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ABSTRACT
Finite elements in space with time-stepping numerical schemes, even though versatile, face theoretical and numerical difficulties when dealing with unilateral contact conditions. In most cases, an impact law has to be introduced to ensure the uniqueness of the solution: total energy is either not preserved or spurious high-frequency oscillations arise. In this work, the Time Domain Boundary Element Method (TD-BEM) is shown to overcome these issues on a one-dimensional system undergoing a unilateral Signorini contact condition. Unilateral contact is implemented by switching between free boundary conditions (open gap) and fixed boundary conditions (closed gap). The solution method does not numerically dissipate energy unlike the Finite Element Method and properly captures wave fronts, allowing for the search of periodic solutions. Indeed, TD-BEM relies on fundamental solutions which are travelling Heaviside functions in the considered one-dimensional setting. The proposed formulation is capable of capturing main, subharmonic as well as internal resonance backbone curves useful to the vibration analyst. For the system of interest, the nonlinear modeshapes are piecewise-linear unseparated functions of space and time, as opposed to the linear modeshapes that are separated half sine waves in space and full sine waves in time. 

Introduction
In structural dynamics, autonomous conservative systems most commonly exhibit continuous families of periodic orbits in the phase space, usually referred to as modes of vibration. A major task of modal analysis is to accurately compute natural frequencies and corresponding mode shapes as they are known to properly predict the frequencies under which the associated periodically forced systems will resonate, at least in linear and smooth nonlinear frameworks.

Characterizing the modes of vibration of smooth nonlinear mechanical systems (systems governed by PDEs that are smooth with respect to the unknown displacement and velocity) is a current topic of interest in the academic and industrial spheres. It relies on computing periodic solutions which are sensitive to numerical accuracy. For example, a dissipative time-marching numerical scheme cannot describe autonomous periodic solutions.

The dynamics of two impacting bodies is characterized by the travelling waves emanating from the contact interface. In the one-dimensional setting chosen in this work, these waves couple time and space, in the sense that they are functions of the form \( f(x \pm ct) \) where \( c \) is the wave velocity. Uncoupling time \( t \) and space \( x \) leads to numerical and theoretical issues. In the Finite Element Method (FEM), the displacement commonly takes the form \( u(x, t) = \sum_i \phi_i(x)u_i(t) \), where \( u_i(t) \) is the \( i \)-th displacement participation and \( \phi_i(x) \) the corresponding shape function. This leads to spurious oscillations, dispersion, and energy dissipation, for most numerical schemes dealing with unilateral contact conditions [11]. Additionally, an impact law is required to uniquely describe the time-evolution of a space semi-discretized formulation [5]. The impact law should be purely elastic to preserve energy, making it difficult to describe lasting contact phases which are expected to exist in the continuous framework. The Wave Finite Element Method (WFEM), which appropriately combines space and time, has shown promising results for one-dimensional systems undergoing contact conditions [28].

In this work, a variant of the Boundary Element Method (BEM), called the Time Domain Boundary Element Method (TD-BEM) [19], is used to solve for the nonlinear modes [14] of the one-dimensional bar with fixed boundary at one end and a unilateral contact condition on the other.

Both BEM and FEM are weighted residual methods but the weighting function in BEM is defined as the fundamental solution [27] of the considered PDE. The fundamental solution is defined as the response of a body subjected to a Dirac delta input, irrespective of the boundary conditions. When boundary conditions are included, the fundamental solution transforms to the classical Green’s function [12]. It is then straightforward to compute the response of a linear system to any distributed body forces and any boundary conditions through the principle of superposition reflected by a convolution operation [9]. However, a major limitation of BEM is that fundamental solutions are known exactly only for simple PDEs. In general, they can only be approximated thus reducing the accuracy of BEM.

Various types of BEM are available in literature such as Domain-BEM (D-BEM) [8], Time Domain-BEM (TD-BEM), Dual Reciprocity-BEM (DR-BEM) [2, 17], Frequency Domain-BEM (FD-BEM) [10], Convolution Quadrature-BEM (CQ-BEM) [1, 24]. D-BEM and DR-BEM both discretize time and space separately, hence are not of interest here. In contrast, CQ-BEM and TD-BEM provide a formulation in space and time allowing to precisely capture traveling waves [19], at least for one-dimensional problems in space. CQ-BEM, first introduced by Lubich [18] and later used for transient analysis [1, 24] differs from TD-BEM in the way the integrals are computed, i.e. using the Convolution Quadrature Method (CQM). Application of CQM to the TD-BEM improves the numerical stability of the
solution [23]. However, it has a low computational efficiency for large scale problems. For these reasons, TD-BEM is chosen in this work.

First, the investigated mechanical system is described. Then, the simulation methods used to compute the time evolution of the system are detailed, and a comparison with a benchmark problem is provided to validate the methodology and illustrate its accuracy. This is followed by a brief explanation of the shooting technique used to find the periodic solutions. The frequency-energy plot and the mode shapes of main vibratory response, subharmonic response as well as the internal resonances of the system of interest are presented and discussed.

1 Problem of interest

A one-dimensional elastic bar of length \( L \), constant cross-sectional area \( A \), Young’s Modulus \( E \) and mass density \( \rho \) is considered. The bar is fixed at \( x = 0 \) and subject to unilateral contact conditions at \( x = L \), as shown in Fig. 1. The initial displacement field of the bar at time \( t = 0 \) is \( u_0(x) \) and the corresponding initial velocity is \( v_0(x) \) where \( x \in [0; L] \). The signed distance, or gap function, between the contact node at \( x = L \) and the rigid foundation is

\[
g(t) = g_0 - u(L, t), \quad \forall t
\]

where \( g_0 \) is the gap at the resting position. When contact occurs (\( g = 0 \)), an elastic wave propagates inside the bar at velocity \( c = \sqrt{E/\rho} \). The local equation which dictates the displacement \( u(x, t) \) of the one-dimensional bar is

\[
\rho A \ddot{u}(x, t) - E A \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(x, t) = 0, \quad \forall x \in [0; L], \quad \forall t \geq 0
\]

with the boundary condition

\[
u(0, t) = 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0.
\]

Contact is described using Signorini’s conditions

\[
g(t) \geq 0, \quad \partial_x u(L, t) \leq 0, \quad g(t) \cdot \partial_x u(L, t) = 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0.
\]

These inequalities are responsible for the nonlinear behavior of the dynamics.

The objective is to find the nonlinear modes of the above-described system, defined as continuous families of periodic orbits. Formally, the goal is to find functions \( u \) satisfying (2), (3) and (4) together with real numbers \( T > 0 \), such that \( \forall t \geq 0 \) and \( \forall x \in [0; L], u(x, t + T) = u(x, t) \).

2 Simulation methods

This section introduces the background of the one-dimensional TD-BEM, including the algorithm used to implement unilateral contact conditions. The methodology is then validated using a benchmark problem [11].

2.1 Formulation of TD-BEM

In TD-BEM, a time-dependent fundamental solution of the PDE (2) is used. The fundamental solution \( u^* \) captures, at the field point \( x \) and time \( t \), the effect of a unit impulse \( \delta \) applied at the source point \( \xi \) and time \( \tau \), that is the solution of

\[
\frac{\partial^2 u^*}{\partial x^2}(x, t, \xi, \tau) - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 u^*}{\partial t^2}(x, t, \xi, \tau) = \delta(x - \xi, t - \tau).
\]

Solving (5) leads to the fundamental solution for this problem [13]

\[
u^*(x, t, \xi, \tau) = -\frac{c}{2} H[c(t - \tau) - |x - \xi|]
\]

where \( H \) is the Heaviside function and \( |x - \xi| \) is the distance between the field and source points. Noting that \( \xi \) and \( x \) are any point in the interval \( 0; L \), the variables \( \xi \) and \( x \) are interchangeably used when required [9] and the same applies to \( t \) and \( \tau \) in the time interval. The method of weighted residuals can be applied to Eqn. (2) using \( u^*(x, t, \xi, \tau) \) as the weighting function. From here on, \( u(x, t) \) and \( u^*(x, t, \xi, \tau) \) are written as \( u \) and \( u^* \), respectively, when required for compactness. The weighted residual statement takes the form

\[
\int_0^t \int_0^L \int_0^L \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(x, t, \xi, \tau) \, dx \, dt \, d\tau - \frac{1}{c^2} \int_0^t \int_0^L \int_0^L \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}(x, t, \xi, \tau) \, dx \, dt \, d\tau = 0.
\]

Substituting Eqn. (6) in (7), and taking the second weak form, i.e. integrating by parts twice, yields

\[
0 = \int_0^t \int_0^L \int_0^L \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(x, t, \xi, \tau) \, dx \, dt \, d\tau - \frac{1}{c^2} \int_0^t \int_0^L \int_0^L \frac{\partial^2 u^*}{\partial t^2}(x, t, \xi, \tau) \, dx \, dt \, d\tau
\]

\[
+ \int_0^t \left( \int_0^L \left( \frac{\partial_x u^*}{} \right|_0^L \right) \, dx \right) \, dt - \int_0^t \left( \int_0^L \left( \frac{\partial_x u^*}{} \right|_0^L \right) \, dx \right) \, dt
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{c^2} \int_0^t \left( \int_0^L \left( \frac{\partial_x u^*}{} \right|_0^L \right) \, dx \right) \, dt + \frac{1}{c^2} \int_0^t \int_0^L \left( \frac{\partial_x u^*}{} \right|_0^L \, dx \right) \, dt.
\]

The fundamental solution features the following properties [9]:

1. Causality: \( u^*(x, t, \xi, \tau) = 0 \) if \( c(t - \tau) < |x - \xi| \);
2. Invariance by time translation: \( u^*(x, t, \xi, \tau) = u^*(x, t + t_1, \xi, \tau + t_1) \);
3. Reciprocity: \( u^*(x, t, \xi, \tau) = u^*(\xi - \tau, -x, -t) \).

The distributional derivative of the displacement \( u^* \) with respect to \( x \) and \( \tau \n
\[
\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial_t u^*}{\partial x}(x, t, \xi, \tau) = \frac{\partial_x u^*}{\partial t}(x, t, \xi, \tau) = \frac{c}{2} \delta_{|x - \xi| - c(t - \tau)}
\]

and the causality property of the fundamental solution (8) yield the internal point equation

\[
u(\xi, t) = \frac{1}{2} u(L, t - (L - \xi)/c) + \frac{1}{2} u(0, t - \xi/c)
\]

\[
- \int_0^t \partial_x u(L, \tau) u^*(L, t, \xi, \tau) \, d\tau
\]

\[
- \int_0^t \partial_x u(0, \tau) u^*(0, t, \xi, \tau) \, d\tau
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{c^2} \int_0^L u_0(x) u^*(x, t, \xi, 0) \, dx
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{c^2} \int_0^L \partial_x u^*(x, t, \xi, 0) \, dx
\]

where \( u(x, \cdot) \) is extended for negative \( t \) by \( u(x, t) = 0 \). The last integral in Eqn. (10) should be understood in the following way.
distributional way using Eqn. (9):
\[
\int_0^L u_0(x) \partial_x u^*(x, t, \xi, 0) dx =
\begin{cases}
u_0(\xi - ct) & \text{if } \xi - ct \geq 0 \text{ and } \xi + ct > L \\
u_0(\xi + ct) & \text{if } \xi - ct < 0 \text{ and } \xi + ct \leq L \\
u_0(\xi - ct) + u_0(\xi + ct) & \text{if } \xi - ct \geq 0 \text{ and } \xi + ct \leq L \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]
The integrals over [0; L] are dealt with by discretizing this interval into sub-intervals onto which are defined piecewise-linear polynomials [7] to approximate \(u_0(x)\) and \(v_0(x)\). This discretization is used to find the unknown functions \(u_0\) and \(v_0\). In a similar fashion, time integrals over [0; t] are dealt with by considering a time discretization scheme with \(n\) time steps of length \(\Delta t\); between two successive time steps, \(\partial_x u(0, t)\) and \(\partial_x u(L, t)\) are approximated by constant interpolation functions.

Equation (10) shows that \(u(x, t)\) is formulated as a linear combination of the boundary conditions \(u(0, t)\), \(u(L, t)\), \(\partial_x u(0, t)\), \(\partial_x u(L, t)\), \(u_0(x)\) and \(v_0(x)\). Exactly half of these boundary conditions are unknown and need to be calculated. This is done by evaluating Eqn. (10) at \(\xi = 0\) and \(\xi = L\), leading to two linear equations at every instant \(t_i = i \Delta t\) which can be gathered in the matrix form
\[
Hu = G \partial_x u - b
\] (11)
where \(H\) is a square matrix of dimension \(2 \times 2\), \(G\) is a rectangular \(2 \times 2n\) matrix and \(b\) is the vector computed from the two last terms of Eqn. (10). Quantity \(u\) is the vector \((2 \times 1)\) of boundary displacements at instant \(t_i\) and \(\partial_x u\) is the vector \((2n \times 1)\) of boundary tractions computed from the time integration over \([0; t_i]\).

Equation (11) can be solved for the two unknown boundary conditions at \(t_i\) stacked in either \(u\) or \(\partial_x u\) or both, and then inserted back into Eqn. (10) to recover the solution.

### 2.2 Switching boundary conditions
The complementarity conditions (4) at \(x = L\) are accounted for by switching the boundary conditions at the time instants when the gap opens or closes. The time discretisation may lead to undesirable residual penetration of the contacting end of the bar into the rigid foundation. Such penetrations are handled by projecting the contacting end of the bar on the rigid foundation. The sign of the gap defined in Eqn. (1) is monitored at every time step \(g(t_i)\). If it is positive, the contacting node at \(x = L\) is free: \(\partial_x u(L, t_i) = 0\).

#### Open Gap
The sign of the gap defined in Eqn. (1) is monitored at every time step \(g(t_i)\). If it is positive, the contacting node at \(x = L\) is free: \(\partial_x u(L, t_i) = 0\).

#### Penetration or Contact
If \(g(t_i) < 0\), no adjustment is required.

When \(g(t_i) > 0\) (penetration occurs), the displacement of the contacting node is adjusted to satisfy the Signorini conditions:
\[
u(L, t_i) = g_0, \text{ as shown in Fig. 2. In both cases, the contact force can be computed from the reaction force exerted by the wall. Two cases are to be considered depending on the sign of this contact force.}

#### Lasting Contact
If \(g(t_i) = 0\) and the contacting force is positive, \(\partial_x u(L, t_i)\) is negative, the contact will remain at the next time step. This is modelled by a free boundary condition.

#### Release
When the contacting force becomes negative, \(\partial_x u(L, t_i) > 0\), the contacting node is released and the gap will be open at the next time step \(t_{i+1}\). This is modelled by a free boundary condition.

![Figure 2: Adjustment in case of penetration](image)

#### Algorithm 1: Unilateral contact in TD-BEM

The proposed algorithm 1 summarizes the approach. It is essentially a time-marching procedure where the gap and the contact force are computed. Once all the boundary values are found, the internal displacements can be computed using Eqn. (10).

#### 2.3 Validation of the proposed algorithm
The numerical properties of TD-BEM are illustrated on a one-dimensional bar, bouncing on a rigid foundation and subjected to constant external body force. One end of the bar is free and the other undergoes unilateral contact conditions. For some specific parameters, the bar bounces periodically against the rigid foundation [11]. The displacement of the contacting node of the bar is compared with the analytical solution [11] and FEM with forward Lagrange multipliers with an explicit time-marching technique [6] in Fig. 3. Time steps are chosen such that both computation time are comparable.
TD-BEM accurately captures the traveling waves propagating at a finite speed and generated by unilateral contact, with no spurious oscillations. Energy is conserved over time, as opposed to the chosen FEM simulation. TD-BEM does not necessitate an impact law to retrieve the exact solution. This allows for both non-impulsive lasting contact and energy preserving solutions.

3 Autonomous periodic solutions

Nonlinear modal analysis helps understand the vibratory signature of nonlinear dynamical systems [26]. Various techniques and tools exist in the literature to compute the nonlinear modes, such as asymptotic-numerical methods [4], invariant manifold techniques [22], Fourier methods [16] and shooting [15, 21]. To characterize nonlinear modes, we compute periodic solutions. Because the system is deterministic, it suffices to verify that the initial displacement \( u_0 \) and the initial velocity \( v_0 \) repeat themselves after a period \( T \) to be found, that is

\[
\begin{align*}
&u_0(x) = u(x, T) \quad \text{and} \quad v_0(x) = v(x, T). \\
&\text{(12)}
\end{align*}
\]

In this work, initial velocity is assumed to be zero. This implies the existence of an axis of symmetry in the solution explaining the mode shapes observed in the next section.

Shooting and TD-BEM are employed to find the sought families of periodic solutions. Since \( v_0 = 0 \), Eqn. (12) reduces to just solving \( u_0(x) = u(x, T) \). The space domain is discretized into \( N-1 \) cells with \( N \) nodes. The initial displacement is then approximated as \( u_0(x_i) \approx u_{0i}, i = 1, \ldots, N \), denoted \( \mathbf{u}_0 \). Similarly, the displacement at \( T \) is approximated by its values \( u_i, i = 1, \ldots, N \), denoted \( \mathbf{u} \). This last quantity is computed from the unknowns \( \mathbf{u}_0 \) and \( T \) using the above-described TD-BEM. Periodicity with zero initial velocity is enforced by solving

\[
\begin{align*}
f(\mathbf{u}_0, T) &= \mathbf{u}_0 - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{u}_0, T) = 0 \quad \text{(13)}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( f : \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N \) since \( N \) independent equations are generally provided for \( N+1 \) unknowns. Accordingly, the solution space is expected to be a one-dimensional manifold [3]. However, it was observed that in the subharmonic case, the \( N \) equations provide \( N-1 \) independent equations, yielding a two-dimensional manifold.

Eqn. (13) is solved using a Newton’s solver that shoots for values of initial displacement \( u_{0i}, i = 1, \ldots, N \). Since the system is underdetermined, outputs of the Newton’s solver are elements of a continuum of solutions. Parametric continuation is employed to recover this continuum of solutions, starting from a known solution, the limit case linear grazing mode. When parametric continuation misses the solution as frequency increases, a more sophisticated arc-length continuation is used instead. The TD-BEM solver as well are arc-length continuation are implemented using MATLAB\textsuperscript{®} 2015.

4 Results

Young’s Modulus \( E \), mass density \( \rho \) and length of the bar \( L \) are arbitrarily chosen equal to one and the initial gap is chosen as \( g_0 = 0.001 \) so that \( g_0 \ll L \). The resonant frequencies of undamped linear systems are independent of the vibratory energy: this corresponds to vertical backbone curves in the frequency–energy diagram. This no longer holds for nonlinear systems, as illustrated by the first two nonlinear modes of vibration of the system of interest, see Fig. 4. The backbone curves show the main vibratory responses in the vicinity of \( \omega_1(E) \) and \( \omega_2(E) \), subharmonic resonances near \( \omega_2(E)/2 \) and internal resonances observed along the first nonlinear backbone curve.

Subharmonic resonances and internal resonances are typical of nonlinear dynamics and cannot be observed in linear systems. They are briefly discussed in the sequel. The backbone curves in Fig. 4 have a vertical part and a curved part. The vertical part corresponds to the linear mode and denotes that contact is not activated. The energy is frequency-independent until contact is initiated which gives rise to a non-straight backbone curve. Linear mode shapes of a fixed–free bar are standing sine waves, but this no longer holds when a contact nonlinearity is introduced: instead, travelling waves are observed because contact induces shock waves.

4.1 Main vibratory responses

Figure 5 shows the displacement of the contacting node and contact force over one period of the first nonlinear mode. The contacting node first travels freely (fixed–free bar), then hits the rigid foundation and remains on it (fixed–fixed bar); eventually, the contact force vanishes and the contacting end is released.

Figures 6 and 7 show the motion corresponding to first and second modes over one period. Mode shapes are no longer separated half sine waves in space and full sine waves in time as in the linear case. Instead, they are unseparated piecewise-linear space
4.2 Subharmonic response

Subharmonic resonances, defined as the resonances at special frequencies equal to an integer sub-multiple of the natural frequencies, exist only in nonlinear systems [14]. The second mode, considered over two periods, defines a new periodic trajectory of frequency $\omega_2/2$. In the energy–frequency graph, this corresponds to a new backbone curve similar to the second mode, but of half frequency (and same energy), called subharmonic backbone curve.

Figure 5: First nonlinear mode trajectory: displacement of contacting node [—] and corresponding unilateral contact force [—]

Figure 6: First nonlinear mode space-time trajectory: a in Fig. 4
time functions with a clear indication of the characteristic lines. Also, the surface plots show that the two modes are different, even though the displacement of the contacting node has a similar pattern. In particular, one point of the bar is a node for the second mode: it has a constant zero displacement over time, see the blue line in Fig. 7. In this respect, it is similar to the second mode of the linear system.

Figure 7: Second nonlinear mode space-time trajectory with one node in space: b in Fig. 4

4.3 Internal resonance

Another phenomenon existing only in nonlinear systems is the internal resonance. In some experiments with nonlinear systems, the excitation of a mode at a frequency actuates the response of a distinct higher frequency mode. This interaction property has been used to design vibration absorbers [20] for instance. Figure 10 shows an internal resonance of a high-frequency mode interacting with a lower frequency mode: the mode shape exhibits a large similarity with the first mode shape but also includes high-frequency content.

Figure 8: Constant energy continuum at every point of the subharmonic backbone curve in the vicinity of $\omega_2/2$: limits of the continuum (grazing) [—] & second mode over two periods [—]

A phenomenon, new in the continuous framework, is observed along this subharmonic backbone curve. As illustrated in Fig. 8, a continuum of periodic orbits is observed at every single point in the subharmonic curve with a minimal period $2T_2$. For a given frequency, co-existing solutions are found with identical energy but with distinct shapes. A similar property named bridge is reported for a two-dof vibro-impact spring-mass system [25].

A trajectory is said to graze when the contacting end reaches the rigid foundation with zero velocity and recedes away without lasting contact: it is the limit case between no contact and contact. The shaded portion in Fig. 8 shows the previously mentioned continuum delimited by two solutions with one contact phase and one grazing instant per period, which are actually the same but shifted by a duration of $2\pi/\omega_2 = T_2$. Every other solution in the continuum has two contact phases per period. Fig. 9 shows the motion corresponding to grazing solution over one period.

Figure 9: Grazing subharmonic nonlinear mode space-time trajectory: c in Fig. 4

Figure 10: First nonlinear mode space-time trajectory: b in Fig. 4

Figure 11: Second nonlinear mode space-time trajectory with one node in space: b in Fig. 4

Figure 12: Constant energy continuum at every point of the subharmonic backbone curve in the vicinity of $\omega_2/2$: limits of the continuum (grazing) [—] & second mode over two periods [—]

Figure 13: Grazing subharmonic nonlinear mode space-time trajectory: c in Fig. 4

4.3 Internal resonance

Another phenomenon existing only in nonlinear systems is the internal resonance. In some experiments with nonlinear systems, the excitation of a mode at a frequency actuates the response of a distinct higher frequency mode. This interaction property has been used to design vibration absorbers [20] for instance. Figure 10 shows an internal resonance of a high-frequency mode interacting with a lower frequency mode: the mode shape exhibits a large similarity with the first mode shape but also includes high-frequency content.

Figure 11 displays the displacement of the contacting end for the first mode and the internal resonant mode with the same frequency of vibration. In the internal resonant case, a mode with
The periodic autonomous dynamics of a one dimensional bar fixed on one end and subject to unilateral contact conditions on the other was investigated. Periodic solutions were targeted in order to build the nonlinear modes of vibration. Unilateral contact conditions give rise to travelling waves which cannot be accurately captured using FEM. In contrast, TD-BEM formulated in space-time domain showed promising numerical characteristics in capturing travelling wave phenomenon.

First, TD-BEM with boundary conditions depending on the contact state was shown to simulate the time-evolution of a bouncing bar with high accuracy, opening doors to the search of periodic solutions of unilateral contact problems. Such periodic solutions were computed via an implementation of TD-BEM within a shooting method, and continuation techniques were used to recover the periodic motions associated with internal resonances. The periodic motions associated with such internal resonances were computed and these are helpful in predicting the possibility of sudden resonances in real life applications, when vibrating in the vicinity of these frequencies.

The next step will consist in extending the presented methodology to higher dimensions in space [10]. Future works also include stability analysis of the computed modes.

Nomenclature

- \( L \) length, mass density, cross-sectional area of the bar
- \( c \) wave velocity
- \( E \) Young’s modulus of the bar
- \( x \) field point in space
- \( \xi \) source point in space
- \( t \) time
- \( \tau \) source point in time
- \( T \) time period of oscillation
- \( \Delta t \) time-step
- \( n \) total number of time-steps
- \( g \) time dependent gap function
- \( g_0 \) initial gap
- \( u(x,t) \) space-time displacement field
- \( \partial_t, \partial_x \) first derivative with respect to \( t, x \)
- \( \partial_t^2, \partial_x^2 \) second derivative with respect to \( t, x \)
- \( \partial_\tau \) first derivative with respect to \( \tau \)
- \( EA\partial_\tau u \) internal traction within the bar
- \( u_0(x) \) initial displacement
- \( v_0(x) \) initial velocity
- \( u^x(x,t,\xi,\tau) \) fundamental solution
- \( \delta \) Dirac distribution
- \( H(x) \) Heaviside function
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