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Abstract

A Grundy coloring of a graph $G$ is a proper vertex coloring of $G$ where any vertex $x$, colored with $c(x)$, has a neighbor of any color $1, 2, \ldots, c(x) - 1$. A central graph $G^c$ is obtained from $G$ by adding an edge between any two non adjacent vertices in $G$ and subdividing any edge of $G$ once. In this note we focus on Grundy colorings of central graphs. We present some bounds related to parameters of $G$ and a Nordhaus-Gaddum inequality. We also determine exact values for the Grundy coloring of some central classical graphs.
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1 Introduction

We consider connected graphs without loops or multiple edges. In a graph $G = (V, E)$, the set $V(G)$ is the set of vertices (|$V(G)$| = n is the order of $G$) and $E(G)$ is the set of edges (|$E(G)$| = m is the size of $G$). The number of neighbors of a vertex $x$ in $G$ is its degree, denoted $d_G(x)$, and the maximum (resp. minimum) degree of the graph is denoted $\Delta(G)$ (resp. $\delta(G)$). The set of neighbors of $x$ in $G$ is denoted $N_G(x)$.

For a graph $G = (V, E)$, a $k$-coloring of $G$ is defined as a function $c$ on $V(G)$ into a set of colors $C = \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ such that for each vertex $x_i, 1 \leq i \leq |V(G)|$, we have $c(x_i) \in C$. A proper $k$-coloring is a $k$-coloring satisfying $c(x_i) \neq c(x_j)$ for every pair of adjacent vertices $x_i, x_j \in V(G)$. For a given proper $k$-coloring, a vertex $x_i$ adjacent to a vertex of every color $q, q < c(x_i)$, is called a Grundy vertex for the color $c(x_i)$. A Grundy $k$-coloring is a proper $k$-coloring where any vertex is a Grundy vertex. In 1979 Christen and Selkow [4] introduced the Grundy number, denoted by $\Gamma(G)$, as the maximum number of colors among all Grundy colorings of $G$. It is obvious that $\Gamma(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$ but the determination of the Grundy number is NP-complete in general. This parameter was then
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studied for different classes of graphs (graph products [3, 5], power paths and cycles [9], regular graphs [8], fat-extended $P_4$-laden graphs [2], etc).

In this note we consider the family of central graphs. A central graph of a graph $G$, denoted by $G^c$, is obtained by joining all the non adjacent vertices in $G$ and subdividing each edge of $G$ exactly once. The set of vertices of $G^c$ is then given by $V(G^c) = V_1(G^c) \cup V_2(G^c)$ where $V_1(G^c)$ is the set of vertices inherited from $G$ and $V_2(G^c)$ contains the added vertices (note that $|V_2(G^c)| = m$). Thus $V_1(G^c) = V(G) = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ and each vertex of $V_2(G^c)$ subdividing an edge $(x_i, x_j)$ of $G$ is denoted $x_{i,j}$. If there is no ambiguity, sets $V_1(G^c)$ and $V_2(G^c)$ could be denoted $V_1$ and $V_2$ respectively.

In Section 2 we present some general bounds on the Grundy number of $G^c$ related to its independence number, the Grundy number of the complement graph $\overline{G}$ and the minimum degree of $G$. These results allow us to bound the Nordhaus-Gaddum inequality $\Gamma(G^c) + \Gamma(\overline{G})$. In Section 3 we focus on the Grundy colorings of some central classical graphs (complete graphs, complete bipartite graphs, complete $k$-ary trees, paths and cycles) for which the determined exact values reach the lower and upper bounds given in Section 2. Finally we conclude in Section 4 with an extension to a relaxed Grundy coloring, the partial Grundy coloring.

## 2 Nordhaus-Gaddum inequality type for the Grundy number of central graphs

In this section we present an upper bound for Nordhaus-Gaddum inequality $\Gamma(G^c) + \Gamma(\overline{G})$. Different studies consider Nordhaus-Gaddum inequalities type for the Grundy number (see the survey [1] where such inequalities are presented for several parameters). Zaker [11] proposed some results in 2006 and he conjectured that $\Gamma(G) + \Gamma(\overline{G}) \leq n + 2$ for any graph $G$. This conjecture was confirmed by Füredi et al. [7] for general graphs with $n \leq 8$ and disproved for $n \geq 9$ and they give the following result.

**Theorem 2.1.** ([7]) Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$, then

$$\Gamma(G) + \Gamma(\overline{G}) \leq \begin{cases} n + 2 & \text{if } n \leq 8, \\ 12 & \text{if } n = 9, \\ \left\lfloor \frac{2n+2}{4} \right\rfloor & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We start our study with bounds for the Grundy numbers of $G^c$ and its complement.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$. Then $1 + \Gamma(\overline{G}) \leq \Gamma(G^c) \leq \min\{n, n+2-\delta(G)\}$ if $G$ is connected and $\Gamma(\overline{G}) \leq \Gamma(G^c) \leq \min\{n, n+2-\delta(G)\}$ otherwise.

**Proof.** The bound $\Gamma(G^c) \geq \Gamma(\overline{G})$ is obvious since $\overline{G} \subseteq G^c$. Consider a connected graph $G$ and a Grundy coloring $C$ of $\overline{G}$. In $G^c$ put the coloring $C$ on $V_1(G^c)$
shifted by 1 and put the color 1 on all the vertices of \( V_2(G^c) \). Then \( \Gamma(G^c) \geq \Gamma(G) + 1 \).

Next, since \( \Delta(G^c) \leq n - 1 \) we have \( \Gamma(G^c) \leq \Delta(G^c) + 1 \leq n \). Moreover every vertex \( x_i \) of \( V_1 \) has degree \( d_{G^c}(x_i) = n - 1 \) and it follows that the maximum number of colors in \( N_{G^c}(x_i) \) is \( (n - 1) - d_G(x_i) + 2 \) because \( d_G(x_i) \) neighbors of \( x_i \) in \( G^c \) are vertices of \( V_2 \) which can be colored only by 1 or 2. Therefore \( \Gamma(G^c) \leq (n - 1) - \delta(G) + 2 + 1 \) and the result holds.

**Corollary 2.3.** Let \( G \) be a graph of stability number \( \alpha(G) \). Then \( \Gamma(G^c) \geq \alpha(G) + 1 \).

**Proof.** Let \( S \) be a stable set in \( G \) of size \( \alpha(G) (S \text{ is a clique in } \overline{G}) \). By Proposition 2.2 we have \( \Gamma(G^c) \geq \Gamma(G) + 1 \geq \alpha(G) + 1 \).

**Proposition 2.4.** Let \( G \) be a graph of order \( n \) and size \( m \). Then \( m \leq \Gamma(G^c) \leq m + n - 2 \).

**Proof.** By construction, vertices of \( V_2(G^c) \) form a clique in \( \overline{G} \). Thus \( \Gamma(G^c) \geq |V_2(G^c)| = m \). Moreover in \( \overline{G} \), each vertex of \( V_2(G^c) \) has the maximum degree \( \Delta(G^c) = (m - 1) + (n - 2) = n + m - 3 \) and \( \Gamma(G^c) \leq \Delta(G^c) + 1 = n + m - 2 \).

We then present an upper bound for \( \Gamma(G^c) + \Gamma(\overline{G}) \) which improves the bound of Theorem 2.1 for central graphs when \( n \geq 10 \) and \( m \) is large enough.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let \( G \) be a graph of order \( n \) and size \( m \). Then \( \Gamma(G^c) + \Gamma(\overline{G}) \leq \min\{m + 2n - 2, m + 2n - \delta(G)\} \).

**Proof.** Deduced from Propositions 2.2 and 2.4.

**Remark.** Note that \( G^c \) is of order \( n' = n + m \). Consider \( \delta(G) \geq 2 \). Thus from Theorem 2.5, if \( n \geq 10 \) and \( m \geq 3n + 5 - 4\delta(G) \) then

\[
m \geq 3n + 5 - 4\delta(G),
\]

\[
m + (4m + 5n - 1) \geq 3n + 5 - 4\delta(G) + (4m + 5n - 1),
\]

\[
5n' - 1 \geq 4m + 8n + 4 - 4\delta(G),
\]

\[
\left\lceil \frac{5n' + 2}{4} \right\rceil \geq \frac{5n' - 1}{4} \geq m + 2n + 1 - \delta(G) > m + 2n - \delta(G),
\]

and the upper bound of Theorem 2.1 is improved for central graphs. By the same way if we consider \( \delta(G) = 1, n \geq 10 \) and \( m \geq 3n - 3 \), we have a similar relation \( \left\lceil \frac{5n' + 2}{4} \right\rceil > m + 2n - 2 \).
3 The Grundy number of some central graphs

In the above section we showed that \( \alpha(G) + 1 \leq \Gamma(G^c) \leq \min\{n, n + 2 - \delta(G)\} \).
Now we present exact values for the Grundy number of central graphs for some classical graphs where the both bounds are reached. In particular we consider the central graphs of complete graphs, complete bipartite graphs, complete \( k \)-ary trees, paths and cycles.

Before studying these classes of graphs we can do some remarks on the Grundy number of a central graph \( G^c \) versus the Grundy number of \( G \). Indeed we have \( G^c \subseteq G \) which gives the following bounds.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let \( G \) be a graph. Then, \( \Gamma(G^c) + 1 \leq \Gamma(G) \leq \Gamma(G^c) + 2 \) if \( G \) is connected and \( \Gamma(G^c) \leq \Gamma(G) \leq \Gamma(G^c) + 2 \) otherwise.

**Proof.** The lower bounds are given by Proposition 2.2. And if we suppose a Grundy coloring of \( G^c \) with \( k \geq \Gamma(G) + 3 \) colors, then a vertex \( x \) colored by \( c(x) \geq \Gamma(G) + 3 \) has a neighbor in \( V_2(G^c) \) with color \( c' \geq 3 \) which cannot be satisfied, a contradiction. Therefore \( \Gamma(G^c) \leq \Gamma(G) + 2 \).

From this relation we see that it is possible to determine the Grundy number of a central graph \( G^c \) from the Grundy number of the complement graph \( \overline{G} \) in polynomial time.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let \( \overline{G} \) be the complement graph of a connected graph \( G \) with a Grundy coloring of \( \Gamma(\overline{G}) \) colors. Then a coloring of \( G^c \) can be determined in polynomial time.

**Proof.** By Proposition 3.1 we see that \( \Gamma(G^c) \) can have two possible values. For the lower value, a polynomial coloring algorithm is given in Proposition 2.2. Then note that Zaker [11] introduced the concept of \( t \)-atom which is a sufficient and necessary condition to have a Grundy coloring of \( t \) colors (i.e. a minimal induced subgraph of \( G \), Grundy colorable with \( t \) colors). Moreover in [11], Zaker shows how to construct the set of \( t \)-atoms (from \( (t - 1) \)-atoms) and he proves (Theorem 1 and its corollary) that there exists a polynomial time algorithm to determine whether \( \Gamma(G) \geq t \) for any given graph \( G \) and integer \( t \) by determining if one of the \( t \)-atoms (generated above) exists in \( G \). If exists, the coloring of such a \( t \)-atom can be extended in a polynomial time algorithm to the remaining of \( G \) by a greedy algorithm and \( \Gamma(G) \geq t \). Therefore in a polynomial time algorithm we can determine if \( \Gamma(G^c) \geq \Gamma(\overline{G}) + 2 \) (and by Proposition 3.1, \( \Gamma(G^c) = \Gamma(\overline{G}) + 2 \)).

Despite the relation between central and complement graphs, for general central graph the problem of determining the Grundy number stays a NP-complete problem. This is why we focus on particular classes of central graphs. Firstly we consider complete and bipartite graphs.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \( K_n \) be a complete graph of order \( n \geq 4 \). Then \( \Gamma(K_n^c) = 3 \).
Proof. In $K^c_n$ there exits an induced subpath $P_4$ colorable with colors $1-2-3-1$ (a Grundy coloring). Then remaining vertices are colorable in a proper coloring and $\Gamma(K^c_n) \geq 3$. Moreover $\delta(K_n) = n - 1$ and Proposition 2.2 gives $\Gamma(K^c_n) \leq n + 2 - \delta(K_n) = 3$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $K_{p,q}$ be a complete bipartite graph of order $p + q$, with $p \geq q \geq 2$. Then $\Gamma(K^c_{p,q}) = p + 2$.

Proof. Denote by $S_p = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_p\}$ and $S_q = \{x_{p+1}, x_{p+2}, \ldots, x_{p+q}\}$ the partitions of $K_{p,q}$. Vertices of $S_p$ form a clique in $K^c_{p,q}$. Color these vertices with colors $3, 4, \ldots, p + 2$. Then put $c(x_{p+1}) = 1$ and color the vertices of $V_2(K^c_{p,q})$ as follows: $c(x_{i,p+1}) = 2$ for any $1 \leq i \leq p$ and the others with color $1$. Thus each vertex of $S_p$ is adjacent to all the colors (except its own color). Moreover vertices $x_{i,p+1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq p$) are also satisfied because $c(x_{p+1}) = 1$. Remaining vertices can be properly colored and the coloring is a Grundy coloring. Thus $\Gamma(K^c_{p,q}) \geq p + 2$.

Furthermore we have $\delta(K_{p,q}) = q$ and Proposition 2.2 gives $\Gamma(K^c_{p,q}) \leq (p + q) + 2 - \delta(K_{p,q}) = p + 2$. \hfill \Box

Secondly we consider complete rooted trees. In a rooted tree of height $h$, the root is on the level $0$ while the leaves are on level $h$. Moreover for a vertex $x$ on level $i$ ($0 \leq i < h$), a son of $x$ is a neighbor of $x$ on level $i + 1$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $T_{k,h}$ be a complete $k$-ary tree of height $h \geq 4$, with $k \geq 2$. Let $L(i)$ be the number of vertices on the level $i$ ($L(i) = k^i$). Then $\Gamma(T^c_{k,h}) = 1 + \sum_{i \in I} L(i)$ where $I = \{0, 2, \ldots, h\}$ if $h$ is even and $I = \{1, 3, \ldots, h\}$ if $h$ is odd.

Proof. Let $q = \sum_{i \in I} L(i)$ with $I = \{0, 2, \ldots, h\}$ if $h$ is even and $I = \{1, 3, \ldots, h\}$ if $h$ is odd. Note that $q$ corresponds to the number of vertices in the even levels if $h$ is even (resp. odd levels if $h$ is odd) of the tree $T_{k,h}$. In $T_{k,h}$ we have $\alpha(T_{k,h}) \geq q$ and by Corollary 2.3 we deduce $\Gamma(T^c_{k,h}) \geq 1 + q$.

The upper bound can be proved by contradiction. Suppose there exists a Grundy coloring of $T^c_{k,h}$ with $q'$ colors such that $q' \geq 2 + q$. We see that $T^c_{k,h}$ can be decomposed into two cliques $K_o$ and $K_e$ (due to respectively odd and even levels) where there exists an edge between each vertex of a set and at most $k + 1$ vertices of the other set (corresponding to its neighbor in the previous level, if exists, and its $k$ neighbors in the next level in $T_{k,h}$, if exist). The graph $T^c_{k,h}$ is the same one where each edge between cliques is splitted by a vertex of $V_2$. Note that since $h \geq 4$ and $k \geq 2$ we have $q > \max\{4, k + 1\}$.

Thus we have $q = |K_o| > |K_e|$ if $h$ is odd while $q = |K_o| > |K_e|$ otherwise. Without loss of generality, consider $h$ is odd and $q = |K_o| > |K_e|$. We see that color 2 cannot be on a vertex of $K_o$, otherwise any vertex of $K_o$ with color $c > 3$ must have a neighbor in $V_2$ colored by 3, a contradiction. Then $K_o$ contains colors $3$ to $q + 2$ and these vertices need colors $1$ and $2$ on $V_2$. Thus at least $q$ vertices of $V_2$ have color $2$. Since each vertex of $K_e$ has at most $k + 1$ neighbors of $V_2$ and $q > k + 1$, then at least two vertices of $K_e$ are adjacent to vertices of
V_2 colored by 2 and must be colored with color 1. This implies a non proper coloring because K_e is a clique, a contradiction. Therefore \( \Gamma(T_{k,h}) \leq 1 + q \).

Finally we focus on central graphs of paths and cycles. Paths and cycles are classical graphs. Several graphs based on them have been studied. For instance the Grundy number of power paths and power cycles was studied in [9]. Power graphs \( G^p \) are obtained from \( G \) by adding edges between vertices at distance at most \( p \) in \( G \). A central graph is then a power graph (where \( p \) is the diameter of \( G \)) with new added vertices. Moreover recently central paths and central cycles were considered for another maximal coloring called the \( b \)-coloring [10].

We start with some results on the number of colors used on Grundy colorings.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let \( G \) be either a path \( P_n \) or a cycle \( C_n \) of order \( n \geq 6 \). In \( G^c \), denote by \( \mathcal{C}_i \) the set of colors used on \( V_i \), with \( i \in \{1,2\} \). In any Grundy coloring of \( G^c \) only the color \( c = 1 \) can satisfy \( c \in \mathcal{C}_2 \) and \( c \notin \mathcal{C}_1 \).

**Proof.** Suppose that two distinct colors \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) appear only on \( V_2 \), i.e. \( c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{C}_2 \) and \( c_1, c_2 \notin \mathcal{C}_1 \) (assume \( c_1 > c_2 \)). To satisfy a vertex colored by \( c_1 \) the color \( c_2 \) must be repeated on \( V_1 \). This is a contradiction and a unique color \( c \) can appear only on \( V_2 \). Suppose that \( c > 1 \). To satisfy the vertices of \( V_2 \) and since \( n \geq 6 \) (so \( |V_2| \geq 4 \)), there exist two vertices of \( V_1 \) colored by 1 such that the distance between them is 2 or more (i.e. they are adjacent in \( G^c \)). This contradicts the property of the coloring. Hence all vertices of \( V_2 \) are colored with color 1.

**Lemma 3.7.** Let \( P_n \) and \( C_n \) be respectively a path and a cycle of order \( n = 3q + r \geq 6 \), with \( 0 \leq r \leq 2 \). Then,

a) any Grundy coloring of \( P_n^c \) or \( C_n^c \) using \( k \geq 2 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \) colors has at least \( \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \right\rfloor \) repeated colors.

b) any Grundy coloring of \( C_n^c \) using \( k \geq 2 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \) colors has at least \( \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \) repeated colors, if \( r \in \{1,2\} \).

**Proof.** Colors 2 to \( k \) are on vertices of \( V_1 \) (by Lemma 3.6). Let \( n_u \) and \( n_r \) be the numbers of respectively unique and repeated colors on \( V_1 \) (note that \( n_u + n_r = k - 1 \)). Moreover two vertices with unique colors must be adjacent to satisfy the largest one (i.e. they cannot be on consecutive vertices of \( V_1 \)), thus \( n_r \geq n_u \).

a) Suppose that \( n_r < \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \right\rfloor \). Then we have \( \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \right\rfloor > n_r \geq n_u = k - 1 - n_r \geq \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \), a contradiction. Thus at least \( \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \right\rfloor \) vertices of \( V_1 \) have repeated colors.

b) Since we have at least \( \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \right\rfloor \) repeated colors, suppose the coloring has exactly \( n_r = \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \right\rfloor \) repeated colors. If \( k \) is even then \( n_r = \frac{k-2}{2} \) and \( n_u = n_r \geq n_u = k - 1 - n_r = \frac{k-1}{2} \), a contradiction. If \( k \) is odd (thus \( k \geq 2q + 3 \)) then we have \( n_r = \frac{k-1}{2} \) and \( n_u = k - 1 - n_r = \frac{k-3}{2} \). Since the colors of \( n_u \) (put once) and \( n_r \)
(put twice) allow to color $V_1$, we have $n \geq n_u + 2n_r \geq \frac{k-1}{2} + k - 1 \geq 3q + 3$, a
contradiction. Therefore we have $n_r > \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \right\rfloor$.

We then propose the following exact value for the Grundy number of central paths.

**Theorem 3.8.** Let $P_n$ be a path of order $n = 3q + r \geq 6$, with $0 \leq r \leq 2$. The Grundy number of $P_n$ is given by

\[
\Gamma(P_n) = \begin{cases} 
2\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 & \text{if } r = 0, \\
2\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 2 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** By construction only two consecutive vertices on $V_1$ can have the same
color in a proper coloring of $P_n$. Suppose there exists a Grundy coloring of $P_n$
on $k$ colors where $k > 2\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1$ if $r = 0$ and $k > 2\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 2$ otherwise. Lemma
3.7 shows that at least $N \geq \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \right\rfloor$ colors are repeated on the vertices of $V_1$(remaining colors are then put once). Since a color is repeated at most twice,
the $n$ vertices of $V_1$ are colored by at least $(k-1) + N$ colors (color 1 on $V_2$, see Lemma 3.6). We distinguish two cases:

- case $r = 0$ ($n = 3q$): we have $k > 2\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 = 2q + 1$, $N \geq \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \right\rfloor \geq q$ and
  $n \geq k + N - 1 > (2q + 1) + q - 1 = 3q$,
- case $r = 1, 2$ ($n = 3q + r$): we have $k \geq 2\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 3 = 2q + 3$, $N \geq \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \right\rfloor > q$ and
  $n \geq k + N - 1 > (2q + 3) + q - 1 = 3q + 2$.

We obtain a contradiction for each case. Therefore $\Gamma(P_n) \leq 2\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1$ if $r = 0$ and
$\Gamma(P_n) \leq 2\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 2$ otherwise.

The lower bounds are given by construction. Let $k = 2\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 2$. We color
all the vertices of $V_2$ with the color 1. Then we color $c(x_{2i-1}) = c(x_{2i}) = i + 1$
for $1 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$. Next we put $c(x_{2i+1}) = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 2 + i$ for $0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 1.$
Thus if $r = 0$ the graph is completely colored. The colors used on $V_1$ are 2 to
$2\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 = k - 1$. Then if $r = 1$ it remains to color $c(x_{n}) = c(x_{n-3}) + 1 = k$.
Finally if $r = 2$ we color $c(x_{n-1}) = c(x_{n}) = c(x_{n-4}) + 1 = k$. The coloring is
then a Grundy coloring. Indeed first note that each vertex of $V_1$ is adjacent to
the color 1 since it is put on all the vertices of $V_2$. Then since every color $c$
of the interval $[2, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1]$ is put twice, every vertex of $V_1$ can reach the color $c$
(except the vertices colored by $c$). Moreover, since the distance in $P_n$ between
two vertices with colors from $\left\lceil \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 2; k \right\rceil$ (resp. $\left\lceil \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 2; k - 1 \right\rceil$ if $r = 0$)
is at least two, they are adjacent in $P_n$. Therefore each vertex of $V_1$ is a Grundy
vertex and $\Gamma(P_n) \geq 2\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1$ if $r = 0$ and $\Gamma(P_n) \geq 2\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 2$ otherwise.

Finally we determine the exact value of the Grundy number of central cycles.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let $C_n$ be a cycle of order $n$, with $n = 3q + r \geq 6$ and $0 \leq r \leq 2$. Then the Grundy number of $C_n$ is given by

\[
\Gamma(C_n) = \begin{cases} 
2\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 & \text{if } r = 0, \\
2\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + r & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]
Proof. As in Theorem 3.8 we can prove the upper bound by contradiction. Suppose there exists a Grundy coloring of $C_n^r$ with $k$ colors where $k > 2 \left\lfloor \frac{q}{r} \right\rfloor + 1$ if $r = 0$ and $k > 2 \left\lfloor \frac{q}{r} \right\rfloor + r$ otherwise. Lemma 3.7 shows that at least $N$ colors are repeated on the vertices of $V_1$ (remaining colors are then put once), with $N \geq \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \right\rfloor$ if $r = 0$ and $N \geq 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \right\rfloor$ otherwise. Thus the $n$ vertices of $V_1$ must be colored by at least $(k-1) + N$ colors (color 1 is on $V_2$, by Lemma 3.6).

We distinguish three cases:

- **Case $r = 0$ ($n = 3q$)** then $k > 2 \left\lfloor \frac{q}{r} \right\rfloor + 1 = 2q + 1$. By Lemma 3.7.a we have $N \geq 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \right\rfloor \geq q$. Thus $n \geq k + N - 1 > (2q + 1) + q - 1 = 3q$,

- **Case $r = 1$ ($n = 3q + 1$)** then $k \geq 2 \left\lfloor \frac{q}{r} \right\rfloor + 2 = 2q + 2$. By Lemma 3.7.b we have $N > \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \right\rfloor \geq q$. Thus $n \geq k + N - 1 > (2q + 2) + q - 1 = 3q + 1$,

- **Case $r = 2$ ($n = 3q + 2$)** then $k \geq 2 \left\lfloor \frac{q}{r} \right\rfloor + 3 = 2q + 3$. By Lemma 3.7.a we have $N \geq \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \right\rfloor > q$ and $n \geq k + N - 1 > (2q + 3) + q - 1 = 3q + 2$.

These contradictions imply $\Gamma(C_n^r) \leq 2 \left\lfloor \frac{q}{r} \right\rfloor + 1$ if $r = 0$ and $\Gamma(C_n^r) \leq 2 \left\lfloor \frac{q}{r} \right\rfloor + r$ otherwise.

The lower bounds are deduced from the constructions given in Theorem 3.8 for the central graphs of paths. Let $G$ be the induced subgraph of $C_n^r$ defined by $V(G) = V(C_n^r) \setminus \{x_n, 1\}$. Remark that $P_n^r \equiv G \cup \{(x_1, x_n)\}$. We distinguish three cases. Consider $r = 0$. Put the coloring of Theorem 3.8 (case $r = 0$) on $G$. Since $c(x_n) = c(x_{n-1})$ the vertex $x_1$ is satisfied in both $G$ and $C_n^r$. We complete the coloring of $C_n^r$ by $c(x_{n, 1}) = 1$. Therefore $\Gamma(C_n^r) = \Gamma(C(P_n)) = 2 \left\lfloor \frac{q}{r} \right\rfloor + 1$. Next consider $r = 1$. By the same way, put the coloring of Theorem 3.8 (case $r = 1$) on $G$. Note that since $x_1$ is not adjacent to $x_n$ (which is the unique vertex of its color) in $C_n^r$, we recolor $x_n$ such that $c(x_n) = c(x_1)$. Moreover since $c(x_{n-1}) = c(x_{n-2})$ then $x_n$ is a Grundy vertex. We complete the coloring of $C_n^r$ by $c(x_{n, 1}) = 1$. Therefore $\Gamma(C_n^r) = \Gamma(C(P_n)) = 2 \left\lfloor \frac{q}{r} \right\rfloor + 1$. Finally consider $r = 2$. Put the coloring of Theorem 3.8 (case $r = 2$) on $G$ and recolor $x_n$ such that $c(x_n) = c(x_1)$ ($x_n$ is a Grundy vertex since $x_n$ and $x_{n-1}$ are not adjacent in $C_n^r$ and $c(x_n) < c(x_{n-1})$). Note that $x_{n-1}$ remains the last vertex of its color but it stays a Grundy vertex. We complete the coloring of $C_n^r$ by $c(x_{n, 1}) = 1$ and we have $\Gamma(C_n^r) = \Gamma(C(P_n)) = 2 \left\lfloor \frac{q}{r} \right\rfloor + 2$. \hfill \Box

4 Conclusion

We have presented some results on the Grundy colorings of central graphs. In particular we have given exact values for the central graphs of some classical graphs and have shown that lower and upper bounds given in Proposition 2.2 and Corollary 2.3 are reached.

A relaxed approach of the Grundy coloring, called the partial Grundy coloring, was introduced by Erdős et al. \cite{6} where every color has at least one Grundy vertex. The partial Grundy number, denoted $\delta \Gamma(G)$, is then defined as the maximum integer $k$ such that $G$ admits a partial Grundy $k$-coloring. The
Corollary 4.1. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ and size $m$. Then,
a) $1 + \Gamma(G) \leq \delta \Gamma(G^c) \leq n$,
b) $\delta \Gamma(G^c) \geq \alpha(G) + 1$,
c) $m \leq \delta \Gamma(G^c) \leq m + n - 2$,
d) $\delta \Gamma(G^c) + \delta \Gamma(G) \leq m + 2n - 2$.

Proof. This is deduced from the fact that $\Gamma(G) \leq \delta \Gamma(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$ for any graph $G$. For case c, see Proposition 2.4.

However even for such a coloring we can find classes of central graphs for which the upper bound $\delta \Gamma(G^c) \leq n$ is reached (for instance central chordal graphs) while it is not for some others (for instance central even paths). A graph is a chordal graph if each induced cycle of length 4 or more has a chord (an edge connecting two non adjacent vertices). Chordal graphs are sometimes called triangulated graphs.

Theorem 4.2. Let $G$ be a chordal graph of order $n \geq 3$. Then $\delta \Gamma(G^c) = n$.

Proof. By Corollary 4.1 we have $\delta \Gamma(G^c) \leq n$. The lower bound is given by construction. Color the vertices of $V_1$ with colors $1, 2, \ldots, n$. Then in $G^c$, for every triangle $\{x_i, x_j, x_k\}$, with $c(x_i) > c(x_j) > c(x_k)$, vertex $x_i$ does not reach colors $c(x_j)$ and $c(x_k)$ (and vertex $x_j$ does not reach color $c(x_k)$). Then put $c(x_{i,j}) = c(x_k)$ and $c(x_{i,k}) = c(x_j)$ to make $x_i$ and $x_j$ Grundy vertices. Thus all the vertices of $V_1$ are Grundy vertices. If it remains non colored vertices of $V_2$ they can be properly colored with color 1, 2 or 3 since they have degree 2. Therefore $\delta \Gamma(G^c) \geq n$.

Theorem 4.3. Let $P_n$ be a path of order $n \geq 7$. Then,

$$\delta \Gamma(P_n) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ n - 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Consider $n$ odd. Color $c(x_i) = i$ for odd $i$ and $c(x_i) = i + 2$ for even $i$, with $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$. Then put $c(x_n - 1) = n$ and $c(x_n) = 2$. Vertices $x_i$, with odd $i$, are already Grundy vertices. Finally color $c(x_{i-1}) = c(x_{i+1})$ and $c(x_{i+1}) = c(x_{i-1})$ for even $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus all the vertices are colored and each vertex of $V_1$ is a Grundy vertex ($\delta \Gamma(P_n) \geq n$). And by Corollary 4.1 we have $\delta \Gamma(P_n) \leq n$.

Consider $n$ even. The lower bound is given by construction. Put $c(x_i) = i$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, and $c(x_{j+1}) = c(x_{j-1})$ for any $2 \leq j \leq n - 1$. Thus every colored vertex of $V_1$ is a Grundy vertex. Remaining vertices can be properly colored and $\delta \Gamma(P_n) \geq n - 1$. Next suppose there exists a partial Grundy coloring
of $P_n^c$ using $q \geq n$ colors. Note that $c(x_1) \leq c(x_2)$ and $c(x_n) \leq c(x_{n-1})$ to have a proper coloring of $c(x_{1,2})$ and $c(x_{n-1,n})$. Moreover we also observe that either $c(x_1) < c(x_2)$ or $c(x_n) < x_{n-1}$ (or both), otherwise the $q - 1 \geq n - 1$ colors (only one color can appear only on $V_2$) are on the $n - 2$ remaining vertices of $V_1$, a contradiction. Then there exist four consecutive vertices $x_i, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, x_{i+3}$ such that $c(x_i) < c(x_{i+1}) < c(x_{i+2})$ and $c(x_{i+1}) > c(x_{i+2})$ (or $c(x_{i+1}) > c(x_{i+2}) > c(x_{i+3})$ and $c(x_i) < c(x_{i+1})$). In both cases vertices $x_{i+1}$ and $x_{i+2}$ are Grundy vertices in a proper coloring of $P_n^c$ which implies $c(x_{i+1,i+2}) = c(x_i)$ (for $x_{i+1}$) and $c(x_{i+1,i+2}) = c(x_{i+3})$ (for $x_{i+2}$), a contradiction. Therefore $\delta^\Gamma(P_n^c) \leq n - 1$.
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