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ABSTRACT

This paper introduces the use of representations based on non-negative matrix factorization (NMF) to train deep neural networks with applications to environmental sound classification. Deep learning systems for sound classification usually rely on the network to learn meaningful representations from spectrograms or hand-crafted features. Instead, we introduce a NMF-based feature learning stage before training deep networks, whose usefulness is highlighted in this paper, especially for multi-source acoustic environments such as sound scenes. We rely on two established unsupervised and supervised NMF techniques to learn better input representations for deep neural networks. This will allow us, with simple architectures, to reach competitive performance with more complex systems such as convolutional networks for acoustic scene classification. The proposed systems outperform neural networks trained on time-frequency representations on two acoustic scene classification datasets as well as the best systems from the 2016 DCASE challenge.

Index Terms— Nonnegative Matrix Factorization, Deep Neural Networks, Sound Classification

1. INTRODUCTION

The analysis and classification of sound scenes and events is a rapidly growing area of research. The potential applications, the organization of international challenges [1] and the frequent release of new datasets [2] all contribute to its increasing success. As for many other sound classification tasks, deep learning is becoming state-of-the-art on an increasing number of sound scene analysis datasets [3, 4]. However, the somewhat limited size of the majority of datasets and the specific challenges of the task contribute to the interest for non-deep learning-based feature learning techniques. Indeed, there are still many datasets where well designed matrix factorization systems for feature learning can compete with the best neural network systems [5].

In this paper we propose to focus on the acoustic scene classification (ASC) [6]. The main goal of an ASC system is to automatically detect, from recorded soundscapes, the type of location in which the scene takes place, such as a street, in a car or a park. Enabling systems to be aware of their acoustic surroundings has many possible applications such as robotic navigation [7] or surveillance [8]. The first popular way to approach ASC is with feature engineering by building or choosing hand-crafted features adapted to the task. For example, hand-crafted features for ASC are inspired from speech processing with mel frequency cepstral coefficients [9] or from image processing with histogram of oriented gradients [10]. The second important trend in ASC is to use feature learning to automatically learn better representations. Some of the most successful feature learning techniques are based on unsupervised or supervised nonnegative matrix factorization (NMF) variants [11, 12, 13]. Finally, ASC is nowadays mainly being addressed with deep neural network-based techniques. Good performance can be obtained with simple feed-forward deep neural networks (DNN) [14, 15] but we can also find successful works based on convolutional neural networks (CNN) [16] and recurrent neural networks (RNN) [17]. While NMF-based feature learning variants using logistic regression as a classifier have recently shown to outperform DNN directly on time-frequency representations [12], in this paper we propose to take advantage of two well-established approaches to ASC by using NMF-based feature learning techniques to learn better input representations for DNN. The usual approach to sound classification with deep learning is to count on the intermediate layers of the network to extract meaningful information for classification from time-frequency representations or even waveforms [18]. However in ASC, it has been showed that NMF-based feature learning can be competitive with deep learning techniques even when using linear classifiers [13]. Therefore, one can expect that decomposing time-frequency representa-
tions with NMF could better fill the role of the first layer of the network by providing suitable features for the task. In this case, NMF decompositions will be trained separately either using the original unsupervised decompositions [19] or with task-driven NMF (TNMF), a supervised variant of NMF [12]. We evaluate the proposed systems on two of the most used ASC datasets, the DCASE 2016 and 2017 datasets. We will show that training simple DNNs on NMF representations outperforms more complex networks trained on time-frequency representations. Moreover, we obtain state-of-the-art results on the DCASE 2016 challenge set by training networks from TNMF-based representations.

The paper is organized as follows. The motivations and descriptions of our NMF-DNN systems are introduced in Section 2. Experimental results are presented in Section 3. Finally, conclusions and directions for future work are exposed in Section 4.

2. NMF DNN SYSTEM

2.1. Representations for ASC

An ASC system is built to predict scene labels from rather long recordings, from 10 seconds up to a few minutes. In this context, training classification models directly from waveforms can be inefficient [18]. Therefore, the first step of an ASC system is either to compute a time-frequency representation or hand-crafted features in order to work with more compact and interpretable data. Time frequency representations are mostly used as inputs of matrix factorization or CNN systems [12, 13, 16]. They are usually based on perceptually motivated time-frequency representations with log-scaled frequency bands such as constant-Q transforms (CQT) or Mel spectrograms.

In the remainder of the paper we refer to fully connected feed-forward neural networks as DNN. When training DNN models for ASC, the dominant approach is still to use hand-crafted features as input of the networks [14, 15]. Such features, often inspired from other tasks, are built to capture specific aspects of the data. Therefore, they limit by design the information DNN models can learn from such inputs. In that case, the networks mostly play the role of a classifier limiting the potential gains from depth or more advanced architectures.

2.2. NMF and TNMF

Suppose we have a nonnegative data matrix \( \mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{F \times N} \) such as a time-frequency representation of an audio recording, where \( F \) is the number of frequency bands and \( N \) is the number of time frames. The goal of NMF [19] is to find a decomposition that approximates the data matrix \( \mathbf{V} \) such as: 
\[
\mathbf{V} \approx \mathbf{W} \mathbf{H}, \text{ with } \mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{F \times K} \text{ and } \mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{K \times N}.
\]

NMF is obtained solving the following optimization problem:

\[
\min \ D(\mathbf{V} | \mathbf{W} \mathbf{H}) \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{H} \geq 0
\]  

where \( D \) is a separable divergence and \( K \) is the number of components in the decomposition. In this paper \( D \) is chosen to be the Euclidean distance. The use of other divergences have not shown to provide any notable increase in performance for the task [12] while augmenting the computation time.

Supervised NMF models have been applied to ASC with the goal of taking into account the knowledge about the class labels in order to learn better decompositions [12, 13, 20]. We choose to use the Task-driven NMF (TNMF) formulation [12], a supervised NMF approach adapted from the Task-driven dictionary learning framework [21]. TNMF learns discriminative nonnegative dictionaries by jointly optimizing the NMF and a logistic regression classifier. TNMF can be seen as a DNN with 1 layer acting as a nonnegative projection going into a classification layer with softmax activation.

For both models, once the nonnegative dictionaries are learned from the training data, the data is projected on the dictionaries and the obtained activation matrix contains the features used for classification.

2.3. Motivations

As stated previously, deep learning models have been trained with a wide variety of input representations for ASC, from spectrograms [22] to various cepstral features [15, 23]. Despite the relative success of nonnegative representations for the task, their potential to improve neural networks performance has rarely been explored. We believe that NMF-based feature learning is particularly well-suited to train DNN models for ASC.

Firstly, nonnegative decompositions of time-frequency representations can provide flexible and interpretable features to classify sound scenes. Indeed, feature learning techniques have the advantage of adapting to the data and task at hand. Moreover, the interpretability of the decomposition is mainly due to the nonnegative constraints in NMF. Acoustic scenes are multi-source environments containing a wide variety of different acoustic events. It is by identifying the occurrences of characteristic events that a human can recognize certain environments. Applying NMF decompositions to time-frequency representations for ASC can be interpreted as building a dictionary containing nonnegative frequency representations of basis events. The usefulness of NMF to address certain difficulties of the task has been confirmed as they have been shown to outperform most hand-crafted features. Moreover, it is often easier to train efficient DNNs from time frequency representations than from waveforms. In the same way, we believe that learning networks from NMF-based features could be even simpler.
Secondly, the unsupervised NMF feature learning stage acts as a first pre-trained layer of the network. Here, NMF plays a similar role to established layer-wise pre-training techniques [24, 25], with the goal of augmenting the generalization power of the network by training its weights according to a data reconstruction loss (mean squared error). Just as a regular fully-connected layer, NMF has a matrix of weights \( W \) (the dictionary) and the nonnegative projection on those weights corresponds to the output of the layer’s activations (after a ReLU or softplus activation function). The most common activation function in DNN is the ReLU activation which provides sparse nonnegative representations. Capitalizing on this and contrary to conventional usage of neural networks, the weights here are constrained to be nonnegative and the activation function is the solution of a nonnegative sparse coding problem. In our case, we fix the first layer as nonnegative projections on a dictionary learned by solving the unsupervised problem equation (1). Other works in this direction also proposed to build simple auto-encoders that mimic the behavior of NMF decompositions [26] or to unfold the multiplicative update algorithm of NMF in order to build a deep NMF model [27].

2.4. Classifiers

Mostly due to the nature of the task and the size of the datasets, more complex neural networks such as CNN and RNN have not yet become dominant in ASC, unlike in other sound classification tasks. Indeed, good performance can be obtained with simple DNNs trained on appropriate representations [14]. Moreover, NMF-based systems using logistic regression (LR) as a classifier has proven to provide competitive performances with deeper architectures trained on time frequency representations. In this work, we use standard architectures for the system to keep the focus on the input representations. Both the NMF and time-frequency representations will be considered as input to simple classifiers, e.g. with simple multi-layer perceptrons with fully connected layers (DNN).

3. EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION

3.1. Datasets

We use the 2016 and 2017 versions of the DCASE challenge datasets for acoustic scene classification [1]. They respectively contain 10 and 13 hours of urban audio scenes recorded with binaural microphones in 15 different environments. We use the same 4 training-test splits provided by the challenge, where 25% of the examples are kept for testing. The 2016 and 2017 datasets have all labels and some recordings in common, the main difference is the length of the recordings to classify: 30-s long recordings for the DCASE 2016 and 10-s recordings for the 2017 version. Finally, we also exploit the separate challenge subset for the DCASE 2016 dataset, used to rank the submitted systems, which contains 390 scene recordings of 30 seconds. In that case, our systems are trained on the full DCASE 2016 dataset and tested on the challenge subset.

3.2. Experimental setup

**Time-frequency representations:** We take advantage of previous works on NMF for ASC to choose an appropriate time-frequency representation [28]. We extract Constant-Q transforms with 24 bands per octave from 5 to 22050 Hz and with 30-ms non-overlapping windows using YAAFE [29]. The time frequency representations are then averaged by slices of 1 second resulting in 30 or 10 vectors per example for the 2016 and 2017 dataset respectively. After concatenating all the averaged slices for each example to build the data matrix, we apply a square root compression to the data and scale each feature dimension to unit variance.

**NMF setting:** For the unsupervised NMF systems, we learn nonnegative dictionaries for each fold by solving the optimization problem in equation (1) on the training set. We perform NMF on 10 different random initializations and keep the dictionary that provided the lowest reconstruction cost. The same dictionaries will be used as initializations of TNMF and to obtain the projections used as input of the DNN-NMF systems. We use the GPU implementation of multiplicative update rules presented by [30].

**TNMF setting:** For TNMF, we keep the same parameters as for one of the challenge submissions [28]. The gradient step is set to 0.001, the classifier regularization to 0.1 and the sparsity to 0.2. We stop the learning after 6 iterations and keep the dictionaries to initialize some of the networks. The TNMF model is trained with logistic regression (TNMF-LR). Once the model is trained, the dictionaries are fixed and used to compute the input representation for the TNMF-DNN system.

**DNN setting:** We use simple feed-forward fully-connected layers with Rectified linear unit activations (ReLU) [31]. Dropout with probability of 0.2 is applied to each hidden layer [32]. The output layer has a softmax activation and the cost function is the categorical cross-entropy. Such architectures have proven to be sufficient to build good ASC systems [15, 14]. In the training stage, each averaged slice, is considered as a separate data point. During testing we perform late fusion by averaging the outputs of the network for each slice coming from the same example in order to take a decision on

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dcase 2016</th>
<th>Dcase 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Layers</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Units</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** Best number of hidden layers and units per layer with CQT and NMF representations for both datasets.
The full recording.

**DNN parameter search:** We perform a parameter search to find the best number of layers and units for both possible input presentations and datasets. To do so, we create a development set from each of the 4 training sets and keep the parameters that give the best average results over all development sets. The number of hidden layers is chosen in {0, 1, 2, 3} and the number of hidden units in {128, 256, 512, 1024}.

Once the best parameters are found, the system is trained on the full training set, including the previously extracted development set. The best values for each setting can be found in Table 1. The networks are trained with Keras [33] on Tesla K80 GPUs using stochastic gradient descent on 100 epochs with the default settings. Changing the training algorithm or its parameters have not provided any notable increase in performance.

### 3.3. Results

#### 3.3.1. Classifying NMF representations

We start by presenting the accuracy percentage results for the NMF-based systems in Table 2. First, the performance of unsupervised NMF-based systems confirmed the usefulness of DNN to classify such nonnegative representations. In fact, on both datasets, neural networks can provide more than 3% accuracy improvements compared to logistic regression. Then, the NMF-DNN allows us to get slightly improved performance compared to the TNMF-LR, which suggests interpreting unsupervised features with DNN can be a viable alternative to more complex supervised matrix factorization techniques. Finally, we can benefit from the supervised dictionaries learned with TNMF to further improve the performance of the neural networks. As for logistic regression, using more discriminative representations from TNMF lowers the number of components required to get performance that is similar to the unsupervised systems.

#### 3.3.2. Comparing to time-frequency representations

We compare in Table 3 the best proposed NMF-DNN systems to similar networks using the CQT representation directly as input. We also include the results for each dataset baseline systems [1]. They both are based on Mel energy representations classified with Gaussian mixture models for the 2016 dataset and DNN for the 2017 dataset. We also propose to directly classify the CQT representation with logistic regression in order to show the quality of this time-frequency representation for the task as it largely outperforms the baseline systems. The first interesting result to note is the similar performance of NMLF-LR and CQT-DNN systems. Indeed, this shows that NMF can fill the role of the first layer of the network to learn suitable representations. Both starting from the CQT, unsupervised NMF feature learning with logistic regression and CQT-DNN have less than 1% accuracy differences. The second important result is the comparison of CQT and NMF representations as inputs of DNN. In fact, learning deep neural networks from NMF features reaches up to 3.6% accuracy improvement over the CQT input. Finally it is also interesting to note that, on both datasets, DNN with NMF as input requires one less hidden layer as well as less units for the DCASE 2017 dataset, as presented in Table 1. This goes in the direction of interpreting NMF as a first layer of the network discussed in Section 2.2 by being better at performing similar tasks. It confirms the intuition that NMF can better fill the role of intermediate representation learning of the first layer in a standard DNN.

#### 3.3.3. Results on the Dcase 2016 challenge set

Finally we compare in Table 4 the proposed systems to several of the best performing submissions to the DCASE 2016 challenge dataset, including the best ranked system [22]. We have kept the same network architectures and parameters as for the DCASE 2016 development dataset to compute the results on the challenge set. The proposed CQT-DNN already reaches recognition results similar to the best CNN system introduced.
by [16]. It further confirms that simple DNN architectures can be sufficient to deal with ASC. Moreover, as previously, using the NMF-DNN and TNMF-DNN systems is confirmed to outperform the CQT-DNN systems. The advantages of training the representations with TNMF is even clearer in this setting as it allows for a 2%-accuracy improvement. Moreover it also slightly improves performance compared the best ranked submission on this dataset [22]. It is also important to note that most of the best ranked systems rely on some form of fusion between different classifiers. Instead we have proposed a simpler but competitive system by making choices adapted to the task at hand. Further improvement can than be expected by considering fusion paradigms.

4. CONCLUSION

In this work, we have proposed simple neural network-based systems to classify nonnegative representations for acoustic scene classification. We have discussed the benefits of using NMF-based features as input of DNN models instead of time-frequency representations or hand-crafted features. An experimental evaluation on two standard ASC datasets highlighted the usefulness of NMF to build more efficient and better performing ASC systems. Relying on supervised NMF representations from TNMF allowed us to outperform previous state-of-the-art methods, including DNN and CNN fusion based methods, resulting in the best performance on the DCASE 2016 challenge dataset. Interesting perspectives for future work could include studying in what respect the size of datasets has an influence on the performance of NMF-based systems as well as if the NMF representation can be jointly learned with the networks.
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