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Abstract. Atmospheric levels of carbon dioxide are tightly linked to the depth at which sinking particulate organic carbon (POC) is remineralised in the ocean. Rapid attenuation of downward POC flux typically occurs in the upper mesopelagic (top few hundred metres of the water column), with much slower loss rates deeper in the ocean. Currently, we lack understanding of the processes that drive POC attenuation, resulting in large uncertainties in the mesopelagic carbon budget. Attempts to balance the POC supply to the mesopelagic with respiration by zooplankton and microbes rarely succeed. Where a balance has been found, depth-resolved estimates reveal large compensating imbalances in the upper and lower mesopelagic. In particular, it has been suggested that respiration by free-living microbes and zooplankton in the upper mesopelagic are too low to explain the observed flux attenuation of POC within this layer. We test the hypothesis that particle-associated microbes contribute significantly to community respiration in the mesopelagic, measuring particle-associated microbial respiration of POC in the northeast Atlantic through shipboard measurements on individual marine snow aggregates collected at depth (36–500 m). We find very low rates of both absolute and carbon-specific particle-associated microbial respiration (\(< 3 \text{ % d}^{-1}\)), suggesting that this term cannot solve imbalances in the upper mesopelagic POC budget. The relative importance of particle-associated microbial respiration increases with depth, accounting for up to 33 % of POC loss in the mid-mesopelagic (128–500 m). We suggest that POC attenuation in the upper mesopelagic (36–128 m) is driven by the transformation of large, fast-sinking particles to smaller, slow-sinking and suspended particles via processes such as zooplankton fragmentation and solubilisation, and that this shift to non-sinking POC may help to explain imbalances in the mesopelagic carbon budget.

1 Introduction

The biological carbon pump plays a key role in regulating the partitioning of carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}) between the ocean and atmosphere, and without it atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2} would likely be 200 ppm higher than it is today (Parekh et al., 2006). Key to determining its effectiveness is the efficiency with which organic carbon sinks through the ocean interior (quantified as the transfer efficiency), and thus the depth at which material is remineralised (Francois et al., 2002; Kwon et al., 2009). However, despite its importance, the processes governing the loss of organic carbon within the mesopelagic are poorly understood (Burd et al., 2010).

Particulate organic carbon (POC) sinking out of the euphotic zone can be transformed within the mesopelagic in many ways, including zooplankton feeding, fragmentation...
via sloppy feeding, microbial solubilisation to dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and physically driven aggregation and disaggregation processes (e.g. Azam and Malfatti, 2007; Belcher et al., 2016; Burd and Jackson, 2009; Steinberg et al., 2008). Ultimately carbon is lost from the organic carbon pool as dissolved inorganic carbon via respiration, and hence in theory at steady state, community respiration should be balanced by the supply of POC (Burd et al., 2010). However, settling organic matter is often found to be insufficient to meet the energy demands of microbes in the dark ocean, thus leading to an imbalanced mesopelagic carbon budget (Baltar et al., 2009; Herndl and Reinthalere, 2013; Steinberg et al., 2008).

A recent study managed to close the mesopelagic carbon budget between 50 and 1000 m in the North Atlantic (Giering et al., 2014) by making key changes to the terms included in the budget. However, Giering et al. (2014) found large and compensating imbalances between sources and sinks in upper and lower mesopelagic layers, with an excess of POC supply to the upper mesopelagic (50–150 m depth) and an excess of respiration in the lower mesopelagic (150–1000 m depth). To the best of our knowledge, this oversupply in the upper mesopelagic has not previously been identified, likely because previous budget studies have not taken a depth-resolved approach. In addition, most previous studies use a fixed upper mesopelagic boundary of 100–200 m, rather than a dynamic upper boundary (such as the base of the mixed layer; Buesseler and Boyd, 2009) and therefore may have missed the region of most rapid POC attenuation. Our understanding of the mesopelagic carbon budget is therefore still incomplete. Giering et al. (2014) found prokaryotes to be responsible for most of the respiration (70–92 %) across both depth ranges; however, respiratory loss due to particle-associated prokaryotes has typically not been included in mesopelagic carbon budget studies (Giering et al., 2014; Steinberg et al., 2008). Data from the subtropical North Atlantic and West Antarctic Peninsula show that particle-associated microbial respiration can contribute 32–93 % of the total respiration measured in situ (McDonnell et al., 2015), suggesting that particle-associated microbes could play an important role in the loss of POC in the mesopelagic. We hypothesise that POC losses via particle-associated respiration (a term not directly measured by Giering et al., 2014 or Steinberg et al., 2008) may help to address imbalances in the upper mesopelagic carbon budget.

Marine snow particles (aggregates of detritus, living organisms and inorganic matter > 0.5 mm in diameter; Alldredge and Silver, 1988) can make up a large fraction of the sinking POC in the ocean and host microbial abundances 2–5 orders of magnitude higher than those found free-living in the surrounding water column (Silver and Alldredge, 1981; Thiele et al., 2015). The fragile nature of marine snow particles makes sampling and measurement difficult; many previous measures of particle-associated respiration have been carried out on roller-tank-formed marine snow aggregates, either from laboratory cultures of phytoplankton or natural sea water samples (Grossart and Ploug, 2001; Iversen and Ploug, 2010, 2013; Iversen et al., 2010). A few experiments have utilised divers or submersibles to collect in situ aggregates and estimate heterotrophic bacterial production by measuring leucine uptake (Alldredge and Youngbluth, 1985; Smith et al., 1992) with few measuring respiration directly on individual aggregates (Ploug et al., 1999). To the best of our knowledge, only two studies have combined direct measurements of respiration on aggregates collected at depth with measurements of POC flux (Collins et al., 2015; McDonnell et al., 2015), both of which lack sufficient vertical resolution in the upper mesopelagic to capture the region of most rapid change. Collins et al. (2015) measured rates of substrate-specific microbial respiration of 0.007 ± 0.003 to 0.173 ± 0.105 d⁻¹ in the North Atlantic, and McDonnell et al. (2015) measured rates of 0.01 ± 0.02 and 0.4 ± 0.1 d⁻¹ at the western Antarctic Peninsula and Bermuda Atlantic Time Series station, respectively. Previous studies are therefore inconclusive as to the importance of particle-associated microbes on the attenuation of POC, with some studies suggesting they play a minor role (Alldredge and Youngbluth, 1985; Collins et al., 2015; Ducklow et al., 1982; Iversen et al., 2010; Karl et al., 1988) and others suggesting a larger contribution (Iversen and Ploug, 2013; Ploug et al., 1999; Turley and Stutt, 2000).

To build upon these previous studies, we assess the role of particle-associated microbial respiration in POC flux attenuation, presenting a vertical profile of particle-associated respiration rates measured on individual marine snow particles collected at depth. In an attempt to assess whether this term can improve our ability to balance the fast-sinking POC budget in the upper mesopelagic, we make these measurements in the northeast Atlantic at the site of Giering et al. (2014) where we have the most complete knowledge of the mesopelagic carbon budget. We focus on the upper ocean (mixed layer depth to 500 m) where the most rapid attenuation occurs, a region that is not well understood and poorly represented in model studies (Henderson and Marshall, 2015).

2 Methods
2.1 Study site

Measurements were made during research cruise DY032 (20 June–8 July 2015) to the Porcupine Abyssal Plain (PAP) observatory site (49°N, 16.5°W) in the northeast Atlantic aboard RRS Discovery. Vertical profiles of the water column at each site were made using a conductivity–temperature–depth (CTD) unit (Seabird 9Plus with SBE32 carousel). The mixed layer depth (MLD) was determined as the depth where temperature was 0.5°C lower than surface temperature (Monterey and Levitus, 1997).
2.2 Chlorophyll a

Depth profiles of chlorophyll $a$ were measured at a number of points during the cruise using water samples (200 mL) collected with the CTD rosette. Samples were filtered onto 0.8 µm MF300 glass fibre filters and frozen at $-20^\circ$C. Pigments were extracted in 90% acetone for 22–24 h at 4°C and fluorescence measured on a Trilogy Turner Designs 7200 lab fluorometer calibrated with a pure chlorophyll $a$ standard (Sigma, UK).

Aqua MODIS 9 km, 8-day satellite chlorophyll $a$ data (downloaded from the NASA Ocean Biology website; http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/cms/) were used to assess mesoscale variability (e.g. passage of eddies) during the sampling period. We examined changes in surface chlorophyll prior to and post sampling by averaging chlorophyll data over the study region (48.5–49.5° N, 16.0–17.0° W).

2.3 Particle flux and composition

Particle flux and composition were measured using marine snow catchers (MSCs), large (95 L) PVC closing water bottles designed to minimise turbulence (Belcher et al., 2016; Cavan et al., 2015; Riley et al., 2012). MSCs were deployed between 36 and 500 m during the course of the cruise, closed at depth, retrieved on deck and left for particles to settle. Deployment depths were chosen based on the MLD determined as the depth with steepest gradient in temperature from the most recent CTD profile. MSC deployments were carried out during the day with the exception of the two samples at 36 and 128 m, which were deployed at night due to logistical limitations. Particles were allowed to settle onto a particle collector tray at the base of the MSC for 2 h (defined as “fast-sinking” as in Riley et al., 2012), after which those visible by eye (>0.15 mm diameter) were picked from three quadrants using a wide bore pipette, filtered onto pre-combusted (450°C, 24 h) glass fibre filters (25 mm diameter GF/F, Whatman) and oven dried at 50°C for replicate analysis of POC. Filters were subsequently fumed with 37% HCl in a vacuum desiccator for 24 h and dried for 24 h at 50°C. Filters and filter blanks were placed in pre-combusted (450°C, 24 h) tin capsules as in Hilton et al. (1986), and POC was measured by a CE-440 elemental analyser (Exeter Analytical Inc.).

Particulate organic matter (POM) was measured using the POM-IAA400 system (Ploug and Jorgensen, 1999) containing pyrolysis before analysis and a standard mixture of sewage. Particles were transferred to a temperature-controlled chamber system (Ploug and Jorgensen, 1999) containing filtered seawater (0.22 µm), taken from the MSCs deployed at depth (Sect. 2.4), and a carbon-to-volume ratio of 0.08 mg C mm$^{-3}$ used for FPs based on literature estimates (range 0.01–0.15 mg C mm$^{-3}$; Wilson et al., 2008). FP carbon content can vary greatly even within species depending on factors such as food type and concentration (Urban-Rich, 2001), which introduces uncertainty into our estimates of their contribution to the total POC flux.

The rates at which the particulate organic matter associated with rare aggregates. The rate of particle flux attenuation was assessed by fitting a power-law function (Martin et al., 1987) to the flux data:

$$F_z = F_0 \times (z / z_0)^{-b},$$

where $z$ is the depth of the flux, and $F_0$ is the flux at the reference depth (in this case 26 m, i.e. the mixed layer depth). A high value of $b$ corresponds to high attenuation (shallow remineralisation) and vice versa. As in situ particle production at depth is not considered, this represents a lower estimate of flux attenuation.

The type of fast-sinking particles at each depth was assessed under a microscope and photographs taken using a Leica DM-IRB inverted microscope and Canon EOS 1100D camera. Particles were classified into phytodetrital aggregates (PAs; aggregates > 0.15 mm equivalent spherical diameter) containing phytoplankton cells and other phytodetrital material, faecal pellets (FPs) and unidentified phytodetritus. Individual particle dimensions were measured using ImageJ (version 1.49p) and volumes calculated using formulae for a sphere, prolate ellipsoid or cylinder depending on particle type and shape. Conversions to PA POC from PA volume were based on measurements of POC content of marine aggregates collected at depth (Sect. 2.4), and a carbon-to-volume ratio of 0.08 mg C mm$^{-3}$ used for FPs based on literature estimates (range 0.01–0.15 mg C mm$^{-3}$; Wilson et al., 2008). FP carbon content can vary greatly even within species depending on factors such as food type and concentration (Urban-Rich, 2001), which introduces uncertainty into our estimates of their contribution to the total POC flux.
The x, y and z dimensions of PAs were measured using a horizontal dissection microscope with a calibrated ocular, and three measurements of the sinking velocity made for each PA by suspending the PA with an upward flow (Ploug et al., 2010). The formula of an ellipsoid was used to calculate PA volumes from their x, y, z dimensions and ESDs were computed.

A profile of oxygen was measured from the ambient water, through the diffusive boundary layer (DBL) and into the PAs using a Clark-type oxygen microelectrode and guard cathode (Revsbech, 1989) mounted in a micromanipulator. Measurements were made in increments of 50–200 µm on the downstream side of the particle and oxygen fluxes calculated using a diffusion-reaction model based on Fick’s first law of diffusion (diffusion coefficients of $1.4691 \times 10^{-5}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ for 10$^\circ$C and salinity 35 PSU, Broecker and Peng, 1974). Two to three replicate profiles were taken for each PA where possible. We used a solver routine to find the optimum solution minimising the sum of the squares between measured and modelled oxygen concentrations (see Ploug et al. (1997) for full details). Total oxygen consumption within the PA was calculated using the equation for the surface area of an ellipsoid assuming that net oxygen fluxes do not vary significantly on the upstream and downstream sides (Ploug and Jorgensen, 1999). As oxygen consumption in the DBL is a measure of the respiration rate of the microbial community associated with the PAs due to net exchange of oxygen via molecular diffusion, the carbon respiration ($C_{\text{resp}}$ in mg C mm$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$) can be calculated based on a respiratory quotient (RQ) (here, 1 mol O$_2$ to 1 mol CO$_2$; Ploug and Grossart, 2000; Ploug et al., 1997). This RQ was chosen as a conservative value in the range of literature values typically applied (0.7–1.2 mol mol$^{-1}$) for respiration of carbohydrates and lipids (Berggren et al., 2012), but adds uncertainty to our estimates that cannot be better constrained without knowledge of the form of carbon within the PAs utilised for microbial respiration.

Following respiration measurements, PAs were stored in 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes before pooling PAs into size classes based on ESD and placing onto pre-combusted (450$^\circ$C, 24 h)
glass fibre filters (25 mm diameter GF/F, Whatman) for measurement of POC as described in Sect. 2.3. This enabled the carbon content per unit volume (mg C mm$^{-3}$) for each size class at each depth range to be calculated and hence POC content of individual PAs to be estimated. We measured POC-to-volume ratios of two size classes (typically <0.6 mm ESD, >0.6 mm ESD) at each depth horizon (with the exception of samples at 128, 200 and 500 m where all measured particles were <0.6 mm ESD so only one size class was used) to take into account the geometry of aggregates and non-linear volume to POC ratio (Allredge, 1998). These PA POC contents ([POC] in mg C mm$^{-3}$) were then used to calculate carbon-specific respiration rates ($C_{\text{spec}}$ in d$^{-1}$) as follows:

$$C_{\text{spec}} = \frac{C_{\text{resp}}}{[\text{POC}]}.$$  (3)

### 2.5 Statistics and error analysis

Attenuation of fast-sinking POC flux with depth was best described by a power-law relationship fit (of form $F_z = F_0 (z/z_0)^{-b}$, $R^2 = 0.42$, $p = 0.06$, $n = 9$) (Martin et al., 1987) compared to an exponential fit ($R^2 = 0.30$, $p = 0.128$, $n = 9$) (of form $F_z = F_0 \exp(z - z_0 / z^*)$), where $z^*$ in the characteristic remineralisation length scale for the flux decrease below $z_0$, as in Buesseler and Boyd, 2009). We also tested for any statistical relationship between carbon-specific respiration rates and depth. All statistics were carried out in R Studio (version 0.98.1091; R Development Core Team, 2014). We calculated a relation between particle ESD and sinking velocity by applying a power-law fit to the data using the NLS function in R Studio. The choice of a power-law relationship, based on the findings of previous studies (e.g. Iversen and Ploug, 2010), was motivated by the observed divergence of marine snow aggregates from Stokes law due to their irregular shapes (Logan and Wilkinson, 1990).

Time and methodological constraints of measuring very small particles prohibited us from measuring the respiration rate of every particle collected in the MSCs. Hence, before using these measurements to assess the contribution of particle-associated microbial respiration to the mesopelagic carbon budget, we first defined upper and lower bounds to our estimates based on our uncertainties. We conducted a Monte Carlo analysis (with 10 000 iterations) of the individual parameters used in the calculations of carbon-specific respiration and remineralisation length scale. We randomly sampled (with replacement) our measured volumetric oxygen respiration rates at each depth. For each of these randomly selected particles, we used the corresponding sinking velocity and ESD in subsequent calculations of carbon-specific respiration and remineralisation length scale. For the RQ we defined a uniform distribution of possible values over the range of RQ values typically applied in the literature (0.7–1.2; Berggren et al., 2012). PAs were pooled into size classes and could only be measured once for POC content. For each depth, we created a normal distribution of possible POC-to-volume ratios for each size class, with our measured value as the mean, and standard deviation calculated from the standard deviation of the individual aggregate volumes within a size class. Based on the 10 000 iterations for each of the aforementioned parameters we obtained a range of estimates for the remineralisation length scale (via particle-associated microbial respiration) at each depth. We then use the mean of these distributions ± standard deviation to put error bounds on our estimates of the POC loss via particle-associated microbial respiration.

### 2.6 Zooplankton respiration

Zooplankton were sampled in vertical net hauls (0–200 m) at 1 m s$^{-1}$ speed using a 200 µm mesh size WP2 net with a 57 cm frame diameter, fitted with filtering codends. Collected organisms were fixed directly after collection with formaldehyde at 10% final concentration for further analyses. In the laboratory, fixed samples were digitised with the ZooScan digital imaging system (Gorsky et al., 2010) to determine the size structure of the community. Each sample was divided into two fractions (<1000 and >1000 µm) for accurate estimation of rare large organisms in the scanned subsample (Vandromme et al., 2012). Fractions were split using a Motoda splitting box until there were approximately 1000 objects. The resulting aliquots were poured onto the scanning cell and individual zooplankton were manually separated with a wooden spine, in order to avoid overlapping organisms. Each scanned image was later processed using ZooProcess (Gorsky et al., 2010). Each object in the image was automatically classified into five zooplankton categories (copepods, Chaetognatha, Appendicularia, other crustaceans and other zooplankton) and three non-living categories (detritus, fibers and out of focus) using Plankton Identifier (http://www.obs-vlfr.fr/~gaspari/Plankton_Identifier/index.php) and manually validated. Finally, dry weight (DW) of each zooplankton object was estimated from its area using Lehette and Hernández-León’s (2009) allometric relationships corresponding to the five zooplankton categories. Respiration per individual (µg C individual$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) was computed from DW using the relationship from Ikeda et al. (2001) for copepods and Ikeda (1985) for other groups:

$$\text{zooplankton respiration} = \exp(a_1 + a_2 \ln(DW) + a_3 T) \times RQ \times 12/22.4.$$  (4)

Here, DW is dry weight (mg C individual$^{-1}$), RQ is the respiratory quotient (0.8 mol C (mol O$_2$)$^{-1}$), $T$ is the average temperature over top 200 m (12.5 °C), 12/22.4 is the molar conversion factor and parameters $a_1$, $a_2$ and $a_3$ were dependent on the type of zooplankton. Total zooplankton respiration (0–200 m) was calculated by summing the respiration values for each individual. Day and night respirations were calculated for 16 and 8 h, respectively, based on day length at the study site.
3 Results

3.1 Hydrography and surface chlorophyll a

The consistency of vertical temperature profiles suggests little variation in water mass structure during the cruise (Fig. 1b). Temperatures ranged from 15.2 °C at the surface to 10.9 °C at 500 m, with salinity remaining relatively constant with depth (average 35.34–35.56; Fig. 1b). The mixed layer shallowed from 32 m to 26 m (Fig. 1b), with peak chlorophyll just above the MLD at 15–25 m, and decreasing from 1.9 to 1.4 mg m$^{-3}$ during the course of the cruise based on discrete measurements (Fig. 1c). The MLD was typically within ±5 m of the 1% photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) level. Satellite chlorophyll data are consistent with in situ data, declining from 1.8 to 1.2 mg m$^{-3}$ in the PAP region, suggesting sampling was carried out in the post-peak phase (Fig. 1c).

3.2 Particle composition

A total of 10 MSC deployments were made over an 11-day period with particle composition and respiration measurements carried out for seven deployments (Table 1).

The dominant component of fast-sinking particles were PAs (Supplement Fig. S1) at all depths sampled (one MSC sample per depth; Fig. 2), accounting for 96% of sinking POC at 36 m and decreasing to 66% at 500 m associated with an increasing abundance of FPs with depth. The lack of FPs observed in our sample at 113 m may be due to the heterogeneous distribution of FPs at a particular depth associated with patchy zooplankton distributions. The increase in FP numbers below 100 m could be due to an increase in zooplankton populations with depth, zooplankton diel vertical migration and/or increased FP loss in the upper mesopelagic due to processes such as fragmentation and coprophagy. Qualitative assessment of FP morphology shows that FPs were longer, thinner and darker deeper in the water column, implying a change in zooplankton community composition with depth.

3.3 Particle sinking velocities

Sinking velocities of PAs collected at depth ranged from 4–255 m d$^{-1}$ (Fig. 3), reflecting both the range in size of PAs measured (0.14–1.09 mm ESD) and the heterogeneous composition of PAs (Fig. S1 in the Supplement). Median sinking velocities showed less variability ranging from 11–34 m d$^{-1}$ (10–32 and 21–62 m d$^{-1}$ for aggregates <0.6 mm (n = 74) and >0.6 mm (n = 24) ESD, respectively). There was no significant relationship between PA sinking velocity and depth for either size class ($R^2 = 0.004$, $p > 0.1$, $n = 98$). PA sinking velocity was significantly ($R^2 = 0.17$, $p < 0.0001$, $n = 98$) related to ESD (six outliers, defined as being outside 2 standard deviations from the mean, were excluded in this relationship). The low $R^2$ suggests that the influence of particle size on the sinking velocity is limited and that particle composition may exert a higher influence.

3.4 Particle flux

Consistent with other studies we see a sharp decline in fast-sinking POC concentration (not shown) and fast-sinking POC flux with depth (Fig. 4) (e.g. Giering et al., 2014; Martin et al., 1987; Riley et al., 2012). Based on our sampling depths, we define the upper mesopelagic (36–128 m) as the region where the most rapid POC flux attenuation occurs and the mid-mesopelagic as the region below (128–500 m) where we observe a slower decrease and possibly even an increase in POC flux below 128 m. This change in the rate of flux attenuation with depth suggests that different processes may be controlling POC attenuation in the upper and mid-mesopelagic, or that the rates of processes vary with depth.

Interestingly, we saw an increase in flux between 203 and 500 m, which may reflect higher surface production in the days prior to sampling (Fig. 1c) and the time taken for material to reach this depth from the surface (i.e. non-steady state; Giering et al., 2016). Based on a median sinking rate of 34 m d$^{-1}$ measured at 500 m, material at this depth would have originated at the surface on Julian day 164, 15 days prior to sampling, which corresponds to the peak in surface chlorophyll concentrations (Fig. 1c). This increase in flux is associated with twice as much FP POC at 500 m compared to 203 m and a 29% increase in aggregate POC. Considering the decrease in resident zooplankton populations with depth (Giering et al., 2014), it seems unlikely that FP production was higher at this depth unless there is a large contribution by diel vertical migrants, and may instead reflect reduced FP loss. However, this scenario could also be due to zooplankton patchiness. Excluding this potentially non-steady-state value at 500 m, we calculate a Martin’s b value of 0.71 which is
Table 1. Deployment table for cruise DY032 to the PAP site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time (GMT)</th>
<th>POC flux (mg C m(^{-2}) d(^{-1}))</th>
<th>No. PA (in 95 L sample)*</th>
<th>No. FP (in 95 L sample)*</th>
<th>Average PA ESD (mm)</th>
<th>Median PA sinking rate (m d(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>24/06/2015</td>
<td>02:10</td>
<td>281.4</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>33.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>24/06/2015</td>
<td>02:35</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>26/06/2015</td>
<td>14:45</td>
<td>122.8</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>34.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>28/06/2015</td>
<td>11:50</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>30.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>28/06/2015</td>
<td>17:50</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>30.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>30/06/2015</td>
<td>18:45</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204</td>
<td>02/07/2015</td>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>34.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>04/07/2015</td>
<td>13:00</td>
<td>266.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>04/07/2015</td>
<td>13:20</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>04/07/2015</td>
<td>13:30</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PA: phytodetrital aggregate; FP: faecal pellet; ESD: equivalent spherical diameter.
* Refers to counts of fast-sinking material collected from deployment of 95 L snow catcher bottle. Counts have been scaled up from smaller sample split (1/4).

Figure 3. Relationship between sinking velocity (m d\(^{-1}\)) and equivalent spherical diameter (ESD, mm) of phytodetrital aggregates. The depth from which aggregates were sampled is shown by the colour of circles (36 m = yellow, 46 m = orange, 73 m = red, 113 m = light blue, 128 m = dark blue, 203 m = light green and 500 m = dark green). Note the log scale on the y axis. We apply a power-law fit for between sinking velocity and aggregate ESD (dotted line $Y = 85.8X^{1.4}$). A total of six outliers (black open squares), defined as being outside 2 standard deviations from the mean, were excluded from the power-law fit.

3.5 Microbial respiration in phytodetrital aggregates

Using the microelectrode approach, we found that oxygen concentrations decreased from the ambient water towards the PA surface, reaching a minimum at the centre of the PAs (but remaining well above anoxic conditions in all PAs measured) (Fig. 5). Average oxygen fluxes to PAs did not vary significantly with the depth at which particles were collected, ranging from 11.7–19.1 nmol O\(_2\) mm\(^{-3}\) d\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 6), but variab...
Table 2. Rates of particle-associated microbial respiration rates in phytodetrital aggregates. Averages are given for each depth with full range in brackets. Results are for experiments carried out at 10°C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Total O₂ consumption (nmol O₂ agg⁻¹ d⁻¹)</th>
<th>Volumetric O₂ consumption (nmol O₂ mm⁻³ d⁻¹)</th>
<th>C_{resp} (ng C mm⁻³ d⁻¹)*</th>
<th>C_{spec} (d⁻¹)**</th>
<th>No. aggregates measured</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.25 (0.56–2.81)</td>
<td>13.18 (8.03–17.77)</td>
<td>0.158 (0.096–0.213)</td>
<td>0.014 (0.006–0.030)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.04 (0.65–3.89)</td>
<td>19.12 (9.49–43.76)</td>
<td>0.230 (0.114–0.525)</td>
<td>0.012 (0.004–0.021)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>2.46 (0.22–6.92)</td>
<td>13.47 (4.69–37.67)</td>
<td>0.162 (0.056–0.452)</td>
<td>0.012 (0.004–0.030)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>0.98 (0.07–2.80)</td>
<td>11.66 (3.10–32.39)</td>
<td>0.140 (0.037–0.390)</td>
<td>0.011 (0.002–0.024)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>0.93 (0.20–1.88)</td>
<td>13.40 (3.22–19.73)</td>
<td>0.161 (0.039–0.237)</td>
<td>0.014 (0.003–0.020)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204</td>
<td>0.46 (0.14–0.87)</td>
<td>15.25 (4.05–36.77)</td>
<td>0.183 (0.049–0.441)</td>
<td>0.013 (0.003–0.031)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.74 (0.17–1.24)</td>
<td>13.95 (4.86–24.71)</td>
<td>0.167 (0.058–0.297)</td>
<td>0.012 (0.004–0.021)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Volume-specific respiration rate (C_{resp}).
** Carbon-specific respiration rate (C_{spec}).

Figure 5. Example oxygen profile (µM) through a phytodetrital aggregate collected at 46 m depth. Measurements were made with microsensors in steps of 50–100µm, with negative values reflecting the distance into the aggregate from the surface. The solid black line shows the model fit used to calculate the oxygen flux in the diffusive boundary layer.

For each depth horizon, we measure the POC contents of the PAs used in respiration experiments (see Sect. 2.4). POC contents of PAs ranged from 11.7–30.6 µg mm⁻³ (average 15.4 µg mm⁻³) for PAs < 0.6 mm ESD, and 6.7–11 µg mm⁻³ (average 9.0 µg mm⁻³) for PAs > 0.6 mm ESD. The POC content of both size classes peaked at 46 m depth, showing a general decline below this (Table S1 in the Supplement). Our POC measurements are based on filters containing a relatively low number of aggregates: 9–13 aggregates and 4–6 aggregates for aggregates < 0.6 mm ESD and > 0.6 mm ESD, respectively. However, despite the low concentrations of carbon measured, sample POC was significantly higher than POC filter blanks (Welch’s t test, p < 0.001).

3.6 Zooplankton respiration

A total of four zooplankton net tows were carried out during the cruise alongside MSC deployments, one during the day and three at night. Daytime zooplankton DW over the
upper 200 m was 313.4 mg DW m\(^{-2}\), with night values ranging from 419.1 to 942.7 mg DW m\(^{-2}\). Calculated zooplankton respiration rates ranged from 5.1 to 10.1 mg C m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\).

4 Discussion

4.1 Rate of particle-associated microbial respiration

Although rates of respiration per PA volume were found to be relatively uniform with depth, we observed variability within each depth range. This may reflect the heterogeneity in the availability of labile carbon and/or variation in microbial abundance, composition or activity within the PAs. It may also simply be a result of the range in aggregate sizes at each depth, with higher respiration per volume in smaller aggregates that have higher POC-to-volume ratios (due to large aggregates having more complex shapes and structures; Logan and Wilkinson, 1990). Our measured aggregate (0.14–1.09 mm ESD) POC contents are 1.2–10.1 times higher than defined by the size relationship of Allredge (1998) based on in situ collected 1–5 mm ESD marine snow of mixed composition and are at the high end of the range of values measured on roller-tank-formed phytoplankton culture aggregates (0.9–4.6 mm ESD) by Iversen and Ploug (2010). The POC contents of our >0.6 mm ESD PAs (6.7–11 µg mm\(^{-3}\)) do, however, compare well with the study of Laurenceau-Cornec et al. (2015) on aggregates formed in roller tanks from in situ collected phytoplankton assemblages; their regression between aggregate volume and POC content (POC = 0.58 volume\(^{0.35}\) ) gives POC of 7.4 µg mm\(^{-3}\) for aggregates of 0.6 mm ESD.

In order to assess whether size-related changes in carbon content of PAs is the main cause of variability in volume-specific respiration rates, we have calculated the carbon-specific respiration rate (C\(_{\text{spec}}\)) (Fig. 7) based on the POC content of individual aggregates. There is a relatively small range in average C\(_{\text{spec}}\) (0.011–0.014 d\(^{-1}\)) for PAs for each depth horizon. Iversen and Ploug (2010) measured higher rates of C\(_{\text{spec}}\) (0.13 d\(^{-1}\)) in roller-tank-formed phytoplankton culture aggregates with lower POC contents, suggesting that POC content was not the limiting factor for respiration in our study. There was large variability in C\(_{\text{spec}}\) for individual aggregates within each depth horizon (full data set range: 0.002–0.031 d\(^{-1}\); Fig. 7), but average values of C\(_{\text{spec}}\) showed only small variations with depth. This suggests that the factors driving the variability in C\(_{\text{spec}}\) are either also quite constant with depth or counteracting. Our study does not, however, account for any changes in respiration that may occur as a result of pressure changes with depth (see Sect. 4.4).

If microbes largely attach to particles in the surface ocean (Thiele et al., 2015), the starting abundance of microbes will be in part limited by the residence time of the particle in the surface ocean as dictated by sinking rate. The highest volume-specific abundances of microbes have been measured on the smallest aggregates (Grossart et al., 2003) which we would expect to have lower sinking velocities. Variable microbial densities, driven by differences in sinking velocity and colonisation time, may therefore account for some of the variability in the rate of respiration per aggregate volume or POC content. However, large aggregates could also have high microbial densities following the aggregation of smaller aggregates. There are a number of factors which influence colonisation, and grazing has been modelled to have a higher impact than sinking rate (Kiørboe, 2003).

We must consider that all respiration measurements in this study were carried out at 10°C (which is just below the temperature measured at 500 m depth), and therefore may not reveal the true vertical structure of particle-associated microbial respiration due to the influence of temperature on metabolic rates. To account for this, we have applied a Q\(_{10}\) factor of 3.5 based on a study on PAs (Iversen and Ploug, 2013) and adjusted each C\(_{\text{spec}}\) to the in situ temperature (dashed line Fig. 7). In this way, we calculate the rate we would expect to be occurring at in situ temperature. This gives higher rates in the upper ocean where temperature we would expect to be occurring at in situ temperature (dashed line Fig. 7). In this way, we calculate the rate we would expect to be occurring at in situ temperature. This gives higher rates in the upper ocean where temperature changes are higher, but the range with depth is still relatively narrow (average 0.013–0.023 d\(^{-1}\), full range 0.002–0.037 d\(^{-1}\)) and we observe no relationship between PA size and C\(_{\text{spec}}\) (Fig. 8). In comparison, Ploug and Grossart (2000) measured C\(_{\text{spec}}\) of 0.083 ± 0.034 d\(^{-1}\) on aggregates formed from phytoplankton cultures at 16°C. Iversen and Ploug (2010) measured an average C\(_{\text{spec}}\) of 0.13 d\(^{-1}\) at 15°C,
but a range of 0.005–0.422 d$^{-1}$, for lab-formed aggregates of three different phytoplankton cultures. Similarly, rates of 0.13 d$^{-1}$ (range 0.02–0.36 d$^{-1}$) were measured at 18$^\circ$C in aggregates formed in roller tanks from peak fluorescence waters off Cape Blanc (Mauritania), Africa (Iversen et al., 2010). These studies find a lack of size dependency in C$_{\text{spec}}$, consistent with our observations. Our measurements are towards the low end of these measurements which we cannot explain by differences in temperature alone based on a Q$_{10}$ factor of 3.5 (Iversen and Ploug, 2013). Recalculating the average C$_{\text{spec}}$ at each depth based on the upper bound of respiratory quotients that are typically applied in the literature (1.2; Berggren et al., 2012), increases our values of 0.019–0.033 d$^{-1}$, which are still lower than the aforementioned studies.

There have been limited measurements made on natural aggregates formed in situ. McDonnell et al. (2015) utilised in situ incubators to measure C$_{\text{spec}}$ of 0.4 d$^{-1}$ at the Bermuda Atlantic Time Series (BATS) station and 0.01 d$^{-1}$ off the western Antarctic Peninsula (WAP). Collins et al. (2015) carried out incubations with and without sinking particles collected in the North Atlantic, revealing C$_{\text{spec}}$ of 0.007–0.084 d$^{-1}$ with one higher value at 0.173 d$^{-1}$. These rates are more in line with those measured here, yet there are still considerable differences between studies.

### 4.2 Role of particle-associated microbes in mesopelagic POC flux attenuation

Despite the uncertainties in the mechanisms governing rates of particle-associated microbial respiration, we are still able to assess the importance of particle-associated microbial respiration on the attenuation of fast-sinking POC in the mesopelagic and compare our results to the small number of other recent studies (Collins et al., 2015; McDonnell et al., 2015). We calculate the flux of fast-sinking POC ($F_z$) at each depth ($z$) that would result if the only loss was via particle-associated microbial respiration. Calculations are based on the relationship between the remineralisation length scale ($L$ in m$^{-1}$) (see Iversen and Ploug, 2013; Iversen et al., 2010), carbon-specific respiration rate ($C_{\text{spec}}$ in d$^{-1}$) and sinking velocity ($w$ in m d$^{-1}$),

$$L = \frac{C_{\text{spec}}}{w} = \left( -\ln \left( \frac{F_z}{F_0} \right) / (z - z_0) \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

We calculate upper and lower bounds on the remineralisation length scale based on uncertainties in our measurements of $C_{\text{spec}}$ and $w$, as described in Sect. 2.5. We compare observed fast-sinking POC flux attenuation and predicted losses via particle-associated microbes over two discrete depth horizons; a region of rapid attenuation of 36–128 m (upper mesopelagic) and slow attenuation zone of 128–500 m (mid-mesopelagic). Note that we exclude the non-steady-state value of POC flux at 500 m and instead use the value predicted from our power-law fit (Fig. 4) with bounds based on the standard deviation of our $b$ value estimated via bootstrap analysis (see Sect. 2.5). Our data suggest that particle-associated microbial respiration plays only a minor role in POC attenuation in the upper mesopelagic (8 %; range: 1–14 %), but becomes more important below this (33 %; range: 12–50 %) as the rate of POC attenuation decreases (Fig. 9a). Our measurements are based on a subsample of the total assemblage of particles found in the water column, in particular only PAs. If rates of microbial respiration are vastly different on other particle types then this would affect our calculations of POC removal by particle-associated microbes. However, considering the dominance of PAs in our samples (Fig. 2), we believe our calculations reflect the bulk of the sinking material at the time of sampling.

We were not able to measure respiration rates on FPs due to their low numbers and small size, which adds uncertainty to our estimate of the contribution of particle-associated microbial respiration to POC loss. However, rates measured on FPs in the Scotia Sea, Antarctica, are low (0.01–0.065 d$^{-1}$; Belcher et al., 2016) and even if FPs were respired completely, they account for less than 10 % of the flux between 36 and 128 m, and thus could not resolve the large imbalances between POC supply and respiration that we observe in the upper mesopelagic.

Low rates of respiration result in only a very small loss of POC with depth below the euphotic zone. Thus, our data agree with a recent study (Collins et al., 2015), suggesting that only a small fraction of fast-sinking POC is removed by particle-associated bacteria. Despite being hotspots for microbial activity compared to the water column (Thiele et al., 2015), particle-associated microbial respiration on fast-sinking particles may still be a minor contributor to the reduction in POC flux when compared to rapid loss via processes such as zooplankton grazing and fragmentation (Dilling and Alldredge, 2000; Stemmann et al., 2000; Svensen et al., 2014). This hypothesis is supported by measurements made in the mesopelagic of the Scotia Sea on FPs (Belcher et al., 2016) and on PAs off Cape Blanc (Maurita-
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non-migratory individuals, as well as individuals above the mixed layer depth. Even with these overestimations, zooplankton respiration (5.1–10.1 mg C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) accounts for only a small POC sink in this upper region of the mesopelagic (Fig. 9b). We are not able to account for losses of POC to DOC or suspended POC via sloppy feeding.

The direct hydrolysis by attached microbes likely supplies free-living communities with DOC (Cho and Azam, 1988; Karl et al., 1988; Kistboe and Jackson, 2001). However, by definition, free-living microbes are not associated with particles and hence do not contribute directly to the loss of large fast-sinking POC measured here, and as such we do not consider this loss process. The definition of dissolved and particulate is operationally based on the pore size of a GF/F filter, and therefore microbes defined as “free-living” may in fact be able to utilise colloids (Arístegui et al., 2009). However, as we only measured the loss of large, fast-sinking POC, we exclude free-living prokaryotes from our analysis of fast-sinking POC loss processes. Free-living prokaryotic respiration may account for the ultimate loss of organic carbon from the organic carbon pool but we believe this is reliant on mechanical breakdown of large, fast-sinking POC by zooplankton and protozoa (Iversen and Poulsen, 2007; Lampitt et al., 1990; Poulsen and Iversen, 2008; Poulsen et al., 2011) and enzymatic hydrolysis (Smith et al., 1992). Previous measurements at the PAP site suggest that prokaryotic respiration results in loss rates of 42 mg C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ between 36 and 203 m which greatly exceed estimated DOC input to the upper 1000 m (15 mg C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) (Giering et al., 2014), supporting this hypothesis.

POC loss via zooplankton respiration, particle-associated microbial respiration and solubilisation, as typically invoked in model studies (e.g. Anderson and Tang, 2010) can therefore not account for observed losses of fast-sinking POC in the upper mesopelagic, suggesting our knowledge of the mesopelagic carbon budget is still poorly constrained and/or incomplete.

4.4 The missing piece of the mesopelagic carbon budget

Before we begin to examine whether we are indeed missing a piece of the upper mesopelagic carbon budget puzzle we must acknowledge the limitations of our estimates thus far which may in themselves rectify imbalances. Large uncertainties surround our estimates of solubilisation by particle-associated microbes. We would require solubilisation of 87% to balance our budget (36–200 m), which we believe to be high considering estimates of 97% for nitrogen in fresh aggregates (Smith et al., 1992), which is preferentially remineralised over carbon. In addition, as particle-associated microbial respiration is able to account for a greater proportion of the fast-sinking POC loss in the mid-mesopelagic (200–500 m), solubilisation losses would need to be lower over this depth region (66%) to maintain a balance. Increased solubilisation would present itself in the form of increased DOC and/or increased rates of microbial respiration; however, these terms are included in the estimate by Giering et al. (2014) and a large imbalance in the upper mesopelagic is still apparent in their budget. We are not able to rule out increased solubilisation in the upper mesopelagic as an additional sink term, but consider it unlikely to solve the imbalance.

Although our method of measuring particle-associated microbial respiration attempts to avoid bottle effects and accurately simulate the environment of a sinking particle, we were not able to simulate pressure changes. Colonisation of particles by pressure-adapted microbial communities at depth may lead to an underestimation of in situ microbial activity using decompressed samples (Tamburini et al., 2013). Recent work suggests that the attached microbial community on sinking particles is inherited from the fluorescence maximum (Thiele et al., 2015); these organisms are not adapted to changes in pressure (Tamburini et al., 2006, 2009) and temperature, and therefore exhibit lower prokaryotic growth efficiencies (PGEs) and overall metabolic rates. Similarly, our experiments were carried out at constant temperatures, whereas particles sinking through the water column will experience the range of water column temperatures, likely impacting all metabolic processes. We are also limited in our study by the lack of replicate MSC deployments at each depth. Although numerous aggregate respiration rates were measured from each sample, high patchiness in the type and source location of sinking particles could result in greater variability in respiration rates.

Additionally, we were not able to measure mechanical disaggregation via processes such as fluid shear which could provide additional losses of large sinking POC. The forces required to break apart large marine snow aggregates have been shown to be higher than typical estimates of energy dissipation in the ocean, suggesting that this would not be a major loss process (Alldredge et al., 1990). Physical disaggregation could be more important in surface waters where dissipation rates can exceed the forces required to break marine snow aggregates (Alldredge et al., 1990; Burd and Jackson, 2009). However, we suspect that only a small fraction of sinking POC would be fragmented by abiotic processes to particles <0.15 mm and hence would not explain the large loss of fast-sinking POC measured in this study.

In order to address imbalances in the sources and sinks of fast-sinking POC to the upper mesopelagic we require an additional loss process of POC. One key term missing from the budget is that of free-living protozoans which would not be collected in zooplankton nets and can make up a substantial part of marine planktonic ecosystems (Biard et al., 2016). Laboratory experiments on ciliate FPs reveals that dinoflagellates degraded FPs over 3 times faster than bacteria (0.18 d$^{-1}$ compared to 0.04 d$^{-1}$), and the combined effects of bacteria, dinoflagellates and copepods led to FP degradation rates of 1.12 d$^{-1}$ (Svensen et al., 2014). Dinoflagellates and ciliates have been shown to feed on FPs (Poulsen and
Loss of sinking POC via fragmentation of large sinking particles into small (<0.15 mm ESD) and non-sinking particles by both abiotic and biotic means may also explain some of our observed imbalance in the upper mesopelagic as the POC fluxes measured here are for fast-sinking particles only (see Methods). These slow-sinking particles may be an important part of the total carbon flux (Riley et al., 2012); however, as we did not measure loss processes, such as respiration rates on slow-sinking particles, we are not able to extend our budget to this carbon pool. Determining rates of respiration on slow-sinking particles is a key area for future research and may help reconcile imbalances in the carbon budget when looking at the total organic carbon pool. We hypothesise, in line with a growing number of other studies (Cavan et al., 2015; Collins et al., 2015; Iversen et al., 2010), that zooplankton living in the upper mesopelagic may stimulate the loss of large, fast-sinking POC via fragmentation from sloppy feeding, swimming activities and/or microbial gardening (Iversen and Poulsen, 2007; Mayor et al., 2014).

Fast-sinking particles can reach the deep ocean with minimal degradation due to the short time in which they are available for degradation (Iversen and Ploug, 2010). Conversely, once fragmented, the increased residence times (in terms of their sinking velocity) of slow- and non-sinking POC allows removal to occur at low rates, on longer timescales by microbial respiration. As of yet, we are not aware of any published studies measuring respiration rates on slow-sinking POC. Assuming that all of our measured excess POC (i.e. not explained by particle-associated microbial respiration, zooplankton respiration or solubilisation) in the upper 36–200 m is turned into slow-sinking POC with an average sinking velocity of 9 m d\(^{-1}\) (Alonso-González et al., 2010; Riley et al., 2012), we can calculate the respiration rate required to completely remove this excess POC based on Eq. (5). We estimate that this slow-sinking material would need to be respired at a rate of 0.08 d\(^{-1}\) (0.04–0.13 d\(^{-1}\)), which is not too dissimilar from the rates we have measured on fast-sinking particles, thus providing support to the hypothesis of fragmentation.

In theory, a seasonal balance in POC driven by fragmentation of fast-sinking particles should present itself in the form of an increase in slow and non-sinking POC following the seasonal peak in fast-sinking POC and a more gradual decline over the season. This less rapid seasonal decline in slowly sinking material is apparent in the results of a biogeochemical model study for subpolar regions (Henson et al., 2015). Seasonal cycles in particulate carbon in the upper 75 m (seasonal range of 2.3 µM via GF/F filtering) have been detected following analysis of long-term time series data at station ALOHA in the Pacific (Hebel and Karl, 2001). They suggest that the buildup and removal of standing stocks of POC do not require a large degree of decoupling between production and loss processes and can exist due to small but sustained differences. Considering the highly dynamic nature of the typical bloom-bust scenario of the North Atlantic it seems unlikely that a balance in source and sink processes would be found by snapshot measurements such as those made here.

Additional inputs/losses of organic carbon could be driven via physical processes such as advection or changes in mixed layer depth (e.g. Dall’Olmo and Mork, 2014). Although the mixed layer was relatively stable during our study period, the winter deepening in MLD to 250 m (Hartman et al., 2015) could provide a seasonal balance to the budget if concentrations of slow and non-sinking particles are sufficiently high (Bochdansky et al., 2016). Similarly, advective processes are unaccounted for as a source/sink of carbon in this study and could result in closer agreement between sources and sinks.

5 Conclusions

We present here a unique vertical profile of particle-associated microbial respiration measured directly on sinking marine aggregates collected at depth. Rates of carbon-specific respiration were relatively constant with depth and particle-associated microbial respiration amounts to a small loss term in the mesopelagic carbon balance. We suggest that it may be possible to explain the loss of fast-sinking particles (>0.15 mm ESD) in the upper mesopelagic through a combination of particle-associated microbial respiration, solubilisation and the conversion into small (<0.15 mm ESD) and non-sinking POC via zooplankton and protozoan mediated processes. Material lost through fragmentation would be retained in the upper mesopelagic, allowing it to be slowly respired over time and enabling a balance of the mesopelagic carbon budget over seasonal timescales. However, detailed information about fragmentation processes are lacking and are needed to better constrain the upper mesopelagic carbon flows. Moreover, there is a need for seasonally resolved studies (of fast and slow-sinking pools of carbon) to get a better appreciation of how changing primary production in a non-steady-state system can influence seasonal fluxes of POC in the mesopelagic.

6 Data availability

Data are available in the Supplement to this manuscript.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/bg-13-4927-2016-supplement.
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