Cobalt Phthalocyanine Immobilized on Graphene Oxide: An Efficient Visible-Active Catalyst for the Photoreduction of Carbon Dioxide

Pawan Kumar, Arvind Kumar, Bojja S Sreedhar, Bir Sain, Siddharth S Ray,
Suman L Jain

► To cite this version:

Pawan Kumar, Arvind Kumar, Bojja S Sreedhar, Bir Sain, Siddharth S Ray, et al.. Cobalt Phthalocyanine Immobilized on Graphene Oxide: An Efficient Visible-Active Catalyst for the Photoreduction of Carbon Dioxide. Chemistry - A European Journal, 2014, 20, pp.6154 - 6161. 10.1002/chem.201304189 . hal-01456553

HAL Id: hal-01456553

<https://hal.science/hal-01456553>

Submitted on 5 Feb 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Heterogeneous Catalysis

Cobalt Phthalocyanine Immobilized on Graphene Oxide: An Efficient Visible-Active Catalyst for the Photoreduction of Carbon Dioxide

Pawan Kumar,^[a] Arvind Kumar,^[b] Bojja Sreedhar,^[c] Bir Sain,^[a] Siddharth S. Ray,^[b] and Suman L. Jain^{*[a]}

Abstract: New graphene oxide (GO)-tethered-Co^{II} phthalocyanine complex [CoPc-GO] was synthesized by a stepwise procedure and demonstrated to be an efficient, cost-effective and recyclable photocatalyst for the reduction of carbon dioxide to produce methanol as the main product. The developed GO-immobilized CoPc was characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD), FTIR, XPS, Raman, diffusion reflection UV/Vis spectroscopy, inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES), thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). FTIR, XPS, Raman, UV/Vis and ICP-AES along with elemental analysis data showed that Co^{II}-Pc complex was successfully grafted on GO. The prepared catalyst was used for the photocatalytic reduction of carbon dioxide by using water as a solvent and triethylamine as the sacrificial donor. Methanol

was obtained as the major reaction product along with the formation of minor amount of CO (0.82%). It was found that GO-grafted CoPc exhibited higher photocatalytic activity than homogeneous CoPc, as well as GO, and showed good recoverability without significant leaching during the reaction. Quantitative determination of methanol was done by GC flame-ionization detector (FID), and verification of product was done by NMR spectroscopy. The yield of methanol after 48 h of reaction by using GO–CoPc catalyst in the presence of sacrificial donor triethylamine was found to be 3781.8881 $\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}\text{cat.}$, and the conversion rate was found to be 78.7893 $\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}\text{cat. h}^{-1}$. After the photoreduction experiment, the catalyst was easily recovered by filtration and reused for the subsequent recycling experiment without significant change in the catalytic efficiency.

Utilization of carbon dioxide as a sustainable C₁ building block has emerged as an important area of research in last two decades mainly due to the concurrently problems of global warming, as well as depletion of fossil fuels.^[1] The effective use of clean and abundant solar energy might provide a viable approach to solve the problems of energy and environmental crisis by a photocatalytic reduction of carbon dioxide to valuable chemicals. Although a number of improved catalytic systems, such as nanosized titanium oxide, titanium oxide doped with transition metals, noble metals, and mixed with another metal oxide have been emerged as potent catalysts for the

photoreduction of CO₂, but their quantum yields and selectivities of products are low.^[2–3] In the recent years, photocatalytic systems, including transition-metal complexes, such as ruthenium(II) polypyridine carbonyl complex, cobalt(II) trisbipyridine, cobalt(III) macrocycles, rhenium and iridium complex with a photosensitizer have emerged to be efficient catalytic systems to reduce CO₂ with relatively high quantum yield and high selectivity of products.^[4] However, the difficult recovery and non-recycling ability of a homogeneous complex make these systems less attractive. To overcome these problems, immobilization of homogeneous metal complexes to solid support is an obvious way to combine the advantages of homogeneous catalysts, for example, high reactivity, selectivity, and heterogeneous catalysts, such as facile recovery and recycling of the catalyst.^[5] In this context, a number of homogeneous photoredox complexes have been supported to various supports, such as TiO₂, organic polymers, ion-exchange resins. However, in most of the cases, noncovalent attachment of the metal complex to support materials renders to the leaching of the active species during the reaction.^[6]

Metallophthalocyanines and their derivatives have been widely used as photosensitizers for various applications in a variety of fields due to their unique properties, such as excellent semiconductivity, photoconductivity, thermal, and chemical

[a] P. Kumar, Dr. B. Sain, Dr. S. L. Jain
Chemical Sciences Division, CSIR-Indian Institute of Petroleum
Mohkampur, Dehradun 248005 (India)
Fax: (+ 91) 135-2660202
E-mail: suman@iip.res.in

[b] A. Kumar, Dr. S. S. Ray
Analytical Sciences Division, CSIR-Indian Institute of Petroleum
Mohkampur, Dehradun 248005 (India)

[c] Dr. B. Sreedhar
Inorganic and Physical Chemistry Division
CSIR-Indian Institute of Chemical Technology
Hyderabad, 500607, Andhra Pradesh (India)

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.201304189>.

stability. Most of the studies conducted to date on metal phthalocyanines for photocatalytic reduction of CO_2 were performed either in homogeneous solution or by supporting them to other semiconductor materials, such as TiO_2 .^[7] In this context, Zhou et al.^[8] reported the use of in situ synthesized TiO_2/CoPc nanocomposite for the photocatalytic reduction of carbon dioxide to formic acid, formaldehyde, and methanol.

Graphene oxide (GO), an oxidized form of grapheme, has emerged to be a promising material, particularly as a precursor to prepare reduced GO (rGO), chemically functionalized graphene, and grapheme-based composite materials.^[9,10] Very recently, Hsu et al.^[11] have reported GO as a promising photocatalyst for the conversion of CO_2 to methanol with the conversion rate of $0.172 \mu\text{mol g}^{-1} \text{cat}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ under visible light.

Herein, we describe the successful synthesis of covalently anchored cobalt phthalocyanine to the GO, its characterization, and use for the photocatalytic reduction of the carbon dioxide to methanol with improved product yield and selectivity. The oxygen functionalities on GO are targeted for stable and efficient anchoring of CoPc catalyst on nanosheets of GO through covalent attachment. The prepared catalyst exhibited higher photocatalytic activity under visible-light irradiation and gave methanol with improved yield and selectivity. The quantitative and qualitative determination of the methanol formation was performed by GC and ^1H NMR spectroscopy.

Synthesis and characterization of catalyst

GO was obtained from the oxidation of graphite with KMnO_4 and H_2SO_4 following the literature procedure.^[12] High specific surface area of GO along with easily accessible ample oxygen functionalities decorated on both side of GO nanosheets pro-

vide great potential as a support material for various catalysts.^[13] Prior to the immobilization, the GO was treated with chloroacetic acid to convert the epoxy groups into carboxy (COOH) groups, which were subsequently used for the grafting of CoPc to the GO surface. The schematic representation of the synthesis of CoPc immobilized on GO (GO-CoPc) is shown in Scheme 1.

The morphology and structure of as-prepared GO and GO-CoPc catalyst was investigated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). As presented in Figure 1 b, the SEM image of GO-CoPc shows twisted

Figure 1. SEM image of a) GO and b) GO-CoPc.

and crumpled nanosheets in an agglomerated phase with lot of wrinkled features of GO-CoPc catalyst. The TEM images shown in Figure 2a and b also reveal the nanoscopic features with layered structure of GO-CoPc catalyst (Figure S1 in the Supporting Information). The TEM image of carboxylic group (COOH) containing GO before immobilization of CoPc is shown in Figure 2c. The dark spots in the TEM image (Figure 2c) are probably due to the COOH functionalities located on the sur-

Scheme 1. Synthesis of GO-grafted CoPc.

Figure 2. TEM image of a) GO; b) GO-CoPc; c) GO-COOH; and d) SAED pattern of GO-CoPc.

face of GO. Selected area electron diffraction pattern of GO-CoPc shows that material was amorphous in nature (Figure 2d).

XRD patterns were used to study the changes in structure (Figure 3). The XRD spectrum of GO shown in Figure 3a gives characteristic diffraction peak at 10.8° (001), corresponding to a GO interlayer spacing of approximately 0.74 nm.^[14] After immobilization of CoPc, this peak disappeared, and another

Figure 3. XRD spectra of a) GO and b) GO-CoPc.

broad diffraction of graphite (002) at 2θ value of approximately 26° appeared, indicating that exfoliation of the layered CoPc-GO was obtained.^[15]

The porosity characteristics of the samples were investigated by measuring the N_2 adsorption/desorption isotherms at 77 K (Figure 4). Total pore volume and surface area of synthesized

Figure 4. Adsorption/desorption curve of a) GO and b) GO-CoPc.

GO was found to be $0.1212 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$ and $87.247 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$, respectively. Total pore diameter of GO was found to be 5.5566 nm that was in agreement to mesoporous nature.^[16] The adsorption/desorption isotherm was of type IV (Figure 4). The negligible N_2 adsorption in GO and GO-CoPc suggested the filled interlayer galleries and the restacked graphitic structure in GO. Obtained value of S_{BET} $12.34 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ for GO-CoPc suggested successful attachment of CoPc at the surface of GO. Total pore volume and mean pore diameter of GO-CoPc was measured

to be $0.09 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$ and 30.158 nm , respectively (Figure S2 in the Supporting Information).

The UV/Vis spectra of GO and GO-CoPc are shown in Figure 5. The UV/Vis spectra of CoPc reveals two main bands,

Figure 5. UV/Vis spectra of a) CoPc; b) GO; and c) GO-CoPc.

that is, Soret band at $\lambda = 300 \text{ nm}$ and a Q band at 660 nm (Figure 5a).^[16] The UV/Vis spectra of GO shows a characteristic peak at nearly 230 nm , which suggests that the synthesized GO possess an ordered graphitic structure due to the $\pi-\pi^*$ transition of $\text{C}=\text{C}$ (Figure 5b). Solid UV/Vis spectra of GO-attached CoPc indicates the significant shifting of the absorption band of GO to a longer wavelength at 300 nm , which clearly indicates that CoPc was successfully attached to GO (Figure 5c).

Figure 6 shows the FTIR spectra of as-prepared GO and GO-CoPc catalysts. The FTIR spectrum of GO shows vibrational bands at approximately 3410 cm^{-1} due to hydroxyl stretching vibrations in COOH and/or intercalated water (Figure 6b). The strong band at 1720 cm^{-1} ($\nu_{\text{C=O}}$) belongs to carboxylic acid groups, 1630 cm^{-1} —to aromatic $\text{C}=\text{C}$, and the bands at 1227 cm^{-1} and 1058 cm^{-1} are attributed to the $\text{C}-\text{OH}$ and alkoxy $\text{C}-\text{O}$ stretching vibrations, respectively. After the immobilization reaction, several new peaks appeared in the FTIR spectrum of GO-CoPc. The characteristic peak of $\text{C}=\text{O}$ at 1720 cm^{-1} disappeared, and a new peak corresponding to amide ($\text{C}(\text{O})\text{NH}$) stretching vibration at $\tilde{\nu} = 1654 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ revealed the formation of amide bond between CoPc and GO.

Figure 6. IR spectrum of a) CoPc; b) GO; and c) GO-CoPc.

Further XPS and Raman analyses provided essential and useful information for the covalent attachment of the CoPc to GO. The N1s XPS spectrum of CoPc clearly indicated that the peaks of nitrogen functionalities appeared at 398.95 eV ($\text{C}-\text{N}$ bonds), 400.43 eV (NH_2), and 401.58 eV ($\text{C}=\text{N}$ bonds; Figure 7a). In contrast, CoPc-immobilized GO showed the shifting of bands and a considerable intensity enhancement of the peak at 400.69 eV due to the electron-withdrawing effect of GO (Figure 7b).

Furthermore, in the Raman spectrum of GO, there are two prominent bands at about 1363 cm^{-1} (D band) and 1608 cm^{-1} (G band) (532 nm excitation; Figure 8a). In contrast, both the D and G bands of GO-CoPc were found to be slightly shifted to the lower-wave numbers, and a new band at 1512 cm^{-1} was assigned to pyrrole $\text{C}=\text{C}$ stretching mode of CoPc (Figure 8b).

Figure 9 shows the TGA curves of the as-prepared GO and GO-CoPc catalysts. The GO showed initial weight loss near 108°C , evidently owing to evaporation of water molecules, which are held in the material (Figure 9a). The second significant weight loss was observed in the range of $180\text{--}240^\circ\text{C}$, and is due to thermal decomposition of oxygen-carrying functionalities. The thermogram of the GO-CoPc catalyst illustrates an exothermic major weight loss over a wide range of temperature ($300\text{--}500^\circ\text{C}$) on account of the slow decomposition of the phthalocyanine moieties: this indicates that the catalyst is sufficiently thermally stable.

The photocatalytic reduction of CO_2

First, the reactivity of the GO, physical 1:1 mixture of GO + CoPc and GO-CoPc, was tested for the photocatalytic reduction of the CO_2 by using triethylamine as sacrificial donor and water as a solvent (Figure 10). After the photoreduction, $1 \mu\text{L}$ liquid sample was withdrawn and analyzed in a GC-FID apparatus equipped with a 30 m long Stabilwax w/Integra-Guard column. Methanol yield was used to evaluate the performance of the catalysts, because it was obtained as the major reduc-

Figure 7. N1 s XPS spectra of a) CoPc and b) GO-CoPc.

Figure 8. Raman spectra of a) GO and b) GO-CoPc.

tion product. The methanol-formation rate, R_{MeOH} ($\mu\text{mol g}^{-1} \text{cat.}$) as a function of reaction time was calculated and plotted as

Figure 9. TGA pattern of a) GO and b) GO-CoPc.

Figure 10. Reaction illumination conditions.

shown in Figure 11. The results clearly indicate that the GO-CoPc exhibited higher catalytic activity compared to the GO and the physical 1:1 mixture of GO-CoPc. After illumination for 48 h in visible light by using GO-CoPc as catalyst in the presence of triethylamine as sacrificial donor, the yield of methanol was found to be $3781.8881 \mu\text{mol g}^{-1} \text{cat.}$ or production rate $78.7893 \mu\text{mol g}^{-1} \text{cat. h}^{-1}$. The analysis of gaseous phase by GC showed 99.17% CO_2 and 0.82% CO after 48 h of the reaction. In the GC analysis of gaseous phase, we did not observe the presence of methane and other possible products from reduction of carbon dioxide. Formic acid, formaldehyde, and formate were not detected in liquid-phase analysis. Blank experiments, in the absence of GO-CoPc, as well as in the dark reaction, showed that there was no organic product found for long periods of CO_2 photoreduction.

Further, we tested the recycling of the GO-CoPc catalyst for the photoreduction of the CO_2 . After completion of the reac-

Figure 11. Methanol-conversion rate for a) GO; b) GO:Co-Pc (1:1); and c) GO-CoPc.

tion, the catalyst was separated by centrifugation and reused for the subsequent run. ICP analysis of recovered catalyst after one cycle showed cobalt content 1.05 wt%, indicating that small leaching had occurred during the reaction.

Moreover, the possible photocatalytic mechanism of the GO-CoPc has been demonstrated for the better understanding of the CO₂ photoreduction process (Scheme 2). It is reported in

Scheme 2. Possible mechanistic pathway of CO₂ reduction.

the literature that presence of various oxy functionalites in GO creates a 2D network of infinite sp² and sp³ domains.^[18,11] Due to presence of these domains on GO sheet it behaves as it has enormous semiconductor devices on its surface.^[19] These sp² carbon atoms in sheets domain have properties similar to graphene, and electron can flow in this region with minimum resistance: it works like a conduction band. Because the nature of sp³ carbon atoms is such that the associated electrons are not mobile, these domains serve as a valance band. GO works like a semiconductor with valance and conduction bands.^[20–22] Because CoPc absorbs strongly in visible region, Pc got excited after absorbing photons and formed S₀ to S₁ state.^[23] CoPc rapidly transferred excited electrons to conduction band (CB) of GO, and then CO₂ adsorbed on GO surface can accept electrons that are to be reduced. We assumed that the covalent attachment of CoPc to the functional groups of GO provides the reduced band gap between valence and conduction bands of

GO. This significantly promoted the reaction and greatly enhanced the photoefficiency of CO₂ with H₂O to produce CH₃OH.^[24] Main advantage of attachment of CoPc to central plane of GO was better charge injection to conduction band of GO. Further, the use of sacrificial donor (triethylamine, TEA) that provides electrons to phthalocyanine also increased yield of methanol.^[25]

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that GO-immobilized cobalt phthalocyanine is an effective, recyclable, and cost-effective photocatalyst for the photoreduction of CO₂ to methanol. Our studies showed that the presence of CoPc to the GO surface play an important role, the methanol conversion rate can be achieved up to 3781.8881 μmol g^{−1} cat. under visible-light irradiation for 48 h. These conversion rates are much higher than that of GO. The chemical attachment of CoPc on GO surface provides the higher yield of the desired methanol compared to the physical mixing of the GO and CoPc in 1:1 ratio. CoPc can be promoted by visible light to produce electron–hole pairs. Attachment of CoPc to GO prohibits the recombination of hole and electron. In addition, CoPc rapidly transfers excited electrons to the conduction bands of the GO, which provides the efficient photoreduction of the CO₂ with water to produce methanol.

Experimental Section

Materials

Graphite flakes, cobalt(II) phthalocyanine (97%), and triethylamine (99%) were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich. Potassium permanganate 99.0%, sodium nitrate 99.0%, concentrated sulfuric acid, hydrogen peroxide (30%), hydrochloric acid, chloroacetic acid, NaOH, thionyl chloride, chlorosulfonic acid (99%), acetonitrile, and HPLC-grade water were purchased from Merck (India). All chemicals and solvents were of analytical grade and used as received. Cobalt–phthalocyaninetetrasulfonamide complex was synthesized by following the literature procedure.^[26]

Techniques used

UV/Vis absorption spectra for GO and GO–CoPc dispersions were recorded with a Perkin–Elmer lambda-19 UV/Vis-NIR spectrophotometer by using a 10 mm quartz cell. Solid-state spectra were recorded by using BaSO₄ as a reference. FTIR analysis was conducted by Perkin–Elmer Spectrum RX-1 IR spectrophotometer. HR-TEM of the GO and GO–CoPc was executed by using FEI-TecnaiG² TwinTEM operating at an acceleration voltage of 200 kV. SEM analysis was performed by Jeol Model JSM-6340F. For FE-SEM analysis, aqueous dispersions of GO and GO–CoPc were deposited on the glass slides, whereas very dilute aqueous suspensions were deposited on carbon-coated copper grids for HR-TEM analysis. X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) analyses were carried out by using a Bruker D8

Advance diffractometer at 40 kV and 40 mA with $\text{Cu}_{K\alpha}$ radiation ($\lambda = 0.15418$ nm). Sample for XRD was prepared by the deposition of well-dispersed graphene–CoPc on glass slide followed by drying; the analysis was performed by using cobalt as the target material. Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) of these samples were carried out by using a thermal analyzer TA-SDT Q-600. All samples were analyzed in the temperature range of 40 to 900 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ under the nitrogen flow. The porous properties of GO and GO–CoPc catalysts were examined by N₂ adsorption/desorption isotherms at 77 K and the related data (surface area, S_{BET} ; pore volume, PV; Micromeritics ASAP 2010) were calculated. XPS measurements were obtained on a KRATOS-AXIS 165 instrument equipped with dual aluminum–magnesium anodes by using $\text{Mg}_{K\alpha}$ radiation ($h\nu = 1253.6$ eV) operated at 5 kV and 15 mA with pass energy 80 eV and an increment of 0.1 eV. To overcome the charging problem, a charge neutralizer of 2 eV was applied and the binding energy of C 1s core level ($\text{BE} \approx 84.6$ eV) of adventitious hydrocarbon was used as a standard. The XPS spectra were fitted by using a nonlinear square method with the convolution of Lorentzian and Gaussian functions, after a polynomial background was subtracted from the raw spectra. The conversions and selectivity of the products were determined by high-resolution GC-FID (Varian CP-3800). ¹H NMR spectra of the products were recorded on 500 MHz by using Bruker Avance-II 500 MHz instrument. ICP-AES analysis was carried out by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometer (ICP-AES, DRE, PS-3000UV, Leeman Labs Inc., USA). Samples for ICP-AES were prepared by leaching out 0.01 g of sample with HNO₃ (conc.), and then heated for 30 min and volume to 10 mL.

After the photoreduction, the mixture was analyzed by GC. The gaseous phase was analyzed by GC-thermal conductivity detector (TCD) and GC-FID (Agilent 7890 A GC system) by using a column (RGA, refinery gas analyzer) at the flow rate (H₂ 35, air 350, make-up flow 27 mL min⁻¹, for TCD reference flow 45 mL min⁻¹, helium flow 2 mL min⁻¹), injector temperature 220 °C, TCD detector temperature and FID detector temperature (220 °C). Liquid samples were analyzed with GC-FID model Varian CP3800 (column specification: Stabilwax w/Integra-Guard, length 30 m, 0.25 ID) at the flow rate 0.5 mL min⁻¹, injector temp 250 °C, FID detector temperature 275 °C. Autosampler (model no. Varian CP 8410) was used for injecting the same amount of sample (1 μL). Calibration curve was plotted with the help of auto sampler by injecting one microliter sample (Figure S3 in the Supporting Information).

Synthesis of graphene oxide (GO)

Graphene oxide (GO) was synthesized from graphite flakes by using modified Hummers methods.^[11] In a typical synthesis, concentrated H₂SO₄ (34 mL) was added into a flask containing graphite flakes (1 gm) and sodium nitrate (0.75 gm) under stirring at 0 °C (ice bath). Approximately 4.5 gm of KMnO₄ was added gently over 20 min to the mixture and kept under stirring at RT for five days. After that, diluted H₂SO₄ (50 mL, 5 wt%) was added into the mixture and heated to 90 °C under continuous stirring for 2 h. Then, H₂O₂ (30 wt%; 2.7 mL) was added into the solution and stirred for another 2 h under ambient conditions. After completion of the reaction, the mixture was centrifuged (6000 rpm, 15 min) and washed with H₂SO₄ (3 wt%), H₂O₂ (0.5 wt%), and HCl (3 wt%), and then repeatedly washed with distilled water, until the pH of the filtrate became neutral. Finally, the prepared GO was dispersed in distilled water and the homogeneous GO dispersion was then centrifuged and filtered.

Synthesis of carboxylated GO^[27]

In a typical experiment, GO (200 mg) was dispersed in deionized water (100 mL) by using ultrasonicator to give a concentration of 2 mg mL⁻¹. The obtained suspension was treated with NaOH (1.2 g) and chloroacetic acid (1.0 g) for 3 h over ultrasonicator bath to convert the OH groups into COOH groups. The resulting GO–COOH product was neutralized by using a dilute HCl solution and purified by repeated washing with deionized water and dried under vacuum.

Immobilization of CoPc–tetrasulfonamide to carboxylated GO^[28, 29]

Carboxylated GO (GO–COOH; 200 mg) was added in DMF (1 mL) and treated with excess thionyl chloride at 65 °C for 12 h to obtain its acyl chloride derivative. Unreacted thionyl chloride was removed by vacuum distillation. The product was washed with THF (three times) and vacuum dried. The obtained GO–Cl (100 mg) was heated at reflux with cobalt phthalocyanine tetrasulfonamide [CoPc–(SO₂NH₂)₄ complex (100 mg) in DMF under nitrogen atmosphere. The GO-immobilized CoPc complex was separated from the mixture by filtration, washed with ethanol (3–4 times) by using centrifugation and membrane filtration. The GO immobilized CoPc complex was dried in an oven. The analytical values for the GO-supported CoPc were found to be C 59.67, H 3.073, N 5.468, and S 4.75 %. The cobalt content in synthesized GO–CoPc was estimated by ICP-AES analysis, and the value was found to be 1.13 wt% Co. Based on the value of cobalt, the loading of the CoPc in GO–CoPc was found to be 2.3 mmol g⁻¹.

Photocatalytic CO₂-reduction experiment

Photocatalytic experiment was performed in borosil cylindrical vessel (100 mL) of 5 cm diameter (Figure 1). Photoirradiation was carried out under visible light by using 20 W white cold LED flood light (model no. HP-FL-20W-F-Hope LED Opto-Electric Co., Ltd.). Intensity of the light at vessel was measured by intensity meter and was found to be 75 W m⁻². The vessel was charged initially with water (40 mL) and triethylamine (10 mL), and then the solution was degassed by continuous purging of nitrogen for 15 min. CO₂ was bubbled through the solution for at least 30 min to saturate the solution, then catalyst (100 mg) was added to the above-described solution. The vessel was tightly closed during the reaction and stirred continuously by a magnetic stirring bar to prevent sedimentation of the catalyst. Samples were collected after every 2 h by using a long needle, and the catalyst was removed with syringe filter (2 nm PTFE, 13 mm diameter). Quantitative determination was done by using GC-FID (flow rate 0.5 mL min⁻¹, injector temperature 250 °C, FID detector temperature 275 °C). A calibration curve was prepared for quantification and for confirmation of linear response of GC-FID system.

Blank reactions were conducted to ensure that methanol production was due to the photoreduction of CO₂ and to eliminate surrounding interference. One blank was Vis-illuminated without the catalyst, and another one was kept in the dark with the catalyst and CO₂ under the same experimental conditions. An additional blank test was Vis-illuminated with the catalyst filling N₂ rather than CO₂. No product was detected in the above-described three blank tests.

Acknowledgements

We kindly acknowledge Director, CSIR-IIP for his permission to publish these results. P.K. and A.K. are thankful to CSIR, New Delhi for their research fellowships. Analytical department of the Institute is kindly acknowledged for providing support in analysis.

Keywords: carbon dioxide reduction • cobalt • heterogeneous catalysis • photochemistry

- [1] M. Aresta, D. Dibenedetto, *Dalton Trans.* **2007**, 2975–2992.
- [2] G. A. Olah, *Angew. Chem.* **2013**, 125, 112–116; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, 52, 104–107.
- [3] A. Dhakshinamoorthy, S. Navalon, A. Corma, H. Garcia, *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2012**, 5, 9217–9233.
- [4] L. Liu, F. Gao, H. Zhao, Y. Li, *Appl. Catal., B* **2013**, 134, 349–358.
- [5] A. J. Morris, G. J. Meyer, E. Fujita, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2009**, 42, 1983–1994.
- [6] Z. Guo, C. Shao, M. Zhang, J. Mu, Z. Zhang, P. Zhang, B. Chen, Y. Liu, *J. Mater. Chem.* **2011**, 21, 12083–12088.
- [7] S. Liu, Z. Zhao, Z. Wang, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* **2007**, 6, 695–700.
- [8] X.-T. Zhou, H.-B. Ji, X.-J. Huang, *Molecules* **2012**, 17, 1149–1158.
- [9] Z. Zhao, J. Fan, M. Xie, Z. Wang, *J. Cleaner Prod.* **2009**, 17, 1025–1029.
- [10] X. Huang, X. Qi, F. Boey, H. Zhang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, 41, 666–686.
- [11] H.-C. Hsu, I. Shown, H.-Y. Wei, Y.-C. Chang, H.-Y. Du, Y.-G. Lin, C.-A. Tseng, C.-H. Wang, L.-C. Chen, Y.-C. Lind, K.-H. Chen, *Nanoscale* **2013**, 5, 262–268.
- [12] W. S. Hummers, R. E. Offeman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1958**, 80, 1339–1339.
- [13] J. Pyun, *Angew. Chem.* **2011**, 123, 46–48; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, 50, 46–48.
- [14] Q. Du, M. Zheng, L. Zhang, Y. Wang, J. Chen, L. Xue, W. Dai, G. Ji, J. Cao, *Electrochim. Acta* **2010**, 55, 3897.
- [15] K. Gotoh, T. Kinumoto, E. Fujii, A. Yamamoto, H. Hashimoto, T. Ohkubo, A. Itadani, Y. Kuroda, H. Ishida, *Carbon* **2011**, 49, 1118–1125.
- [16] J. Rouquerol, D. Avnir, C. W. Fairbridge, D. H. Everett, J. M. Haynes, N. Pernicone, J. D. F. Ramsay, K. S. W. Sing, K. K. Unger, *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1994**, 66, 1739–1758.
- [17] S. Pochekailov, D. Rais, S. Nespurek, J. Rakusan, M. Karaskova, *J. Optoelectron. Adv. Mater.* **2007**, 19, 479–485.
- [18] A. Adán-Más, D. Wei, *J. Nanomater.* **2013**, 3, 325–356.
- [19] G. Eda, M. Chhowalla, *Adv. Mater.* **2010**, 22, 2392–2415.
- [20] D. Chen, H. Zhang, Y. Liu, J. Li, *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2013**, 6, 1362–1387.
- [21] G. Eda, C. Mattevi, H. Yamaguchi, H. K. Kim, M. Chhowalla, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2009**, 113, 15768–15771.
- [22] K. P. Loh, Q. Bao, G. Eda, M. Chhowalla, *Nat. Chem.* **2010**, 2, 1015–1024.
- [23] R. C. Swanson, *J. Cleaner Prod.* **1996**, 4, 232–233.
- [24] H. Yang, C. Guo, G. H. Guai, Q. Song, S. P. Jiang, C. M. Li, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2011**, 3, 1940–1945.
- [25] J. Grodkowski, P. Neta, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2000**, 104, 1848–1853.
- [26] G. Das, B. Sain, S. Kumar, M. O. Garg, G. M. Dhar, *Catal. Today* **2009**, 141, 152–156.
- [27] X. Sun, Z. Liu, K. Welsher, J. T. Robinson, A. Goodwin, S. Zaric, H. Dai, *Nano Res.* **2008**, 1, 203–212.
- [28] R. Yamuna, S. Ramakrishnan, K. Dhara, R. Devi, N. K. Kothurkar, E. Kirubha, P. K. Palanisamy, *J. Nanopart. Res.* **2013**, 15, 1399–1408.
- [29] Y. Yu, M. Zhou, W. Shen, H. Zhang, Q. Cao, H. Cui, *Carbon* **2012**, 50, 2539–2545.

Received: October 28, 2013

Revised: February 17, 2014

Published online on April 2, 2014