



A conjecture about prime numbers assuming the Riemann hypothesis

Rédoane Daoudi

► To cite this version:

Rédoane Daoudi. A conjecture about prime numbers assuming the Riemann hypothesis. 2017. hal-01560672

HAL Id: hal-01560672

<https://hal.science/hal-01560672>

Preprint submitted on 11 Jul 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A conjecture about prime numbers assuming the Riemann hypothesis

Redoane D.*

*University of Poitiers 86000 FRANCE

E-mail: *redoane.daoudi@etu.univ-poitiers.fr

Abstract

In this paper we propose a conjecture about prime numbers. Based on the result of Pierre Dusart stating that the n^{th} prime number is smaller than $n(\ln n + \ln \ln n - 0.9484)$ for $n \geq 39017$ we propose that the n^{th} prime number is smaller than $n(\ln n + \ln \ln n - 1^+)$ when $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Keywords: Prime numbers, Dusart, Riemann hypothesis, conjecture

Conjecture. The n^{th} prime number is smaller than $n(\ln n + \ln \ln n - 0.999\dots)$ when $n \rightarrow +\infty$

We write $\ln_2 n$ instead of $\ln \ln n$.

Let $p(n)$ denote the n^{th} prime number. In this work we try to improve the result of Pierre Dusart, assuming the Riemann hypothesis and stating that for $n \geq 39017 : p(n) \leq n(\ln n + \ln \ln n - 0.9484)$ [1].

Theorem. For $39017 \leq n \leq 2.10^{17}$,

$$p(n) \leq n(\ln n + \ln_2 n - 0.9484)$$

Proof in [1]. We deduce that:

$$n(\ln n + \ln_2 n - 0.9484) = n(\ln n + \ln_2 n - 1 + 0.0516)$$

$$n(\ln n + \ln_2 n - 1 + 0.0516) = n(\ln n + \ln_2 n - 1) + 0.0516n$$

$$n(\ln n + \ln_2 n - 1) + 0.0516n = n(\ln n + \ln_2 n - 1) + \frac{129n}{2500}$$

If $p(n) \leq n(\ln n + \ln_2 n - 0.9484)$ we have:

$$p(n) \leq n(\ln n + \ln_2 n - 1) + \frac{129n}{2500}$$

Consequently we have

$$p(n) - (n(\ln n + \ln_2 n - 1)) \leq n(\ln n + \ln_2 n - 1) + \frac{129n}{2500} - (n(\ln n + \ln_2 n - 1)) \quad (A)$$

According to (A) if $p(n) \leq n(\ln n + \ln_2 n - 0.9484)$ we have:

$$\frac{n}{n(\ln n + \ln_2 n - 1) + \frac{129n}{2500} - (n(\ln n + \ln_2 n - 1))} \leq \frac{n}{p(n) - (n(\ln n + \ln_2 n - 1))}$$

$$\frac{n}{\frac{129n}{2500}} \leq \frac{n}{p(n) - (n(\ln n + \ln_2 n - 1))}$$

$$19.37984496 \leq \frac{n}{p(n) - (n(\ln n + \ln_2 n - 1))} (B)$$

We confirmed the result (B) for $39017 \leq n \leq 2.10^{17}$ by using a statistical approach and we observe that $\frac{n}{p(n) - (n(\ln n + \ln_2 n - 1))}$ increases when n increases.

The n^{th} prime numbers were found using a list of prime numbers and with a program (see Tools).

Let x and y be two positive real numbers, we deduce:

$$p(n) \leq n(\ln n + \ln_2 n - 1) + \frac{x \cdot n}{y} \leftrightarrow \frac{n}{p(n) - (n(\ln n + \ln_2 n - 1))} \geq \frac{y}{x} (C)$$

Examples. For $n = 10^5$ we have $p(10^5) = 1299709$.

$$\frac{10^5}{1299709 - (10^5(\ln 10^5 + \ln_2 10^5 - 1))} = 24.57354013 \geq 19.37984496$$

Consequently $1299709 \leq 10^5(\ln 10^5 + \ln_2 10^5 - 0.9484)$

In this first example we have $x = 129$ and $y = 2500$ but we can choose other values if $\frac{y}{x} \leq 24.57354013$.

For $n = 2.10^{17}$ we have $p(2.10^{17}) = 8512677386048191063$

$$\frac{2 \cdot 10^{17}}{8512677386048191063 - (2 \cdot 10^{17} (\ln 2 \cdot 10^{17} + \ln_2 2 \cdot 10^{17} - 1))} = 24.099471 \geq 19.37984496$$

Consequently $8512677386048191063 \leq 2 \cdot 10^{17} (\ln 2 \cdot 10^{17} + \ln_2 2 \cdot 10^{17} - 0.9484)$

But if we choose $x = 2$ and $y = 48$ we have $\frac{48}{2} = 24 \leq 24.099471$ and

$$8512677386048191063 \leq 2 \cdot 10^{17} (\ln 2 \cdot 10^{17} + \ln_2 2 \cdot 10^{17} - 1 + \frac{2}{48})$$

$$8512677386048191063 \leq 2 \cdot 10^{17} (\ln 2 \cdot 10^{17} + \ln_2 2 \cdot 10^{17} - \frac{23}{24})$$

Conjecture. Based on our previous statistical approach we conjecture that $\frac{n}{p(n) - (n(\ln n + \ln_2 n - 1))}$ increases when $n \rightarrow +\infty$. More precisely we conjecture that $\frac{n}{p(n) - (n(\ln n + \ln_2 n - 1))} \rightarrow +\infty$ when $n \rightarrow +\infty$. For this reason we have, according to (C): $\frac{y}{x}$ that can be very high, consequently $\frac{x \cdot n}{y} \rightarrow 0^+ n$

When $n \rightarrow +\infty$ we deduce that: $p(n) \leq n(\ln n + \ln_2 n - 1) + 0^+ n \leftrightarrow p(n) \leq n(\ln n + \ln_2 n - 1^+)$

Finally we conjecture that, when $n \rightarrow +\infty$:

$$p(n) \leq n(\ln n + \ln_2 n - 1^+) \leftrightarrow \frac{n}{p(n) - (n(\ln n + \ln_2 n - 1))} \geq \frac{y}{x}$$

It remains to prove that $\frac{n}{p(n) - (n(\ln n + \ln_2 n - 1))} \rightarrow +\infty$ when $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Tools

Statistics. Statistics were performed using Microsoft Excel 2016 and with a program.

The list of prime numbers used in this study. http://compoasso.free.fr/primelistweb/page/prime/liste_online.php

Reference

1. PIERRE DUSART, The k^{th} prime is greater than $k(lnk + lnlnk - 1)$ for $k \geq 2$, Math. Comp. 68 (1999), 411-415