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ABSTRACT

Writing sustainable, power efficient and green software necessitates understanding the power consumption behavior of a computer program. One of the benefits is the fact that developers, by improving their source code implementations, can optimize power consumption of a software. Existing power consumption models need to be improved by taking into account more components susceptible to consume energy during runtime of an application. In this paper, we first present a detailed classification of previous works on power consumption modelization. Then, we introduce TEEC (Tool to Estimate Energy Consumption) model in order to estimate the power consumed by CPU, memory and disk due to the execution of an application at runtime. The main goal is to guide developers to improve their source code for optimizing energy consumption. TEEC enables determining the part of the code consuming the highest power. This will help to obtain a less energy consuming software with the same functionalities.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The 2015 Paris Climate Conference, COP 21 (Conference of the Parties), the conference have reaffirmed the objective of keeping the rise in temperature below 2°C before the end of the century, by controlling the global greenhouse gas emissions [1]. Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) represents around 2% of worldwide greenhouse gas emissions (GGE) [2]. Moreover, the number of mobile users is increasing due to new technologies, such as mobile Internet, cloud computing, Internet of things, etc. Thus, it is predicted, if nothing is done, that ICT global GGE will be 4% by 2020 [3].

Writing sustainable, power efficient and green software necessitates understanding the power consumption behavior of a computer program. One of the benefits is the fact that developers, by improving their source code implementations, can optimize power consumption of a software. Existing power consumption models need to be improved by taking into account more components susceptible to consume energy during runtime of an application.

In this paper, we first present a detailed classification of previous works on power consumption modelization. Then, we introduce TEEC model (Tool to Estimate Energy Consumption) in order to estimate the power consumed by CPU, memory and disk due to the execution of an application at runtime. The main goal is to guide developers to improve their source code for optimizing energy consumption. TEEC enables determining the part of the code consuming the highest power. This will help to obtain a less energy consuming software with the same functionalities.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present a detailed survey of the related works on power modeling and measurement. Then, we describe the modelization of different components in terms of power consumption in Section 3. In Section 4, we represent our proposed model TEEC, followed by experiments in Section 5. We validate the accuracy of TEEC in Section 6. Finally, Section 7 concludes the work.

2. RELATED WORKS

In related literature, it is possible to find several online tools [4, 5], which aim to estimate the power consumption arising from different components like CPU, memory, disk, network card, etc. However, these power calculators are not accurate enough and
give a global estimation on consumed energy. We believe that there is a need to have a tool, which can accurately estimate the power consumption of an application. For this purpose, researchers have used different methodologies that we can classify into three main categories: hardware methodologies, software methodologies and hybrid methodologies.

2.1 Software Methodology
This type of methodologies estimate the consumed power based on mathematical formula, which is established according to the characteristics of each component susceptible to consume power. We followed systematic review methodology [6] to analyze previous works in literature. We respect the systematic mapping process [7].

2.1.1 Research Type Facet
We summarize research approaches respecting research type facet in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Validation Research</td>
<td>Investigated techniques are novel and have not yet been implemented in practice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation Research</td>
<td>Techniques are implemented in practice and an evaluation of the technique is conducted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution Proposal</td>
<td>A solution for a problem is proposed, the solution can be either novel or a significant extension of an existing technique. The potential benefits and the applicability of the solution is shown by a small example or a good line of argumentation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Research Type Facet

2.1.2 Research Nature Facet
In Table 2, related works on component-based power estimation models are summarized.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>It consists of the studies, where the CPU is taken into account in order to establish a power estimation model of software.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>It consists of the studies, where the memory is taken into account in order to establish a power estimation model of software.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disk</td>
<td>It consists of the studies, where the disk is taken into account in order to establish a power estimation model of software.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Research Nature Facet

Therefore, we will use these two criteria (research work and research type facet) when classifying the works. The final classification is represented in Table 3, where we give also related mathematical equations, together with the brief description of each study.

Using the information in Table 3, we establish a diagram in two bubble plots that is represented in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Systematic map in a bubble plot of research type and nature facets

So, we observe that the majority of studies for calculating power consumption of software takes into account only one component and neglects others. Moreover, the most remarkable research type facet is solution proposal.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Research Type Facet</th>
<th>Research Nature Facet</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Watch [8]</td>
<td>Solution Proposal</td>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>$P_d = C.V_{dd}^2 \cdot \alpha \cdot f$</td>
<td>A framework for estimating CPU power consumption at the architectural level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Framework proposed by Gupta and Singh [9]</td>
<td>Solution Proposal</td>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>$\text{RawPower} = \frac{\text{UserTime} + \text{KernelTime}}{10^9 \cdot \text{CpuUsage}}$</td>
<td>A framework of CPU power modeling in order to minimize power consumption.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PowerAPI [10]</td>
<td>Solution Proposal</td>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>$P_{soft} = P_{comp} + P_{com}$</td>
<td>Tool that estimates the CPU energy consumption of running processes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power model by Bertran [11]</td>
<td>Solution Proposal</td>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>$P_{total} = \sum_{i=1}^{\text{numcomp}} A_{ri} \cdot P_i + P_{static}$</td>
<td>Power model that estimates the power consumption due to CPU component.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Span [12]</td>
<td>Solution Proposal</td>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>$P(ai,fj)<em>{pretotal} = \sum</em>{k=1}^{\text{cores}} P(ai,fj,k)_{pret} + P(fj)$</td>
<td>Manually, specific code can be added in order to locate parts of source code power consumer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simwatch [13]</td>
<td>Solution Proposal</td>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>$P_d = C.V_{dd}^2 \cdot \alpha \cdot F$</td>
<td>Power simulator that estimates CPU power consumption.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAMP [14]</td>
<td>Solution Proposal</td>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>$P_d = A.C_{effective}.V^2 \cdot f$</td>
<td>Estimates the power consumption due to CPU in runtime.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joulemeter [15]</td>
<td>Solution Proposal</td>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>$E_{sys} = E_{CPU} + E_{Monitor} + E_{Disk} + E_{static}$</td>
<td>For a given process, estimates only CPU power consumption.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SoftWatt [16]</td>
<td>Solution Proposal</td>
<td>CPU, Memory, Disk</td>
<td>$P = P_{CPU} + P_{memory} + P_{disk}$</td>
<td>Just estimates power consumption without give information about source code.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vEC [17]</td>
<td>Solution Proposal</td>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>$E = E_{bus} + E_{cell} + E_{pad} + E_{main}$</td>
<td>Virtual Energy Counters, to estimate the energy consumption of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software Tool</td>
<td>Solution Proposal</td>
<td>Memory/Disk</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CACTI-D [18]</td>
<td>Solution Proposal</td>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>A comprehensive memory modeling tool.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed by Vogelsang [19]</td>
<td>Solution Proposal</td>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>Power model based on DRAM architecture in order to operate power usage.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory System Power [21]</td>
<td>Solution Proposal</td>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>A detailed study on DDR3 SDRAM power consumption.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SimplePower [22]</td>
<td>Solution Proposal</td>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>Framework to evaluate the memory system.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hylick tool [25]</td>
<td>Solution Proposal</td>
<td>Disk</td>
<td>Estimates power consumption due to hard drives to find runtime power profile.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engel Proposition [26]</td>
<td>Solution Proposal</td>
<td>Disk</td>
<td>Examines different phases of hard disks.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIND [27]</td>
<td>Solution Proposal</td>
<td>Disk</td>
<td>Measure power consumption of different phases.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dempsey [28]</td>
<td>Solution Proposal</td>
<td>Disk</td>
<td>Disk power consumption simulator.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vesper [29]</td>
<td>Solution Proposal</td>
<td>Disk</td>
<td>Disk power simulator based on the different time passed on each stage.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and $C_i$: time and energy required to spin-down and spin-up from power mode $i$ to 0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lewis Proposition [31]</th>
<th>Solution Proposal</th>
<th>Disk</th>
<th>$E_{hdd} = P_{\text{spinup}} t_{su} + P_{\text{read}} \sum N_r t_r + P_{\text{write}} \sum N_w t_w + P_{\text{idle}} t_{idle}$</th>
<th>Real-time energy estimation model that gives server energy consumption.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SODA [32]</td>
<td>Solution Proposal</td>
<td>Disk</td>
<td>$P_{\text{ppm}} = n \cdot b \cdot wspm^2 \cdot a$</td>
<td>Sensitivity based optimization of disk architecture.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tempo [33]</td>
<td>Solution Proposal</td>
<td>Disk</td>
<td>Measure Power consumption of the disk during data transfers and disk head seeks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Research works classification

2.2 Hardware Methodology

Research works, using hardware methodologies in order to measure the power consumed by components, can be grouped in two categories. First [34, 35], power meters are used to measure directly the voltages and currents in devices to obtain the power. Second way [36] consists to connect power sensors directly into the component that we want to measure the power consumption. This approach is particularly used by high performance servers.

Hardware methodologies are more accurate than software methodologies. However, it is impossible to measure the power consumed by programs on process and virtual machines. Moreover, this method is expansive and circuits consume also power.

2.3 Hybrid Methodology

Hybrid methodology [37, 38] is also a research area, since it enables taking the accuracy of hardware methodologies and the simplicity of software methodologies. However, this way of measurement methodology is more difficult to establish, in practice.

3. POWER MODEL

The power consumption of the software is composed of two parts: static and dynamic. Static power consumption is due to the manufacturer component’s features. Therefore, we cannot modify this part. Hence, we are interested only in dynamic power consumption, which depends on source code of software. In order to model the power consumption of different components, we take into account only dynamic part of power consumption.

3.1 Power Model of CPU

As shown in Table 3, the power consumption equation of CPU is, in the majority of cases, the multiplication of frequency, square of voltage and a constant. So, we propose our formula (1) that is distinguished from others concerning the constant part:

$$P_{CPU} = \beta \cdot f \cdot V_{dd}^2$$

where $\beta = C_e \cdot N \cdot \alpha$, the constant, $C_e$ is the capacitance, $N$ represents the number of gates and $\alpha < 1$ as the average fraction of gates that commute at each cycle, $f$ is the frequency and $V_{dd}$ corresponds to voltage.

The difference of the proposed equation is in the constant part. In order to obtain the power consumed by a specific process, we multiply (1) by the percentage of the process id $N_{id}$ (2):

$$P_{CPU, id} = P_{CPU} \cdot N_{id}$$

3.2 Power Model of Memory

Dynamic DRAM power is composed of four states: activate, precharge, read and write. So, power consumption can be expressed as (3):

$$P_{DRAM} = P_{\text{Activate}} + P_{\text{Precharge}} + P_{\text{Read}} + P_{\text{Write}}$$

We multiply previous equation (3) by the usage percent $M_{id}$ of the process id to obtain Eq. (4):

$$P_{DRAM, id} = P_{DRAM} \cdot M_{id}$$

3.3 Power Model of Disk

A disk executing a sequence of requests is composed of four mode: active, idle, standby and sleep.

The dynamic disk power consumption is obtained when the disk is in active mode. Thus, we can deduce the following equation (5):

$$P_{Disk} = P_{\text{Active}} = P_{\text{Read}} + P_{\text{Write}}$$
where \( P_{\text{read}} \) is the read power and \( P_{\text{write}} \) is the write power.

### 3.4 Total Power Consumption

Based on previous equation, it is possible to define the global power consumption due to software by adding Eq. (2), (4), and (5) in order to obtain the following expression (6):

\[
P_{\text{soft}} = P_{\text{CPU, id}} + P_{\text{DRAM, id}} + P_{\text{Disk}}
\]

### 4. TEEC (TOOL TO ESTIMATE ENERGY CONSUMPTION)

#### 4.1 Green Process

All development processes of a computer program requires following a specific sequence in order to complete the project. In addition, after each phase, a green analysis step can be involved in order to check if the considered step has respected all criteria that allow reducing energy consumption. If the criteria of a phase are not validated by the green analysis, depending uncommitted specifications, a return to the previous step or even return until the requirement analysis step can be performed.

The process described in [10] presents a comprehensive progress of a development project. Thus, we offer our descriptive diagram in Figure 2.
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Figure 3: TEEC

So, using this model, an estimation of power consumption due to each component during runtime of software can be provided.

5. EXPERIMENTS

We carry out our tests on a notebook ASUS N751JK-T7238H, running Windows 8.

Thus, using TEEC, different tests have been executed with unoptimized and optimized methods in order to observe the variation of the power consumption due to the CPU, the memory and the disk and compare them

5.1 Tests Description

Loops have an important effect on the performance of a program and provide efficient way for repeating a piece of code as many times as required. Java has three types of loop control structures which are: while, do-while and for. If we do not know the number of required iterations, then while loop can be used. The do-while loop is always executed at least once and then the condition is checked at the end of the loop. If we know how many iterations are required, then we for loop

Therefore, it is interesting to study some methods that are used during a development of a program in order to examine possible improvement.

5.1.1 Array copy

It is better to use an int data type than byte or short data types for a loop index variable, because of its efficiency. The fact to use byte or short data type as the loop index variable involves implicit type cast to int data type.

It is always efficient to copy arrays using System.arraycopy() than using a loop. Table 4 shows the difference between optimized and unoptimized source code.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unoptimized</th>
<th>Optimized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>for (int j = 0; j &lt; a.length; j++) b[j] = a[j];</td>
<td>System.arraycopy(a, 0, b, 0, b.length);</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Array copy

5.1.2 Locality of Reference

Elements close to each other in memory are faster to access. We can observe this principle with the programs described in Table 5. Locality of reference in an array is used.

In the unoptimized version, the loop reads the values of 100 elements in an array. In the optimized version, the loop loads 100 elements, but they are spaced 100 elements apart from each other.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unoptimized</th>
<th>Optimized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>for (int i = 0; i &lt; 1000000; i++) { int sum = 0; for (int x = 0; x &lt; 50000; x += 100) { sum += values[x]; } }</td>
<td>for (int i = 0; i &lt; 1000000; i++) { int sum = 0; for (int x = 0; x &lt; 500; x++) { sum += values[x]; } }</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Locality of Reference

5.1.3 Array and array list

Arrays are harder to use than ArrayLists, but they have a speed advantage, even on simple element accesses. In Table 6, we represent a sum of two 100-element collections: an array and an ArrayList.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unoptimized</th>
<th>Optimized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>for (int i=0; i &lt; 1000000; i++) { int sum = 0; for (int v = 0; v &lt; list.size(); v++) sum += list.get(v); }</td>
<td>for (int i = 0; i &lt; list.size(); i++) { int sum = 0; for (int v = 0; v &lt; array.length; v++) sum += array[v]; }</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Array and array list

5.1.4 Integer list loop
There are several ways to iterate elements of an integer list. In Table 7, we compare two different ways.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unoptimized</th>
<th>Optimized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><code>for (Integer i : list) count++;</code></td>
<td><code>int size = list.size(); for (int i = 0; i &lt; size; i++) count++;</code></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Integer list loop

5.1.5 Char array and StringBuilder

We can replace a StringBuilder with a char array in some programs as in Table 8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unoptimized</th>
<th>Optimized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><code>for (int i = 0; i &lt; 1000000; i++) { StringBuilder builder = new StringBuilder(); for (int v = 0; v &lt; 1000; v++) builder.append('?'); String result = builder.toString(); }</code></td>
<td><code>for (int i = 0; i &lt; 1000000; i++) { char[] array = new char[1000]; for (int v = 0; v &lt; 1000; v++) array[v] = '?'; String result = new String(array); }</code></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8: Char array and StringBuilder

5.1.6 Binary search

As showed in Table 9, the BinarySearch method searches an integer in a sorted array of integers. This is more practical to use compare to a for loop.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unoptimized</th>
<th>Optimized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><code>for (int i = 0; i &lt; 10000000; i++) { int index = -1; for (int j = 0; j &lt; values.length; j++) { if (values[j] == 80) { index = j; break; } } }</code></td>
<td><code>for (int i = 0; i &lt; 10000000; i++) { int index = Arrays.binarySearch(values, 80); }</code></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9: Binary search

5.2 Results

We develop two JAVA projects in order to regroup all the optimized and unoptimized methods previously defined. We obtain the following power and energy related relationships (Figure 4, 5, 6 and 7).

Therefore, we observe that globally the power consumption of CPU dominates memory or disk consumption. If we examine the results obtained each 50 ms, we can note that the power consumption of
disk can be neglected for these cases, but in some cases power consumption of memory must be taken into account. In addition, we can note that the power consumption of the unoptimized code is higher than the one of the optimized code and the total execution time of optimized code is less than the one of the unoptimized code. Consequently, it is a great interest to develop optimized parts of code in order to obtain green, sustainable and efficient software.

So, going more in details, for each method code, we measure the time elapsed during the execution of the tests and results are represented in Table 10.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functions</th>
<th>Unoptimized</th>
<th>Optimized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time (ms)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Array copy</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locality of reference</td>
<td>18140</td>
<td>17219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compare array to array list</td>
<td>22047</td>
<td>17297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compare integer list loop</td>
<td>7734</td>
<td>7391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Char array StringBuilder</td>
<td>11235</td>
<td>2421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binary search</td>
<td>2250</td>
<td>438</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10: Functions time execution

Hence, optimized codes are found faster than unoptimized codes. Particularly, we can remark a faster execution of the following optimized methods: “Locality of reference”, “Compare array to array list”, “Char array StringBuilder” and “Binary search”.

6. VALIDATION

To validate our experiments, we use a powermeter ‘wattsup?PRO’ as shown in Figure 8. We connect this powermeter to the notebook via USB port. This device saves in his memory the power consumed by all process in runtime. So, we connect WattsUp to the notebook and then we wait until the power reach a stationary state. Then, we execute the unoptimized code, followed by the optimized code. We then transfer the results using the application WattsUpUSB and the results are depicted in Figure 9.

Comparing to the results obtain with TEEC, even if we make a measurement in each second, we can say that in all of the case, optimized code test is faster and reveals less power than unoptimized code test. Each optimized and unoptimized curves present some increase of power as we observed with TEEC.

Figure 8: wattsup?PRO

7. CONCLUSION

In addition to the CPU, a modelization of memory and hard disk have been made to describe the consumption behavior of each component. The proposed tool, named TEEC, takes into account all these three components. Mathematical expressions have been established in order to calculate the power consumption of each component.

The accuracy of TEEC has been tested over several optimized and unoptimized functions and validated against a real powermeter.

The results revealed that the power consumption of memory should not always be neglected when compared to the CPU power consumption, whereas power consumption of hard disk can be neglected. We observed that the optimization of source code is required in order to contribute to the reduction of the greenhouse gas emissions.

Going further, we will extend the capability of TEEC by integrating other components power consumption (such as network interface cards, etc.). Then, we will use the output of TEEC to guide developers in order to build greener software in real time and analyze the results.
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