Repeated cures with paracetamol worsen sarcopenia in old rats with suboptimal food intake.
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The availability of all amino acids is of prime importance to prevent the ageing-associated decrease in skeletal muscle mass, i.e., sarcopenia. Cysteine is the precursor of sulfate and glutathione that are both utilized in the liver to detoxify paracetamol (APAP). Cysteine availability could become limiting under repeated cures with APAP, especially when food intake is suboptimal. The aim of the study was to determine whether repeated cures with APAP could worsen sarcopenia.

Twenty-two-month-old male Wistar rats received 3 two-week-long cures of APAP (1% of the diet) intercalated with washout periods of two weeks (APAP group). They were compared to untreated control rats euthanatized prior to the experiment (CT group) and rats pair-fed to the APAP group (PF group). Skeletal muscle mass and protein metabolism, as well as plasma amino acids and glutathione, were assessed at the end of the third cure. APAP cures reduced food intake by 33, 23, and 33% during the successive cures leading to an overall body weight loss of 8%. APAP rats lost lean mass by 33, 23, and 33% during the successive cures leading to an overall body weight loss of 8%. APAP rats lost lean mass by 33, 23, and 33% during the successive cures leading to an overall body weight loss of 8%. APAP rats lost lean mass by 33, 23, and 33% during the successive cures leading to an overall body weight loss of 8%.

Sarcoptosis, which could be in part explained by a lowered sensitivity of muscle protein metabolism to stimuli associated with food intake. We recently reported that loss in muscle mass and decreased protein synthesis rate of muscle proteins were 13 and 17% lower in the APAP group than the PF group, respectively. Plasma free cyst(e)ine (i.e., all free forms of cysteine not bound to proteins) and glutathione were 25% lower in the APAP group than the PF group. Repeated cures with APAP worsened sarcopenia in old rats with suboptimal food intake likely as a consequence of the APAP-induced shortage in cysteine/glutathione. Clinical studies are needed to clarify the effect of repeated treatments with paracetamol on skeletal muscle mass in older persons having suboptimal or insufficient dietary intakes.
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muscle (that evidenced a reduction in Cys availability) occurred concomitantly, in adult rats under chronic treatment with APAP, compared to untreated rats (11). A deleterious effect of APAP treatment has also been observed in young adults: APAP abolished the increase in the fractional rate of protein synthesis that is typically induced in muscle by a bout of high intensity eccentric exercise (12). Surprisingly, results published so far in old rats and older persons were rather in favor of a beneficial effect of APAP on muscle. In rats, chronic treatment with a low dose of APAP limited age-associated alterations in the relative amounts of myosin and actin, intracellular ROS and Akt/mTOR signaling, which are thought to be important regarding sarcopenia (13). According to the authors, these results ‘lead to further credence of the possibility that chronic acacetaminophen ingestion may be useful for the treatment of age related muscle atrophy’.

However, none of the three papers related to that study reported muscle masses of old rats (13-15). In elderly men subjected to a resistance exercise program (3 to 5 times a week, 16 weeks), chronic administration of APAP (1 g, 2 hours before each training session) did not change fat free mass and muscle strength but increased to a greater extent the knee flexion strength compared to a placebo group (16). Another clinical study reported that chronic administration of APAP (4 g.d⁻¹) potentiated the exercise (bilateral knee extension, 3 times a week, 12 weeks)-induced gain in the volume of the exercised quadriceps femoris, with no effect on unexercised hamstring muscles (17). Food intake was not systematically recorded in these studies although of prime importance for muscle homeostasis/gain (18). We recently reported a dose and time-dependent negative effect of APAP on food intake in adult rats (11), and a positive effect of APAP on protein intake in older persons (19). Thus, a tight control of food intake is indispensable when investigating the specific effect of APAP on muscle.

APAP is the first-line treatment for persistent and chronic episodes of pain in older persons (20, 21), a population at high risk of APAP-induced sulfur amino acid deficiency (6). We raised the hypothesis that the metabolic impact of APAP detoxification on sulfur amino acid homeostasis could worsen sarcopenia, particularly when food intake is suboptimal. The aim of our study was to determine whether repeated cures with APAP within the range of therapeutic doses worsen sarcopenia in old rats, focusing on muscle protein metabolism, Cys availability to muscle and sulfur amino acid related metabolism. To dissect out the effects of APAP, rats submitted to cures were compared to a control group at baseline and a group of rats pair-fed to APAP-treated rats to avoid any bias derived from food intake differences.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and experimental design

This study was performed in accordance with the Directive 2010/63/EU of the European Parliament and the Council on the Protection of Animals used for Scientific and received the approval (CE 02-12) of the local Ethical Committee, CEMEAA (Comite d’Ethique en Matiere d’Experimentation Animale Auvergne). The experimental protocol conformed to the 3R (replacement, reduction, refinement) ethical rule.

Twenty-month-old male Wistar rats (Janvier Labs, Saint Berthevin, France), were acclimatized 8 weeks in individual cages (type E, 45 x 30 x 20 cm (Charles River, Lyon, France)) under standard conditions (22 ± 1°C, 12 h light/dark cycle) and had free access to water and food (A04 from SAFE (Scientific Animal Food and Engineering), Villemoisson-sur-Orge, France). They were adapted to the experimental control diet (Table 1) then divided into three groups matched on body weight, food intake and lean mass. Ten control rats (CT, 734 ± 26 g) were euthanized before the experiment to get basal data. Eleven rats (APAP, 711 ± 30 g) were submitted to 3 cures (C1, C2 and C3) of 2 weeks of APAP treatment (APAP diet, Table 1), spaced by 2 inter-cure (IC) of 2 weeks without APAP (IC1 and IC2). Eleven rats (PF, 722 ± 33 g) were fed the control diet adjusted on the same daily APAP rats’ food intake. During IC, food intakes of APAP and PF rats were also matched to avoid any difference between the two groups throughout the whole experiment. Body weight and food consumption were recorded daily. Lean mass was assessed using magnetic resonance imaging (Echo MRI international, Houston, TX, USA) at the beginning and the end of each APAP cure. All rats were euthanized at the beginning of the light period under pentobarbital anesthesia (50 mg.kg⁻¹, IP) by aortic blood withdrawal. Food was removed few hours before the anesthesia. Blood was centrifuged at 2000 x g for 15 min at 4°C and plasma was immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Liver and small intestine (SI) were immediately removed, washed with saline and weighed. Skeletal muscles (gastrocnemius (GM), tibialis anterior (TA), soleus (SOL) and extensor digitorum longus (EDL)) were carefully dissected from the left posterior leg and weighed. Immediately after being weighed GM, liver, and SI were frozen in liquid nitrogen, and then stored at −80°C until analyses.

Paracetamol (APAP) administration

Powder of APAP was mixed to the ingredients during the diet preparation. The level of 1% (w/w) was chosen as an equivalent dose of human 4 g.d⁻¹, as previously described (11). Based on the expected food intake the daily dose will be in the range of the typical antinociceptive doses (200 – 300 mg.kg⁻¹) for rats (22). The cure model was chosen to reproduce treatment of chronic pain in human defined as daily pain lasting for at least 3 months (21) and the alternation of painful and remission periods in patients. The length of 2 weeks for cures and intercures took into account the difference between rat and human life expectancies.

Plasma measurements

Plasma amino acids were purified, i.e. 500 µL of plasma was added to 125 µL of sulfosalicylic acid solution (1 mol.L⁻¹ in ethanol with 0.5 mol.L⁻¹ thioglycol) previously completely evaporated. Norleucine (100 µL) was added as an internal standard. Amino acid concentrations were determined using an

Table 1. Composition of the experimental diets (g.kg⁻¹ dry matter).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredients</th>
<th>Control diet</th>
<th>APAP diet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fish meal</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starch</td>
<td>575.5</td>
<td>565.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunflower oil</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulose</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral Mixture AIN 93</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin Mixture AIN 93</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choline bitartrate</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APAP</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The composition of the diet was 16% proteins, 68% carbohydrates, 6% fat, 5% fibers and 5% others. APAP, paracetamol.
automated amino acid analyzer with BTC 2410 resin (Biotronic LC 3000, Roucaire, Velizy, France). Amino acid concentrations were expressed in µmol.L⁻¹.

Plasma total aminothiols (all free forms plus bound forms to proteins through disulfide bridges for Cys, homocysteine (Hcy), cysteinyl-glycine (Cys-gly) and glutathione) were quantified by reversed-phase HPLC conditions and fluorescence detection (23). Briefly, aminothiols were reduced via incubation with tris-(2-carboxyethyl)-phosphine hydrochloride, followed by protein precipitation with trichloroacetic acid and derivatization using anion-exchange-2-oxa-1,3-diazole-4-sulfonic acid. An Alliance HPLC system (Waters, Guyancourt, France) was equipped with a hypergold gold column (4.6 × 150 mm, 3 µm, Thermo Scientific, Illkirch, France). The two mobile phases consisted of A: 0.1 M acetate buffer (pH 4.5) - methanol 97:3 (v/v) and B: buffer A - methanol 78:22 (v/v). Aminothiols were separated over 2 min with 100% A at a flow rate of 1 ml.min⁻¹, followed by 100% B (changed linearly over 1 min) at a flow rate of 1.0 ml.min⁻¹ for 7 min, and 5 min of column re-equilibration for a total run time of 16 min. Free aminothiols (i.e. reduced forms plus homo- and hetero-disulfide forms not bound to proteins) were quantified in plasma samples after removal of proteins, followed by reduction of disulfide bonds using the same analytical method. Plasma aminothiols bound to proteins through disulfide bridges were calculated as differences between total and free concentrations. Aminothiol concentrations were expressed in µmol.L⁻¹.

Hepatotoxicity was assessed by measurement of plasma aspartate transaminase (AST) and alanine transaminase (ALT) levels by photometric method using an automated analyzer (ABX Pentra 400, Horiba, Montpellier, France) and test kits A11A01629 and A11A01627 (Horiba), respectively. Data were expressed in IU.L⁻¹.

**Tissue measurements**

The whole SI, GM and livers were finely pulverized in liquid nitrogen using a ball mill (Dangoumeau, Prolabo, Paris, France). GM was chosen for analyses because it contains a large proportion of type II fibers (24), and type II fiber atrophy is an early contributor to sarcopenia (25). Moreover its large size makes it the most representative of the whole muscle metabolism and allows multiple assays once milled.

Frozen powdered tissues were homogenized in a solution containing 0.2 mol.L⁻¹ perchloric acid and 5 mmol.L⁻¹ EDTA then centrifuged for 20 min at 8000 × g. Reduced glutathione (GSH, the major form) plus glutathione homodisulfide (GSSG) were quantified in plasma samples after removal of proteins using a Bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay reagent kit, as previously described (27). Bovine serum albumin was used as the protein calibration curve (28). Reduced glutathione homodisulfide (GSSG) was assayed by reverse-phase HPLC conditions and fluorescence detection (23). Reduced glutathione was further fractionated into its constituent monomers and dimers using anion-exchange-2-oxa-1,3-diazole-4-sulfonic acid. An Alliance HPLC system (Waters, Guyancourt, France) was equipped with a hypergold gold column (4.6 × 150 mm, 3 µm, Thermo Scientific, Illkirch, France). The two mobile phases consisted of A: 0.1 M acetate buffer (pH 4.5) - methanol 97:3 (v/v) and B: buffer A - methanol 78:22 (v/v). Aminothiols were separated over 2 min with 100% A at a flow rate of 1 ml.min⁻¹, followed by 100% B (changed linearly over 1 min) at a flow rate of 1.0 ml.min⁻¹ for 7 min, and 5 min of column re-equilibration for a total run time of 16 min. Free aminothiols (i.e. reduced forms plus homo- and hetero-disulfide forms not bound to proteins) were quantified in plasma samples after removal of proteins, followed by reduction of disulfide bonds using the same analytical method. Plasma aminothiols bound to proteins through disulfide bridges were calculated as differences between total and free concentrations. Aminothiol concentrations were expressed in µmol.L⁻¹.

**Statistical analysis**

Results are expressed as means ± S.E.M. Body weight and lean mass from the APAP and PF groups were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) for repeated measures with time as the within-rat factor and group as the second variable, followed by Ryan, Einot, Gabriel, Welch q (REGWQ) test when significant differences were detected with the ANOVA. Other results were analyzed using Student’s t test for unpaired data. Significance was set at P ≤ 0.05 and trend at P ≤ 0.10. Analyses
were performed using XLSTAT for Windows, version 2013.1.01 software (Addinsoft, SARL, USA).

RESULTS

Kinetics of daily food intake, body weight and lean mass

There was no significant difference in food ingested during the adaption period, basal body weight and lean mass among the 3 groups (Table 2). In the APAP group, food intake was 34, 24 and 34% lower during the C1, C2 and C3 periods than the adaption period, respectively (Table 2). The daily APAP consumption in the course of cures was about 260 mg.kg$^{-1}$.d$^{-1}$. There was no significant difference in the food intake between the APAP and PF groups throughout the whole experiment (no group effect and no interaction between group and time, ANOVA for repeated measures).

Body weight decreased with time, similarly in the APAP and PF groups (time effect P < 0.001, no group effect, no interaction between group and time, ANOVA for repeated measures) (Table 2). There was no significant difference in the overall loss of body weight between the APAP (–9.1 ± 3.0%) and PF (–7.4 ± 0.8%) groups. Lean mass significantly decreased over time and a significant interaction between group and time was observed (ANOVA for repeated measures). The overall loss of lean mass tended (P = 0.09) to be more pronounced in the APAP group (–11.4 ± 2.0%) than the PF group (–9.0 ± 0.5%).

Skeletal muscle, liver and small intestine masses

Muscle masses were significantly lower in the APAP group than the CT group: –26, –23 and –19% for GM, TA and EDL, respectively and a similar trend was observed for SOL (–11%, P = 0.08, Fig. 1A). The total mass of these muscles was 24% lower in the APAP group than the CT group. Total muscle mass of APAP-treated rats was also lower than the PF one (–13%).

Table 2. Food intake, body weight and lean mass over the experimental periods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Adaptation</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>IC1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>IC2</th>
<th>C3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food intake (g.d$^{-1}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>25.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF</td>
<td>24.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>17.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>21.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>18.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>19.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>17.0 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APAP</td>
<td>25.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td>16.9 ± 1.6</td>
<td>22.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>19.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>19.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>16.8 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global time effect</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Body weight at the end of each period (g)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Adaptation</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>IC1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>IC2</th>
<th>C3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>734 ± 26</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF</td>
<td>722 ± 33</td>
<td>689 ± 31</td>
<td>702 ± 31</td>
<td>688 ± 31</td>
<td>687 ± 29</td>
<td>667 ± 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APAP</td>
<td>711 ± 30</td>
<td>670 ± 25</td>
<td>689 ± 24</td>
<td>660 ± 25</td>
<td>659 ± 22</td>
<td>641 ± 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global time effect</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lean mass at the end of each period (g)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Adaptation</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>IC1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>IC2</th>
<th>C3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>530 ± 11</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF</td>
<td>538 ± 18</td>
<td>503 ± 15</td>
<td>506 ± 15</td>
<td>506 ± 16</td>
<td>499 ± 15</td>
<td>489 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APAP</td>
<td>532 ± 13</td>
<td>496 ± 12</td>
<td>505 ± 12</td>
<td>482 ± 11</td>
<td>485 ± 10</td>
<td>470 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global time effect</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APAP, paracetamol group; C, Cure; CT, control group; IC, inter-cure; PF, pair-fed group. Values are means ± S.E.M. Adaptation values are not significantly different among the three groups (unpaired Student’s t test). ANOVA for repeated measures with time as the within-rat factor and group (PF and APAP) as the second variable for food intake: Time: P < 0.0001, Group: P = 0.505, Time * Group: P = 0.688; body weight: Time: P < 0.0001, Group: P = 0.596, Time * Group: P = 0.716, and lean mass: Time: P < 0.0001, Group: P = 0.550, Time * Group: P < 0.001. a,b,c,d,e significantly different (P < 0.05) within time points for combined PF and APAP groups (REGWQ test).

Table 3. Protein concentrations, fractional synthesis rates, and proteolytic activities of the ubiquitin-proteasome dependent system in control, pair-fed and APAP-treated groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Gastrocnemius</th>
<th>Liver</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proteins (mg.g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>Chymotrypsin-like activity (RFU.µg$^{-1}$.min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>Trypsin-like activity (RFU.µg$^{-1}$.min$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>176 ± 3*</td>
<td>33.0 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF</td>
<td>168 ± 3</td>
<td>29.5 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APAP</td>
<td>158 ± 5**†</td>
<td>32.3 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APAP, paracetamol group; CT, control group; PF, pair-fed group; FSR, fractional synthesis rate. Values are means ± S.E.M. * P ≤ 0.10, ** P ≤ 0.05 versus CT, † P ≤ 0.10, †† P ≤ 0.05 APAP versus PF, unpaired Student’s t test.
mass of GM, on which further measurements were performed, was 12% lower (P = 0.08) in the APAP than the PF group.

There was no significant difference in the liver mass between the APAP and CT groups but it was 27% higher in the APAP group than the PF group (Fig. 1B).

SI mass was 14% lower in the APAP group than the CT group but 9% higher than the PF group (Fig. 1B).

**Skeletal muscle and liver protein contents and synthesis rates, and skeletal muscle proteasome activities**

GM protein concentration was 10% lower in the APAP group than the CT group and tended to be lower than the PF group (–6%, P = 0.09) (Table 3). GM protein content was 33% lower in the APAP group than the CT group and 17% lower than the PF group (Fig. 2A). There was no significant difference in chymotrypsin- and the trypsin-like activities and the FSR among the 3 groups (Table 3). However, GM ASR was 20% lower in the APAP group than the CT group and 17% lower than the PF group (Fig. 2B).

There was no significant difference in liver protein concentration between the APAP and CT groups but it was 14% lower in the APAP group than the PF group (Table 3). Liver protein content was 13% lower in the APAP group than the CT group and there was no significant difference between the APAP and PF groups (Fig. 2A). Liver FSR tended to be higher in the APAP group (12%, P = 0.06) than the CT group and was 12% higher than the PF group (Table 3). There was no significant difference in the liver ASR between the APAP and CT groups (Fig. 2B) but it was 22% higher in the APAP group than the PF group.

**Plasma, small intestine, skeletal muscle and liver glutathione**

Plasma concentrations in total glutathione (all free forms plus protein-bound glutathione) and its free forms were 23 and 26% lower in the APAP group than the CT group and 18 and 25% lower than the PF group, respectively (Fig. 3A). There was no significant difference in the plasma concentration of protein-bound glutathione between the APAP and CT groups. Plasma protein-bound glutathione tended to be higher (44%, P = 0.07) in the APAP group than the PF group.

GSH plus GSSG contents of liver, SI and GM were respectively 68, 22 and 40% lower in the APAP group than the CT group (Fig. 3B). GSH plus GSSG contents of liver and GM were respectively 49 and 29% lower in the APAP group than the PF group.

**Plasma free amino acid concentrations**

Plasma concentration of cystine (CySS) was 34% lower in the APAP group than the CT group and a similar trend was observed for the sum of indispensable amino acids (IAA, -15%, P = 0.10) (Table 3). On the contrary, serine was 42% lower in the APAP group than the CT group and 13% lower than the PF group (Table 3).
higher in the APAP group than the CT group. Methionine and taurine were respectively 65 and 31% lower and the sum of IAA tended to be higher (18%, \(P = 0.06\)) in the APAP group than the PF group.

Plasma free (reduced and oxidized) aminothiol concentrations

Plasma concentrations of cyst(e)ine (Cys + CySS + small Cys disulfides) and free Cys-gly were respectively 15 and 28% lower in the APAP group than the CT group (Table 3). Cyst(e)ine was 25% lower and free Hcy 47% higher in the APAP group than the PF group.

Plasma protein-bound aminothiols

Plasma concentrations of protein-bound Hcy and Cys-gly tended to be 26 (\(P = 0.07\)) and 20% (\(P = 0.09\)) higher in the APAP group than the CT group, and 112 and 30% higher than the PF group, respectively.

Methionine to cyst(e)ine ratios

Methionine to cyst(e)ine ratios and methionine to total Cys (cyst(e)ine plus protein-bound Cys) ratios were respectively 0.30 ± 0.03 and 0.18 ± 0.02 in the CT group; 0.13 ± 0.01 and 0.08 ± 0.01 in the PF group and 0.30 ± 0.05 and 0.16 ± 0.02 in the APAP group. There was no significant difference between the APAP and CT groups. However, these ratios were 131 and 92% higher in the APAP group than the PF group.

Hepatotoxicity markers

Plasma activities of AST and ALT were not significantly higher in the APAP group (AST: 113 ± 24 IU.L\(^{-1}\), ALT: 80 ± 14
Table 4. Plasma amino acids and aminothiols in control, pair-fed and APAP-treated groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Free amino acids (µmol.L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Protein-bound aminothiols (µmol.L⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>1112 ± 89</td>
<td>34.7 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF</td>
<td>806 ± 61**</td>
<td>16.9 ± 2.0**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APAP</td>
<td>948 ± 48*$</td>
<td>27.8 ± 3.1**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Free reduced and oxidized aminothiols (µmol.L⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cyst(e)ine</td>
<td>Hcy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>116 ± 4</td>
<td>1.86 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF</td>
<td>131 ± 4**</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.11**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APAP</td>
<td>99 ± 5**††</td>
<td>2.04 ± 0.19**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APAP, paracetamol group; CT, control group; Cys, cysteine; Cys-Gly, cysteinyl-glycine; CySS, cystine; Hcy, homocysteine; IAA, indispensable amino acids, the sum of histidine, isoleucine, leucine, lysine, methionine, phenylalanine and threonine; Met, methionine; PF, pair-fed group; Ser, serine. Cyst(e)ine is the sum of free Cys, CySS and small Cys disulfides. Values are means ± S.E.M. *P ≤ 0.05 versus CT; †**P ≤ 0.05 versus CT. **P ≤ 0.05 versus CT; ††P ≤ 0.05 APAP versus PF, unpaired Student’s t test.

I.U.L⁻¹) than the CT group (AST: 153 ± 21 I.U.L⁻¹, ALT: 89 ± 11 I.U.L⁻¹) or the PF group (AST: 104 ± 18 I.U.L⁻¹, ALT: 61 ± 11 I.U.L⁻¹).

**DISCUSSION**

In the context of elderly the present reduction of food intake throughout the whole experiment caused a loss in skeletal muscle mass (i.e. PF versus CT) that is representative of sarcopenia. Indeed, sarcopenia is the progressive age-related loss of muscle mass that can be associated with age-related anorexia, one of its multiple causal factors (1). Muscle mass and ASR were lower in the APAP group than the CT group. These effects were not totally due to the APAP-induced reduction in food intake since muscle mass and ASR were predominantly lower in the APAP group than the PF group. Thus, the present data support the idea that repeated cures with APAP can worsen sarcopenia when the food intake is suboptimal.

The worsening of sarcopenia by APAP resulted from an imbalance between proteolysis and synthesis of muscle proteins during the experiment. Major alterations in these pathways would have occurred during C1, for which the loss of lean mass was predominant. At the end of the experiment muscle protein turnover seems unaffected since FSR and proteasome activities, known to be the major proteolytic system involved in muscle mass loss (31), were not significantly different among the three groups. A lack of regulation of the proteasome pathway may be explained by the decreased sensitivity of this pathway to regulatory signals during ageing as previously reported (32, 33). More interestingly, ASR that reflects the total amount of protein synthesized in muscles was low in the APAP group. This result emphasizes that protein metabolism responded to APAP cures in the muscle and that response, whatever the mechanism and the time-course, led to the deleterious decrease in muscle protein content and the worsening of sarcopenia.

The APAP-induced decrease in muscle ASR may have resulted from the increased sequestration of amino acids in the liver. Indeed the increased liver ASR suggests an inter-organ competition for the use of Cys under APAP treatment in favour of the liver and at the expense of muscle. The increase in liver ASR resulted from the increase in FSR, the latter being consistent with the increase in phosphorylated 4E-BP1 (eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E binding protein 1) reported in primary culture hepatocytes (34). However, liver protein content was unchanged, suggesting that the net sequestration of amino acids by the liver did not increase, unless the exportation of proteins increased.

The APAP-induced decrease in muscle ASR more likely resulted from the shortage of Cys. Indeed, plasma concentration in free cyst(e)ine, the form of Cys exchangeable between plasma and tissues decreased, whereas concentrations in IAA increased. This amino acid pattern strongly suggests that (i) Cys was the limiting amino acid for muscle protein synthesis in the APAP group and (ii) the lower protein synthesis rate in muscle could be responsible for the increase in plasma IAA. Indeed, it is of common knowledge that decreased availability in one IAA can compromise protein synthesis and that other IAA increase in plasma. Moreover, muscle lacking the enzymes necessary to synthetize Cys from methionine (35, 36), the decrease in plasma Cys could not be compensated by an intra-muscular conversion of methionine to Cys.

Another mechanism that could be responsible for alteration in protein metabolism in the course of APAP cures could be the decrease in anti-oxidative defense. Indeed, muscle content of glutathione, the major intra-cellular anti-oxidant, decreased consecutively to the APAP-induced shortage in Cys. The present APAP-induced decrease in muscle glutathione content implies a decrease in GSH, the reduced form being the major form and the anti-oxidative player. This lowered anti-oxidative defense had metabolic impact since oxidized forms of aminothiols, especially protein-bound glutathione, Hcy and Cys-Gly, increased whereas free forms of aminothiols, especially GSH and cyst(e)ine, decreased in APAP-treated rats. These observations are in favor of a global pro-oxidant environment that could have altered protein metabolism towards a loss of muscle proteins. Indeed, increased oxidative stress is known to induce muscle protein metabolism resistance to anabolic factors and is considered to be one of the major factors responsible for sarcopenia development (5, 37). Consequently, the APAP-
induced worsening of sarcopenia could also be partially explained by the overall pro-oxidative environment.

Finally, whether APAP or its metabolites could directly alter the protein synthesis machinery of muscle is unknown so far. Nevertheless, the absence of APAP-induced variation in final FSR and proteolytic activities supports that APAP did not act directly on protein turnover at least at the muscle level.

The shortage in Cys specifically induced by APAP was not due to a lower AA intake since APAP-treated rats ate the same amount of proteins as the PF rats. Cys shortage rather resulted from an imbalance between its utilizations and its endogenous synthesis rate. It is obvious that the quantity of Cys used in the liver to detoxify APAP contributed to the shortage of Cys. We previously reported that 43% of APAP was conjugated to sulphate and 11% to glutathione when rats received a 1% APAP diet (11). Since Cys is the precursor of both sulphate and glutathione (9), detoxification of APAP lead to an extensive use of Cys. In human, we have reported that the sulphur excreted into the urinary APAP metabolites corresponded to 20% of the sulfur amino acids ingested (19). The endogenous synthesis of Cys could also be impaired in APAP-treated rats compared to PF rats. Methionine is typically converted to Hcy through the transmethylation pathway. Then, Hcy is converted to Cys through the transsulfuration pathway: Hcy is condensed with serine to produce cystathionine that is hydrolyzed to form Cys. Transsulfuration occurs mainly in the liver. The present important increases in plasma methionine and Hcy associated with the increase in the methionine to total cyst(e)ine ratio suggest a lowered conversion of methionine to cysteine through the transsulfuration pathway in the APAP-treated rats. This was not due to a shortage in serine because its plasma concentration was not significantly different between the APAP and PF groups.

Impairment of the transsulfuration pathway has been reported in cirrhosis (38) and methionine adenosyltransferase activities can be reduced by oxidative stress (39). Such an impairment might result from APAP-protein adducts and other protein alterations that we recently reported in the liver from rats under similar APAP exposure (40). Moreover, APAP-induced protein alterations could rationalize the increase in liver protein synthesis without significant increase in protein content between the APAP and PF groups. Indeed, altered nonfunctional proteins need to be degraded and replaced by newly synthesized proteins to ensure liver functions.

APAP detoxification was associated with the typical decrease in liver glutathione (41). This decrease is usually explained by an insufficient synthesis to cover its increased uses for detoxification purposes and to defend against oxidative stress (42). APAP has been shown to stimulate the turnover of hepatic glutathione in human (43) and to increase the activity of γ-glutamyl-cysteine synthetase, the limiting step in the glutathione synthesis pathway, in liver derived cells (44). However, the effect of APAP on the absolute synthesis rate of glutathione has never been quantified in the liver under treatment with APAP.

We question whether a decrease in methionine conversion to Cys could be consistent with an increase in the absolute synthesis rate of glutathione. Liver glutathione concentration normally fluctuates with food intake, with storage during the postprandial period and export towards the other tissues during the post absorptive period (45). Liver is known to be a significant supplier of plasma glutathione (46) and this mechanism is important for the maintenance of peripheral glutathione and Cys homeostasis. The present decrease in glutathione hepatic content likely contributed to decreases in plasma free glutathione and Cys concentrations and contributed to the decrease in muscle protein and glutathione contents. Alternatively, muscle glutathione decrease may have resulted from a potential net release of glutathione by muscle in response to the persistent shortage in Cys (47-49). Deep investigation of glutathione metabolism with labelled amino acid could provide clues regarding variations of glutathione contents in liver and muscle under treatment with APAP.

The present study provides new data concerning the effect of APAP on muscle in old rats that could be of value for older persons, for whom APAP is the first prescribed pain reliever (21). The present daily dose (260 mg.kg⁻¹ rat body weight), after conversion with adequate formulas that take into account body surface area (50), was equivalent to 43 mg.kg⁻¹ of body weight for human. For a 70 kg human, 43 mg.kg⁻¹ correspond to 3 g.d⁻¹. The APAP dose used in the present study is definitively within the range of human therapeutic treatment. The present worsening effect of APAP on sarcopenia is consistent with our and another work in adults (11, 12). Our data provides additional data regarding elderly, for which mitigated effects of APAP were reported and protein intake not systematically documented (13-17). Altogether, it seems that the impact of APAP treatment in ageing muscle depends on the dose of the drug, the level of physical activity and the dietary protein intake. With the limit that rats were not their own controls, the present study emphasizes that sarcopenia could be worsened with APAP treatment when food intake is suboptimal, and Cys availability for muscle is reduced. Further clinical studies are needed to clarify the effect of repeated curative treatments with APAP on skeletal muscle mass in older persons having suboptimal or insufficient dietary intake. These studies should encompass the potential beneficial effect of paracetamol on the level of physical activity after pain relief.

Abbreviations: ALT, alanine transaminase; APAP, N-acetyl-para-aminophenol (acetaminophen, paracetamol); ASR, absolute synthesis rate; AST, aspartate transaminase; C, cure; Cys, cysteine; CySS, cystine; Cys-Gly, cysteinyl-glycine; CT, control group; EDL, extensor digitorum longus; FSR, fractional synthesis rate; GM, gastrocnemius; GSH, reduced glutathione; GSSG, glutathione homodisulfide; Hcy, homocysteine; IC, interlude; PF, pair-fed group; SOL, soleus; TA, tibialis anterior.
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