schoumacker, 1991; Guilaine, 1993). For now we can simply emphasize the existence of contacts, interactions or even a complementarity between coastal and Continental regions.

4. Conclusion

This panorama opens new perspectives for understanding the poorly defined Continental contexts; though the difficulty of interpreting them still lies with assemblages that are not deemed compliant with the usual standards of the Neolithic. The issue, therefore, is now to integrate them in renewed perceptions of Neolithization. Indeed, the Continental territory of Aveyron was previously considered as an autonomous entity, independent of the Mediterranean Neolithization process; or as an outlying area of the coastal Neolithic World. In this study, the confrontation of lithic and ceramic data has helped to clarify the dynamics that underlie the diffusion and exchanges in material productions.

Firstly, a common feature of the Mediterranean area is obviously expressed in its material productions, at least concerning the ceramic and lithic industry, and through the domestic animals and seashells often excavated from settlements. Secondly, these elements attest to the reality of exchanges – or even a transmission – of valuables which implies sustainable contact. As a consequence, this leans towards proving the hypothesis against an indigenous Neolithization process, and allows us to consider the three other hypothesis which can be combined: stratigraphical mixture, functional variability and Mesolithic acculturation with cultural recombining.

This territory, therefore, must no longer be considered simply as a marginal area of the Cardial/Epicardial complex. We should envisage it as a “fermentation stage”, to quote Jean Guilaine (Guilaine, 2000–2001), in the process of an arrhythmic diffusion of the Neolithic economy from the Middle East to the Atlantic. The current questions raised about the western Mediterranean Neolithization (e.g. demic diffusion, acculturation, functional adaptation) could be approached by the debate on a much smaller scale.

For further clarification concerning the problem of mixed features we need to search for better defined contexts, starting with the new excavation of Roquemissou led by T. Perrin. And, if we accept the special links with Mediterranean feature, carbon dates must be revised in accordance with the Procomne ANR program led by C. Manen.
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