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Abstract: This paper presents a novel exponentially convergent nonlinear observer design for variable speed doubly fed induction generators (DFIG). The main feature of the proposed observer lies in the use of sampled-data without necessitating mechanical sensors, making the observer more reliable. A main component of the observer is an inter-sampled predictor of the output current vector. The observer exponential convergence is established and analyzed using Lyapunov stability technique and the small gain theorem. The proposed observer combines the advantage of a high-gain structure in terms of convergence speed and the continuity of the estimated state trajectory. One difficulty of the present observer design problem is that the electromagnetic torque is not related to the output by an injective function. The simulation results in variable speed DFIG operation are provided to confirm the theoretical results.
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1. INTRODUCTION

It is widely recognized that the induction machine has become one of the main actuators for industrial use. Indeed, as compared to the DC machine, it provides a better power/mass ratio, simpler maintenance (as it includes no mechanical commutators), and a relatively lower cost.

It is largely agreed that these machines have promising perspectives in the industrial actuator field. This has motivated an intensive research activity on induction machine control, especially over the last 15 years (Giri, 2013; El Fadili et al., 2013).

Doubly-fed induction machines (DFIM) have recently entered into common use. This is due almost exclusively to the advent of wind power technologies for electricity generation.

Doubly-fed induction generator (DFIG) are by far the most widely used type of doubly-fed electric machine, and are one of the most common types of generator used to produce electricity in wind turbines. Doubly-fed induction generators have a number of advantages over other types of generators when used in wind turbines. The primary advantage is that they allow the amplitude and frequency of their output voltage to be maintained at a constant value, no matter the speed of the wind blowing on the wind turbine rotor. Because of this, doubly-fed induction generator can be directly connected to the ac power network and remain synchronized at all times with the ac power network. Other advantages include the ability to control the power factor (e. g., to maintain the power factor at unity), while keeping the power electronics devices in the wind turbine at a moderate size (Boldea and Nasar, 2010, Lajouad et al., 2014).

Measuring mechanical quantities is always a challenge for control and visualization of the states of the system under studies. In fact the synthesis of an observer for the system is still beneficial to measure inaccessible magnitudes and quantities or requiring high-end sensors as claimed by (Bastin and Gevers, 1988; Marino and Tomei, 1996, Gildas, 2007, Zhang, 2002, Besancon et al., 2006).

Much research work has been done around the synthesis observers for doubly fed induction machine. For example in (Li et al., 2010) the author suggests an adaptive estimate of rotor currents of the machine. This estimate depends on the time varying of the machine parameters. This estimate has applications where measurement of rotor currents is practically difficult.

In (Lescu et al., 2013) the paper investigates a family of stator and rotor flux observers of doubly-fed induction generators (DFIG). Four stator flux observer topologies are described and compared. All proposed schemes use the voltage and current models connected in parallel or in series. In this structure no mechanical quantity is estimated.

In (Beltran et al., 2011), the paper deals with the sensorless control of a doubly-fed induction generator (DFIG) based wind turbine. The sensorless control scheme is based on a high-order sliding mode (HOSM) observer to estimate only the DFIG rotational speed.

All these design methods provide continuous-time observers that need discretization for practical implementation purpose (Laila et al, 2006). The point is that exact discretization is a highly complex issue due to the strong nonlinearity of the observer. On the other hand, there is no guarantee that...
 approximate discrete-time versions can preserve the performances of the original continuous-time adaptive observers. This explains why quite a few studies have, so far, focused on designing sampled-data adaptive observers that apply to nonlinear systems subject to parametric uncertainty. In (Deza et al., 1992), discrete-continuous time observers have been designed on the basis of the system continuous-time model. The proposed observers include in effect two parts: an open-loop state estimator (with zero innovation term) operating between two successive sampling times and a feedback state estimator operating at the sampling times. The output estimation error is shown to be exponentially vanishing under ad hoc assumptions.

Another approach has been proposed by (Raff et al., 2008) that consisted in using a single hybrid continuous-discrete observer with a ZOH sampled innovation term. The observer is applicable to a class of systems with Lipschitz nonlinearity in the state and matrix inequalities (LMIs) are established to meet global stability. In (Kravaris, 2013) a hybrid continuous-discrete observer involving an inter-sample output predictor has been proposed. Only the output predictor is reinitialized at each sampling time, while the state estimate is continuously updated by a standard structure observer where the (unavailable) inter-sample output measurement is replaced by the output prediction. The observer is applicable to triangular globally Lipschitz systems and features exponential convergence of the state observation.

This rest of this paper is organized as follows: the reduced model of the DFIG system is described in Section 2. In Section 3 we deal with the candidate observer of the designated system. The fundamental theorem describing the proposed observer dynamic performances is presented in Section 4; all results are validated by numerical simulation through MATLAB/SIMULINK environment, as given in Section 5. Finally, conclusions and remarks is given in Sections 6.

2. REDUCED MODEL OF THE DFIG

It is important to initiate with a good and appropriate model of the DFIG when designing the observer. The model of doubly fed induction generator, in d-q coordinates, is defined by the following equations given in (El Fahli et al., 2013, 2012):

\[ v_{sd} = R_{sd}i_{sd} + \phi_{sd} - \omega_s \phi_{sq} \]  
\[ v_{sq} = R_{sq}i_{sq} + \phi_{sq} - \omega_s \phi_{sd} \]  
\[ v_{rd} = R_{rd}i_{rd} + \phi_{rd} - (\omega_s - p\omega)\phi_{rq} \]  
\[ v_{rq} = R_{rq}i_{rq} + \phi_{rq} - (\omega_s - p\omega)\phi_{rd} \]  
\[ \phi_{sd} = \begin{bmatrix} L_s & M_{sr} & 0 \\ 0 & L_s & 0 \\ M_{sr} & 0 & L_r \end{bmatrix} i_{sd} \]  
\[ \phi_{sq} = \begin{bmatrix} L_s & M_{sr} & 0 \\ 0 & L_s & 0 \\ M_{sr} & 0 & L_r \end{bmatrix} i_{sq} \]  
\[ \phi_{rd} = \begin{bmatrix} L_s & M_{sr} & 0 \\ 0 & L_s & 0 \\ M_{sr} & 0 & L_r \end{bmatrix} i_{rd} \]  
\[ \phi_{rq} = \begin{bmatrix} L_s & M_{sr} & 0 \\ 0 & L_s & 0 \\ M_{sr} & 0 & L_r \end{bmatrix} i_{rq} \]  
\[ \omega = (T_{em} - T_g - f_v \omega) / J \]

where \( R_s, L_s, R_r, L_r, M_{sr} \) and \( M_{sr} \) are, respectively the stator and rotor resistances and self-inductances, and \( M_{sr} \) is the mutual inductance between the stator and the rotor windings. \( \phi_{sd}, \phi_{sq}, \phi_{rd} \) and \( \phi_{rq} \) denote the rotor and stator flux components. \( (i_{sd}, i_{sq}, i_{rd}, i_{rq}) \) and \( (v_{sd}, v_{sq}, v_{rd}, v_{rq}) \) are the stator and rotor components of the current and voltage respectively. \( p \) is the number of pole-pair, \( \omega_s \) is the stator angular frequency, \( \omega \) represents the generator speed, \( f_v \) and \( T_g \) are, respectively the viscous friction coefficient, the total moment of inertia for lumped mass model (rotor blades, hub, and generator), and generator torque.

Equation (1a-1f) can be re-written as follows:

\[ v = \begin{bmatrix} R_{i2} & O_2 \\ O_2 & R_{r2} \end{bmatrix} i + \frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} \omega_{j2} & O_2 \\ O_2 & (\omega_s - p\omega) \end{bmatrix} \Phi \]  
\[ \Phi = \begin{bmatrix} L_{i2} & M_{sr}i_2 \\ M_{sr}i_2 & L_{r2} \end{bmatrix} i \]  
\[ \frac{d\omega}{dt} = \frac{1}{J}(T_{em} - T_g - f_v \omega) \]  
\[ T_{em} \text{ is the electromagnetic torque represented by:} \]  
\[ T_{em} = pM_{sr}(i_{rd}i_{sq} - i_{rq}i_{sd}) = pM_{sr}i_2T_0 \]  
\[ T_0 = \begin{bmatrix} O_2 & I_2 \\ I_2 & O_2 \end{bmatrix} \]  
\[ i = \begin{bmatrix} i_{sd} & i_{sq} & i_{rd} & i_{rq} \end{bmatrix}^T, \quad v = \begin{bmatrix} v_{sd} & v_{sq} & v_{rd} & v_{rq} \end{bmatrix}^T \]  
\[ \Phi = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{sd} & \phi_{sq} & \phi_{rd} & \phi_{rq} \end{bmatrix}^T \]

Then the model of DFIG in the (d; q) coordinates system (El Fahli et al., 2013, 2012) can be given by the following equations:

\[ i = \gamma M_i v + M_{23} i - p\gamma M_4 o i \]  
\[ \omega = (pM_{sr}(i^T T_0 i - T_g - f_v \omega)) / J \]  
\[ T_g = 0 \]

Equation (4c) is motivated by the fact that, in numerous applications, the generator torque \( T_g \) is assumed bounded, derivable and its derivative is also bounded. Indeed, the generator torque \( T_g \) is actually infrequent, that is, the input generator torque takes a value with slowly varying.

with \( \gamma = \frac{1}{\lambda_{q2}L_{q2}}, \quad \zeta = 1 - \frac{M_{23}L_{r2}}{\lambda_{q2}L_{q2}} \) \( M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4 \) and \( M_4 \) are constant matrices that can be represented as follows:

\[ M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} L_{r2} & -M_{23}L_{r2} \\ -M_{sr}L_{r2} & L_{r2} \end{bmatrix} \]  
\[ M_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -M_{23}L_{r2} & L_{r2} \\ -L_{sr}L_{r2} & L_{r2} \end{bmatrix} \]  
\[ M_3 = \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & O_2 \\ O_2 & I_2 \end{bmatrix} \]  
\[ M_4 = \begin{bmatrix} -M_{sr}L_{r2} & -\gamma M_2 \\ -M_{sr}L_{r2} & -L_{sr}L_{r2} \end{bmatrix} \]

Now, let us introduce the following state variables representation:
\[ x_{11} = i_{sd}, \quad x_{12} = i_{sq}, \quad x_{13} = i_{rd}, \quad x_{14} = i_{rq}, \quad x_{2} = \omega, \quad x_3 = x_4 = T_g \] and let's \( x_1 = [x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{14}]^T \). Then the system (4) can be re-written in the reduced form as:

\[ \dot{x} = f(v, x) \]  
\[ y = h(x) = x_1 \]
\( h(x) \) designs a measured states variables of the system (6), and \( f(v,x) = [f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5, f_6](v,x) \), with:

\[
[f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4] (v,x) = \gamma M_1 v + M_{23} x_3 - p y M_4 x_2 x_1 \tag{7a}
\]

\[
f_5(v,x) = \frac{1}{2}(p M_{sr} x_1 T_0 x_1 - x_3 - f_3 x_2) \tag{7b}
\]

\[
f_6(v,x) = 0 \tag{7c}
\]

Recall that the electromagnetic torque, \( T_{em} \) expresses in terms of the current vector as follows:

\[
T_{em} = p M_{sr} T_0 d \triangleq h(i) \tag{8}
\]

Using (4a), it is readily checked that \( \dot{T}_{em} \) undergoes the following equation:

\[
\dot{T}_{em} = p M_{sr} T_0 d + S_3 (i,v) \omega \tag{9}
\]

with:

\[
S_3 (i,v) = 2 p M_{sr} T_0 y(M_1 v + M_{23} i) \tag{10a}
\]

\[
S_2 (i,v) = 2 p^2 \gamma M_{sr} T_0 M_4 i \tag{10b}
\]

by combining with (4b-c) constitutes a suitable mathematical state - space representation for the estimation of the generator rotor speed \( \omega \). For writing convenience, the system representation is re-written as:

\[
\dot{T}_{em} = S_3 (i,v) - S_2 (i,v) \omega \tag{11a}
\]

\[
\dot{\omega} = - \frac{1}{T} T_{em} + \frac{1}{T} T_0 \omega - \frac{f_6}{\omega} \tag{11b}
\]

\[
\dot{f}_6 = 0 \tag{11c}
\]

Throughout this paper, to avoid the mistakes and confusion between the notations of full order system variables and the corresponding reduced model, the following more compact form of the reduced model with new notations of state variables is given:

\[
\dot{\xi} = \gamma_1 (i) \xi + \gamma_2 (i, \xi) \tag{12a}
\]

\[
y = C \xi \tag{12b}
\]

where:

\[
\xi \triangleq [\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3]^T = [T_{em} \omega \ T_0]^T \tag{13a}
\]

\[
\gamma_1 (i) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -S_2 (i) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{T} \end{bmatrix} \tag{13b}
\]

\[
\gamma_2 (i, \xi) = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \frac{f_6}{\omega} - \frac{1}{T} \xi_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{13c}
\]

\[
C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{13d}
\]

For physical point of view and domain of working principle, it is supposed that all physical state variables are bounded in domain of interest as stated in A2. To overcome the blow up state variables in finite time that may reduce the escape time of the system and to restrict the initial peaking phenomenon, which are practically reasonable (Khalil,2002, Khalil et al, 1993). It turns out that the state vector \( \xi(t) \) is bounded (i.e. \( \|\xi(t)\| \leq p_\xi \forall t \), for some constant \( 0 < p_\xi < \infty \) is an upper bound.

This system (11) satisfies the observability rank condition, if the Jacobian of the vector formed by the Lie derivative terms \( \left[ \xi_1 - S_2 (i) \xi_2 - S_2 (i) \frac{\dot{\xi}_3}{\dot{\xi}_1} \right]^T \) is Full structural rank. This condition is all time verified because \( S_2 (i) \) is non null too.

### 3. PROPOSED OBSERVER DESIGN

In the case where the current vector \( i(t) \) is accessible to measurements for all \( t \geq 0 \), the system model given by (11) almost fits the observable canonical form for which the standard (continuous-time) high-gain observer applies (see e.g. Gauthier et al., 1994). As a matter of fact, the system model in (11) differs from the canonical form in that the first state variable, denoted by, \( T_{em} \), is not (directly) measurable. This can only be computed using the (supposedly available) current measurements \( i(t) \) using the relation (8). One difficulty is that relation (8) is not output injective. Another difficulty is that the current vector \( i(t) \) is not accessible to measurements all the time, \( t \geq 0 \). Only sampled-data measurements \( i(t_k), (k = 0, 1, 2, ... \) are presently available at sampling instant. Therefore, the following (non-standard) high-gain sampled-output nonlinear observer is proposed:

\[
\dot{\xi} = \gamma_1 (\sigma (z)) \xi + \gamma_2 (\sigma (z), \xi) - \theta A^{-1} K (\xi - h(\sigma (z))) \tag{14a}
\]

\[
\dot{z} = \gamma M_1 v + M_{23} z - p y M_4 \xi_2 \sigma (z) \tag{14b}
\]

\[
\forall t_k < t < t_{k+1}, k = 0, 1, 2, ... \tag{14c}
\]

\[
A = diag \left[ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \right] \tag{14d}
\]

with \( K \in \mathbb{R}^3 \), being any fixed vector gain such that the following inequality holds, for some scalar \( \mu > 0 \) and some positive definite matrix \( P = P^T \):

\[
Y_1 (\sigma (z)) - KC \leq P + P (Y_1 (\sigma (z)) - KC) \leq -\mu I_3 \tag{15}
\]

with, \( \xi(0) \) is arbitrarily initial condition chosen in space.

**A1:** \( \sigma (z) \) denotes the saturation function of the variable \( z \) bounded between \((-1, 1)\) can be defined as follows,

\[
\sigma (z) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \tanh (\sigma (z)) \min ([z], I_M) \tag{16}
\]

Knowing that \( i_M \) is any upper bound of the current i.e.

\[
i_M \geq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \infty} \|i(t)\| \tag{17}
\]

Note that the knowledge of \( i_M \) is not an issue because the maximum amplitude of the current vector \( i \) in DFIG is a priori known in practice.

**A2:** The DFIG stays in domain working principle, it can be denoted by \( \mathbb{U} \) which defined as follows: \( \mathbb{U} = \{ X \in \mathbb{R}^6 \} \) such that:

\[
\|\Phi_{axl}\|, \|\Phi_{srl}\|, \|\Phi_{rcl}\|, \|\Phi_{rql}\| \leq \Phi_{axl}^\text{max}, \text{ and } \|I_{srl}\|, \|I_{rql}\| \leq I_{srl}^\text{max} \text{ with, } \|\omega_g\| \leq \omega_g^\text{max}, \text{ and } \|T_0\| \leq T_{0}^\text{max} \tag{16}
\]

Knowing that the functions, \( \psi_{nom}, I_{nom}, \omega_{nom} \) and \( T_{nom} \) are the nominal models and upper bound of the actual state variables such as rotor flux, generator current, generator rotor speed and mechanical torque can be physically obtained and satisfied.

The above observer presentation is completed by a number of relevant remarks:
a) The existence of a gain $K \in \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfying (15) is a consequence of Lemma 4.0 in (Gauthier et al., 1994).

b) Comparing (14b)-(14c) and (4a), it is readily seen that the variable $z(t)$ undergoes, between two sampling instants, the same differential equation as the current $i(t)$. Furthermore, $z(t)$ is reinitialized at each sampling instant, it is set to the value of the current at those instants. It turns out that $z(t)$ represents a prediction of the current $i(t)$ over each interval $(t_k, t_{k+1})$. In view of (8), it turns out that $h(z(t))$ is a prediction of the electromagnetic torque $T_{em}$.

c) Notice that, the current prediction $z(t)$ is replaced by its saturated version $\sigma(z(t))$ everywhere on the right side of the observer (14a)-(14c). The introduction of the saturation function in state observers is a recent usual practice (see e.g. references). Presently, the saturation process will prove to be crucial to ensure exponential convergence of the observer (because the function $\sigma(z, \xi)$ is not Lipschitz in $z$ (see equations (10a) and (13c)). On the other hand, the saturated value $\sigma(z(t))$ is closer to the current $i(t)$ than $z(t)$, the observer (14a)-(14c) will necessarily perform better (it will be more speedier) than the basic version not involving saturation.

d) Clearly, the sampled-output observer (14a)-(14c) does not involve a ZOH innovation term as those in e.g. (Dabroom et al., 2001). The present observer includes inter - ample prediction innovation correction term. But, it differs from previous sampled observers of this type (e.g. Ahmed-Ali et al., 2009) in that the first state variable $T_{em}$ in the model (11) is related to the measurable generator current $i$ through a nonjective relation, namely (8).

4. OBSERVER ANALYSIS

Theorem (Main result): Given a class of nonlinear system state in (12) with compact form, submits to assumptions $(A_1$ and $A_2$). Let us consider a high - gain sampled output observer coupled with inter sampled output predictor given by (14) such that the evaluation of high gain design parameter is chosen for $k_i, i = 1; 2; 3$, gain matrix corresponding to measurement error, for $0 < \theta^* < \infty$ be sufficiently large values of parameter such that for all $\theta > \theta^*$,

$$\theta^* \left( \mu - \frac{P \bar{A} [\bar{A} P P^T]}{\xi} \right) - \|P\| \left( 2 \rho_G + \frac{1}{\xi} \left( \rho_p \rho_G + \rho_G \right) \right) > 0 \quad (18)$$

with $P \equiv P^T > 0$, is the solution of algebraic Lyapunov equation with constant scalar $0 < \rho_G, \rho_p, \rho_F, \rho_G < \infty$ exist and the free design parameter $\xi$ be selected such that, $\mu - \frac{P \bar{A} [\bar{A} P P^T]}{\xi} > 0$. To ensure exponential convergence of the observation error between estimated and measured states towards zero, there exists a real positive bounded $0 < t - t_k < \tau$, with $\tau = \sup_{0 \leq k < \infty} (t_k - t_{k-1})$, the estimation error is ultimately bounded and the corresponding bound can be made as small as possible by choosing $\theta$ high enough value.

Moreover, there exist real positives $(M_1; \beta_1; \beta_2)$, such that the closed loop observation error $\bar{z}(t) = \tilde{z}(t) - \hat{z}(t)$ satisfies the following inequality $\forall \ t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}] . \ k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|\bar{z}\| \exp^\alpha/2 \leq M_1 \frac{1 - \beta_1t \exp^{\alpha t/2}}{1 - (\beta_1 + \beta_2)t \exp^{\alpha t/2}}. \quad (19)$$

So, the whole system is globally exponentially stable (GES) based on ISS stabilization where $\bar{z}(t)$ is the estimate trajectory given by (14a) associated with $(\nu, \gamma)$ for whatever initial conditions $(\xi_0, \dot{\xi}_0) \in \xi^\delta$.

**Proof of Theorem 1:** The proof of Theorem (main result) has been removed due to the limitation of the number of pages.

5. SIMULATIONS RESULTS

To implement this formulation of the observer, the system of equations (4) are used with the numerical values given in the Table.1.

**Table 1. DFIG system nominal Parameters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DFIG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal power</td>
<td>Pn</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutual inductor</td>
<td>Msr</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator resistor</td>
<td>Rs</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator cyclic inductor</td>
<td>Ls</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor resistor</td>
<td>Rr</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor cyclic inductor</td>
<td>Lr</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of pole pairs</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor inertia</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Nm/rd/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscous friction</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>Nm/rd/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-phase network</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage</td>
<td>En</td>
<td>220/380</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network frequency</td>
<td>fn</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Hz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 1. Experimental setup of the DFIG-based WECS simulator.**

These values issued from the technical documentation of a doubly fed induction generator. We shall estimate rotational speed and generator torque, without using mechanical sensors, such as position encoder which are most costly and unreliable.

To validate the robustness of the proposed observer, the test benchmark include, some limit cases:
- Mechanical torque is zero (the wind speed is less than the start-up speed of the wind turbine).
- the mechanical torque is greater than the nominal torque,
- Slow variation of mechanical torque (usual case),
- Abrupt variation of mechanical torque (theoretical case).

Therefore, the reference of the generator torque $T_g$ will take the form shown in Fig. 2. Fig. 3 shows generator torque and its estimate $\hat{T}_g$ with zooming in for short period of time around $(t = 5s)$, for complete one cycle of 20s.

![Fig 2. Generator torque profile in (N.m).](image1)

![Fig 2. Generator torque](image2)

![Fig 3. Electromagnetic torque](image3)

With the test conditions mentioned above, one was implanted the simulation in the MATLAB/SIMULINK environment with the tracking high gain parameter $\theta = 175$, the gain matrix corresponding to measurement error $K = [7 ; 25; 30]^T$ and the sampling interval $T_s = 2s$ or $f_s = 0.5 Hz$. In each figure is displayed in solid and blue lines the measurement of the variable at the system output, and in dashed line the measurement of the variable at the output of the observer.

![Fig 4. Rotation speed.](image4)

![Fig 6. Output state prediction error, $e_z$](image5)

Fig. 3 show that the estimated generator torque reached quickly the real torque. The response time is less than 0.2s. Similarly, one note that the observed electromagnetic torque joined the actual electromagnetic torque. Fig. 4 illustrates the electromagnetic torque and its estimates. In Fig. 5, one notice that the observed rotation speed reached the second region of operation in less than 0.5s. Fig. 6 clarifies the output state prediction error for complete one cycle of 20s. However, as expected, the fast transient of generator input torque affect momentarily the estimation and prediction process. This phenomena is depicted through the appearance of overshoot on the figures shown in (3,4,5 and 6), respectively at times (5s, 10s, 15s) of a complete one cycle. There is no error on the initial conditions at the entry of the unobservability region and the provided estimates still converge to their true closed loop trajectories.

Sampled output state predictor is re-initialized at each sampling instant and remains continuous between two sampling instants through ZOH device, which is a mathematical model of the practical signal reconstruction operating at a specific sampling interval.

The proposed observer hooks - up to the system states approximately after (3-5) sampled measurements. It is observed that at low value (150) of observer design parameter $\theta$, it allows to occur free noise estimates, but these states estimates vary slowly and are not capable of tracking the real state variations. On the other side, a very large value of $\theta$ (200) permits a good tracking performance of the missing
states variations, but for such case, the observer becomes noise sensitive since the noise level in the provided estimates is significantly high. So, we must make a good compromise between both cases by selecting optimum gain parameter (175).

6. CONCLUSIONS AND REMARKS

This paper tackles the problem of designing a sampled – data observer for the doubly fed induction generator DFIG. The aim is to get online estimate of the mechanical variables based on the electrical measurement. First, the machine has been modeled in the (d - q) reference frame (4).

The proposed observer combines the advantage of a high - gain structure in terms of convergence speed and an output state predictor, which remains continuous between two adjacent sampling instants. An observer (14) has been designed and analyzed successfully with the assistance of Lyapunov stability tools method and ISS property. It is formally shown that all variables converge to a neighbors of their true values. In the particular case of constant load mechanical generator torque, the estimates converge exponentially to their true values. Then theoretical results are confirmed by simulation.

One succeeded to introduce an explicit expression of continuous time output prediction error between two consecutive sampling instants depends on other sampled high gain design parameters and system nonlinearity. The recent developments of lower cost digital systems makes wide range applications of DFIG, fast dynamic response, robustness against external disturbances are considered the most important criteria of high performance systems.
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