



**HAL**  
open science

# Thermal degradation analysis of innovative PEKK-based carbon composites for high-temperature aeronautical components

P Tadini, N Grange, Khaled Chetehouna, Nicolas Gascoin, S Senave, I Reynaud

## ► To cite this version:

P Tadini, N Grange, Khaled Chetehouna, Nicolas Gascoin, S Senave, et al.. Thermal degradation analysis of innovative PEKK-based carbon composites for high-temperature aeronautical components. *Aerospace Science and Technology*, 2017, 65, pp.106 - 116. 10.1016/j.ast.2017.02.011 . hal-01570261

**HAL Id: hal-01570261**

**<https://hal.science/hal-01570261>**

Submitted on 17 Oct 2017

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/313902487>

# Thermal degradation analysis of innovative PEKK-based carbon composites for high-temperature aeronautical...

Article in *Aerospace Science and Technology* · February 2017

DOI: 10.1016/j.ast.2017.02.011

CITATIONS

0

READS

56

6 authors, including:



**Pietro Tadini**

Université d'Orléans

20 PUBLICATIONS 80 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



**Nathan Grange**

INSA Centre Val de Loire, bourges

3 PUBLICATIONS 1 CITATION

SEE PROFILE



**Khaled Chetehouna**

Institut National des Sciences Appliquées Centr...

37 PUBLICATIONS 49 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



**Nicolas Gascoin**

Institut National des Sciences Appliquées Centr...

107 PUBLICATIONS 495 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Porous Media characterization [View project](#)



SCRamjet propulsion [View project](#)

# Thermal degradation analysis of innovative PEKK-based carbon composites for high-temperature aeronautical components

Pietro Tadini<sup>a,1</sup>, N. Grange<sup>b,a,2</sup>, Khaled Chetehouna<sup>a,3</sup>, Nicolas Gascoin<sup>a,3</sup>, S. Senave<sup>b,4</sup>, Isabelle Reynaud<sup>b,4</sup>

<sup>a</sup>INSA-CVL, PRISME, Univ. Orléans, 18020 Bourges, France

<sup>b</sup>DAHER, 23 Route de Tours, 41400 Saint Julien de Chedon, France

---

## Abstract

Nowadays, composite materials find a large application in several engineering fields, spanning from automotive to aerospace sectors. In the latter, especially in aircraft civil transportation, severe fireproof requirements must be accomplished, taking into account that the second most frequent cause of fatal accidents involving airplanes, was the post-impact fire/smoke, as reported by the European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) in 2014. In the light of this, experimental research is of crucial importance in the understanding thermal behavior of composites for aircraft components, when exposed to high-temperature and fire conditions. In this context, a thermal degradation study is carried out for two carbon-reinforced resins: the well known thermosetting phenolic and a thermoplastic polyether-ketone-ketone (PEKK), recently developed specifically for this kind of application. The aim is to evaluate the PEKK behavior and to understand the impact of composite nature in terms of structural strength under fire. To this end, thermogravimetric analysis were performed for three different non-isothermal heating programs, between 30 and 1000 °C. Under inert atmosphere one single global reaction is observed for carbon-PEKK between 500-700

---

*Email address:* [pietro.tadini@insa-cvl.fr](mailto:pietro.tadini@insa-cvl.fr) (Pietro Tadini)

<sup>1</sup>Researcher

<sup>2</sup>PhD Candidate

<sup>3</sup>Professor

<sup>4</sup>Engineer

°C, while two for carbon-phenolic, whose pyrolysis begins around 200 °C. This better PEKK strengthening is attributed to the ether and ketone bonds between the three aromatic groups of the monomer. As expected, under oxidative atmosphere, the kinetic process becomes more complex, making more difficult the detecting of single-step reactions, especially for carbon-phenolic. Nevertheless, the oxidative process of carbon-PEKK seems to be driven by three consecutive global reactions. The activation energy is estimated by means of both integral (Starink) and differential (Friedman) isoconversional methods, as a function of the extent of conversion, corresponding to the identified reaction intervals. For carbon-PEKK in inert conditions, with Starink a mean value of  $207.71 \pm 6.57$  kJ/mol was estimated, while  $213.88 \pm 20.04$  kJ/mol with Friedman. This expected slight difference depends on the nature of the considered mathematical approaches. The difficulty in activation energy estimation for polymeric materials prefers the use of at least two different methods, allowing for the identification of an activation energy range, for a resin of which no data are available in the literature. For the decomposition model evaluation, the so called compensation effect method was implemented, as well as the single-step-based approach proposed by Friedman. The evaluation of a possible decomposition expression has been achieved only for carbon-PEKK under inert conditions, since the considered methods are valid and applicable only for well defined single-step reactions. In fact, the three reactions of the oxidative case cannot be considered as single-step processes. Moreover, the higher difference in the estimated activation energy between Starink and Friedman suggests to check the achieved results by implementing further isoconversional methods, to understand the most reliable for polymer-based carbon composites degradation analysis under oxidative atmosphere. However, the observed higher thermal performance of PEKK resin, attributed to its chemical structure, increases the interest toward its use as matrix for aerospace composite materials, that can be subjected to hazardous environments.

*Keywords:* aircraft composites, fire safety, thermal degradation, kinetic

analysis, advanced materials

---

## 1. Introduction

Nowadays, composite materials are widely employed in several engineering fields, spanning from automotive to aerospace sectors. In the latter, the need of mass reduction, high resistance to corrosion and, in the meantime, increase of structural performance make the use of composites extremely important, endorsing the research and the development of new materials [1]. For instance, approximately the 50% in mass of the Boeing 787 Dreamliner is made by carbon-reinforced composites; in this sense, another example is the Airbus A350-XWB with 52% in mass [2], as well as the Bombardier CSeries with a percentage of 47% [3]. Besides the high structural performance requirements, that must be satisfied in civil aerospace field, a high-level standard is also required for what concern the aviation safety. In 2014, according to the Annual Safety Review, edited by the European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA), the second most frequent cause of fatal accidents, involving airplanes, was the post-impact fire/smoke [4]. In the light of this, experimental research is of crucial importance to understand the thermal behavior of composites exposed to high-temperature and hazardous fire aggression, thus improving the ability to predict their thermo-structural behavior [5, 6], when employed as aeronautical system components, or, at industrial level, as fire barriers and other power-plant systems [7, 8]. Actual standards, such as the ISO 2685 [9] and the AC20-135, of US Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) [10], define very severe fireproof requirements, to simulate a realistic scenario of an in-service or post-impact fire event, for aircraft parts dedicated to firewall applications or located in potential fire zones. In fact, the composite components are subjected to temperatures up to 800 °C for long time, causing initially the decomposition of the material and then its combustion. Nevertheless, after a fire test, composite specimens still present a residual structural strength, which, on the contrary, is totally lost by classical aluminum alloys [11]. Such property represents strong advan-

tage in term of structural performance and fire safety, avoiding the need of  
30 high temperature resistance, but significantly heavier, metals [12]. However,  
due to their heterogeneity, the degradation process of composite materials re-  
sults very complex and characterized by the occurring of multi-step chemical  
reactions, char formation and physical phenomena, like delamination, which,  
modifying the geometrical structure, has a direct impact on the heat transfer  
35 in the solid material. The chemical decomposition of resin is the first step of  
the degradation; if one thinks about a composites flat panel, the surface side  
exposed to high-temperature conditions will release pyrolysis gases and pro-  
duce char, going to change the thermophysical properties, such as porosity and  
heat exchange, in the inner layer of the material [13]. The resin decomposition  
40 reduces also the grip between fibers, so allowing carbon sheets delamination,  
especially if the component is also subjected to mechanical stress. In the case of  
carbon-reinforced composites, the chemical behavior can strongly differ between  
different polymer resins. Indeed, the latter must be accurately selected, taking  
into account structural, aerodynamics and thermal requirements. Depending  
45 on the specific application, aircraft parts can be even marked out by complex  
design, thus increasing the need of high quality preliminary analysis. In this  
context, computational fluid dynamics (CFD) represents a useful approach for  
the comprehension of composite components degradation behavior, subjected to  
simulated fire conditions [14]. However, the computational study, besides flame  
50 and flow field characteristics, requires the knowledge of material properties, as  
well as its decomposition model. To this end, the considered materials must  
be characterized experimentally, typically with differential scanning calorime-  
try (DSC), flash pyrolysis and thermogravimetric analysis (TG) [15, 16, 17]. In  
particular, the latter, consisting in the mass loss measurement during a specific  
55 heating program inside a furnace, is able to highlight the main reactions that  
occur during the degradation process, providing an overall description of the  
kinetic mechanism [18]. With the achieved experimental data, the activation  
energy of a specific reaction can be estimated by means of model-free isoconver-  
sional methods, obtaining then a complete kinetic triplet, made by activation

60 energy, preexponential factor and reaction model [19]. In recent years, a large number of studies have been released to investigate the relationship between fire events and composites degradation kinetics [20, 21, 22, 23]. However, a lot is still to do due to the non-determinist process of fabrication of composite materials. Indeed, as suggested by the European Material and Modeling Council (an initiative of the European Commission grouping all stakeholders of the related communities), even metal materials present inclusion and local failure that make their behavior difficult to predict [24]. Composite materials are even 65 worst in terms of variability from one to another. Thus, this work aims to contribute to the ongoing global research, by dealing with the thermogravimetric analysis of two polymer resins, reinforced with carbon fibers: a thermosetting phenolic [25], widely used as thermal protection [26, 27, 28], here considered for comparison purpose with the less known thermoplastic polyether-ketone-ketone (PEKK), belonging to the family of polyaryletherketone (PAEK) known for its high-temperature stability [29]. The comprehension of PEKK behavior, as well 75 as the impact of composite nature, in terms of structural strength and thermal response under fire [30] might represent a key step for scientific and engineering community. The two materials have been tested in both oxidative and inert atmosphere, under three different non-isothermal heating programs. Such kind of experimental study can provide a preliminary comprehension of the degradation 80 mechanism of carbon-PEKK composite, useful in the perspective of degradation model implementation within a CFD code for fire conditions simulation. In Section 2, the materials and the experimental apparatus are described, whereas in Section 3 a synthetic overview on most commonly used isoconversional methods is proposed. Finally, in Section 4, the TG measurements and the results in 85 kinetic parameter estimation are presented, for both inert and oxidative cases, with the addition of scanning electron microscope (SEM) visualizations on the two materials before and after the inert TG experiments.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Materials

Two carbon-reinforced ( $7\mu\text{m}$  fiber dia) polymer resins are considered: a thermosetting phenolic and a thermoplastic PEKK. The former is a well known resin, typically employed as carbon-composites thermal protection, such as in rocket nozzles [26], as thermal shields for spacecraft atmospheric reentry [28] but even for components in automotive [31] and as fire barriers in naval ap-  
 90 plications [32]. The phenolic resin is produced by a polymerization reaction  
 95 between phenol  $C_6H_6OH$  (or carbolic acid) and formaldehyde, see Figure 1.




Figure 1: Phenol and formaldehyde link, result of phenolic polymerization reaction.

The former is an aromatic compound made by phenyl and a hydroxyl group (-OH), while the second is the well known organic compound  $CH_2O$ . A detailed description of the material and its polymerization process is available in [33, 34].  
 100 Phenolic belongs to the class of slow-ignite resins; when exposed to fire, its decomposition yield a high amount of char (up to 50% of the initial mass) and low amount of flammable volatiles. In addition, once ignited it is easy to be extinguished [31, 33]. Due to these properties, phenolic-based composites represent an excellent solution for thermal protection in high-temperature environments.

105 Polyether-ketone-ketone (PEKK) is a semi-crystalline thermoplastic material belonging to the family of polyaryletherketone (PAEK), known for their high mechanical performance and high temperature stability [35, 29, 36]. PEKK-based composites find their main use in aerospace applications [37, 36], especially for exterior structures and cabin interiors, due to their low flammability  
 110 and smoke emission when subjected to fire [38]. The PEKK monomer is characterized by three aromatic groups bonded together by ether ( $R-O-R'$ ) and

ketone ( $RC(=O)R'$ ) links, see Figure 2. More details on the PEKK structure and its synthesis are provided in [39, 40].




Figure 2: Polyether-ketone-ketone monomer schematic.

Similarly to phenolic, also PEKK yields a large amount of char ( $\sim 60\%$  of  
 115 initial mass) due to the high concentration of aromatic groups [33, 41], but, on  
 the contrary, it shows a significant higher thermal resistance (glass transition  
 and melting temperatures are  $T_g = 156\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  and  $T_m = 338\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  respectively [39]).  
 Because of this, PEKK-based composites are mainly use for components whose  
 priority is mechanical performance under high-temperature or fire conditions.

## 120 2.2. Measuring Apparatus

Thermogravimetric measures were performed with a SETARAM Setsys-  
 16/18 TG, both in oxidative (air) and inert (argon) conditions at atmospheric  
 pressure. This device consists of a vertical-type TG where the  $Al_2O_3$  crucible  
 is hung to the electronically controlled balance. All the experiments were car-  
 125 ried out with an argon and air flow rate of, respectively, 16.6 ml/min and 15.0  
 ml/min. In order to achieve a good compromise between experiments schedule  
 and accuracy of results, three non-isothermal heating rates were considered: 5,  
 15 and  $25\text{ }^\circ\text{C}/\text{min}$ . Once loaded the sample, a high argon flow rate cycle was  
 used for the purge of the whole setup, before the beginning of the heating phase,  
 130 at ambient temperature. The final temperature was fixed at  $1000\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , followed  
 by an isothermal phase of 10 minutes before the cooling phase.

For both phenolic and PEKK composites, the samples were prepared in  
 form of particulate, directly crashed from the virgin piece (1.5 mm thick plate).  
 This allowed an easy crucible loading and a uniform sample distribution on the

$\beta$ [ $^{\circ}C/min$ ]	Sample Masses [mg]			
	Carbon-phenolic		Carbon-PEKK	
	Argon	Air	Argon	Air
5	7.86	8.17	8.56	7.98
15	8.07	8.07	7.75	7.93
25	7.87	8.03	8.62	7.93

Table 1: Initial sample masses and heating rates used for thermogravimetric analysis.

135 crucible bottom. Masses between 7-9 mg were considered, in order to limit the temperature gradients in the sample and minimize temperature deviation with respect to the furnace. In addition, such mass range should assure a good distribution of the sample elements. In fact, composite materials are strongly heterogeneous, thus too small sample masses might present a poor amount of  
 140 some elements [18]. In Table 1 the initial masses of the samples for the TG experiments are reported, with regard to the heating rates.

### 3. Thermal Data Analysis

#### 3.1. Reaction Rate in Solids

The decomposition rate of solids is described, in the general form [19], as a  
 145 function of temperature  $T$ , pressure  $P$  and extent of conversion  $\alpha$

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dt} = K(T)f(\alpha)h(P) \quad (1)$$

where  $K(T)$  is the reaction rate,  $f(\alpha)$  is the reaction model and  $h(P)$  accounts for pressure dependence. The latter is generally ignored in the frame of thermogravimetric analysis, where  $h(P)$  is assumed constant, with no pressure influence of the kinetic process, if the gaseous products are efficiently removed  
 150 during the experiments [19]. On the other hand, the temperature dependence of the reaction rate  $K(T)$  is typically expressed by means of the Arrhenius equation [42, 19]

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dt} = A \exp\left(\frac{-E}{RT}\right) f(\alpha) \quad (2)$$

where  $A$  is the preexponential, or frequency, factor,  $E$  is the activation energy,  $T$  is the absolute temperature and  $R$  is the gas constant. In the case of thermogravimetric analysis,  $\alpha$  is defined as

$$\alpha = \frac{m(t) - m_0}{m_\infty - m_0} \quad (3)$$

where  $m$  is the mass at the time  $t$ ,  $m_0$  is the initial mass and  $m_\infty$  is the mass at the end of the thermal degradation process [43, 18] of the specific sample and heating rate. This mass value is identified by plotting the  $dm/dT$  data and observing the temperature at which the derivative drops back to zero. In order to account for non-isothermal heating programs, the reaction rate can be expressed as a function of temperature

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dT} = \frac{d\alpha}{dt} \frac{dt}{dT} \quad (4)$$

where  $dT/dt$  is the heating rate  $\beta$ , and substituted in Eq. 2, obtaining the non-isothermal differential rate law

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dT} = \frac{A}{\beta} \exp\left(\frac{-E}{RT}\right) f(\alpha) \quad (5)$$

By separating variables and integrating, one can obtain the integral form

$$g(\alpha) \equiv \int_0^\alpha \frac{d\alpha}{f(\alpha)} = \frac{A}{\beta} \int_0^T \exp\left(\frac{-E}{RT}\right) dT \quad (6)$$

in which the temperature integral has not an analytical solution and it should be solved by means of approximation functions or numerical integration [44].

### 3.2. Isoconversional Methods

An isoconversional method assumes that the reaction rate at a constant extent of conversion  $\alpha$  is only function of temperature [19]. This assumption allows for the estimation of the activation energy  $E$  without the need to consider a specific reaction model  $f(\alpha)$ . In practice, the temperature dependence of

the isoconversional rate is obtained by performing 3-5 runs at different heating rates [19, 44]; each extent of conversion  $\alpha_i$  corresponds to a temperature range  $\Delta T_i$  delimited within the heating rates considered. Thus, by means of different

175 computational methods, one can evaluate the activation energy,  $E_\alpha$ , as a function of the extent of conversion, detecting the occurring of single- or multi-step reactions. In fact, a negligible dependence of  $E_\alpha$  on  $\alpha$  is related to the presence of a dominant single-step reaction. On the contrary, the degradation process is characterized by a more complex kinetics, with multi-step reactions [19, 44].

180 This is the case of polymer-based composite materials, whose  $E$  curve shows typically different shoulders highlighting the complexity of the decomposition mechanism. In particular, the activation energy of polymers was observed to increase as the conversion proceeds, due to the increase of the residual material refractory level [19]. It is important to remember that, despite the identification

185 of a specific reaction model is not required for  $E_\alpha$  estimation, the conversion rate is assumed obeying to a  $f(\alpha)$  model. In any case, the latter is required for the evaluation of the preexponential factor, in order to describe the single-step reaction by a complete kinetic triplet (i.e.  $E$ ,  $A$ ,  $f(\alpha)$ ). Of course, one must consider different kinetic triplets to describe the reactions included in a

190 multi-step decomposition process.

Isoconversional methods are divided in two categories, differential and integral, depending on the type of experimental data. Among the first category, the most used is Friedman method [45], originally proposed for the study of polymeric materials and largely accepted by the scientific community, based on

195 the following expression, valid for non-isothermal heating programs

$$\ln \left[ \beta_i \left( \frac{d\alpha}{dT} \right)_{\alpha,i} \right] = \ln [f(\alpha)A_\alpha] - \frac{E_\alpha}{RT_\alpha}. \quad (7)$$

By means of Eq. 7, the activation energy  $E_\alpha$  is calculated as the slope of the linear regression of the differential experimental data plotted as  $\ln(\beta_j(d\alpha/dT)_i)$  on  $1/T_i$ , for all heating rates  $j$  and each  $\alpha_i$  [45, 19, 44].

On the other hand, integral methods apply the isoconversional principle to

Eq. 6, whose integral has not an analytical solution in relation to an arbitrary temperature program [46, 47, 19, 44]. Different approximation functions were proposed to replace the temperature integral, thus originating different isoconversional methods. As demonstrated by Starink [47], such methods can be represented by the following general linear expression

$$\ln \left( \frac{\beta_j}{T_{\alpha,i}^B} \right) = Const - C \left( \frac{E_\alpha}{RT_\alpha} \right) \quad (8)$$

where  $B$  and  $C$  are parameters that depend on the integral approximation considered. In Table 2, one can see the corresponding parameters for the most used methods, Ozawa-Flynn-Wall [46], Kissinger-Akahira-Sunose (KAS) and Starink [47]. In the latter, the parameters are optimized for the best accuracy on activation energy estimation. In general, the Ozawa-Flynn-Wall offers the lowest accuracy, since it is based on a very crude approximation.

Method	Approx	B	C
Ozawa-Flynn-Wall	Doyle	0	1.052
Kissinger-Akahira-Sunose (KAS)	Murray-White	2	1
Starink	Optimized Parameters	1.92	1.0008

Table 2: Parameters for the general integral isoconversional equation developed by Starink [46, 47, 19, 44]

Better results are achieved with KAS method and, in particular, with Starink parameters [19, 44]. Similarly to Friedman method, each  $E_{\alpha,i}$  corresponds to the slope of the linear regression applied on the integral experimental data plotted as  $\ln(\beta_j/T_{\alpha,i}^B)$  on  $1/T_i$ , for all beta  $j$ , as represented in Figure 3.

The need of an approximation function makes this kind of integral methods potentially less accurate than differential approaches. Nevertheless, small noise in TG mass measure is emphasized in derivative data  $dm/dt$ , requiring the need of smoothing, thus introducing a source of inaccuracy. Therefore, generally, integral methods are preferred for activation energy estimation and more recent approaches exploits the numerical integration of the temperature integral, in




Figure 3: Example of Arrhenius-type plot for activation energy estimation with Starink method [47]. The slope of linear regression on the experimental data couples (dots) of three different beta corresponds to  $E_{\alpha,i}$ .

order to go beyond the inaccuracy introduced by the use of an approximation function [19, 44]. For the purpose of this work, the classic Friedman and Starink methods were implemented and compared, in order to identify an estimation range for the activation energy.

### 225 3.3. Kinetic Triplet and Reaction Models

Once determined the activation energy, one must complete the reaction description by the evaluation of the preexponential factor  $A$  and reaction model  $f(\alpha)$ . A correct estimation of these parameters is possible when the degradation process can be described by a single-step dominant reaction. This happens  
 230 when  $E_{\alpha}$  does not significantly vary with the extent of conversion; typically accepted when the difference  $\Delta E_{\alpha}$ , between the maximum and minimum values, is within the 10% of the mean activation energy [44]. If this condition is accomplished, the kinetic triplet can be evaluated with the approach of invariant kinetic parameters or also called *compensation effect* method [19, 44]. By taking  
 235 the natural logarithm of Eq. 5 and fitting the curve with the experimental data of each  $\beta_j$ , it is possible to obtain a couple  $(\ln A_i, E_i)$  for each reaction model  $f(\alpha)_i$  considered. The different pairs calculated show a strong linear correlation

$$\ln A_i = a_j E_i + b_j \quad (9)$$

known as compensation effect, where  $a_j$  and  $b_j$  are parameters that depend on the heating rate. Then, if the correct model is not included, one can use the Eq. 9, for any  $\beta_j$ , to estimate the preexponential factor corresponding to the mean activation energy  $\bar{E}_\alpha$  determined with the isoconversional method. In fact, the heating rate does not affect the value of  $A_\alpha$ , despite negligible variations can be observed using different  $\beta_j$  [44]. Finally, the calculated values are used to reconstruct the reaction model, both integral and differential

$$g(\alpha) = \frac{A_\alpha}{\beta} \int_0^{T_\alpha} \exp\left(\frac{-\bar{E}_\alpha}{RT}\right) dT \quad (10)$$

$$f(\alpha) = \beta \left(\frac{d\alpha}{dT}\right)_\alpha \left[A_\alpha \exp\left(\frac{-\bar{E}_\alpha}{RT_\alpha}\right)\right]^{-1} \quad (11)$$

By comparing the plot of Eqs. 10-11 against different theoretical models, the kinetic triplet can be obtained or, at least, useful indications on the type of reaction. In Table 3, the most common used reaction models for solid-state kinetic are reported, organized in four categories: nucleation, geometrical, diffusion and reaction-order. Another largely used approach is that proposed by Friedman, based on the generic n-order reaction expression  $f(\alpha) = (1-\alpha)^n$ , typically assumed for polymer materials. A detailed description of preexponential factor and n-order estimation is provided in [45].

The described approaches lose their validity when the isoconversional activation energy shows a significant variation with  $\alpha$ , highlighting the occurring of a multi-step decomposition kinetic. In such a case, it is necessary to identify and analyze singularly the different reactions, thus estimating a corresponding number of kinetic triplets. Nevertheless, this is not always easy, especially in the study of polymer-based materials, due to the high complexity of their kinetic mechanism, which increases the difficulty of single-step reactions identification. An alternative approach for multi-step kinetic detection is offered by model-fitting methods, based on non-linear minimization of the difference between the

Reaction Model	Code	Type	$f(\alpha)$	$g(\alpha)$
Power law	P2	nucleation	$2\alpha^{1/2}$	$\alpha^{1/2}$
Power law	P3	nucleation	$3\alpha^{2/3}$	$\alpha^{1/3}$
Avrami-Eforeev	A2	nucleation	$2(1-\alpha)[-ln(1-\alpha)]^{1/2}$	$[-ln(1-\alpha)]^{1/2}$
Avrami-Eforeev	A3	nucleation	$3(1-\alpha)[-ln(1-\alpha)]^{2/3}$	$[-ln(1-\alpha)]^{2/3}$
Contracting Cylinder	R2	geometrical	$2(1-\alpha)^{1/2}$	$1-(1-\alpha)^{1/2}$
Contracting Sphere	R3	geometrical	$3(1-\alpha)^{2/3}$	$1-(1-\alpha)^{2/3}$
1D diffusion	D1	diffusion	$1/2\alpha$	$\alpha^2$
2D diffusion	D2	diffusion	$-[1/ln(1-\alpha)]$	$[(1-\alpha)ln(1-\alpha)] + \alpha$
3D diffusion	D3	diffusion	$[3(1-\alpha)^{2/3}]/[2(1-(1-\alpha)^{1/3})]$	$1-(2/3)\alpha-(1-\alpha)^{2/3}$
0th order	F0/R1	reaction-order	1	$\alpha$
Manpel	F1	reaction-order	$(1-\alpha)$	$-ln(1-\alpha)$
2nd order	F2	reaction-order	$(1-\alpha)^2$	$[1/(1-\alpha)] - 1$

Table 3: Some kinetic models generally considered in the study of solid-state decomposition [19, 42]

experimental measured reaction rate and the calculated one [19]. The latter methods category is not considered in this work, focusing the attention on the isoconversial methods proposed by Friedman and Starink.

## 265 4. Results and Discussion

In this section, the experimental measures carried out at different heating-rates are presented and discussed by comparing the two materials in both inert and oxidative atmospheres. In addition, the activation energy is estimated and, when possible, the kinetic triplet for dominant reactions is evaluated.

### 270 4.1. Inert Atmosphere

In Figure 4, one can see the mass loss curves of carbon-phenolic and carbon-PEKK, subjected to three different non-isothermal heating rates. Both resins are characterized by a mass release of about 20%, whereas an important difference exists regard the beginning of degradation and its development rate. In fact, 275 the degradation of carbon-phenolic begins around 200 °C, proceeding slowly up to about 900 °C. Moreover, it seems evident the presence of at least two main reactions, associated with the change of slope curve: the first between 200 and 400 °C and the second between 400 and 900 °C. On the other hand, the carbon-PEKK does not present any mass variation up to about 515 °C; then a faster




Figure 4: Mass loss curves obtained for  $\beta$  equal to 5, 15 and 25 °C in inert atmosphere. Comparison between carbon-phenolic and carbon-PEKK.

280 degradation process occurs highlighting one global reaction, which comes to end at about 900 °C. In Figure 5, the derivative TG curves (DTG) are reported, for both the tested materials and all non-isothermal heating rates, under inert atmosphere.

Derivative data emphasize the presence of different reactions, each one associated with a peak. Nevertheless, depending on the material complexity, a detailed reaction identification might be difficult, allowing to observe only the dominant and slowest reactions that drive the degradation process. In addition, since the characteristic time of a decomposition reaction, dependent on sample and temperature, is not affected by the heating rate, in Figure 5, one can observe the typical peaks shifting toward higher temperature with the increase of  $\beta$  [18, 43], for both the materials. In Table 4, the peaks corresponding temperatures are reported. The DTG curves, presented in Figure 5, confirm the reaction sequence observed in Figure 4 for the tested materials. With regard to carbon-phenolic, two peaks are visible, in agreement with the literature: the first, occurred between 200-300 °C and characterized by a mass loss of about 5%, is associated with resin dehydration [33] and release of non-reacted com-  
 295




Figure 5: DTG curves obtained for  $\beta$  equal to 5, 15 and 25 °C in inert atmosphere. Comparison between carbon-phenolic and carbon-PEKK.

ponents (i.e. phenol and formaldehyde trapped between polymer chains during the curing process) [34].

$\beta$ [°C/min]	Carbon-phenolic		Carbon-PEKK
	reac. 1	reac. 2	reac. 1
5	250	397	553
15	272	425	581
25	280	442	600

Table 4: Peaks corresponding temperatures (°C) from DTG curves, under inert conditions, for carbon-phenolic and carbon-PEKK.

The second, occurred between 400-650 °C and characterized by the greatest  
 300 mass reduction, is associated with random chains scission, with no any resin  
 de-polymerization, and release of various compounds, such as phenol, cresols,  
 water, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide and methane. It is important to note  
 that the high content of oxygen in phenolic resins can promote thermo-oxidative  
 processes even if the decomposition occurs under inert atmosphere [34]. By fo-  
 305 cusing the attention on Figure 5, after the second peak, one can see a significant

slope decrease following an inflection point clearly visible around 510 °C for  $\beta = 25$  °C/min. The same trend is shown by the other two heating rates, with a shifted temperature inflection point. This change of slope might be theoretically due to the presence of a further reaction, largely overlapped with the previous ones. Although, in first approximation, one might associate this behavior with thermo-oxidative phenomena due to oxygen release during phenolic decomposition, further tests at different heating rates should be preferable for a better comprehension. On the other hand, carbon-PEKK DTG curve confirms the evidence of one dominant single-step reaction, characterized by higher intensity peaks due to the higher rate of conversion, see Figure 5. Similar behavior is reported in the literature for composites with Poly-ether-ether-ketone (PEEK) resin, which belongs to the PEAK family [38, 41]. The identified reaction lies between about 510-710 °C and it might be associated with the random scission of ether bonds, as described in [33]. In particular, the decomposition process is expected to begin with the rupture of weakest bonds between the aromatic groups, whereas the major volatiles release should consists of phenol [41]. The onset of this decomposition occurs after the transition phase of PEKK resin, typically observed by DSC measurements around 340 °C [39].




Figure 6: SEM visualization of carbon-PEKK crashed sample before (left) and after (right) the TG experiment under inert conditions and heating rate of 15 °C/min.

In Figure 6, one can see the SEM images of carbon-PEKK sample before

325 (Figure 6 left) and after (Figure 6 right) the TG experiment. In the observed  
section of the crashed virgin material, it is clearly visible the orientation of the  
fibers, that are fully merged with PEKK matrix. However, some fibers appear  
more or totally exposed, allowing their diameter measure. On the contrary,  
after the TG test, for the observed sample element, the decomposition of a part  
330 of the resin, associated with the identified single-step global reaction, permits  
an easier visualization of the fibers, arranged in a sort of bundles. The latter,  
accordingly to the thermoplastic nature of PEKK, are banded together by  
the not decomposed re-crystallized resin, which fulfills the interstices between  
fibers, providing this smoothed glued aspect. SEM visualizations of the carbon-  
335 phenolic crushed sample is presented in Figure 7. In this case, the difference  
between the material before (Figure 7 left) and after (Figure 7 right) the TG  
test is less evident.




Figure 7: SEM visualization of carbon-phenolic material before (left) and after (right) the TG experiment under inert conditions and heating rate of  $15\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{min}$ .

What one can observe is a more uniform distribution of the thermosetting  
resin around the fibers, whereas, after the degradation, some regions remain  
340 more exposed allowing to clearly see the carbon fibers and the empty interstices  
between them. Because of the thermosetting nature of phenolic, any matrix  
re-crystallization occurs.

#### 4.2. Oxidative Atmosphere

The TG experimental data are reported in Figure 8, where carbon-phenolic and carbon-PEKK are compared under oxidative atmosphere. In such condition, all samples have been almost completely consumed. As for inert atmosphere, the degradation of carbon-phenolic begins after 200 °C and concludes between 740-800 °C, depending on the heating rate of the test.




Figure 8: Mass loss curves obtained for  $\beta$  equal to 5, 15 and 25 °C in oxidative atmosphere. Comparison between carbon-phenolic and carbon-PEKK.

Four inflection points are visible in the TG curve underlining the occurring of a complex reaction pattern. The same complexity is observed for carbon-PEKK, whose degradation starts at about 500 °C to conclude between 700-850 °C. In Figure 9, one can see the DTG data under oxidative atmosphere. Focusing the attention on carbon-phenolic, it is possible to see the resin dehydration between 200-300 °C, followed by a large peak between 475-515 °C, depending on the heating rate. The latter, might correspond to the resin decomposition reaction, despite shifted toward higher temperature with respect to the inert atmosphere case. After this peak, the derivative data are characterized by several other peaks of different intensity, underlining the high complexity of the kinetic mechanism in oxidative case, characterized by multi-step reactions, and

360 hampering the identification of few global reactions. The presence of a complex peak pattern seems more evident for heating rates of 15-25 °C/min, while the curve at 5 °C/min reveals only two large peaks after the dehydration one (see Figure 9).




Figure 9: DTG curves obtained for  $\beta$  equal to 5, 15 and 25 °C in oxidative atmosphere. Comparison between carbon-phenolic and carbon-PEKK.

On the other hand, all the carbon-PEKK experimental curves present three  
 365 main peaks, whose temperatures are reported in Table 5, leading to suppose a process described by three global reactions. The first might be associated with resin decomposition, since it occurs in correspondence of the same temperatures observed in inert conditions, between 550-615 °C, depending on the heating rate. The second appears between 575-689 °C while the third follows between 633-  
 370 862 °C. These peaks might refer to the combustion of carbon fibers, whose onset is expected between 600-700 °C, which develops simultaneously with the decomposition and combustion of the resin, as well as that of char [41, 48]. To be noted that, before the first reaction-peak, especially evident for  $\beta$  15-25 °C/min, one can see a less intense peak, almost overlapped with the former. It might be eventually due to the oxidation of the pyrolysis products released  
 375 by the early scission of the weakest bonds [41] between the aromatics groups of

which PEKK resin is composed. However, also for carbon-PEKK, the observed peaks appear partially overlapped, showing a complex kinetic mechanism, so the occurring of multi-step reactions.

$\beta$ [°C/min]	Carbon-PEKK		
	reac. 1	reac. 2	reac. 3
5	503	555	607
15	537	593	654
25	559	616	776

Table 5: Peaks corresponding temperatures (°C) from DTG curves, under oxidative conditions, for carbon-PEKK.

#### 380 4.3. Kinetic Analysis

Two isoconversional methods have been implemented for the kinetic analysis of the tested materials: the differential method of Friedman and the integral method of Starink. In Figures 10 - 11, one can see the estimated activation energy  $E_\alpha$  as a function of the extent of conversion  $\alpha$  of carbon-phenolic and carbon-PEKK respectively. As recommended in the literature [19], the activation energy is evaluated in a wide range of  $\alpha$ , between 0.1 and 0.9. A certain difference is observed between Friedman and Starink results, the former, as expected, shows a high sensitivity to differential data while Starink provides significantly lower oscillations on  $E_\alpha$  values. Carbon-phenolic presents a growing activation energy trend, varying from 160 kJ/mol to about 280 kJ/mol. Such behavior has been already observed for polymer materials and associated with the refractory level increase of the residual material [19]. Large oscillations are observed in correspondence of the  $\alpha$  interval extremes especially for Friedman. The dehydration reaction, expected between 200-300 °C, corresponds approximately, in Figure 10, to the activation energy between 0.1 and 0.2, whereas the phenolic resin decomposition reaction falls between about 0.2 and 0.65. The latter  $\alpha$  extreme is assumed in correspondence of the inflection point in the DTG curve of Figure 5, followed by a slope change down to the return of the

derivative to zero. The corresponding  $E_{\alpha}$ , from 0.7 to 0.9, are supposed, in first  
 400 approximation, related to thermo-oxidative phenomena due to oxygen released  
 during phenolic pyrolysis.




Figure 10: Activation energy vs. extent of conversion estimated by isoconversional methods for carbon-phenolic in inert atmosphere.

The calculated activation energy presents an acceptable agreement with that  
 estimated by Friedman for glass-phenolic, 242 kJ/mol as average value of eleven  
 points on the total degradation process [45]. However, the corresponding average  
 405  $E_{\alpha}$  calculated for carbon-phenolic results 14% (207 kJ/mol) and 10% (217  
 kJ/mol) smaller, respectively evaluated with Starink and Friedman method.

Differently from carbon-phenolic, carbon-PEKK presents a more constant  
 activation energy for  $\alpha$  between 0.1 and 0.8, characterized by a slight growing  
 of  $E_{\alpha}$ , more emphasized by Friedman method starting from approximately 180  
 410 kJ/mol to maximum of about 250 kJ/mol. Such behavior was typically observed  
 for polymer materials, whose degradation begins with the breaking of weakest  
 links to proceed with regular bonds that require higher energy [44, 41]. In this  
 constant range, the difference between the minimum and the a maximum values  
 results approximately the 10% of the corresponding average  $\bar{E}_{\alpha}$  calculated with  
 415 Starink, allowing to assume that the degradation process is driven by a single-

step dominant reaction, as suggested in [19, 44]. On the other hand, Friedman presents a percentage higher than 10%, but, taking to account the typical trend of polymers activation energy, it is reasonably possible to assume the occurring of one global single step reaction. In the case of carbon-phenolic, such condition  
 420 is never satisfied in the  $\alpha$  intervals corresponding to the observed DTG peaks (Figure 5), probably revealing the occurring of multi-step reactions, as expected for such kind of heterogeneous materials.




Figure 11: Activation energy vs. extent of conversion estimated by isoconversional methods for carbon-PEKK in inert atmosphere.

Focusing the attention on isoconversional methods, Friedman presents a good match with Starink results for carbon-phenolic, see Figure 10, despite  
 425 a slight overestimation for  $\alpha$  between 0.27-0.67. On the contrary, for carbon-PEKK, Friedman overestimates  $E_\alpha$  with respect to Starink, despite an initial underestimation up to  $\alpha = 0.3$ , see Figure 11. However, the estimated activation energy range for carbon-PEKK results of the same order of that presented in literature for PEEK, belonging to the same resin family [49], achieving 207.71  
 430  $\pm 6.57$  kJ/mol with Starink and  $213.88 \pm 20.04$  kJ/mol with Friedman, for the single global reaction observed in inert conditions.

In Figure 12, the isoconversional activation energy is presented for carbon-phenolic in oxidative atmosphere. The complex decomposition kinetics revealed

by DTG data, in Figure 9, produces a significant dependency of  $E_\alpha$  on  $\alpha$ , for  
 435 both Friedman and Starink methods, making difficult to accurately identify any  
 single-step reactions. Moreover, Friedman shows stronger oscillations of the  
 results with respect to Starink, which applies an approximation function for  
 the temperature integral of Eq. 6. In Figure 13, the carbon-PEKK activation  
 energy calculated with Starink is reported and characterized by a quasi-linear  
 440 decreasing trend, whereas Friedman presents, again, more oscillating values,  
 following the trend of differential experimental data. The significant variation of  
 $E_\alpha$  under oxidative conditions, for both the tested materials, suggests the oc-  
 currence of a complex degradation process characterized by multi-step reactions,  
 despite carbon-PEKK DTG data lead to suppose a degradation process driven  
 445 by three global reactions.




Figure 12: Activation energy vs. extent of conversion estimated by isoconversional methods for carbon-phenolic in oxidative atmosphere.

These correspond, respectively, to the following extent of conversion inter-  
 vals: first reaction up to 0.19, the second between 0.19-0.43, while the third  
 from about 0.43 to unity, as one can see in Figure 13. The extremes of these in-  
 tervals are arbitrarily identified in correspondence of the DTG inflection points  
 450 between the observed peaks, in Figure 9. However, except for the onset and  
 the end of the degradation process, these  $\alpha$  values might not coincide with the

exact begin/end of the single reactions, due to the partial overlapping of the peaks, especially for the second one, associated with the onset of carbon-fibers combustion. In Table 6, one can see the mean activation energy estimated in  
 455 corresponding of the defined  $\alpha$  intervals for the three reactions of carbon-PEKK under oxidative atmosphere. In this case, the results calculated with Friedman present a very high standard deviation, due to the strong variation of the isoconversional activation energy within the three corresponding reaction intervals.




Figure 13: Activation energy vs. extent of conversion estimated by isoconversional methods for carbon-PEKK in oxidative atmosphere. The dashed vertical lines delimit the defined reaction intervals.

Less dispersed values are achieved with Starink: the mean activation energy  
 460 of the first reaction, associated with resin decomposition, results very close to that obtained for carbon-PEKK in inert conditions, despite a relatively higher standard deviation. The latter grows for the following two reactions, due to the quasi-linear decrease of the activation energy within their extent of conversion intervals.

465 The significant discrepancy obtained between the  $E_{\alpha}$  evaluated with Starink and Friedman reveals the necessity to check these results with, at least, a third isoconversional method. In this sense, further analysis should be carried out for the activation energy estimation of the tested materials, under oxidative con-

$\bar{E}_\alpha$ [kJ/mol]	Carbon-PEKK		
	reac. 1	reac. 2	reac. 3
<b>Starink</b>	195.89 ± 8.23	181.83 ± 9.41	125.87 ± 23.42
<b>Friedman</b>	180.99 ± 43.04	158.01 ± 15.29	63.85 ± 41.55

Table 6: Mean activation energy  $\bar{E}_\alpha$  corresponding to the three reaction observed for carbon-PEKK in oxidative atmosphere.

ditions, by considering more sophisticated methods, such as that proposed by  
 470 Vyazovkin [44], based on the numerical integration of the temperature integral,  
 of Eq. 6, on TG experimental data. From a qualitatively point of view, by comparing inert and oxidative case for carbon-phenolic, in the early degradation phase ( $\alpha < 0.2$ ), associated with resin dehydration, one can see an activation energy range decrease of approximately 30% in oxidative results (see Figure 12).  
 475 Such difference, in first approximation, might be related to combustion of the released products. On the contrary, the activation energy range of carbon-PEKK in the early degradation phase (first reaction) does not significantly vary from inert to oxidative conditions, despite a certain oscillation ( $\sim 20\%$  around Starink values) of Friedman values in Figure 13, highlighting a higher temperature stability, associated with ether and ketone bonds. It seems reasonable to suppose  
 480 that carbon-PEKK is not affected by a significant pyrolysis before about 500 °C. Due to this characteristic, PEKK-based composites result to be very suitable solutions for fire protection, such fire barriers for interior aerospace components. On the other hand, the early degradation, with pyrolysis and char production,  
 485 of phenolic resin, confirms its suitability for external thermal shields or internal rocket nozzles insulation.

In order to provide a complete kinetic description, besides the activation energy, also the preexponential factor A and the reaction model should be evaluated. The Friedman and compensation effect methods, considered in this work  
 490 and typically used with isoconversional activation energy, are valid for the study of a sequence of isolated single-step reactions [19, 44]. Therefore, only carbon-PEKK in inert conditions results suitable for their application, whereas carbon-

phenolic shows a multi-step kinetic in both inert and oxidative atmospheres. However, for purpose of comparison, the kinetic triplet for the different reactions identified from DTG data are evaluated for the tested materials under inert conditions. The achieved results are presented in Table 7 and 8, respectively calculated with Friedman and compensation effect methods. As discussed in Section 3.3, the former assumes the general  $n$ th-order reaction model expression, thus estimating  $A$  and the reaction index  $n$  as the intercept and the slope of a least squares fitting of a rearranged form of Eq. 2, evaluated with the experimental data [45].

	Carbon-phenolic				Carbon-PEKK			
	$\bar{E}_a$ [kJ/mol]	A [ $\text{min}^{-1}$ ]	$n$	$R^2$	$\bar{E}_a$ [kJ/mol]	A [ $\text{min}^{-1}$ ]	$n$	$R^2$
<b>Starink</b>								
Reaction 1	$154.58 \pm 20.79$	$1.12 \times 10^{12}$	48.26	0.996	$207.71 \pm 6.57$	$4.23 \times 10^{10}$	1.50	0.958
Reaction 2	$189.11 \pm 24.09$	$2.93 \times 10^{12}$	6.29	0.998	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
<b>Friedman</b>								
Reaction 1	$165.10 \pm 25.57$	$1.50 \times 10^{14}$	51.32	0.996	$213.88 \pm 20.04$	$1.12 \times 10^{11}$	1.61	0.970
Reaction 2	$201.66 \pm 30.89$	$2.49 \times 10^{13}$	6.44	0.945	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.

Table 7: Kinetic triplet evaluated with *Friedman* approach, based on the assumption of generic  $n$ -order reaction model  $f(\alpha) = (1 - \alpha)^n$  [45]. Carbon-phenolic and carbon-PEKK in inert atmosphere.

In Table 7, the fitting quality parameter  $R^2$  is reported for each considered reaction. Moreover, the kinetic parameters are evaluated with both the isoconversional activation energies estimated with Starink and Friedman, reporting their mean value in the defined reaction interval. Despite the good fitting parameter obtained for phenolic reactions, the reaction index does not have physical meaning, due to the strong variation the the activation energy in the corresponding reaction  $\alpha$  intervals. On the contrary, the results achieved for carbon-PEKK, which decomposition can be described, in first approximation, by a single-step global reaction, appear to be more realistic, keeping into account the uncertainty in experimental measurements. In particular, a better fitting parameter is achieved with Starink method, yielding a frequency factor and reaction index respectively equal to  $4.23 \times 10^{10}$  and 1.50.

The compensation effect method, whose results are reported in Table 8,

	Carbon-phenolic			Carbon-PEKK		
	$\bar{E}_a$ [kJ/mol]	A [ $\text{min}^{-1}$ ]	$f(\alpha)$	$\bar{E}_a$ [kJ/mol]	A [ $\text{min}^{-1}$ ]	$f(\alpha)$
<b>Starink</b>						
Reaction 1	$154.58 \pm 20.79$	$3.86 \pm 4.72 \times 10^8$	n.d.	$207.71 \pm 6.57$	$8.37 \pm 0.24 \times 10^{11}$	$3(1-\alpha)^{2/3}$
Reaction 2	$189.11 \pm 24.09$	$3.90 \pm 0.25 \times 10^{12}$	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
<b>Friedman</b>						
Reaction 1	$165.10 \pm 25.57$	$2.08 \pm 2.6 \times 10^9$	n.d.	$213.88 \pm 20.04$	$2.00 \pm 0.04 \times 10^{12}$	$3(1-\alpha)^{2/3}$
Reaction 2	$201.66 \pm 30.89$	$3.25 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{13}$	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.

Table 8: Kinetic triplet evaluated with *compensation effect* method [19, 44]. Carbon-phenolic and carbon-PEKK in inert atmosphere.

515 exploits a graphical data comparison to identify the corresponding ideal reaction  
 model. In Figure 14, one can see carbon-PEKK data plotted against few typical  
 models, diffusion and geometrical types (see Table 3), considered for solid-state  
 degradation. The single-step decomposition reaction of PEKK seems to obey,  
 between  $\alpha = 0.1-0.8$ , to the contracting sphere model R3, see Table 8, for which  
 520 the nucleation is assumed rapidly occurring on the surface of the crystal [42].




Figure 14: compensation effect method for decomposition model evaluation: carbon-PEKK in inert atmosphere, with regard to Starink activation energy estimated values.

Nevertheless, such result must be considered with caution, since a good matching with a specific model does not necessarily represent the real behavior [19, 44] and no confirmation was found in the literature, thus requiring further tests, with a wider range of temperature programs, for the assuring of the

525 achieved result. In fact, it is generally very hard to achieve perfect matching  
with theoretical models when dealing with polymeric materials [44]. In addition,  
one must remember that the non-isothermal heating rate applied by the  
thermogravimetric device significantly differs from the real situation in which  
the material is subjected to a direct flame. Therefore, the R3 model does not  
530 represent the exact description of the PEKK decomposition, but it is figured out  
as a starting hint for further analysis, more concentrated on the detailed kinetic,  
maybe exploiting also DSC analysis and flash pyrolysis with GC/MS, as well as  
to be used as preliminary approach for pyrolysis numerical simulations. In Table  
8, the kinetic triplets are reported for the considered reactions. Due to the multi-  
535 step mechanism of carbon-phenolic, the calculated preexponential factors have  
not physical meaning and no any model correspondence was identified. With  
regard to carbon-PEKK, despite slight difference in the preexponential factors  
related to Friedman and Starink activation energy, the same model matching  
was obtained for both isoconversional methods. The value of frequency factor  
540 factor, presented in Table 8, corresponds to the average between the data of the  
three considered non-isothermal heating rates. No kinetic triplets evaluation  
was carried out for oxidative data, due to their complex kinetics, unsuitable for  
single-step-based approaches, such that of Friedman and compensation effect.  
In order to cover these lacks and improve the quality of the kinetic analysis, the  
545 next efforts will be focused on the implementation of model-fitting methods,  
that demonstrated a good ability to follow a multi-step reactions mechanism  
[19]. Therefore, a model-fitting treatment shall be applied to carbon-phenolic  
in inert conditions, as well as to carbon-PEKK to verify the achieved results.  
In addition, an isoconversional method based on the numerical integration of  
550 the temperature integral [44] will be implemented and applied to the oxidative  
experimental data, in order better evaluate isoconversional methods for activation  
energy estimation in presence of complex reactions patterns, such as that  
of polymer-based composite materials.

## 5. Conclusions

555 In this work, the kinetic degradation of two carbon-reinforced polymer resins was analyzed under inert and oxidative atmospheres. Thermogravimetric experimental results demonstrated two different behaviors for carbon-phenolic and carbon-PEKK composites. The first characterized by pyrolysis processes from a relative low temperatures (200-250 °C), while the second revealed a higher  
560 resistance to temperature, both in inert and oxidative environments, starting its decomposition at about 500 °C, after matrix melting phase. The higher performance of PEKK resin, attributed to the chemical structure of the monomer, make it as a promising material for aircraft components, especially where severe fire safety requirements must be accomplished. The activation energy estimation, carried out with two different isoconversional methods, provided a good  
565 reaction pattern representation accordingly with the experimental observations (reaction peaks identified by DTG curves), as well as values of the same order of that reported in the literature for the inert case. In such conditions, the PEKK decomposition seems to be driven by one single global reaction, whereas under  
570 oxidative atmosphere three clear peaks are observable from DTG experimental data, leading to suppose a degradation process described by three consecutive global reactions. Nevertheless, under oxidative conditions, the significant variation of the estimated isoconversional activation energy within the corresponding extent of conversion intervals, suggests the development of a more complex reaction pattern, characterized by multi-step reactions. Moreover, in oxidative case  
575 and for both the tested materials, a large difference is achieved between the  $E_{\alpha}$  estimated by Starink and Friedman, revealing the necessity to check the results with a third isoconversional method, such as that of Vyazovkin, which exploits the numerical integration of the temperature integral. Concerning the estimation  
580 of reactions kinetic triplets, the implemented methods achieved valid results only for carbon-PEKK under inert atmosphere, providing hints for a possible model expression to describes the single-step dominant reaction identified. With regard to carbon-phenolic inert test and oxidative tests for both the materials,

the complex multi-step mechanisms observed cannot be properly analyzed with  
585 single-step-based methods, requiring the use of more sophisticated techniques.  
In the light of this, the next stage of this study, besides the above mentioned  
numerical integral isoconversional method for activation energy estimation, will  
be focused on the implementation of model-fitting approaches for a more appro-  
590 priate analysis of multi-step reaction kinetics, as well as validation of the actual  
results. In addition, the characterization of PEKK resin will be improved by  
means of ongoing thermophysical properties analysis.

### Acknowledgement

The authors desire to thank Philippe Gillard for availability and support in  
the thermogravimetric experiments and Jean-Claude Harge for SEM visualiza-  
595 tions, all performed at the University Institute of Technology (IUT) of Bourges  
(France).

### References

- [1] U. Sorathia, R. Lyon, R. G. Gann, L. Gritzko, Materials and fire threat, SAMPE Journal 32 (3) (1996) 8–15.
- 600 [2] G. Marsh, Airbus takes on Boeing with reinforced plastic, Reinforced Plas-  
tics 51 (11) (2007) 26–27,29. doi:10.1016/S0034-3617(07)70383-1.
- [3] G. Marsh, Bombardier throws down the gauntlet with CSeries airliner, Reinforced Plastics 55 (6) (2013) 22–26. doi:10.1016/S0034-3617(11)70181-3.
- 605 [4] E. A. S. A. (EASA), Annual Safety Review 2014, European Aviation Safety Agency, 2014.
- [5] M. Tsoi, J. Zhuge, R.-H. Chen, J. Gou, Modeling and experimental studies of thermal degradation of glass fiber reinforced polymer composites, Fire and Materials 38 (2014) 247–263. doi:10.1002/fam.2178.

- 610 [6] J. Zhuge, J. Gou, R.-H. Cheng, J. Kapat, Finite difference analysis of thermal reponse and post-fire flexural degradation of glass fiber reinforced composites coated with carbon nanofiber based nanopapers, *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing* 43 (2012) 2278–2288.
- [7] M. Blazsó, Z. Czégény, C. Csoma, Pyrolysis and debromination of flame retarded polymers of electronic scrap studied by analytical pyrolysis, *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis* 64 (2002) 249–261. doi:10.1016/S0165-2370(02)00035-9.
- 620 [8] N. Kim, D. Bhattacharyya, Development of fire resistant wool polymer composites: Mechanical performance and fire simulation with design perspectives, *Materials & Design* 106 (2016) 391–403. doi:10.1016/j.matdes.2016.06.005.
- [9] ISO 2685:1998, Aircraft-Environmental Test Procedure for Airborne Equipment-Resistance to Fire in Designated Fire Zones, Tech. rep., International Organization for Standardization (1998).
- 625 [10] AC20-135, Power-plant installation and propulsion system component fire protection test methods, standards and criteria., Tech. rep., U.S. Department of Transportation - Federal Aviation Administration (1990).
- [11] R. E. Lyon, Fire-resistant materials: research overview, resreport DOT/FAA/AR-97/99, Federal Aviation Administration: Airport and Aircraft Safety, Research and Development (1997).
- 630 [12] A. Johnston, R. Cole, A. Jodoin, J. MacLaurin, G. Hadjisohocleous, Evaluation of fire performance of composite materials for aircraft structural applications, in: *International Committee on Composite Materials (ICCM)*, 1999.
- 635 [13] J. Zhuge, J. Gou, R.-H. Cheng, J. Kapat, Finite element modeling of post-fire flexural modulus of fiber reinforced polymer composites under constant

- heat flux, *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing* 43 (2012) 665–674.
- [14] N. Grange, K. Chetehouna, N. Gascoin, S. Senave, Numerical investigation  
640 of the heat transfer in an aeronautical composite material under fire stress,  
*Fire Safety Journal* 80 (2016) 56–63. doi:10.1016/j.firesaf.2016.01.005.
- [15] L. Torre, J. M. Kenny, a. M. Maffezzoli, Degradation behaviour of a  
645 composite material for thermal protection systems Part I Experimental  
characterization, *Journal of Materials Science* 33 (12) (1998) 3137–3143.  
doi:10.1023/A:1004399923891.
- [16] N. Gascoin, P. Gillard, a. Mangeot, a. Navarro-Rodriguez, Detailed kinetic  
650 computations and experiments for the choice of a fuel-oxidiser couple for  
hybrid propulsion, *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis* 94 (2012)  
33–40. doi:10.1016/j.jaap.2011.12.002.
- [17] N. Gascoin, G. Fau, P. Gillard, A. Mangeot, Experimental flash pyrolysis  
of high density polyethylene under hybrid propulsion conditions, *Journal  
of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis* 101 (May 2013) (2013) 45–52. doi:  
doi:10.2514/6.2013-3833.
- 655 [18] S. Vyazovkin, K. Chrissafis, M. L. Di Lorenzo, N. Koga, M. Pijolat, B. Ro-  
duit, N. Sbirrazzuoli, J. J. Suñol, ICTAC Kinetics Committee recommen-  
dations for collecting experimental thermal analysis data for kinetic com-  
putations, *Thermochimica Acta* 590 (2014) 1–23. doi:10.1016/j.tca.  
2014.05.036.
- 660 [19] S. Vyazovkin, A. K. Burnham, J. M. Criado, L. A. Pérez-Maqueda,  
C. Popescu, N. Sbirrazzuoli, ICTAC Kinetics Committee recommenda-  
tions for performing kinetic computations on thermal analysis data, *Ther-  
mochimica Acta* 520 (1-2) (2011) 1–19. doi:10.1016/j.tca.2011.03.034.

- [20] M. P. Luda, a. I. Balabanovich, M. Zanetti, D. Guaratto, Thermal decomposition of fire retardant brominated epoxy resins cured with different nitrogen containing hardeners, *Polymer Degradation and Stability* 92 (2007) 1088–1100. doi:10.1016/j.polyimdeggradstab.2007.02.004.
- [21] W. Zhang, X. Li, R. Yang, Pyrolysis and fire behaviour of epoxy resin composites based on a phosphorus-containing polyhedral oligomeric silsesquioxane (DOPO-POSS), *Polymer Degradation and Stability* 96 (10) (2011) 1821–1832. doi:10.1016/j.polyimdeggradstab.2011.07.014.
- [22] M. R. Tant, H. L. N. McManus, M. E. Rogers, High-Temperature Properties and Applications of Polymeric Materials - An Overview, *ACS Sympos. Series* 603 (1995) 1–20.
- [23] J. C. J. Bart, Polymer/additive analysis by flash pyrolysis techniques, *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis* 58-59 (2001) 3–28. doi:10.1016/S0165-2370(00)00160-1.
- [24] A. F. de Baas, Research road mapping in materials, Tech. rep., European Commission, Directorate-General for Research, Industrial Technology (2010).  
URL <http://ec.europa.eu/research/research-eu>
- [25] W. T. Engelke, C. M. Pyron, C. D. Pear, Thermal and mechanical properties of a nondegraded and thermally degraded phenolic-carbon composite, Tech. Rep. 23, National Aeronautics and Space Administration (1967).
- [26] R. W. Humble, G. N. Henry, W. J. Larson, *Space Propulsion Analysis and Design*, 1st Edition, The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 1995.
- [27] J. S. Tate, S. Gaikwad, N. Theodoropoulou, E. Trevino, J. H. Koo, Carbon/Phenolic Nanocomposites as Advanced Thermal Protection Material in Aerospace Applications, *Journal of Composites* 2013 (2013) 1–9. doi:10.1155/2013/403656.

- [28] G. Pulci, J. Tirillò, F. Marra, F. Fossati, C. Bartuli, T. Valente, Carbon-phenolic ablative materials for re-entry space vehicles: Manufacturing and properties, *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing* 41 (10) (2010) 1483–1490. doi:10.1016/j.compositesa.2010.06.010.
- 695 [29] L. Riviere, N. Causse, A. Lonjon, É. Dantras, C. Lacabanne, Specific heat capacity and thermal conductivity of PEEK / Ag nanoparticles composites determined by Modulated-Temperature Differential Scanning Calorimetry, *Polymer Degradation and Stability* 127 (2015) 98–104. doi:10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2015.11.015.
- 700 [30] B. Y. Lattimer, J. Ouellette, J. Trelles, Thermal Response of Composite Materials to Elevated Temperatures, *Fire Technology* 47 (4) (2011) 823–850. doi:10.1007/s10694-009-0121-9.
- [31] G. Marsh, Fire-safe composites for mass transit vehicles, *Reinforced Plastic* 46 (9) (2002) 26–30. doi:10.1016/S0034-3617(02)80157-6.
- 705 [32] U. Sorathia, C. M. Rollhauser, W. A. Hughes, Improved Fire Safety of Composites for Naval Applications, *Fire and Materials* 16 (3) (1992) 119–125. doi:10.1002/fam.810160303.
- [33] A. P. Mouritz, A. G. Gibson, *Fire Properties of polymer composite materials*, Springer, 2007. doi:10.1017/CB09781107415324.004.
- 710 [34] A. Knop, L. A. Pilato, *Phenolic Resins: Chemistry, Applications and Performance*, 1st Edition, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1985. doi:10.1007/978-3-662-02429-4.
- [35] M. R. Ho, D. S. Z. Cheng, P. H. Fisher, K. R. Eby, S. B. Hsiao, H. K. Gardner, Crystal Morphology and Phase Identifications in Poly(Aryl Ether Ketone)s and Their Copolymers .2. Poly(Oxy-1,4-Phenylenecarbonyl-1,3-Phenylenecarbonyl-1,4-Phenylene), *Macromolecules* 27 (20) (1994) 5787–5793. doi:10.1021/ma00098a037.
- 715

- [36] D. Mathijssen, Leading the way in thermoplastic composites, *Reinforced Plastics* 00 (00) (2015) 8–10. doi:10.1016/j.rep1.2015.08.067.
- 720 [37] G. Marsh, Could thermoplastics be the answer for utility-scale wind turbine blades?, *Reinforced Plastics* 54 (1) (2010) 31–35. doi:10.1016/S0034-3617(10)70029-1.
- [38] E. S. Oztekin, S. B. Crowley, R. E. Lyon, S. I. Stoliarov, P. Patel, T. R. Hull, Sources of variability in fire test data: A case study on poly(aryl ether ether ketone) (peek), *Combustion and Flame* 159 (4) (2012) 1720–  
725 1731. doi:10.1016/j.combustflame.2011.11.009.
- [39] I. Chang, J. Lees, Recent Development in Thermoplastic Composites: A Review of Matrix Systems and Processing Methods, *Journal of Thermoplastic Composite Materials* 1 (3) (1988) 277–296. doi:10.1177/  
730 089270578800100305.
- [40] B. Huang, S. Cheng, Q. Xi, M. Cai, Synthesis and characterization of novel copolymers of poly(ether ketone ketone) and poly(ether ketone sulfone imide), *Polymer Bulletin* 69 (6) (2012) 661–673. doi:10.1007/s00289-012-0753-7.
- 735 [41] P. Patel, T. R. Hull, R. W. McCabe, D. Flath, J. Grasmeder, M. Percy, Mechanism of thermal decomposition of poly(ether ether ketone) (PEEK) from a review of decomposition studies, *Polymer Degradation and Stability* 95 (5) (2010) 709–718. doi:10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2010.01.024.
- [42] A. Khawam, D. R. Flanagan, Solid-state kinetic models: Basics and mathematical fundamentals, *Journal of Physical Chemistry B* 110 (35) (2006)  
740 17315–17328. doi:10.1021/jp062746a.
- [43] M. E. Brown, *Introduction to Thermal Analysis - Techniques and applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2001.
- [44] S. Vyazovkin, *Isoconversional Kinetics of Thermally Stimulated Processes*, 1st Edition, Springer International Publishing, 2015.  
745

- [45] H. L. Friedman, Kinetics of thermal degradation of char-forming plastics from thermogravimetry. Application to a phenolic plastic, *Journal of Polymer Science Part C: Polymer Symposia* 6 (1) (1964) 183–195. doi:10.1002/polc.5070060121.
- 750 [46] T. Ozawa, A new method of analyzing thermogravimetric data, *Bulletin of the Chemical Society of Japan* 38 (11) (1965) 1881–1886. doi:10.1246/bcsj.38.1881.
- [47] M. J. Starink, The determination of activation energy from linear heating rate experiments: A comparison of the accuracy of isoconversion methods, *Thermochimica Acta* 404 (1-2) (2003) 163–176. doi:10.1016/S0040-6031(03)00144-8.
- 755 [48] S. Feih, A. Mouritz, Tensile properties of carbon fibres and carbon fibre-polymer composites in fire, *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing* 43 (5) (2012) 765–772. doi:10.1016/j.compositesa.2011.06.016.
- 760 [49] G. C. Vasconcelos, R. L. Mazur, B. Ribeiro, E. C. Botelho, M. L. Costa, Evaluation of decomposition kinetic of poly(ether-ether-ketone) by thermogravimetric analysis, *Materials Research* 17 (1) (2014) 227–235. doi:10.1590/S1516-14392013005000202.