Effect of tip clearance and rotor–stator axial gap on the efficiency of a multistage compressor

Syed Noman Danish, Shafiq Rehman Qureshi, Malik Muhammad Imran, Salah Ud-Din Khan, Muhammad Mansoor Mansoor Sarfraz, Abdelrahman El-Leathy, Hany Al-Ansary, Mingshan Wei

► To cite this version:

Syed Noman Danish, Shafiq Rehman Qureshi, Malik Muhammad Imran, Salah Ud-Din Khan, Muhammad Mansoor Mansoor Sarfraz, et al.. Effect of tip clearance and rotor–stator axial gap on the efficiency of a multistage compressor. Applied Thermal Engineering, 2016, 99, pp.988-995. 10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2016.01.132 . hal-01574153

HAL Id: hal-01574153

<https://hal.science/hal-01574153>

Submitted on 11 Aug 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution| 4.0 International License

Effect of tip clearance and rotor–stator axial gap on the efficiency of a multistage compressor

Syed Noman Danish, Shafiq Rehman Qureshi, Malik Muhammad Imran,
Salah Ud-Din Khan, Muhammad Mansoor Sarfraz, Abdelrahman El-Leathy,
Hany Al-Ansary, Mingshan Wei

Sustainable Energy Technologies Center, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

National University of Sciences and Technology, PNS Jauhar, Karachi, Pakistan

Department of Mechanical Engineering, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

Mechanical Power Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, El-Mataria, Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt

School of Mechanical Engineering, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, China

Multistage compressor is the most important constituent of gas turbines used in land, naval and aeronautical applications. Overall performance of such machinery depends mainly on the axial compressor performance. Due to the relative motion between rotor and stator blades, the flow field in this machinery is highly unsteady. Furthermore several technological effects like tip clearances, complexity of the blade shapes, variation of axial distance between stator and rotor, seal leakages and cooling holes among others complicate the machine. Therefore the study of a complicated, strongly three-dimensional flow field inside a compressor is considered to be one of the most difficult tasks to be performed by a CFD expert. The present work is the extensive numerical study of the effect of: (1) tip clearance of rotor blades and (2) the axial gap between rotor and stator on the overall performance of a multistage axial compressor. A commercial software package is used for this study. Reynolds-averaged Navier–Stokes equations are solved using Spalart–Allmaras model. A number of steady-state viscous flow simulations were run for both the tip clearance effect and different axial gaps between stator and rotor. All simulations were performed for the first stage, i.e. Stator–Rotor–Stator. Simulations were carried out with coarse, medium and fine meshes to find an optimum, mesh-independent solution. It has been found that larger tip clearance has a detrimental effect on the stage pressure ratio and efficiency of a multistage axial compressor. Similarly there exists a certain distance ratio between the stator1–rotor and rotor–stator2, where stage performance is optimum. Overall performance characteristics obtained through simulation for both the tip clearance and axial gap variation were also compared with the experimental studies and found to be in good agreement.

1. Introduction

Apart from various industrial applications, the multistage axial compressor is the most important constituent of all gas turbine

engines for land and aeronautical applications. Multi-stage axial-flow compressors are normally used in high volumetric size applications, such as gas turbine engines. Overall performance of such machinery depends mainly on the axial compressor performance. Hence optimization of compressor design using different CFD tools has a great importance.

An axial compressor, like any other turbomachinery, is composed of several parts including stator/rotor blades, hub and shroud

assemblies. Due to the relative motion between rotor and stator blades, the flow field in this machinery is highly unsteady. Furthermore several technological effects like tip clearances, complexity of the blade shapes, variation of axial distance between stator and rotor, seal leakages and cooling holes among others complicate the machine. Therefore the study of a complicated, strongly three-dimensional flow field inside a compressor is considered to be one of the most difficult tasks to be performed by a CFD expert. However, it is important to know the characteristics of flow field due to its impact on efficiency, stability and operational range of the machine.

A survey of literature shows that there are three major effects caused by tip clearance. These effects are: (i) secondary flow due to pressure gradients; (ii) leakage flow through tip clearance due to pressure difference; (iii) the boundary scrapping effect due to blades moving relative to wall boundary layer. Hesselgreaves [1] gave a correlation to predict the efficiency drop of axial flow turbomachines. The study showed that an increase in tip clearance will have two distinct effects, i.e. reduction in work output and a reduced efficiency due to loss in kinetic energy through tip leakage flow. Lakshminarayana [2] through his study on axial compressor concluded that for low and moderate tip gap/chord ratio, there is a slight increase in the average lift. A substantial decrease in lift will only occur for relatively very high tip gap/chord ratio. Engin et al. [3] gave a very unique observation in which they concluded that there is no general agreement in terms of influence factors for tip clearance and they vary from one machine to the other. Tip clearance flow can be characterized in two ways. First is the flow blockage [4] and the second effect is the thermal loss as mentioned by Storer and Cumpsty [5]. Turbomachines often operate with a tip clearance larger than the design value due to the change in operating conditions as well as manufacturing limitations. A number of studies on tip clearance flow have been carried out in the past 60 years. Research carried out by Smith and Cumpsty [6] have shown a 23% drop in pressure rise and a 15% increase in flow coefficient at stall conditions with an increase in tip clearance from 1% to 6% of blade chord. Ramakrishna and Govardhan [7] carried out numerical study to determine the effects of tip clearance in forward swept axial compressor rotors. Results showed that the increment in tip gap gradually affected the performance of the unswept blade while the effect was very high for the swept rotors. While carrying out study on high pressure compressor stage matching, Domercq and Escuret [8] and ZhengXian et al. [9] deduced that the tip clearance flows and its gap variation have a major impact on performance and stability of high pressure compressors. Tang et al. [10] predicted similar behaviour of loss in performance with an increase in tip clearance and they reported an optimum clearance of 6 μm for their specific design. Sakulkaew et al. [11] found that for clearances gap (0.8%–3.4% span), efficiency is deteriorated with an increase in clearance. It is less sensitive to tip clearance for gaps greater than 3.4%. Wei et al. [12] discussed the effects of contoured axisymmetric-casing on the blade tip-leakage flow.

Stator-rotor interactions are known to play a vital role in the overall performance of turbomachines. Furthermore, present day turbomachinery is being designed with the desire of minimizing blade-row spacing in order to reduce machinery length and weight and thus improved performance. Numerical study done by Deng et al. [13] explains how and why the tip leakage vortex trajectory of an axial compressor changes its shape with the change of gap size between the rotor and stator and its impact on rotor pressure rise characteristics. They found that the pressure rise increases monotonically with the decrease of upstream axial gap but no monotonic variation was observed with the change of downstream axial gap. Hence rotor performance was found to be more influenced by the upstream interaction than the downstream interaction. Experimental results from a four stage compressor achieved

by Smith [14] and another multistage axial compressor experiment published by Mikolajczak [15] demonstrate that reducing the axial gap between blade rows in multistage compressors increase the pressure ratio and efficiency. In both cases efficiency was increased by 1% when the blades' rows were moved from far spacing to close spacing. One of the conclusions made by Roy et al. [16], while presenting their work on swept blades for axial flow fan/compressor, is that the efficiency is highly influenced by the axial gap between the rotor and stator and it decreased significantly with the increase in axial gap. Yoon et al. [17] studied the effect of stator hub configuration on the aerodynamic performance. They investigated the leakage loss and concluded that the design of clearance/span of the axial compressor is vital in optimizing the performance.

Results shown by the above studies and further investigations done by many more authors generally suggested the improvement in efficiency with the decrease in the axial spacing between the blade rows of an axial flow compressor. In contrast to the above phenomenon, an experiment done by Gorrell et al. [18,19] to investigate the effect of stator-rotor-stator axial spacing on performance of axial compressor proved that because of the formation of chopped bow shock, the losses in addition to mixing loss are present when the blade rows are spaced close together. These extra losses are associated with the upstream stator wakes. Measured data of this study showed that the axial spacing between an upstream stator and downstream transonic rotor has a noteworthy effect on stage performance. Mass flow rate, pressure ratio and efficiency decreased as the axial spacing between the upstream stator and downstream rotor was reduced. Outlet flow field of the multistage axial compressor with bowed stator stages was measured by Huawei et al. [20] using five hole probe under near stall conditions when rotor-stator axial gap was reduced by 33%, 67% and 100% of the original gap. They found that the overall performance increases within the main flow region as the rotor-stator axial gap of the compressor is decreased. They further concluded that the streamlines at the outlet of the bowed stator stages change little as the axial gap decreases, indicating that bowed stator stages will reduce the losses in the flow passage more effectively at reduced rotor-stator axial gaps.

Literature survey reveals that the tip clearance and axial gap has a very visible effect on efficiency and stability of compressors. The present work is the extensive numerical study of the effect of (1) the tip clearance of rotor blades and (2) the axial gap between rotor and stator on the efficiency of a multistage axial compressor. A commercial software package especially designed for turbomachinery flow field analysis has been used for this study. Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes equations are solved using Spalart-Allmaras model for capturing turbulence. Air is used as a working fluid. A number of steady-state viscous flow simulations were run for both the tip clearance effect and also for different axial gap variations between stator and rotor. All simulations were performed for the first stage, i.e. stator-rotor-stator. Hub and shroud boundaries are kept unchanged. The simulations were run for many coarse and fine meshes in order to find an optimum, mesh-independent solution.

2. Computational methods

The conservative form of Navier-Stokes equations can be written in the following generic equation:

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial G}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial z} = J \quad (1)$$

where U, F, G, H and J are the column vectors given by:

$$U = \begin{Bmatrix} \rho \\ \rho u \\ \rho v \\ \rho w \\ \rho \left(e + \frac{V^2}{2} \right) \end{Bmatrix},$$

$$F = \begin{Bmatrix} \rho u \\ \rho u^2 + p - \tau_{xx} \\ \rho uv - \tau_{xy} \\ \rho wu - \tau_{xz} \\ \rho \left(e + \frac{V^2}{2} \right) u + pu - \dot{q}_x - u\tau_{xx} - v\tau_{xy} - w\tau_{xz} \end{Bmatrix},$$

$$G = \begin{Bmatrix} \rho v \\ \rho uv - \tau_{yx} \\ \rho v^2 + p - \tau_{yy} \\ \rho vw - \tau_{yz} \\ \rho \left(e + \frac{V^2}{2} \right) v + pv - \dot{q}_y - u\tau_{yx} - v\tau_{yy} - w\tau_{yz} \end{Bmatrix},$$

$$H = \begin{Bmatrix} \rho w \\ \rho uw - \tau_{zx} \\ \rho vw - \tau_{zy} \\ \rho w^2 + p - \tau_{zz} \\ \rho \left(e + \frac{V^2}{2} \right) w + pw - \dot{q}_z - u\tau_{zx} - v\tau_{zy} - w\tau_{zz} \end{Bmatrix},$$

$$J = \begin{Bmatrix} 0 \\ \rho f_x \\ \rho f_y \\ \rho f_z \\ \rho (uf_x + vf_y + wf_z) + \rho \dot{q} \end{Bmatrix}$$

where ρ is the density, u , v and w are the components of velocity in the x , y and z directions respectively, e is the internal energy per unit mass, V is the velocity, p is the pressure, k is the thermal conductivity, T is the temperature, f is the body force per unit mass and $\dot{q}$ is the rate of volumetric heat addition per unit mass. In these equations, μ is the molecular viscosity and λ_2 is the second viscosity coefficient.

Solving Eq. (1) for turbulence requires very large computational facility and time. Alternately, we can use a Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes (RANS) equation which requires lesser memory and time with little effect on accuracy of the solution. RANS can be obtained by decomposing the dependent variables in Eq. (1) into two components: a turbulent fluctuation part and a time averaged component. For example a quantity A can be decomposed as:

$$A = \bar{A} + A' \quad (2)$$

where $\bar{A}$ is called time averaged value and A' is the fluctuating part. Solving Eq. (1) using Eq. (2) reveals the following momentum equation with constant density [21]:

$$\rho \frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial t} + \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\bar{u}_i \bar{u}_j) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[\mu \left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial \bar{u}_j}{\partial x_i} \right) - \rho \overline{u_i' u_j'} \right] - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} \quad (3)$$

Eq. (3) is identical to Eq. (1) with the exception of $-\rho \overline{u_i' u_j'}$. This term is known as Reynolds-stress tensor

$$\tau_{ij} = -\rho \overline{u_i' u_j'} \quad (4)$$

To close the system of RANS equations, τ_{ij} needs to be modelled. Boussinesq's eddy-viscosity concept [21] was one of the earliest proposed solutions to model τ_{ij} , which gives the following relation:

$$\overline{u_i' u_j'} = \frac{2}{3} K \delta_{ij} - \nu_t \left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial \bar{u}_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \quad (5)$$

where K is the turbulent kinetic energy, δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta, and ν_t is the eddy viscosity. Eq. (5) indicates that the turbulent nature of the flow can be modelled through K and ν_t . These parameters are termed as closure hypothesis or Turbulent Models. Although several turbulent models [22–24] have been developed, however, Spalart–Allmaras model [25] has been used in the present study.

A software package, FINE™ [26], developed by NUMECA [27] has been used to undertake the present study. Fine™ consists of three modules: geometry and grid modeller IGG™ [28], flow solver EURANUS™ [29] and postprocessor CFView™ [30]. These three software systems have been integrated in a unique and user friendly GUI allowing complete simulations of 3D flow from the grid generation to the visualization.

Air as a real gas is assumed. Equation of state for a real gas is:

$$p = Z \rho r T = Z \rho \frac{R}{M} T \quad (6)$$

Here, ρ is the air density, r is the gas constant, T is the absolute temperature, R is the general gas constant, M is the molecular weight and Z is the compressibility factor.

Enthalpy is defined as:

$$h = \int_{T_0}^T c_p dT = e + \frac{p}{\rho} = \int_{T_0}^T c_v dT + ZrT \quad (7)$$

$$\Rightarrow Z = \frac{\left(\int_{T_0}^T (c_p - c_v) dT \right)}{rT} \quad (8)$$

It is very important in a numerical computation to place the first grid node off the wall within a certain range (y_{wall}) because the viscous flow is accompanied with high gradients near wall due to formation of boundary layer. Therefore,

$$y_1^+ = \frac{\rho u_\tau y_{wall}}{\mu} \quad (9)$$

where y_1^+ is the wall variable for the first node off the wall. This variable is actually the local Reynolds number. Based on the experimental data of Lindgren, the value of y_1^+ in the case of Spalart–Allmaras model (at low Reynolds number) ranges from 1 to 5.

u_τ is the friction velocity defined as:

$$u_\tau = \sqrt{\frac{\tau_{wall}}{\rho}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} V_{ref}^2 c_f} \quad (10)$$

where τ_{wall} is the wall shear stress and c_f is the coefficient of friction that can be related to Reynolds number using 1/7th velocity profile as:

$$c_f = \frac{0.0227}{Re_x^{1/2}} \quad (11)$$

An alternative way to estimate y_{wall} is to use the solution of Blasius equation with order terms neglected:

Fig. 1. Axial compressor under study [28].

$$y_{wall} = 6 \left(\frac{V_{ref}}{v} \right)^{\frac{7}{8}} \left(\frac{L_{ref}}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{8}} y_1^+ \quad (12)$$

So there are two methods to calculate y_{wall} . For the present study the y_{wall} is calculated from both the methods and it comes out to be about 0.003 mm. All the computational meshes presented have the same first node wall width of 0.003 mm.

All the blocks for rotor are kept rotating at the design rotational speed, whereas the blocks for stator are kept stationary. Since both rotor and stator blocks are moving relative to each other therefore a rotor–stator (R/S) boundary is set with Full Non Matching Frozen Rotor Approach. The concept of frozen rotor approach consists of neglecting the rotor movement in the connecting algorithm. For rotor, the Reynolds averaged Navier–Stokes equations are solved in a rotating frame of reference, whereas the equations for the stator are solved in absolute frame of reference. The two solutions are literally connected while ensuring the continuity of velocity components and pressure.

Time-marching scheme was used in the flow solver. CFL number of 3 is kept in all computations. Multigrid strategy was employed for efficient and fast convergence. Central scheme is used for spatial discretization. The temporal discretization scheme used for the computations was an explicit multi-stage Runge–Kutta scheme.

3. Geometry description

The study deals with a 1-1/2 axial compressor stage, which is a part of a 3-1/2 stage axial compressor as shown in Fig. 1. This compressor has been designed by a reputed industry to be utilized in a jet propulsion system. The geometry of the compressor was available and the same has been selected as a test case for the present study. Both stators (38 and 40 blades) and rotor (25 blades) are cylindrical and twisted. The rotor is unshrouded with a design tip clearance of 0.3 mm. During the subject study, it was varied from 0.003 mm to 2 mm to investigate the tip clearance effect on overall stage performance. Tip clearance for axial gap variation studies was kept fixed at 0.3 mm.

4. Grid generation

The mesh was created using NUMECA's IGG™ [28] and AutoGrid™ [31]. AutoGrid™ is an automatic meshing scheme used for turbomachinery configurations. The software automatically generates mesh of a turbomachinery and an optimal control of orthogonality and quality of mesh is provided. A 3D view of one

Fig. 2. 3D view of the stage indicating mesh.

of the stage meshes is shown in Fig. 2. The skin-topology includes 5 blocks as follows: The skin block is an O-mesh surrounding the blade. The inlet block is an H-mesh located upstream the leading edge. The outlet block is an H-mesh located downstream the trailing edge. The up block is an H-mesh located above the blade section. The down block is an H-mesh located under the blade section. Simulations were carried out with coarse, medium and fine meshes in order to find an optimum, mesh-independent solution, which obeys the criteria of y_1^+ . The numbers of grid points in the blade-to-blade topology were defined as: For row 1, the number of nodes was $21 \times 41 \times 17$. For row 2, the number of nodes was $21 \times 53 \times 17$. For row 3, the number of nodes was $17 \times 53 \times 41$.

5. Results and discussion

5.1. Grid sensitivity analysis

In order to achieve a mesh-independent solution, a number of simulations were run for coarse, medium and fine meshes. Table 1 provides the grid sensitivity analysis for one of the simulations which was done for tip clearance variation effects on axial compressor. It is observed that the percent error in stage total pressure ratio and efficiency is too small for medium level when compared with coarse and fine grid levels. Error values indicate that all three simulations are grid independent and any one of these three levels can be selected for the present study. Therefore, with a reasonable accuracy level and keeping in view the time required for complete simulation work, medium mesh level has been used for this study.

5.2. Comparison of CFD results with experimental data

A thorough experimental investigation of unsteady flow field of the axial compressor under present study has been carried out by Niehuis et al. [32] using pneumatic probes with high resolution in space. They have used miniaturized pitot and 3-hole pressure probes to investigate boundary layer both at hub and shroud. 5-hole pressure probes were applied to investigate flow phenomenon over the

Table 1
Grid sensitivity analysis.

Grid level	Grid points (million)	Pressure ratio (Π_c)	Efficiency (η)	% Error in Π_c	% Error in η
Coarse	0.73	1.3062	88.019	0.097	0.163
Medium	0.97	1.3074	87.940	0.092	0.090
Fine	1.22	1.3087	88.083	–	–

Table 2
Stage-1 experimental data.

Stage 1	Mass flow rate	Pressure ratio
DP	13.40	1.30
OP1	13.66	1.31
OP2	13.21	1.35
OP3	8.35	1.18
OP4	9.42	1.15

blade. Experimental results extracted from this study are appended in Table 2 for stage-1.

In Table 2, DP is the designed operating point for stage-1 of the selected axial compressor, and OP1, OP2 are the experimental operating points, very near to design speed. OP3 and OP4 are two operating points taken at 68% design speed, where results have been deduced by the experimental study.

Fig. 3 and Table 3 provide the comparison between numerical studies and experimental data. It indicates that the simulations are in good agreement with experimental results.

5.3. Effect of variation in tip clearance

Fig. 4 provides the stage total-to-total efficiency at various mass flow rates and tip gaps. The figure indicates that the stage efficiency increases as the tip gap decreases. Keeping in view both the efficiencies and the operating range, the tip gap of 0.1 mm seems to be the best choice. However it is practically difficult to maintain a gap of less than 0.3 mm. So at tip gap of 0.3 mm the efficiency looks maximum compared to higher gaps. At tip gap of 2 mm both the operating range and the efficiency were significantly reduced. At 0.7 mm tip gap, the operating range is the highest as compared to all tip gaps; however, the efficiency is lower than the tip gap range of 0.003–0.3 mm.

Fig. 5 provides the stage total-to-total pressure ratio at various mass flow rates and tip gaps. The figure indicates that the stage pressure ratio increases with the decrease in tip gap. Keeping in view

Fig. 3. Comparison of stage-1 numerical data with experimental results.

Table 3
Stage-1 results error analysis.

Stage 1	Mass flow rate (kg/s)	Numerical pressure ratio	Experimental pressure ratio	Error (%)
OP1	13.66	1.25	1.31	4.28
DP	13.40	1.29	1.30	1.16
OP2	13.21	1.30	1.35	3.36
OP4	9.42	1.13	1.15	1.47

Fig. 4. Stage-1 total-to-total efficiency vs outlet mass flow rate for different tip gaps.

both the pressure ratio and the operating range, the tip gap of 0.1 mm seems to be the best choice. At tip gap of 2 mm both the operating range and the pressure ratio were significantly reduced. At 0.7 mm tip gap, the operating range is the highest as compared to all tip gaps; however, the pressure ratio is lower than the tip gap range of 0.003–0.3 mm.

5.4. Effect of variation in axial gap

Based on experimental studies of Niehuis et al. [32], the designed axial gap between Stator 1 (IGV or S1) and rotor at shroud is 10.6 mm, whereas axial distance between rotor and stator 2 is 13.3 mm. This configuration has been simulated in the present study as a reference case and is denoted as standard case. Thereafter, axial distance between stator 1 and rotor was varied in four steps on either side (plus side and minus side) of the standard case, i.e. 2.5, 5.0, 7.5 and 10.0 mm. Similarly axial gap between rotor and stator 2 was also varied for four equal steps on either sides of standard case, i.e. 2.5, 5.0, 7.5 and 10.0 mm as described in Table 4. In this way a total of 144 cases have been studied to know the effect of blades' axial gap variation.

Fig. 6 shows the effect on stage total-to-total efficiency, when S2 is kept at designed gap with respect to R and distance between S1 and R is varied at eight different locations, as mentioned in Table 4. The figure indicates the increase in stage efficiency with a

Fig. 5. Stage-1 total-to-total pressure ratio vs outlet mass flow rate for different tip gaps.

Table 4

Description of cases for axial gap variation.

Gap increase (mm)	Axial gap between S1 and R (mm)	Axial gap between R and S2 (mm)
Designed gap	10.6	13.3
+2.5	13.1	10.8
+5.0	15.6	8.3
+7.5	18.1	5.8
+10.0	20.6	3.3
-2.5	8.10	15.8
-5	5.6	18.3
-7.5	3.1	20.8
-10	0.6	23.3

decrease in axial gap. Minimum axial gap (-10 mm) has maximum efficiency.

Fig. 7 shows the stage total-to-total efficiency, when S1 is kept at designed gap with respect to R and distance between R and S2 is varied at eight different locations. In this case all the graphs look to be bundled together. None of the gaps can be considered to give optimum result. So it can be concluded that this gap can be adjusted as per the design and manufacturing need as it does not affect the efficiency of the compressor.

Comparing Figs. 6 and 7, it is clear that the axial gap between S1 and R has some effect on overall stage efficiency as compared to the axial gap between R and S2.

Fig. 6. Stage-1 total-to-total efficiency vs axial gap between S1 and R. **Fig. 7.** Stage-1 total-to-total efficiency vs axial gap between R and S2. **Fig. 8.** Stage-1 total-to-total pressure ratio vs axial gap between S1 and R. **Fig. 9.** Stage-1 total-to-total pressure ratio vs axial gap between R and S2.

Fig. 8 shows the effect on stage total-to-total pressure ratio, when S2 is kept at designed gap and distance between S1 and R is varied at eight different locations. Again a slight improvement in the stage pressure ratio is observed as the axial gap is reduced. However the pressure ratio marginally increases at surge point and decreases at choke point with an increase in axial gap.

Fig. 9 shows the effect on stage total-to-total pressure ratio, when S1 is kept at designed gap and distance between R and S2 is varied at eight different locations. Similar to Fig. 7 all the curves are bundled together, indicating almost identical behaviour except some variations at surge and choke regions.

Comparing Figs. 8 and 9, it is clear that the axial gap between S1 and R has more effect on overall stage pressure ratios as compared to axial gap variation between R and S2.

6. Conclusions

This paper presents a numerical study on the effect of varying tip clearance of rotor blades and the axial gap between rotor and stator on the overall performance of a multistage axial compressor, utilizing a commercial software package for solving the Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes equations. The results of the present numerical simulations lead to the following main conclusions:

1. Simulation results are in good agreement with experimental data. A maximum of 4.28% error in stage total-to-total pressure ratio was found near surge point.
2. Modelling of Reynolds averaged Navier–Stokes equations is found to be a practicable mean to study the complex behaviour of various design parameters of an axial compressor.
3. Increase in tip clearance has detrimental effect on the overall performance of an axial compressor. For the studied 1-1/2 stage, no optimum tip clearance, other than zero tip clearance, has been found. Keeping in view the stage efficiencies, stage pressure ratio, as well as the operating range, the tip gap of 0.1 mm seems to be the best choice. However it is practically difficult to maintain a gap less than 0.3 mm. So at tip gap of 0.3 mm the performance looks optimum compared to higher gaps.
4. With fixed axial gap between R and S2, if the axial distance between S1 and R is decreased, it enhances the performance of the axial compressor. Whereas with fixed axial gap between S1 and R, if the axial distance between R and S2 is decreased, it does not affect the performance.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the Deanship of Scientific Research, Research Center of College of Engineering, King Saud University for providing financial support.

Nomenclature

A	Area (geometric or flow area) (m^2)
CFD	Computational fluid dynamics
cfm	Unit for volume flow rate (ft^3/min)
CFL	Courant–Friedrich–Levy
DP	Designed operating point of selected compressor (13.4 kg/s)
Ω	Rotor angular speed
c_p	Specific heat at constant pressure ($\text{kJ/kg}\cdot\text{K}$)
c_v	Specific heat at constant volume ($\text{kJ/kg}\cdot\text{K}$)
c_f	Coefficient of friction
d	Distance to closest wall in Spalart–Allmaras turbulence model (m)
F	Conservative fluxes
i, j, k	Unit vectors in the x, y and z directions respectively
IGV	Inlet guide vane, also mentioned as stator 1
k	Thermal conductivity ($\text{W/m}\cdot\text{K}$)
K	Turbulent kinetic energy (J)
m	Mass flow rate (kg/s)
M	Mach number
$OP1$	Operating point of the selected compressor very near to DP (at mass flow rate of 13.66 kg/s)
$OP2$	Operating point of the selected compressor very near to DP (at mass flow rate of 13.21 kg/s)
$OP3$	Operating point of the selected compressor at 68% designed speed (mass flow rate of 8.35 kg/s)
$OP4$	Operating point of the selected compressor at 68% designed speed (mass flow rate 9.42 kg/s)
p	Static pressure (Pa)
p_{ref}	Reference pressure (Pa)
r	Radius (m) or gas constant ($\text{J/kg}\cdot\text{K}$)
R	General gas constant ($\text{J/kg}\cdot\text{K}$)
Re	Reynolds number
s	Entropy ($\text{J/kg}\cdot\text{K}$)
S	Vorticity magnitude in Spalart–Allmaras turbulence model
$S1$	Stator 1, also mentioned as IGV
$S2$	Stator 2
ST	Source term
t	The physical time (s)
TC	Tip clearance gap in mm

T	Static temperature (K)
U	Solution vector
V	Volume
V_{ref}	Reference velocity (m/s)
V_t	Tangential velocity (m/s)
V_r	Radial velocity (m/s)
W	Relative gas velocity (m/s)
x, y, z	Cartesian coordinates
x_c	Axial clearance (mm)
y_c	Circumferential clearance (mm)
x_{ref}	Reference length (m)
y_{wall}	Wall distance (mm)
y_1^+	Wall variable for the first node off the wall
Z	Gas compressibility factor

Greek symbols

ρ	Density (kg/m^3)
η	Efficiency (%)
γ	Ratio of absolute air angle $\gamma = a \tan \frac{V_r}{V_t}$

References

- [1] J.E. Hesselgreaves, A correlation of tip clearance/efficiency measurements on mixed flow and axial flow turbomachines, in: Proceedings of 3rd Conference on Hydraulics Machinery, Budapest, Hungary, Sep 22–27, 1969.
- [2] B. Lakshminarayana, Leakage and secondary flows in compressor cascades (Dissertation), Liverpool University, Liverpool, United Kingdom, 1963.
- [3] T. Engin, M. Gur, R. Scholz, Effects of tip clearance and impeller geometry on the performance of semi-open ceramic centrifugal fan impellers at elevated temperatures, Exp. Therm. Fluid Sci. 30 (2006) 565–577.
- [4] S.A. Khalid, The effects of tip clearance on axial compressor pressure rise (Dissertation), MIT, Cambridge, United States, 1995.
- [5] J.A. Storer, N.A. Cumpsty, An approximate analysis and prediction method for tip clearance loss in axial compressors, J. Turbomach. 116 (1994) 648–656.
- [6] G.D.J. Smith, N.A. Cumpsty, Flow phenomena in compressor casing treatment, J. Eng. Gas Turbines Power 106 (1984) 532–541.
- [7] P.V. Ramakrishna, M. Govardhan, Stall characteristics and tip clearance effects in forward swept axial compressor rotors, J. Therm. Sci. 18 (2009) 40–47.
- [8] O. Dörmecq, J.-F. Escuret, Tip clearance effect on high-pressure compressor stage matching, Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. A J. Power Energy 221 (2007) 759–767.
- [9] L. ZhengXian, P. Yan, Z. Mehrdad, On the nature of tip clearance flow in subsonic centrifugal, Sci. China Technol. Sci. 56 (9) (2013) 2170–2177.
- [10] Y. Tang, C. Hung, Y. Chang, Performance improvements in low side scroll compressor with extended operation speeds, Appl. Therm. Eng. 31 (2011) 3542–3551.
- [11] S. Sakulkaew, C.S. Tan, E. Donahoo, C. Cornelius, M. Montgomery, Compressor efficiency variation with rotor tip gap from vanishing to large clearance, J. Turbomach. 135 (2013) 35–48.
- [12] Z. Wei, W. Qiao, P. Shi, P. Chen, L. Zhao, Tip-leakage flow loss reduction in a two-stage turbine using axisymmetric-casing contouring, Chin. J. Aeronaut. 27 (2014) 1111–1121.
- [13] X. Deng, H. Zhang, J. Chen, Unsteady tip clearance flow in a low speed axial compressor rotor with upstream and downstream stators, in: ASME Turbo Expo 2005: Power for Land, Sea, and Air, Nevada, USA, Jun 6–9, 2005.
- [14] L.H. Smith Jr., Casing boundary layers in multistage axial flow compressors, in: L.S. Dzung (Ed.), Proceedings of Symposium on Flow Research on Blading, Elsevier Publishing Company, 1970.
- [15] A.A. Mikolajczak, The practical importance of unsteady flow, AGARD-CP-177, Unsteady Flow Phenomenon in Turbomachinery, Paper 1, 1976.
- [16] B. Roy, M. Chouhan, P.M. Sivasdas, Aerodynamic studies on swept blades for axial flow fan/compressor, in: National Conf. on Aerospace Eng., Ranchi, India, 2003.
- [17] S. Yoon, R. Selmeier, P. Cargill, P. Wood, Effect of the stator hub configuration and stage design parameters on aerodynamic loss in axial compressors, J. Turbomach. 137 (2015) 091001.
- [18] S.E. Gorrell, T.H. Okiishi, W.W. Copenhaver, Stator-rotor interactions in a transonic compressor – part 1: effect of blade-row spacing on performance, ASME J. Turbomach. 125 (2) (2003) 328–335.
- [19] S.E. Gorrell, T.H. Okiishi, W.W. Copenhaver, Stator-rotor interactions in a transonic compressor – part 2: description of a loss-producing mechanism, ASME J. Turbomach. 125 (2003) 336–345.
- [20] L. Huawei, C. Fu, W. Jilin, W. Zhongqi, Flow field improvement by bowed stator stages in a compressor with different axial gaps under near stall condition, Chin. J. Aeronaut. 21 (2008) 215–222.
- [21] J.D. Anderson Jr., Computational Fluid Dynamics: The Basics with Applications, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1995.
- [22] B.S. Baldwin, H. Lomax, Thin layer approximation and algebraic model for separated turbulent flow, AIAA Paper No. 78-257, 1978.
- [23] P.R. Spalart, S.R. Allmaras, A one-equation turbulence model for aerodynamic flows, AIAA Paper No. 92-0439, 1992.

- [24] K.Y. Chien, Predictions of channel and boundary-layer flows with a low-Reynolds number turbulence model, *AIAA J.* 20 (1) (1982) 33–38.
- [25] B.E. Launder, B.I. Sharma, Application of the energy-dissipation model of turbulence to the calculation of flow near a spinning disc, *Lett. Heat Mass Transf.* 1 (1974) 131–138.
- [26] NUMECA International, FINE™/Turbo v7: flow integrated environment, User Manual, NUMECA International, Brussels, Belgium, 2006.
- [27] NUMECA International, FINE/TURBO. <www.numeca.com>, (accessed 14.02.16).
- [28] NUMECA International, IGG™ v5: interactive grid generator, User Manual, NUMECA International, Brussels, Belgium, 2006.
- [29] A. Rizzi, P. Eliasson, I. Lindblad, C. Hirsch, C. Lacor, J. Haeuser, The engineering of multiblock/multigrid software for Navier–Stokes flows on structured meshes, *Comput. Fluids* 22 (1993) 341–367.
- [30] NUMECA International, CFView™ v4: flow visualization and post-treatment, User Manual, NUMECA International, Brussels, Belgium, 2006.
- [31] NUMECA International, AutoGrid™ v5: automated grid generator for turbomachinery, User Manual, NUMECA International, Brussels, Belgium, 2006.
- [32] R. Niehuis, A. Bohne, A. Hoynacki, Experimental investigation of unsteady flow phenomena in a three stage axial compressor I, *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. A J. Power Energy* 217 (2003) 217–341.