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1. Introduction

Aluminum and its alloys are widely used in aerospace industry because of their low density and mechanical properties. Despite the protective film ((hydro) oxide thin film) formed in moist conditions, the high reactivity commonly observed drastically increases in contact with solutions containing complexing agents (e.g., halides) [1–4]. The corrosion process of aluminum involves the adsorption of aggressive ions on the (hydr)oxide aluminum surface (e.g., Cl−), the dissolution of this protective layer and the direct attack of the metal [5]. A solution to improve the corrosion resistance is to use protective coatings. Chromates (Cr(VI)) compounds are the most common substances used due to their efficiency in severe atmosphere and their low cost [6]. Chromates can be introduced by three main ways: direct incorporation into conversion coatings, only added in anodizing baths and as pigments in painting primers. However, the use of chromates in coatings involved serious environmental problems for the surface treatment industry, because hexavalent chromates are carcinogenic and highly toxic [5]. Since environmental regulations got stricter in the last few years, with the coming prohibition use of Cr(VI) compounds in corrosion protection systems (REACH, 2017), different alternative substitution formulations have been extensively studied. One of the most promising systems is based on rare-earth (Ce, Nd, La, Y) impregnation solutions [7–9]. Moreover, Hinton et al. have revealed the high potential of cerium ions in the reduction of the corrosion rate [7]. Some new composite formulations (e.g., Ce-doped sol-gel network) offer both active (electrochemical) and passive (barrier) protection for the underlying alloys [2,4,10,11]. The idea of Ce conversion coatings as active protection films on aluminum alloys is still a key parameter in the general thought and recent works even recognized an enhanced activity with a prior acid surface pre-treatment [12]. All these preliminary studies inspired an increasing interest for researchers and especially aircraft manufacturers who want to progress in the knowledge of the active protection film process to optimize the life cycle of the coated devices. The Cerium-based conversion process has already been undertaken with the different cerium salts [13] and, for each of them, with the addition (or not) of different sodium salts. Main observations were the nitrate ion proved to be a strong inhibitor and the sulphate ion had no marked effect (only very slight inhibition).

The purpose of this paper is to give complementary information in the topic about the role of cerium inhibitor via the study of the conversion coating (CC) composition by a dual X-Ray photoelectron (XPS)/Auger spectroscopies analysis. For a convenient protective process used in the industry, such a conversion coating...
is always pre-deposited onto the metal support before a primer (filler, UV absorber, …) and topcoat (against mechanical abrasion and environmental erosion) [14]. Then, specific properties of the Cerium CC discussed here after have to be considered in a global framework. The corrosion protection of the same parts used in the aeronautics is then the good alchemy between the active (CC) and the passive (primer/paint) assemblies of the whole protective system. Our short study, only focused on the active protection deposit, presents an original survey, at the sub-micron scale, of the chemical evolution in the surrounding of the corroded zones (pits, crevices). With these adapted tools of surface characterization, migration of entities and changes into oxidation states are directly and simultaneously recorded. The real role of the active CC layers can be then well understood.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Material and methods

The material studied was AA 2024-T3 aluminium alloy composed by (in wt%) 3.8–5.0 Cu, 0.2–1.2 Mg, 0.4–1.0 Mn, 0.5–1.2 Si, <0.7 Fe, <0.1 Cr. Each sample surface (80 mm x 42 mm x 1 mm panel) was cleaned and prepared using several steps; after acetone degreasing, a chemical pretreatment was performed as it follows: a 20-min immersion in a NaOH solution (pH=9) maintained at 60 °C, followed by a rinsing with deionized water; and a 5 min neutralization in an aqueous solution of NaNO₃ at pH = 0.95 at room temperature. The samples were finally washed in ethanol and dried in air.

Cerium based conversion coatings (Ce CC) were deposited at room temperature by immersion of the alloy panel in a water solution containing Ce(NO₃)₃·6H₂O (Fluka, CAS: 10294-41-4) at a different cerium concentrations (0.01 M, 0.05 M, 0.1 M and 0.5 M). The pH of the immersion bath is maintained to 4 and no accelerating agent (e.g., H₂O₂) was used. Once immersed during 300 s (due time for a homogeneous/covering film and better electrochemical performance), samples were rinsed four times with deionized water and dried at room temperature in a desiccator. Ce CC were finally about 2.1 ± 0.5 μm thick (examined by SEM) with yellow/brown colorization, after a 300 s immersion in the conversion bath.

Aqueous corrosion solutions—Corrosion attack was conducted on the samples in a 3.5 wt.% NaCl solution at 25 °C. Time of immersion was set to 1 h according to the appearance of first corrosion pits. A single and specific test of ionic cross section was performed on the AA2024 substrate covered with Ce-based coating ([Ce]=0.1 M) with a JEOL IB-0901 cross polisher. Analytical conditions are reported elsewhere in a recent work [15]. After 96 h under air exposure, samples surface and cross section were analyzed with XPS and Auger; this operation was a first try to investigate the corrosion propagation and the effect of the inhibitor deep in the material.

The electrochemical behavior of the systems was evaluated by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) in a 0.05 M NaCl static solution (pH=6.0) for the different panels originally got from several immersion times (1s, 60 s and 300s) in the conversion bath. For the electrochemical measurements, a three-electrode electrochemical cell was used, consisting of a platinum counter electrode, a saturated calomel reference electrode and the sample was used as a working electrode, with an exposed area equal to 15 cm². The experimental apparatus used for the electrochemical investigation was a potentiostat (AUTOLAB PGSTAT 30) and a frequency response analyzer (FRA). EIS measurements were performed in potential-static mode at the OCP, obtained after a 1 h stabilization of the potential in the electrolyte. The amplitude of the EIS perturbation signal was 10 mV, and the frequency studied ranged from 100 kHz to 10 mHz. Only values of the charges transfer resistance (R) are reported elsewhere in the text for any concentrations of cerium tested in the conversion bath.

2.2. Surface analysis

2.2.1. Morphology of materials with scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

The morphology and the microstructure of the coatings, before and after the immersion tests in corrosion solutions, were observed by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM). High resolution high-energy images were obtained with a JEOL JAMP-9500F Auger spectrometer (JEOL Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) working under precise conditions (30 keV, 2 nA, working distance = 23 mm, pressure <2.10⁻⁷ Pa) fitted with a Schottky field emission electron gun using a conventional secondary electron detector (SED) in the analysis chamber. The present analysis mode permits a high depth field for nanoparticles visualization.

2.2.2. Surface chemical analysis with X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS)

In the aim to characterize the Ce CC composition, X-Ray Photoelectron Spectrometry (XPS) measurements were performed on a Thermo K-alpha spectrometer with a hemispherical analyzer and a microfocused (analysis area was c.a. 400 μm²) monochromated radiation (AlKα, 1486.6 eV) operating at 72W under a residual pressure of 1×10⁻⁹ mbar. The pass energy was set to 20 eV. Charge effects were compensated by the use of a charge neutralisation system (low energy electrons) which had the unique ability to provide consistent charge compensation. All the neutraliser parameters remained constant during analysis and allow ones to find a 285.0 eV C1 s binding energy for adventitious carbon. Spectra were mathematically fitted with Casa XPS software using a least squares algorithm and a non-linear baseline. The fitting peaks of the experimental curves were defined by a combination of Gaussian (70%) and Lorentzian (30%) distributions. Only core levels spectra for the elements with highest photoionization cross section were recorded in order to extract more reliable information.

2.2.3. Surface chemical analysis with auger spectroscopy (XPS) and chemical mapping with scanning auger microscopy (SAM)

The Auger analyses were carried out with the previous JEOL JAMP 9500F Auger spectrometer working under UHV conditions (pressure <2.10⁻⁷ Pa). The UHV equipment was a Schottky field emission Auger electron spectrometer (FE-AES) dedicated to very high spatial resolution analysis and high brightness. The hemispherical electron analyzer coupled with a multichannel detector (7 channeltrons) offered ideal settings for energy resolved Auger analysis.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. AA2024-T3 surface preparation

The AA2024-T3 alloy surface was initially cleaned before Ce CC as reported in the Materials and treatment section. The chemical surface state is controlled with the XPS and results show a high initial content of hydrocarbon entities at the AA2024-T3 surface (Fig. 1A).

The surface is fully contaminated as no alloy elements are visible. Once chemically treated with acid and alkaline baths (Fig. 1B), the near alloy surface exhibits a clear decrease of the carbon component (Table 1) and an aluminum signal with two distinct components: – at 71.8 eV associated with the metallic Al I oxidation state, – and at 74.6 eV corresponding to Al-O(H) environment; the thin native (hydro)oxide overlayer is a potential anchorage area for the future conversion coating. Copper Cu2p signal attests of the bare metal
corrosion treatment
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Ce(0.1 M) coatings onto the panels by the conversion bath.

Fig. 1. Large scale XPS spectrum of AA 2024-T3 alloy: (A) before and (B) after surface preparation (degreasing/acid cleaning).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element (at.%)</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Ce</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before surface preparation</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After surface preparation</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA 2024-T3/CeCC ([Ce]=0.1 M)</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1
Surface composition of the AA2024-T3 substrate: before and after degreasing/acid treatment and covered with Ce CC ([Ce]=0.1 M) after 300 s of immersion in the conversion bath.

detection and the efficiency of the cleaning treatment. Effective cleaning pre-treatments are often demonstrated to be associated with the generation of surface defaults, grained topology favoring redox reactions necessary to the Ce CC formation [16].

Fluorine components of low intensity observed in the clean sample spectrum come from a residual pollution in the analysis chamber in the period of the experiment. It does not have any effect onto the surface chemistry as it has been mainly found, in very low concentration (around 0.9 at.%), bonded to residual hydrocarbon entities.

3.2. Ce-based conversion coating (Ce CC) over AA 2024-T3 before corrosion

The Ce CC covering is expected to be quite homogeneous which is fundamental for the future industrial applications. SEM images of the Ce CC panels surface were systematically recorded in the different immersion conditions ([Ce], immersion time). Before corrosion, the appearance of the surface coating is quite homogeneous for cerium concentrations ranging from 0.01 M to 0.1 M whatever the immersion time. On figure ES1, one can observe in the case of the Ce(0.1 M) CC coating (300 s of immersion) a surface rarely affected by topography defects (holes, excrescences, ...). When increasing the cerium concentration (e.g., [Ce]=0.5 M), right from the first seconds of immersion, the coating is cracked and can peel off for longer stay in the conversion bath. Then the higher the cerium concentration is, the more defective the coating surface becomes.

XPS analysis for the coated panels has shown well-identified chemical entities from the coating but also from the AA2024 substrate. For instance, after 300 s of immersion in the conversion bath, the large scale survey (Fig. 2A) of AA 2024-T3/Ce CC (e.g., [Ce]=0.1 M) system displays emergence of the main different orbitals (Al2p, Al2s, C1s, O1s and Ce3d) with intense signals (Table 1). The coating, very few microns thick, appears to be quite homogeneous and leveling (Fig. 2B) but the observation of some substrate elements with the XPS technique would attest of a certain porosity of the deposit. After 1 s of immersion, The XPS data give, at the substrate surface, a Ce/Al ratio between 0.4 and 0.5 for [Ce]=0.1 M when it is 6 times higher for the [Ce]=0.5 M in relation with a real difference of deposit thickness. For longer times of immersion (e.g. 300s), the Ce/Al ratio is quite stable for 0.1 M whereas it drastically drops for 0.5 M. This could confirm the damaging increase of the coating at high cerium concentration with the immersion time.

Different areas of analysis were pointed out and no difference was found for the chemical composition of the Ce CC coating traducing a good homogeneity. The cerium corrosion inhibitor has been recorded in quite important at% between 2.6% (for [Ce]=0.01 M) to 13.7% for [Ce]=0.5 M. For all the coatings, the chemical survey attests of a mixture of cerium species (Ce + III and Ce + IV, see figure ES12) as reported elsewhere [17]. Histograms show a main proportion of Ce + IV for panels covered up at low cerium concentration when Ce + III is in the majority for higher cerium concentration.

In the high resolution Ce3d spectrum, a same structured experimental profile is recorded whatever the different cerium concentration of the CC bath and immersion times. Only intensities vary according to the content of Ce + III and Ce + IV species. In Fig. 3A and the Table 2 (e.g., Ce(0.1 M) CC immersed 300s), four main peaks corresponding to the pairs of spin-orbit doublets can be identified, in good agreement with other authors [20,21] and the presence of a +III oxidation state. The highest binding energy peaks, u1 and u1', respectively located at about 885.8 ± 0.2 eV and 904.3 ± 0.2 eV are the result of a Ce 3d4^4f4 O 2p5 final state. The lowest binding energy states u0 and u0' respectively located at 881.8 ± 0.2 eV and 900.1 ± 0.2 eV are the result of Ce 3d4^4f2 O 2p5.
According to micrograph labeling, Fig. 2. Cerium-based conversion coating (with [Ce]=0.1 M; 300 s of immersion in the conversion bath) over AA2024-T3 alloy: (A) large scale XPS spectrum and (B) SEM micrograph of the cross section.

Some minor components owing to hybridization with ligand orbitals and partial occupancy of the valence 4f orbital are also observed. Six new peaks labeled v₀, v₁, v₂ (for 3d₅/₂) and v₀', v₁', v₂' (for 3d₃/₂) referring to the three pairs of spin orbit doublets are characteristic of Ce⁴⁺ 3d final states [22,23]. The lowest binding energy states v₀ (v₀', v₁ and v₁') respectively located at 882.5 eV (903.4 eV), 886.8 (and 907.5) are the result of Ce 3d⁵4f⁰ O 2p⁶ and Ce 3d⁵4f¹ O 2p⁵ final states. The highest binding energy peaks, v₂ and v₂' respectively located at about 916.7 and 898.8 ± 0.2 eV are the result of a Ce 3d⁵4f⁰ O 2p⁶ final state. The satellite peak v₂ associated to the Ce 3d₃/₂ is characteristic of the presence of tetravalent Ce (+IV oxidation state) due to a partial oxidation of cerium in the reference material. This fact is pretty interesting as this component is isolated from the others at approx. 916–917 eV, as a matter of fact, one can use this peak as a quantitative probe of the amount of Ce⁴⁺ [18,24–26].

In such a coating the minor Ce + IV species seem to be located in the top layer part as demonstrated by soft Ar⁺ ion erosion which reveals only a Ce + III state after 180 s of surface erosion (Fig. 3B). Such observations were even reported in a furnish work of L.S. Kasten and coll. [18]. Ce + IV (deposition of CeO₂–H₂O or Ce(OH)₃ layer) is found to be predominant under different experimental conditions: when the cerium-based conversion coating is achieved using, for instance, chloride cerium salt base conversion bath in presence of hydrogen peroxide [19]. At low Ce concentration, the smaller thickness of the deposit involves the oxidation of most dispersed Ce + III species which is less evident for thicker coating (high Ce concentration) for which only top layers of Ce + III are oxidized. The oxidation effect is more pronounced for Ce (0.1 M) CC compare to Ce (0.5 M) CC certainly due to a more important porosity for this last one.

Indeed, it has been demonstrated that the integral area of v₂' peak with respect to the total Ce3d area could be translates into percentage of Ce⁴⁺ [24,27]. Shyu and coll. [28] have demonstrated that the integral area of the v₂' peak with respect to the total Ce3d area could be translated into percentage of Ce⁴⁺ with the relative error of being in the range of 10%. In the case of pure Ce⁴⁺, the v₂' peak should constitute around 14% of total integral intensity. According to the linear dependence of percentage v₂' on percentage

Table 2: Labeling, mean position of the 10 components of a mixed Ce(III)/Ce(IV) sample according to (*) mean position of peaks for the different Ce(0.1 M) CC (300 s immersion time) onto AA2024-T3 substrate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spin-orbit component</th>
<th>electronic state attribution</th>
<th>(*) peak position (eV) ± 0.5 eV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ce(III)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u₀</td>
<td>3d₅/₂</td>
<td>Ce(III)3d⁵4f⁰</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u₁</td>
<td>3d₅/₂</td>
<td>O2p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u₂</td>
<td>3d₅/₂</td>
<td>Ce(III)3d⁵4f⁰</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u₃</td>
<td>3d₃/₂</td>
<td>O2p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce(IV)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v₀</td>
<td>3d₅/₂</td>
<td>Ce(IV)3d⁵4f¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v₁</td>
<td>3d₅/₂</td>
<td>O2p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v₂</td>
<td>3d₅/₂</td>
<td>O2p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v₃</td>
<td>3d₅/₂</td>
<td>O2p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v₄</td>
<td>satellite</td>
<td>Ce(IV)3d⁵4f⁰</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v₅</td>
<td>satellite</td>
<td>O2p</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ce$^{4+}$ reported in the literature, percentage of Ce$^{4+}$ was calculated by:

$$\text{Ce}^{4+} = \frac{v_{2}}{v_{1}} \times 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $v_{2}$ is the percentage of $v_{1}$ peak area with respect to the total Ce3d area.

For all the analyzed panels under different preparation conditions, the Al2p experimental is quite the same with a two components convolution. Fig. 4A of Ce(0.1 M) CC immersed 300 s shows two chemical environments: the component at 74.8 eV can be associated with the native oxide layer on top AA 2024-T3 substrate while the one on high energy side (76.9 eV) is attributed to a Al/O/Ce mixed chemical environment as detected in a commercial stoichiometric AlCeO$_3$ material (CAS 12014-44-7, Sigma-Aldrich) analyzed in same experimental conditions (Fig. 4B). Then part of the cerium entities seems to be located in the near surface of the alloy (near the Al oxide/hydroxide top layer) which could be a key point in the corrosion protection, in terms of proximity with the metal surface. The fact that one can observe the presence of aluminum, even if the coating is around 2.0 μm thick, would also signify a certain porosity degree of the deposit.

Whatever the conditions of immersion time, a complementary survey of the surface sample was systematically done with an Auger mapping (SAM) analysis to control the spatial chemical homogeneity of chemical elements.

In Fig. 5, the case of the Ce(0.1 M) CC coating immersed 300 s in the conversion bath is presented and few surface defects (cracks, holes, ... ) are noticed as discussed elsewhere, attesting of a good leveling deposit in the present conditions of deposit. The Auger mapping allows one to consider a good dispersal of cerium with the experimental deposit process used in this work. The observation of the aluminum and oxygen in quite good relative content confirms the native aluminum (hydro)oxide overlayer in the proximity of the cerium.

3.3. Ce-based conversion coating (Ce CC) over AA 2024-T3 after corrosion

3.3.1. Corrosion resistance

The electrochemical results (Fig. 6), indicate, after one second of immersion in a 0.05 M NaCl static solution (pH = 6.0), that in controlled proportions, the addition of cerium in the conversion bath leads to the increase of the anti-corrosion properties. However, it seems there is a cerium concentration threshold (0.1 M) over which the corrosion resistance fails. The coating doped with 0.5 M Ce(NO$_3$)$_3$ shows the lowest resistance and the highest capacitances.

Fig. 4. XPS Al2p spectrum of: (A) Ce (0.1 M) CC (300 s immersion time in the conversion bath)/AA2024-T3 and (B) AlCeO$_3$ reference material.

Fig. 5. Ce(0.1 M) CC coating (immersion time = 300 s in the conversion bath) as-prepared: SAM images for Ce, Al and O elements (RVB scale) and SEM micrograph of the same area (bottom right).
3.3.2. Chemical analysis of the corrosion attack

On the coated Ce CC panels, whatever their initial immersion time and Ce concentration proceeded in the conversion bath, first 300 s of immersion. The Auger chemical mapping achieved on the coating surface shows clear differences in the spatial distribution of cerium before (Fig. 6) and after (Fig. 7) the corrosion attack of the coated panel. As the cerium is primarily well overlaid onto the substrate after the Ce CC immersion, it seems to move to the pits areas during the corrosion event. Actually a relative high content is observed in the hollow ring of the corrosion pit and in its center part. Color intensity gradient (in agreement with the relative concentration of the element) attests of a migration of cerium from surrounded zones to the corrosion pit. In the damaged zone, the relative concentration of cerium increases relative to the surrounding zones. This influx is certainly explained from the migration of cerium which ensures the active substrate protection against corrosion. In the attacked halo of the coating, cerium becomes more concentrated when relative aluminum and oxygen amounts fall down. This result is original and significant for the direct visualization of the cerium inhibitor behavior and the protective action of Ce CC.

The chemical mapping of oxygen relative content shows less drastic change from the undamaged coating to the corrosion pit. This could correspond to the existence of cerium oxide/hydroxide in both areas with slightly stoichiometry differences. In another hand, aluminum is clearly withdrawn from the attacked zone. Some additional measurements were achieved with Auger spectroscopy in different points at the panel surface (figures ES1.3) before and after 1 h and 5 h of immersion in the corrosive NaCl bath. Quantification data, extracted from the convolution of Auger lines O KLL (510.0 eV), Al KLL (1387.0 eV) and Ce MNN (625.0 eV), clearly confirm the displacement of cerium in the corroded parts of the panel with an enhancement of its relative content during the corrosion process: 18.6 at.% in the as prepared coating and up to 27.3 at.% (1 h of immersion) and 39.4 at.% (5 h of immersion) in the center damaged zone. The Auger spectroscopy and mapping is great complement to SEM surveys as the sole SEM images interpretation cannot explain the whole reality of the coating surface evolution. For instance in the case of the panel immersed during 1 h in the corrosive bath, it is easy to monitor the Ce migration with the Ce content from point 1 towards points 2 and 3. This observation gives a real sense to the “active” protection of the inhibitor.

To go further in the understanding of chemical events during the corrosion process, a XPS survey has been run in two specific points of the corroded panel displayed in Fig. 7 (labelled 1 and 2). This specific zone was chosen because of the important size of the observed pit (around 60 μm × 130 μm) which fits with the used of the focused X-ray beam (30 μm diameter). The aim of the XPS analysis was to precise the possible chemical changes during the cerium migration. For zone 1, the Ce3d spectrum (Fig. 9A) fits into two doublets assigned to a Ce + III (hydro)oxidized environment with previously reported binding energies (U: 880.8eV–901.3 eV) and (V: 885.9eV–904.8 eV) for such oxidation state of cerium. As the surface has been exposed to the treatment solution, the near surface morphology has necessary changed to more roughness and the dissolution of the thin Ce + IV layer. The physical and chemical perturbation of the surface also influenced the Ce3d electron emission which displays a noisy signal. Loss of carbon atomic percentage combined with the enhancement of copper and aluminum elements signals of the substrate puts into evidence the NaCl attack on the coating. This phenomenon is more pronounced in the visible corrosion crack (zone 2) for which the Ce content strongly increased (Ce at.% 2 times more important than in zone 1, see Table 3) and substrate is more visible as the coating peeled off in this zone. In the corrosion pit zone (2), a significant evolution of the Ce3d spectrum is noticed with the appearance Ce + IV features and the specific satellite peak around 917 eV (Fig. 9B).

Fig. 6. Charges transfer resistance evolution of AA2024T3 panels immersed in some conversion baths of different cerium concentrations and for different times of immersion.

Fig. 7. (A) SEM micrograph of the corroded Ce CC (Ce + 0.1 M) applied onto AA 2024-T3 (300 s immersion time).

at the end of the test period, suggesting that the increase of the cerium concentration promotes the formation of more defective coatings. Moreover, the corrosion resistance is clearly enhanced after 300 s of immersion.

These events are probably due to the physico-chemical structuration of the Ce CC which could change with the cerium content (internal constraints). Actually, in relation with the effective role of Ce + III ions extensively reported for the active protection of AA 2024-T3 alloy [6,29], the present study will only focus on cerium-based conversion coating (with [Ce] + 0.1 M) for which the better corrosion resistance is reached after 300 s of substrate immersion in the conversion bath.
Fig. 8. Ce(0.1 M) CC coating (immersion time = 300 s in the conversion bath) after corrosion attack: SAM images for Ce, Al and O elements (RVB scale) and SEM image of the same area (top right).

Then, it seems the cerium played its inhibitor role in the wounded zone with a great kinetic and its migration is accompanied with a chemical state transformation (Ce + III → Ce + IV). The observation of the O1 s spectrum (Fig. 10) gives the same trend with the top heights of the experimental profile which shifted as the damage increase attesting of new electronic distribution around oxygen atoms. For zone 1 (Fig. 10A), an intense component (BE = 533.3 eV) associated with residual traces of nitrates from initial cerium salt and water from the treatment bath, is observed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>XPS Surface composition of zones 1 and 2 of the treated Ce = 0.1 M coating (300 s immersion time) applied onto AA 2024-T3.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Element (at.%)</td>
<td>Cu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone 1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone 2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 9. XPS Ce3d peak of treated Ce = 0.1 M coating applied on AA 2024-T3: (A) zone 1 and (B) zone 2 of Fig. 7.

Fig. 10. XPS O1 s peak of treated Ce = 0.1 M coating applied on AA 2024-T3: (A) zone 1 and (B) zone 2 of Fig. 7.
The component at 532.1 eV corresponds to the hydroxide species (hydroxylized carbon, Al(OH)$_3$ . . .) while the narrow component at 530.2 eV can easily be associated to cerium sesquioxide [33]. In the area of the corrosion pit (zone2), the oxygen peak on low energy side (fig. 10B), shifts to 529.6 eV which it is significant of a Ce + IV state as found in CeO$_2$ [32]. A shoulder around 530.2 eV is still visible as expected in the Ce + III/Ce + IV mixture reported in the Ce3d spectrum (fig. 9B). In view of these results, a direct overview of the chemical changes occurring under the corrosive NaCl effect can be screened. Cerium acts as a self-healing agent in the Ce CC coating with appropriate migration to the bounded zones and the initiation of redox process to block the cathodic zones. Actually, the release of hydroxyls in the cathodic zones leads to the precipitation of cerium species mainly into intermediate hydroxides then into cerium dioxide.

In this respect, Aldykiewicz et al. [34] proposed that the cathodic deposition of CeO$_2$ films was due to the oxidation in the solution of Ce$^{3+}$ ions to tetravalent Ce(OH)$_2$$^{2+}$ ions in solution (which can diffuse reaching local defects) following the Pourbaix diagram [35]:

$$4\text{Ce}^{3+} + 6\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow 4\text{Ce(OH)}_2^{2+} + 4\text{H}^+$$

(2)

and precipitate according to the reaction:

$$\text{Ce(OH)}_2^{2+} \rightarrow \text{CeO}_2 + 2\text{H}^+$$

(3)

To corroborate the migration of cerium species from the Ce-based coating to the damaged zones of the AA2024 alloy, an original survey was focused deeper in the substrate after 5 h of corrosion attack (Fig. 11A). Substrate was cross-cut in the area of a well identified crevice corrosion flaw. The SEM micrograph reveals the inner morphology in the direction of the section, the unstructured Ce-based coating over the substrate in accordance with the general degradation under corrosive conditions. In the center part of the micrograph, the substrate is consumed and a large crevice (undercut pit) extends over few microns. A local Auger chemical analysis in both zones (labeled 1 and 2 on Fig. 11A) was achieved to precise the chemical entities behavior during the corrosion mechanism. We focused the experiment on 300 eV – 1500 eV energy scale to get better resolution of the detected transitions. The Auger spectrum of the damaged Ce-based coating (Fig. 11B, point 1) mainly exhibits the Auger transitions of aluminum and oxygen. The absence of any cerium signal is significant of the complete dissolution of the Ce-based layer or the migration of cerium entities deep in the material. The thickness of the observed top-layer over the AA2024-T3 substrate got thinner (0.9 μm ± 0.2 μm) and would only be made of native aluminum (hydro)xide phases and hydrocarbon (for carbon element, C KVV transition at 263 eV can’t be detected in the energy scale of the study). Within the crevice corrosion flaw, same analysis was run (Fig. 11B, point 2) and a new set of transitions is detected: Ce MNN (large component with top–height at 630 eV) and Cu LMM (series of transitions between 710 eV and 902 eV). The co-presence of cerium, copper and aluminum was found in different other analyzed zones within the crevice. This main observation suggests the precise behavior of the corrosion inhibitor which would block the cathodic zones.

4. Conclusion

On the way of the knowledge and understanding of the corrosion protection of AA2024 alloy, the present paper gives a complementary view of the chemical evolutions at the surface of the substrate. Cerium conversion coating on prepared 2024 aluminum alloy consisted of Al oxide, and mainly of Ce + III oxide and hydroxide. The Ce state exhibited a mixture of Ce + III and Ce + IV depending on the salt concentration in the conversion bath. The outer layer of the coating is Ce + IV rich and it probably indicates the Ce + III oxidized to Ce + IV during coating formation in the initial solution. The comparison of Ce concentrations of the conversion has shown better charges transfer resistance (then better relative corrosion protection) for a panel immersed during 300 s in a Ce = 0.1 M bath. For higher Ce concentrations or immersion time, the deposit becomes more powdered and unsuitable to aircraft applications. The detailed XPS study has allowed one to consider a close-up interaction between cerium and the Al oxide layer on top alloy with the existence of Al/O/Ce mixed chemical environment. Microscopic and spectroscopic tools were even precious indicators to appreciate the cerium inhibitor behavior in term of displacement and chemical modification. The location of cerium has been monitored at any time with a precise SAM survey which is complex to set up but essential for an elemental screening within confined or very small zones of interest. Actually, under corrosive conditions, cerium tends to move,
in a short time, towards wounded zones leaving the surrounding coating area almost free of inhibitor content. This direct action involves a chemical change with the formation of Ce + IV oxide and hydroxide. Same observations were done at the substrate surface (corrosion pits) and sub-surface (crevices). The effectiveness of the Ce-CC coating before the deposit of a primer and/or a final topcoat is determined by the synergy of many experimental parameters. Even if cerium alone cannot guarantee the whole protection of a metal panel against corrosion, it acts as a really active agent with a quick kinetic for healing wounded zones.
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