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1. Introduction

Evidence has accumulated during recent years that organisms can maintain their performance in the face of a broad range of perturbations (de Visser et al. 2003; Wagner 2005). This includes the tolerance of proteins to amino acid replacements (Sinha & Nussinov 2001), the ability of genetic networks to withstand alterations (Aldana et al. 2007), the stability of cellular processes to stochastic variations of gene expression levels (Batada & Hurst 2007), or the resilience of embryonic development to environmental or genetic changes (von Dassow et al. 2000). In general, the term robustness is used to describe this behavior and genetic robustness or mutational robustness when mutations are the cause of perturbations. Many issues related to genetic robustness remain unsolved. For example, asserting the elevated robustness is a fundamental property of living organisms is problematic because we often ignore what normal robustness should be (Ciliberti et al. 2007). Still, we can try to identify the genetic and ecological factors associated with differences in robustness between species or genotypes (Krakauer & Plotkin 2002, Sanjuán & Elena 2006). Also, it remains unclear whether the evolutionary transition to a robust state occurs as a direct product of selection (Wagner et al. 1997, van Nimwegen et al. 1999, Proulx & Phillips 2005, Proulx et al. 2007) or merely as a byproduct of selection acting on correlated traits (Stearns et al. 1995, Ancel & Fontana 2000, Stearns 2002).

Task 1.1 addresses robustness at three different levels using the most appropriate experimental model. It is divided in three sections devoted to the study of robustness at the population level in the TEV model, at the regulatory level in the \textit{E. coli} model, and at the genome level in both models.

2. Task 1.1 Robustness at the population, regulatory network and genome levels

2.1. Section 1: Robustness at the population level in the TEV experimental system

It has been postulated that natural selection may have shaped viral populations as a whole to be robust against the effect of mutations (Krakauer & Plotkin 2002). This type of robustness will pop up as an emerging property of viral populations, due to the inherent mutational coupling of quasispecies populations. In other words, it will be a population property rather than a characteristic of individuals. The theory predicts than such population robustness would evolve at large effective population sizes and high mutation rates, very much alike the conditions of RNA virus replication. To test this theory and its applicability to an RNA virus, we have performed the experiments described in Task 1.1 Section 1 of the proposal. In short, four different experimental treatments were designed (Elena et al. 2007): small effective population size and the standard mutation rate, small effective population size and increased mutation rate, large effective population size and standard mutation rate, and large effective population size and increased mutation rate. Small effective population sizes were obtained by isolating individual clones from the local lesion host \textit{Chenopodium quinoa}; it is between 1 and 10 infectious units. Large population sizes were obtained by 1:10 dilution of the population resulting from an infected \textit{Nicotiana tabacum} plant and it ranges between $10^4$ – $10^5$ infectious units. Mutation rate was artificially increased by submitting infected tobacco plants to one pulse of 10 min/d with a Phillips G36T8 germicidal lamp (maximal output at 253.7 nm; dose 2 J/cm$^2$) (Codoñer et al. 2006).
Twenty-five cycles of infection were performed for each treatment, 10 independent evolution lineages per treatment. At the end of the evolution experiments, the sensitivity of the evolved lineages to mutation was evaluated by means of three passages of random mutation-accumulation, as described in Sanjuán et al. (2007). If a viral population is robust against mutational effects, then its fitness would be poorly affected by the accumulation of a limited number of mutant individuals. By contrast, if the population is very sensitive to mutation, its fitness will be affected by a larger extent. Mathematically, these two propositions are equivalent to say that the slope of a log-linear regression of fitness on the number of bottleneck transfers will be different for each type of viral population (Elena et al. 2007): steeper slopes will be typical of sensitive populations whereas flatter slopes will be typical of more robust populations.

The slopes from the log-linear regressions estimated for each population were fitted to a GLM with a Normal distribution and identity link function, using “population size” and “mutation rate” as orthogonal factors and “lineage” as a factor nested within the interaction of “population size” and “mutation rate”. Population size had a significant effect of robustness, with populations evolved in the large effective population size regime being more robust on average than populations evolved in the small effective population size ($P = 0.036$). By contrast, mutation rate had no net significant effect on robustness ($P = 0.366$), although it has a highly significant effect in combination with the effective population size (interaction term, $P < 0.001$). Finally, lineages submitted to the same treatment were significantly heterogeneous in their fitness ($P < 0.001$). A graphical summary of these results can be found in Figure 1.

In conclusion, we found that the predictions of the Krakauer & Plotkin (2002) model for the evolution of population-level robustness were partially fulfilled for TEV: viral populations evolved under conditions of large population size became more robust than populations evolved at small effective population sizes. However, we failed to observe the predicted synergistic effect of mutation rate: large populations evolved at high mutation rates were not more robust than their counterparts evolved at standard mutation rate. One possible explanation for this discrepancy may be that TEV mutation rate is already large enough (Tromas & Elena 2010) and that the extra increase due to UVC resulted in genomes with a too high mutational load that were lethal.
2.2. **Section 2: Robustness at the regulatory network level in the *E. coli* experimental model**

2.2.1. **Introduction**

Long-term evolution of *E. coli* in glucose minimal medium has been characterized by beneficial mutations in genes encoding global regulatory genes (Philippe *et al*., 2007) and changes in epistatic interactions between global regulators (Cooper *et al*., 2008). Therefore, long-term adaptation in this environment was achieved by substantial rewiring of global regulatory networks. We investigate whether and how these newly organized networks affected bacterial physiology in alternative environments, and therefore whether long-term adaptation resulted in changes in the robustness at the level of regulatory networks.

CRP is a key hub in the *E. coli* transcriptional network, involved in more than 200 regulatory interactions (Gosset *et al*., 2004; Zheng *et al*., 2004). The CRP-controlled regulon has been shown to be increasingly important during evolution in the LTEE. Deletions of the gene encoding crp have been introduced in the LTEE ancestor and in two independent evolved clones, one sampled from each of two of the twelve evolving populations after 20,000 generations (Cooper *et al*., 2008). Deleting crp had a much more dramatic effect on the growth in the evolution environment and on the global transcription profile of the two evolved clones than on the ancestor. Because the sequence of the crp gene was unchanged during evolution, these differences indicated epistatic interactions between crp and mutations at other loci that accumulated during evolution (Cooper *et al*., 2008). Therefore, epistasis has been important in the adaptive evolution of these bacterial populations, and they provided new insight into the types of genetic changes through which epistasis can evolve. Indeed, we identified a number of regulatory genes (*spoT, fis*) harbouring beneficial mutations that accounted for these changes in epistatic interactions with the CRP regulon. We address whether these changes in the interactions between global regulators within the regulatory network affected the robustness of the evolved clones.

This deliverable included the construction of the crp deletions in evolved strains from all twelve populations (D1.1, due and finished at M12, not detailed anymore here), the investigation of the effect of these deletions in different environments (D1.2, due at M20), and the identification of the mutations that interfere with the crp deletion during the long-term evolution experiment (D1.2, due at M20). Therefore, we can investigate the impact of rewiring regulatory network on robustness.

2.2.2. **Growth traits in various environments**

The effect of the crp deletion on growth was investigated in all populations by direct plating on rich medium plates. In these conditions, deleting crp had a drastic effect on growth in all evolved clones. Indeed, all deleted strains produced small colonies, indicative of growth defects. We investigated growth defects in more details in two populations, called Ara-1 and Ara+1, by growing strains in liquid media, including the minimal glucose medium used in the evolution experiment and alternative environments.
Deleting *crp* in evolved clones sampled after 20,000 generations from each of the Ara-1 and Ara+1 populations severely affected the growth rates in minimal glucose medium DM1000 (Figure 2). These growth defects were much more severe in the evolved genetic backgrounds than in the ancestor, implying that mutations substituted during evolution in both populations interacted with the *crp* deletion. To investigate when these epistatic interactions occurred during evolution, the *crp* deletion was constructed in evolved clones sampled earlier during the evolution of the two populations. The results shown in Figure 2 revealed that the severe growth defects were already detected after 1500 and 2000 generations in Ara+1 and Ara-1, respectively. Therefore, in both populations, mutations that were substituted early during evolution, before 2000 generations, interacted epistatically with the *crp* deletion. These results revealed a high dynamics of the CRP-controlled regulon, and therefore of the regulatory network, during long-term evolution.

To extend these analyses to other environments, we measured the growth abilities of parental and *crp*-deleted strains using GN2 Biolog plates (AWELinternational, BLAIN, France) that contain 95 different carbon sources (Figure 3). We measured the effect of the *crp* deletion on the growth in these alternative environments in the ancestor and two evolved clones sampled after 2000 and 20,000 generations from population Ara-1. Deleting *crp* drastically reduced the catabolic breadth of each of the three strains (Table 1). However, this effect was similar in all three genetic backgrounds, ancestral and evolved. Therefore, at least for population Ara-1, the *crp* deletion affected growth more severely in the evolved clones than in the ancestor only in the minimal glucose medium in which the evolution occurred. This suggests that evolution in the glucose environment strongly selected a particular structure of the regulatory network and that disturbing this structure (here by deleting *crp*) results in lower robustness of the evolved clones specifically in that environment.

![Figure 2](image_url)

*Figure 2 – Effect of the *crp* deletion on the growth abilities in evolved clones from populations Ara-1 and Ara+1 in DM1000 medium (K: x1000 generations). The relative growth rate of each *crp*-deleted strain compared to the corresponding parental *crp*+ strain is given.*
2.2.3. **Identification of mutations that interfere with the crp deletion**

We showed above that mutations that were substituted before 2000 generations during evolution interacted epistatically with the crp deletion in both populations Ara+1 and Ara-1. Indeed, deleting crp in evolved clones sampled after 2000 generations had a much more drastic effect on growth rates and global transcription profiles than in the ancestor.

As we have the genome sequences of the evolved clones, all beneficial mutations substituted during the first 2000 generations are known. By moving each of these mutations from population Ara-1 with the crp deletion in the ancestor, we were able to identify which one interfered with the crp deletion. We found that the crp deletion had a more drastic effect both on growth rates and global transcription profiles when the level of DNA supercoiling was modified by one of the beneficial mutations. These results are currently being written as a manuscript.

---

**Figure 3** – Representation of a GN2 Biolog plate containing 95 carbon sources.

**Table 1** – Growth abilities of bacterial strains with (crp⁺) and without (crp⁻) crp in alternative environments. The number of environments allowing growth of each strain is given.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ancestor</th>
<th>Ara-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>606</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biolog plate</strong> (95 carbon sources)</td>
<td><strong>crp⁺</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>crp⁻</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3. Section 3: Robustness at the genome level in both TEV and E. coli experimental models

2.3.1. Robustness at the genome level in the TEV experimental model

A different way of attaining mutational robustness is by evolving genomic architectures that are robust against the perturbation effect of mutations, including large genomes with duplicated genes (Krakauer & Plotkin 2002). Such redundancy-based robustness will operate at the individual level, in contraposition to what was described in Task 1.1. Section 1 above. The mutational robustness of the 11 TEV strains engineered for Deliverable 1.1 was evaluated using the experimental protocol also described in Deliverable 1.1. In short, we have developed a method based in chemical mutagenesis with HNO$_2$ and evaluation of the infectivity of mutagenized genomes. The logic of this assay is as follows: the more robust a genotype, the less affected would it be by the treatment with HNO$_2$. Infected tissue was collected and analyzed after 5, 6 and 7 days post-inoculation (dpi). As an example, Figure 4 summarizes the results of the method for the wildtype TEV and four of the engineered strains (TEV-alkB, TEV-2NIb2, TEV-2b and TEV-eGFP). TEV-alkB and TEV-2b genomes encode for additional functional genes: the alkB domain involved in removing alkylation damage from RNA and the 2b suppressor of RNA silencing from Cucumber mosaic virus. These two genes clearly provide a fitness benefit for the virus, either in terms of reduced mutations or in terms of interfering with the host defenses. In addition, carrying the 2b gene adds functional redundancy (i.e., a second suppressor of RNA silencing in addition to the normal one HC-Pro) without adding genetic redundancy. TEV-eGFP encodes for an additional gene, the eGFP which does not provide any fitness benefit to the virus. Finally, TEV-2NIb2 carries a duplication of the viral replicase gene NIb cloned in the second proteolytic position of the genome. This duplication generates both genetic and functional redundancy. In all cases, mutagenic treatments lapsed between 1 and 3.5 h, and the infectivity of each virus was evaluated by inoculating batches of 4-week old N. tabacum plants. Control infections with non-mutagenized viruses were done in all cases (solid dots). The difference between the areas under the control and treatment curves was taken as a measure of robustness and plotted in Figure 5. Statistically significant differences in robustness exists between genomic architectures, being the wildtype virus the most robust one and TEV-alkB the less robust one (1-way ANOVA, $P < 0.01$).

From these experiments we conclude that the wildtype genome architecture of TEV is more robust to mutational effects than any engineered architecture we created.
Figure 4 – Response curves to mutagenic treatments on some of the different genomic organizations of TEV. Mutagenic conditions with HNO$_2$ consisted in pH = 5.4 and 26 ºC for the number of hours indicated in the abscissa of each graph.

Figure 5 – Effect of mutagenesis treatment. The smaller the effect, the more robust the viral genomic architecture.
2.3.2. Robustness at the genome level in the *E. coli* experimental model

This deliverable included the characterization of all large chromosomal rearrangements in the 12 populations of the LTEE and the investigation of the effect of duplications and inversions on fitness and global transcription profiles (D1.2, due at M20 and finished at M12).

We found more than 100 large chromosomal rearrangements (deletions, duplications, amplifications, inversions) in all 12 populations after 40,000 generations. The fitness effects of some rearrangements were deduced from their level of parallelism (i.e. whether they occurred several times independently within different populations). These results have been published (Raeside *et al.* 2014).

Since we finished this deliverable well in advance, we performed additional experiments to investigate the effect of increased mutation rates on the robustness of the genomes during evolution. This has been performed and finished between M12 and M20. Six of the twelve populations evolved a hypermutator phenotype during evolution, thereby revealing an increased mutation rate owing to defects in DNA repair. While this is known to increase the rate of beneficial mutations, it also increases the genetic load (the deleterious mutation rate). Using one of the hypermutator population as a model, we showed that the mutation rate increase had a large impact on genome evolution. Indeed, we found deleterious mutations that were substituted due to the increased mutation rates. We showed that these deleterious effects were compensated by the activity of RNA chaperones and this was the first proof of this buffering effect of RNA chaperones. These results have been published (Rudan *et al.* 2015).

3. Conclusion

As stated in the introduction, robustness can be achieved at different levels. From the results presented here we can draw some general conclusions, first at the population level our experiments with the TEV model have shown that:

- Population size had a net significant effect of the evolution of TEV robustness, with populations evolved under a large effective population size regime being more robust, on average, than populations evolved in the small effective population size.
- Mutation rate has a highly significant effect only in combination with the effective population size in the evolution of TEV robustness.
- Hence, the predictions of the Krakauer & Plotkin (2002) model for the evolution of population-level robustness were partially fulfilled for TEV: we failed to observe the predicted synergistic effect of mutation rate as large populations evolved at high mutation rates were not more robust than their counterparts evolved at standard mutation rate.

Then, at the regulation level our experiments on *E. coli* have shown that:

- Perturbing the regulatory network of crp drastically reduced the catabolic breadth of *E. coli*. This effect was larger in the minimal glucose medium in which the evolution of the 12 populations of the LTEE occurred.
- Evolution therefore strongly selected a particular structure of the regulatory network. Disturbing this structure resulted in lower robustness of the evolved clones specifically in the evolution environment.
The physiological effect in the evolution environment of perturbing the crp network was larger in the evolved clones than in their ancestor. We identified the mutations responsible for this larger effect and showed that they affected the level of DNA supercoiling. A link between catabolic repression and DNA topology is therefore responsible for maintaining robustness at the level of regulatory networks in E. coli.

Finally, when robustness is achieved at the genome level, we can conclude that:

- The wildtype genome architecture of TEV is more robust to mutational effects than any alternative architecture that we have created in the laboratory.
- Adding functional redundancy does not pay off for the cost of replicating the additional genetic material inserted, at least in the case of RNA virus for which selection for fast replication is strong.
- The large chromosomal rearrangements that have been identified during evolution of the 12 populations of the LTEE heavily restructured the chromosome but had no dramatic effect on robustness. They even in some cases increased the fitness of the evolved bacterial clones.
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