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Abstract—In this study, we adopt a phaser-based processing to enhance the performance of impulse radio over fiber system utilizing SOA. The amplifier has been placed at a distance in the optical link, so as to extend the coverage area of proposed transceiver. Operating in the linear or saturation region for SOA, adds ASE noise or strong nonlinearities acting on the propagated pulses, respectively. Both lead to a degradation in the power efficiency and bit error rate performance. By applying up and down analog chirping technique, we have reduced the ASE power and nonlinearity simultaneously. Based on the 5th Gaussian pulse and Abraha’s combination of doublets, a significant improvement has been achieved at extremely low and high input powers entering the amplifier (<-15 dBm and 0 dBm), recording a very good bit error rate performance and power efficiency. Better signal quality was observed after photo-detector, due to the fact that waveforms with lower frequency components are less affected by SOA nonlinearity. Our scheme has proved to be effective for 1 Gbps OOK and 0.5 Gbps PPM transmissions, while reaching a distance of 160 km in the optical fiber.

I. INTRODUCTION

The raising demand of high quality data transfer necessitates a periodic advancement in the communication system technologies. Numerous applications, like sensor networks, local and wide area networks, remote sensing and radar, require a high speed transmission within a short range coverage areas [1]. The most suitable technique fitting this request is Ultra Wide Band (UWB), based on transmitting signals with an extremely large bandwidth and small radiated power. A lot of features make UWB an attractive technology, mainly the high data speed, flexibility in reconfiguring bit rate and power, immunity to multipath propagation, accurate mobile user location, very good time domain resolution, besides to easy data protection [2] [3]. The first global standardization for UWB was done in 2002, when it has been authorized by the Federal Communication Commission (FCC) to use the [3.1,10.6] GHz band, where the emitted power must stay below -41.3 dBm/MHz in order to protect existing wireless services [4]. A particular application of UWB is the Impulse Radio (IR), relying on transmitting ultra short pulses directly in baseband, interesting features of this technique being the low cost and complexity required [5]–[7]. UWB free space propagation is typically limited at a few meters due to the FCC power constraints, hence widening the service area via optical links is an attractive solution that has been recently demonstrated [8]–[12]. Over fiber transmission is either based on direct optical pulse generation [13], [14], or electrical generation followed by intensity modulation of UWB signals [15]. Adopting an in-line amplifier in the optical access network is a key approach for getting a reach extension. In our study, we investigate the usage of Semiconductor Optical Amplifier (SOA) [16] to perform this function, as it is a low cost optical component which is pertinent to be employed with simple impulse radio schemes. However, such a technique may lead to some drawbacks, mainly the Amplified Spontaneous Emission (ASE) noise produced [17], [18] and the nonlinear effects acting on the pulse waveform [19]. Both degrade the performance of the optical system, lower power efficiencies being achieved due to distortion, besides to the increased number of errors obtained at receiver side. In order to overcome the SOA distortions, noise reduction and linearization techniques have to be applied, without adding more complexity to the conventional system architecture. Various methodologies concerning these two topics have been investigated in literature [20]–[28]. The objective in [19] was to pre-distort against SOA nonlinear impairments by adjusting the parameters of input waveforms. This scheme was based on global optimization requiring a feedback in the electro-optical system. A special effort is applied here to examine the ability of chirping in upgrading the performance, by the use of phaser-based processing we have overcome SOA nonlinearities and ASE noise simultaneously. Following the work of Nikfal et al. [29], who has recently succeeded in enhancing the signal-to-noise ratio of UWB systems via group delay phasers, symmetrical up and down chirping has shown to be effective against ASE influence as a first step. Then we proved that SOA nonlinear effects could be also mitigated, as frequency decomposition reduces the order of four wave mixing and cross gain modulation against propagated signals. Up-down chirping could be considered as a pre-post distortion technique, which has improved the power efficiency of transmitted impulse radio, while traveling along a broad distance in the optical link, thanks to chirping.

II. IR-UWB OVER FIBER SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE AND SOA IMPACT ON OUTPUT PULSES RESPONSE

The Radio-over-Fiber (RoF) utilized is depicted in fig. 1. The transmitter relies on a single arm Mach-Zehnder Modulator (MZM) with half-wave voltage \( V\pi \) of 6 V, biased at \( V_{DC} = 1.5V\pi \) by the electrical IR-UWB signal (with a peak-to-peak voltage \( V_{pp} \)), a continuous wave light being applied at its input (laser diode signal at 1540 nm wavelength). The
channel is made of a Single Mode Fiber (SMF) and an inline amplifier (SOA) for the reach extension purpose, with an injected bias current $I_{\text{bias}}$. At the receiver side (just before wireless transmission), a photo-detector (assumed to be noise free) converts the optical power into an electrical voltage signal to be eventually attenuated before entering the antenna (so as to meet FCC mask). The same ADS software-based model as in [30] is adopted for the SOA (INPHENIX-IPSAD1501), with unchanged physical properties, as it has proved to be highly accurate and in very good agreement with experimental data. Increasing the transmission distance requires operating in the saturated region of the SOA, which yields various nonlinear impairments affecting the transmitted signal. On the non-coherent receiver side, the resulting distortion will be characterized in terms of power efficiency with respect to the FCC mask, defined as:

$$\eta = \frac{\int_{f_{3.1}}^{f_{10.6}} S_p(f) df}{\int_{f_{3.1}}^{f_{10.6}} S_{\text{FCC}}(f) df} \times 100\% \quad (1)$$

where $S_p(f)$ denotes the power spectral density (PSD) for a pulse $p(t)$ [31]. $\eta$ is computed after photo-detector while considering antenna effects are ignored. To have a look at SOA impact, we have studied the spectral response of the 5-th order derivative Gaussian pulse before and after being passed through SOA, with a peak-to-peak voltage fixed at 0.5 $V\pi$ and the amplifier biased at 250 mA. Figure 2 shows the spectrum in electrical domain (solid-blue), where the dot-dashed-green and dashed-red curves correspond to the spectra obtained at SOA output, while operating in the linear region (-25 dBm input power) and saturation region (0 dBm input power), respectively. In both cases, the PSD of the optical pulse violates FCC mask in the most restricted band corresponding to GPS [0.96 – 1.61] GHz. At linear region, the distortion in the spectrum is due to the noise flat spectral response; while at saturation, it is due to the strong nonlinearities acting on the pulse waveform. Hence, the average signal power has to be reduced so as to meet the regular limit, leading to a significant impact on the power efficiency (degradation > 35%) at both operating points, specially for the noisy case. In our recent work [19], we have studied the power efficiency versus SOA input power while considering several peak-to-peak voltages. It has been shown that high input powers bring strong nonlinearities specially at large $V_{pp}$, and low powers are associated with a small signal-to-noise (SNR) ratio particularly at low $V_{pp}$. We point out also that the compromise between linearity and SNR is controlled by the maximum voltage swing. The latter has been fixed at $V_{pp} = 0.5 V\pi$ when studying the nonlinearity aspect in the remaining part of the paper, as it is a medium value that well describes the SOA behavior versus operating point.

III. PRINCIPLE OF A TRANSMISSION SYSTEM UTILIZING UP AND DOWN CHIRPING TECHNIQUE

The system architecture described in fig. 3 has been investigated by Nikfal [29], with the aim of enhancing the SNR at receiver side. The key function is performed by the phaser, which has a dispersive structure with controllable group delay [32]. Such a component applies linear up or down chirping for the input signal, thus stretching or compressing the pulse without making a change in the total energy. Therefore, if the ratio of the pulse duration before to after phaser is $M$, then the corresponding value for the average power is $I/M$. The transmitter operates a linear up chirping for the data pulses before entering the channel, while at receiver side, the instantaneous frequencies are equalized so as to compress the pulse to an enhanced waveform that is essentially identical to the original one (down chirping being performed with an absolutely equal slope to that of the transmitter). Several investigations originating from the chirp concept have been reported in literature, which include real-time spectrum sniffing and enhancing the resolution of analog signal-processing systems [33], [34]. Equations (2), (3), and (4) describe the time and frequency domain expressions of the chirped signal, besides to the frequency dependent time delay function $\tau(f)$, where $x(t)$ is the original pulse before entering the phaser, $a$ is the chirping slope, and $b$ is the delay offset. Figure 4 (left) shows the time waveform of an up-chirped 5th order Gaussian pulse, with a chirping slope of 0.0472 ns/GHz; when we apply a down chirping with opposite polarity, the resultant pulse can exactly match the original as verified in fig. 4 (right). A key point to mention is that no local oscillator has been used to generate the chirped pulse, it is a simple phaser which follows the pulse shaper. Figure 5 (left) states the Wigner Ville Distribution [35] of the normal pulse; as can be seen, several frequency components exist at different time instants simultaneously, specially at the center of the waveform. By up chirping we make a partial separation so that the peak

![Fig. 1: Block diagram of the Impulse Radio over fiber system with Matlab/ADS co-simulation.](image1)

![Fig. 2: Power Spectral Density of 5th Gaussian in electrical domain and after SOA (while operating in the linear or saturation region).](image2)
frequency increases with time, less frequency overlap being obtained for the chirped pulse as illustrated in fig. 5 (right).

In the case of up-chirping, we consider \( b = 0 \) as no need for a delay offset, whereas for down chirping, the value of \( b \) must not go under the maximum time shift applied in the negative sense. Chirping affects only the phase of the spectrum without varying its magnitude (4), hence there is no influence on the power spectral density, which is a positive point for this approach, as no drawback is observed in terms of power efficiency.

\[
y(t) = x(t + \tau(f)) \\
\tau(f) = af + b \\
Y(f) = X(f) \exp(2\pi f \tau(f)) \\
= X(f) \exp(2\pi af^2j) \exp(2\pi fbj)
\]  

Table I states different values of chirping slopes, each associated with a maximum time shift \( \tau_{\text{max}} \), computed as \( \tau_{\text{max}} = a \times f_{\text{max}} = a \times 10.6 \text{ GHz} \), as the energy of input pulses is negligible for frequencies above the FCC upper bound. Assuming \( f_{\text{max}} \) exists at the end of the pulse interval, the new pulse duration \( T_p \) becomes the old one (0.5 ns) added to \( \tau_{\text{max}} \). Therefore, the data rate for an On Off Keying (OOK) modulated signal having a unit processing gain is \( 1/T_p \), whereas for a non-coherent Pulse Position Modulation (PPM) it is \( 1/(2T_p) \). In [29], they have proved an enhancement observed in fig. 6, where the chirping slope is 0.0472 ns/GHz. Lower number of errors is obtained at the cost of data rate as illustrated in fig. 7, where OOK operates at the maximum data rate and PPM occupies only the half. Increasing the chirping slope broadens the pulse duration, and consequently degrades the transmission speed but enhances the quality of service on the other side.

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\text{Chirping Slope (ns/GHz)} & \tau_{\text{max}} (\text{ns}) & T_p (\text{ns}) & R_{\text{OOK}} (\text{Gbps}) & R_{\text{PPM}} (\text{Gbps}) \\
\hline
0 & 0 & 0.5 & 2 & 1 \\
0.0052 & 0.055 & 0.555 & 1.8 & 0.9 \\
0.0118 & 0.125 & 0.625 & 1.6 & 0.8 \\
0.0202 & 0.214 & 0.714 & 1.4 & 0.7 \\
0.0314 & 0.333 & 0.833 & 1.2 & 0.6 \\
0.0472 & 0.5 & 1 & 1 & 0.5 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]  

TABLE I: The set of chirping slopes dependent in the study, and the corresponding time shift, besides to the pulse duration and resultant data rate for OOK or PPM.

IV. THE BENEFITS OF CHIRPING IN A SOA-BASED IR-UWB OVER FIBER SYSTEM

The great potential offered by up and down chirping technique presented in the previous section, has motivated us to go towards SOA-based IR-UWB over fiber systems, which may
suffer from ASE effects. Noise reduction in communication systems is a general topic that has been investigated in numerous studies. Here we adopt the chirping mechanism for attenuating the noise, as it is a low cost analog approach. The block diagram of the proposed system is described in fig. 8, with up and down chirping taking place before and after the optical system respectively. The initial target was to follow the work of [29] and examine the performance considering a radio over fiber application. Then, as will be shown in the sequel, the chirping process is also capable to improve the power efficiency of amplified pulses by reducing the order of SOA nonlinearity. To the knowledge of the authors, this has not been examined in the literature until now.

A. Reducing the impact of ASE noise via chirping

The Gaussian and burst noises have been considered in [29], here we prove that even for ASE noise a notable increase in the SNR could also be achieved. Figure 9 shows the time waveforms of the 5th order Gaussian pulse obtained at SOA output, with (right) and without (left) chirping, where P-SOA= -20 dBm, V_{pp} = 0.1 V \pi, and I_{bias} = 250 mA.

 Besides to the improvement in system performance while operating in the SOA linear region, we can also enhance the power efficiency of pulses obtained at SOA output, by reducing the order of nonlinearity through up and down chirping. A lower order of cross gain modulation and four-wave mixing is obtained if a single frequency is processed at a time, rather than amplifying the whole frequency components simultaneously [16]. Hence, stretching the pulse over time reduces the SOA nonlinearity, as different frequency components can pass through the amplifier at separate time instants. Based on this concept, we enter chirped signals at the SOA input in order to get less distortion, and after photodetection the original pulse can be reconstructed via down chirping. This strategy could be classified as a new type of linearization in addition to existing pre-distortion and post-distortion schemes employed for power amplifiers [20]–[27]. The average cross-correlation between pulses before and after SOA has been studied for different combinations of sinusoids, where the total set includes 4 frequencies, (f_1= 4 GHz, f_2= 6 GHz, f_3= 8 GHz, f_4= 10 GHz). Figure 11 reveals that a better correlation between electrical and optical pulses is achieved for combinations based on a lower number of frequencies. 

\[
x_5(t) = \frac{A}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left( -\frac{t^5}{2\sigma_i^5} \right) \exp \left( -\frac{15t}{2\sigma_i^5} \right)
\]

\[
x_2(t) = A_1 x_{21}(t) + A_2 x_{22}(t - \tau)
\]

\[
x_{21}(t) = \frac{A}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_1^3} \exp \left( -\frac{t^2}{2\sigma_1^2} \right)
\]

The high power efficiency offered by the 5th derivative Gaussian pulse, besides the acceptable order of generation
that a better cross correlation is being achieved at higher chirping slopes, while operating at a strong saturation point (0 dBm), promising with an enhancement in the pulse power efficiency when chirping is adopted. The dominance of the 5th Gaussian over \( C_D \) is due to original pulse dynamics. The highest slope (0.0472 ns/GHz) has been considered in the remaining part of the study. For a better clarification, we can see in fig. 13 the input-to-output amplitude mapping associated to Abraha’s combination without (left) and with (right) chirping, the distribution of the points is more close to the linear response, that validates the effectiveness of our approach.

C. Bias current influence

Figure 14 plots the power efficiency versus biasing current for the normal and chirped pulses, where P-SOA=0 dBm and \( V_{pp}=0.5 \, V \pi \). Abraha’s waveform is more sensitive to SOA nonlinear impairments, as the spectrum in electrical domain has a high 10 dB bandwidth, so any spectral deviation is enough to violate FCC mask, and a fast step-down in the efficiency will be obtained. For \( I_{bias}=100 \, mA \), only a small decrease in the power efficiency is noticed for the 5th Gaussian with respect to the electrical domain response (table II), unlike the combination of doublets where a significant degradation (close to 38 \%) is observed. Operating at larger biasing currents brings strong nonlinearities acting on the propagated pulses, and consequently lower power efficiencies are being obtained for both waveforms. After 200 mA, only a small change appears, as the amplifier reaches a saturated gain due to the high input power and current applied. The key point here is that we can always see an improvement for the chirped signals over original ones. The biasing current will be fixed at 250 mA for next simulations, as associated with the highest optical gain matching the request of reach extension.

![Figure 11: Average cross correlation between electrical and optical (after photo-detector) waveforms, versus SOA input power for several combinations of sinusoids, \( V_{pp}=0.5 \, V \pi \), \( I_{bias}=250 \, mA \).](image1)

![Figure 12: Cross Correlation between electrical and optical waveforms versus chirping slope, for the 5th Gaussian pulse and Abraha’s combination, where \( V_{pp}=0.5 \, V \pi \), \( I_{bias}=250 \, mA \), P-SOA=0 dBm.](image2)

![Figure 13: The input-to-output mapping for Abraha’s combination after being passed through SOA, without (left) and with (right) chirping, where P-SOA=0 dBm, \( I_{bias}=250 \, mA \), \( V_{pp}=0.5 \, V \pi \).](image3)

![Figure 14: Power Efficiency of original and chirped pulses directly after SOA (at 40 km) for several biasing currents, where \( V_{pp}=0.5 \, V \pi \) and P-SOA= 0 dBm.](image4)
TABLE II: Pulse Shaping Factors of electrical generated waveforms, and spectral characteristics ($f_{\text{peak}}$, $B_3$, $B_{10}$, $BL_{34}$) of corresponding pulses at SOA output (placed at 40 km). Besides to the power efficiencies (%) in electrical domain and after SOA, with $P_{SOA}=0$ dBm, $I_{bias}=250$ mA, and $V_{pp}=0.5 V_{\pi}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pulses</th>
<th>$\sigma$ (ps)</th>
<th>$\eta$ (%) Elec</th>
<th>$f_{\text{peak}}$ (GHz)</th>
<th>$B_3$ (GHz)</th>
<th>$B_{10}$ (GHz)</th>
<th>$BL_{34}$ (GHz)</th>
<th>$\eta$ (%) SOA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original 5th Gaussian</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chirped 5th Gaussian</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>6.63</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>46.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original Abraha’s Pulse</td>
<td>43.02</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>6.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chirped Abraha’s Pulse</td>
<td>43.02</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>6.63</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>7.43</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>49.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. Time waveforms and corresponding spectra of the pulses with and without chirping

Figures 15 and 16 describe the time and frequency plots of our pulses in electrical domain and after SOA (at the photodetector output), where the spectra are plotted in the noise-free case (ASE noise not considered for spectrum readability). Table II states the parameters of applied waveforms, besides to the power efficiencies and spectral characteristics evaluated at SOA output. $BL_{34}$ is defined as the left -34 dB bandwidth (distance between the lower frequency $f_L$ and $f_{\text{peak}}$), this criterion is useful to evaluate the spectrum in correspondence with GPS band [19]. As shown, the same waveforms are generated in electrical domain, and chirping has no drawback on the pulse spectral behavior. A significant raise in the power efficiency is observed for the two waveforms, specially for Abraha’s combination, where we have an increase in the 3 dB and 10 dB bandwidth from, 3.82 to 4.14 GHz and 6.84 to 7.43 GHz respectively, besides to the 1.12 GHz reduction in $BL_{34}$, both permit for a step up in the PSD under FCC mask while covering a large spectral area without violating the regular limit, even in the most power restricted region corresponding to GPS band. The spectrum is centered at $f_{\text{peak}}=6.63$ GHz, which is not so far from the middle of UWB mask (6.85 GHz). A lower power efficiency is recorded for the chirped 5th Gaussian with respect to Abraha’s pulse after SOA, knowing that it has a higher cross correlation for the same conditions (fig. 12). This is due to the initial difference in the efficiency of electrical waveforms; otherwise, the optical-to-electrical ratio of the 5th Gaussian (46.24% / 50.7% = 0.91) is greater than for Abraha’s combination (49.23% / 57.2% = 0.86). An important point to mention is that, unlike usual linearization techniques, our approach is based on complementary pre and post-distortion being performed symmetrically on the transmitter and receiver sides.

V. CASE OF A MODULATED IMPULSE RADIO SIGNAL PROPAGATING OVER OPTICAL FIBER LINK

In this section, we consider a modulated impulse radio signal passing through an optical channel, while operating at strong saturation (P-SOA=0 dBm) with a large biasing current ($I_{bias}=250$ mA), where $V_{pp}=0.5V_{\pi}$ and SOA placed 40 km apart from MZM. Certain factors affect the time and spectral properties of a signal propagating over optical link, mainly the covered distance, peak-to-peak power swing, fiber input power, and pulse dynamics. The two pieces of SMF (before and after SOA) have an attenuation factor of 0.2 dB/Km, with a chromatic dispersion of 17 ps/nm/km. In order to evaluate our scheme taking into account a stream of modulated pulses, a new criterion of power efficiency has been defined as

$$\eta_M = \frac{P_F}{\text{max}(P_F)}$$

where $P_F$ stands for the power collected over a frequency band of interest $F$ (typically the [3.1-10.6] GHz band) for the electrical signal at antenna input, and $\text{max}(P_F)$ denoting the total power evaluated over the same band for an OOK or PPM modulated signal based on the $sinc$ pulse, which is optimal in the sense that it corresponds to a 100% spectrum use (full coverage of the spectral mask). In fig. 17 we can...
see the advantage of chirping technique considering a 1 Gbps OOK; for both waveforms the chirped signal outperforms the original one while traveling along a large enough distance, knowing that no pre-amplifier has been placed for modulated signals before the antenna. This improvement is justified by the fact that the envelope of spectral spikes for OOK or PPM takes the shape of basic transmitted waveform, so perfect pulse shapes lead to an efficient spectrum associated with the modulated impulse radio. Due to frequency shaping and deviation caused in fiber [10], the power efficiency decreases as the signal propagates over the optical channel, since the central frequency and $B_{10}$ are no more preserved specially at large extension link. The flat response of the curves between 40 km and 110 km, is due to the fact that all spectra are already under FCC mask, therefore the signal has to be systematically attenuated so as to respect the standard limit. At large distances the spectrum goes under FCC mask; hence no need for up and down chirping, as nonlinearity is not harmful for free spectra below the regular mask. The time waveforms of Abraha’s combination at 100 km has been plotted in fig. 18; as we can see, the de-chirped pulse has a better correlation with the electrical waveform than the original one, where the small intensity of the noise observed is due to SOA strong saturation. The PSD of the OOK signal based on $C_D$ at SOA output is plotted in fig. 19. Evidently, the spectral shaping at low frequency band is the reason beyond efficiency enhancement, so a higher power level can be achieved by the spectra when adapted to FCC mask, as also shown in fig. 20 for the case of 0.5 Gbps PPM. Figure 21 informs that the same conclusion could be drawn for PPM; hence, the proposed up-down chirping technique has proved to be effective while reaching a coverage of 160 km, which can fit the demand of Fiber-to-the-Home (FTTH) networks [37]. Here we consider OOK and PPM formats with non-coherent energy detection; otherwise, chirping is a general approach which could be adopted with other modulation schemes. The performance gain is due to linearizing the SOA characteristics, which is theoretically not limited to any type of transmission. A number of features could be added for this work in comparison to our recent publications [19] regarding pre-distortion. In fact, here there is no need for a feedback in the electro-optical system to apply an optimization process, saving thus complexity and computational time. Since with chirping, the improvement in the efficiency is relative to the slope of the phaser, accordingly it is a well known relation and not a search for the optimal settings. Besides, the new scheme performs well for both 5th Gaussian and Abraha combination, unlike the previous approach which is more effective when adopted with combinations of waveforms.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, an analog up-down chirping technique has been applied for the first time in SOA-based impulse radio over fiber system. The aim is to enhance the performance
in terms of bit error rate and power efficiency. Utilizing phase-based processing, we mitigated the order of SOA nonlinearity at strong saturation, besides to the ASE noise reduction at linear region. Relying on a realistic SOA physical model, a significant increase in the pulse efficiency of the 5th Gaussian (>30%) and Abraha’s combination (>40%) waveforms has been recorded. The potential of our approach has been examined considering a 1 Gbps OOK and 0.5 Gbps PPM modulation formats, a better bit error rate performance and higher power efficiencies have been achieved, while propagating over a large extension of single mode fiber. Adopting the chirping scheme with different optical amplifiers is a good way to extend our research, so as to make a comparison in terms of performance and system complexity.
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Fig. 21: Power Efficiency versus fiber length for 0.5 Gbps PPM based on the utilized pulses, $P_{\text{SOA}}=0$ dBm, $I_{\text{bias}}=250$ mA. $V_{\text{pp}}=0.5V\pi$, where SOA is placed at 40 km.

