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Abstract—Kinesthetic motor imagery (KMI) tasks induce brain oscillations over specific regions of the primary motor cortex within the contralateral hemisphere of the body part involved in the process. This activity can be measured through the analysis of electroencephalographic (EEG) recordings and is particularly interesting for Brain-Computer Interface (BCI) applications. The most common approach for classification consists of analyzing the signal during the course of the motor task within a frequency range including the alpha band, which attempts to detect the Event-Related Desynchronization (ERD) characteristics of the physiological phenomenon. However, to discriminate right-hand KMI and left-hand KMI, this scheme can lead to poor results on subjects for which the lateralization is not significant enough. To solve this problem, we propose that the signal be analyzed at the end of the motor imagery within a higher frequency range, which contains the Event-Related Synchronization (ERS). This study found that 6 out of 15 subjects have a higher classification rate after the KMI than during the KMI, due to a higher lateralization during this period. Thus, for this population we can obtain a significant improvement of 13% in classification taking into account the users lateralization profile.

I. INTRODUCTION

Brain-Computer interfaces (BCI) allow users to interact with a system using brain activity modulation mainly in electroencephalographic (EEG) signals [1]. One major interaction mode is based on the detection of modulations of sensorimotor rhythms during a kinesthetic motor imagery (KMI), i.e, the ability to imagine performing a movement without executing it [2], [3]. More precisely, alpha (7-13 Hz) and beta rhythms (15-25 Hz) modulations can be observed measuring Event-Related Desynchronization (ERD) or Synchronization (ERS). In particular, before and during an imagined movement, there is a gradual decrease of power, mainly in the alpha band. Furthermore, after the end of the motor imagery, in the beta band, there is an increase of power called ERS or post-movement beta rebound [4].

A KMI generates an activity over specific regions of the primary motor cortex within the contralateral hemisphere of the body part used in the process [5]. Some BCIs are based on this contralateral activation to differentiate the cerebral activity generated by a right-hand KMI from a left-hand KMI [6]. Usually, the modulation corresponding to a user interaction is scanned in specific frequency bands such as Alpha, Beta or Alpha+Beta (8-30 Hz). This activity is mainly observed, during the KMI in the 8-30 Hz band, which merge alpha and beta bands, or after the KMI in the beta band [7].

Detection rates for these two KMI tasks vary with subjects and could be improved. Indeed, between 15% and 30% of the users are considered as BCI-illiterate and cannot control a BCI [8]. In this article, we suggest that some of the so-called BCI-illiterate subjects have poor performance due to poor lateralization during the KMI task. Several studies showed activity only in the contralateral area [9] for a KMI, but other studies showed that ERD and ERS are also in the ipsilateral area [10] and could be a problem for BCI classification.

According to our knowledge, no studies compare the classifier accuracy based on signals observed during the KMI versus after the KMI. In this article, we hypothesize the possibility to define specific profile of BCI users based on the contralateral activity of the ERD and the ERS. We define three BCI profiles based on accuracy: users with good accuracy i) during the KMI in the Alpha band, ii) during the KMI in the Alpha+Beta bands and iii) after the KMI in the Beta band. We also show that the accuracy is linked to the absence or presence of a contralateral activity during the observed periods.

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

A. Participants

Fifteen right-handed healthy volunteer subjects took part in this experiment (11 men and 4 women, 19 to 43 years old). They had no medical history which could have influenced the task. All experiments were carried out with the consent agreement (approved by the ethical committee of INRIA) of each participant and following the statements of the WMA declaration of Helsinki on ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects [11].

B. Electrophysiological data

EEG signals were recorded by the OpenViBE [12] platform from fifteen right-handed healthy subjects at 256 Hz using a commercial REFA amplifier developed by TMS International™. The EEG cap was fitted with 26 passive electrodes, namely Fp1; Fpz; Fp2; Fz; FC5; FC1; FC2; FC4; FC6; C5; C3; C1; Cz; C2; C4; C6; CP5; CP1; CPz; CP2; CP4; CP6 and Fz, re-referenced with respect to the common average reference across all channels and placed by using the international 10-20 system positions to cover the primary sensorimotor cortex.
C. Protocol

Subjects were asked to perform two different kinesthetic motor imageries to imagine the feeling of the movement (left hand and right hand). They were seated in a comfortable chair with the arms at their sides in front of a computer screen showing the cue indicated the task to perform. The whole session consisted of 4 runs containing 10 trials per task for a total of 40 trials per class.

Two panels were simultaneously displayed on the screen, which were associated from left to right, to the left hand and right hand. Each trial was randomly presented and lasted for 12 seconds, starting at second 0 with a cross at the center of each panel and an overlaid arrow indicating for the next 6 seconds the task to be performed.

D. Common Spatial Pattern

We used the algorithm called Common Spatial Pattern (CSP) to extract motor imagery features from EEG signals; this generated a series of spatial filters that were applied to decompose multi-dimensional data into a set of uncorrelated components [13]. These filters aim to extract elements that simultaneously maximize the variance of one class, while minimizing the variance of the other one. This algorithm has been used for all conditions: the three frequency bands (Alpha, Beta and Alpha+Beta) during the ERD (0-6s) and ERS (6-12s) time windows (Figure 2).

E. ERD/ERS patterns

To evaluate more precisely the modulation which appeared during the two different time windows, we computed the ERD/ERS% using the “band power method” [4] with a Matlab code. First, the EEG signal was filtered considering
one of the three different frequency bands (7-13 Hz, Alpha band; 15-25 Hz, Beta band; Alpha+Beta 8-30 Hz) for all subjects using a 4th-order Butterworth band-pass filter. Then, the signal was squared for each trial and averaged over trials. Then it is smoothed using a 250-ms sliding window with a 100 ms shifting step. The averaged power computed for each window was subtracted and then divided by the averaged power of a baseline corresponding to a 2s window before each trial. Finally, the averaged power computed for each window was subtracted and then divided by the averaged power of a baseline corresponding 2s before each trial. This transformation was multiplied by 100 to obtain percentages. This process can be summarized by the following equation:

\[
ERD/ERS\% = \frac{\bar{x^2} - \overline{BL^2}}{\overline{BL^2}} \times 100, \tag{1}
\]

where \(\bar{x^2}\) is the average of the squared signal over all trials and samples of the studied window, \(\overline{BL^2}\) is the mean of a baseline segment taken at the beginning of the corresponding trial, and ERD/ERS\% is the percentage of the oscillatory power estimated for each step of the sliding window. It is done for all channels separately.

ERD and ERS are difficult to observe from the EEG signal. Indeed, an EEG signal expresses the combination of activities from several neuronal sources. One of the most effective and accurate techniques used to extract events is the average technique [14]. We decided to use this technique to represent the modulation of power of the Alpha, Beta and Alpha+Beta rhythms for two KMI tasks.

III. RESULTS

A. Three BCI user profiles

Table 2 shows the best accuracy obtained for each subject on a discriminative task of left-hand and right-hand KMI according to the three profiles defined in Section I. Thus, 6 subjects have a higher accuracy looking at the Beta band after the KMI, 3 subjects have a higher accuracy looking at the Alpha band during the KMI and 6 subjects have a higher accuracy looking at the Alpha+Beta band during the KMI. The best averaged accuracy over subjects were obtained considering modulations during KMI (in alpha or in alpha+beta bands). However, looking at the individual performances, we can see that 6 subjects were better considering the beta band after the KMI. For this population we can obtain a significant improvement of 13% in classification considering the activity after the KMI versus during the KMI. Using the best profile for each subject improves the averaged accuracy of 6%.

B. Classification rate and contralateral ERD/ERS activity

Subjects with a higher accuracy in the Beta band after the KMI (Profile 2) have a strong ERS in contralateral during this period and a bilateral desynchronization during the KMI in the Alpha and Alpha+Beta bands (see subject 2, Fig. 4). After the KMI, in the three frequency bands, they have no contralateral ERS or no Beta rebound on the motor cortex (see subject 10, Fig. 4). Figure 6 shows that the contralateral activity of subject 10 is higher for KMI tasks during the KMI period in the Alpha band.

Conversely, subjects with a higher accuracy in the Alpha and Alpha+Beta bands during the KMI (Profiles 1 and 3) have a strong contralateral ERD during the task (Fig. 1 and Fig. 4). After the KMI, in the three frequency bands, they have no contralateral ERS or no Beta rebound on the motor cortex (see subject 10, Fig. 4). Figure 6 shows that the contralateral activity of subject 10 is higher for KMI tasks during the KMI period in the Alpha band.
Subjects carried out left-hand KMIs and right-hand KMIs. Results show that 6 out of 15 subjects had a higher classification accuracy based on the post-KMI period in the beta band. This specific accuracy is due to a higher lateralization of ERD and ERS during this period.

Our study shows results which could allow to design an adaptive BCI based on contralateral activity on the motor cortex. The importance of BCI users profiles, especially for patients with severe motor impairments has already been established by other studies [15]. Moreover, it appears that there can be important changes of the contralateral activity under the choice of the frequency band [16], [17]. This is why, if we expect designing an adaptive BCI based on the specific contralateral activity of the motor cortex, it is necessary to merge these two methods.

More subjects are necessary to precise this BCI user profile. However, we investigated other KMIs (not detailed in this article), especially combined KMI (i.e. right-hand and left-hand KMI together versus right-hand KMI) and it appears that some subjects have the same BCI profile.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this article, we analyzed classification accuracies to discriminate right-hand and left-hand kinesthetic motor imageries. More specifically, we distinguished two periods (i.e., during the KMI and after the KMI) for three frequency bands (Alpha, Beta and Alpha+Beta). We defined three BCI profiles based on the accuracy of 15 subjects: users with a good accuracy i) during the KMI in the alpha band, ii) during the KMI in the Alpha+Beta band and iii) after the KMI in the Beta band. This work showed that 6 out of 15 subjects had a higher classification accuracy after the KMI in the beta band, due to a contralateral ERS activity on the motor cortex. Finally, taking into account the user’s lateralization profile, we obtained a significant improvement of 13% in classification for these subjects. This study show that users with a low accuracy analyzing the EEG signals during the KMI cannot be considered as BCI-illiterate. Thus, in future work, an automatic method to profile BCI users will be done allowing to design an adaptive BCI based on the best period to observe a contralateral activity on the motor cortex.
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