Journal Name

COMMUNICATION

X-ray Structure of a Ni(II)-Tri-Phenoxy Radical Complex

X-ray Structure of a Ni(II)-Tri-Phenoxy Radical Complex

Received 00th January 20xx,
Accepted 00th January 20xx

L. Benisvy,^a G. M. Zats,^a O. Fleker,^a M. Orio,^b D. S. Yufit,^c G. Romanenko,^d and V. Ovcharenko^d

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

The dimino-diphenolato neutral square-planar Ni(II) complex, NiL₂, is readily oxidised by 2 equiv. of Ag[SbF₆], to produce the unprecedented octahedral Ni(II) tris(phenoxy) radical complex, [Ni(L[•])₃][SbF₆]₂. This study reveals, for the first time, the X-ray structure of metal -tri-phenoxy radicals complex.

In the last two decades, inspired by the unique Cu(II)-tyrosyl radical moiety of the active site of Galactose oxidase (GO)¹ [a fungal enzyme that catalyses the aerobic two-electron oxidation of a wide range of primary alcohols to their corresponding aldehydes]; chemists have been successful in generating and characterising phenoxy radical complexes of Cu(II) and other transition metals such as Fe(III), Zn(II), Co(II/III), Ni(II).² However, to date, isolated persistent phenoxy radical complexes are still rare,³ and only a few X-ray structures have been reported.^{4–7} Thus, the isolation and exploration of transition metal compounds containing one (or more) phenoxy radical ligand(s) with desired catalytic or magnetic properties still remain a significant challenge. In particular, for compounds that possess two and/or three phenoxy radical ligands which are known to be highly unstable.^{2f, 8}

In a continuous search for a suitable ligand framework capable of sustaining a phenoxy radical state, we have recently designed^{5,9} a versatile *N,O*-phenol-imidazole/pyrazole pro-ligand family that incorporates: (a) *t*-Bu protections of the phenol *ortho*- and *para*- positions, preventing radical coupling decomposition pathways, and (b) no other oxidisable position than the phenol(ate) moiety itself. These ligand frameworks have allowed tetracoordinated M(II)– (M = Cu, Zn, and Co) and octahedral Co(III)– mono-phenoxy radical complexes to be isolated as air-stable crystalline powders.^{5,9a,b}

Herein, we report, using the phenol-pyrazole pro-ligand LH^{9c} (Scheme 1), the synthesis, characterisation and X-ray structure of an unprecedented octahedral Ni(II) tri-(phenoxy) radical complex, [Ni(L[•])₃]²⁺ (**2**²⁺); produced by an unusual two-electron chemical oxidation of the parent Ni^{II}L₂ phenolate complex (**1**) (Scheme 1).

The reaction of LH with [Ni(H₂O)₆][BF₄]₂ in methanol in a 2 : 1 ratio in the presence of triethylamine, affords the pale-green NiL₂ compound (**1**) (see ESI †). The X-ray structure of **1** (Fig. 1, Tables 1 and SI1-3) is isostructural to that of neutral CuL₂^{9c,d} displaying a neutral centrosymmetric *trans*-N₂O₂ square-planar geometry, resulting from the coordination of two N, O- ligands in their anionic forms.

^a Department of Chemistry, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat Gan 52900, Israel, E-mail: benisvy@gmail.com

^b Aix Marseille Université, UMR 7313, Campus Scientifique de Saint-Jérôme, Avenue Escadrille Normandie-Niemen, 13397 Marseille Cedex 20, France

^c Department of Chemistry, University of Durham, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, U.K.

^d International Tomography Center, SB RAS, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia

† Footnotes relating to the title and/or authors should appear here.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental, instrumental, crystallographic, together with supplementary results are presented in the Supporting Information. The structures of **1** and **2** are available at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publications CCDC-1005503, 1005504. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Scheme 1

Fig. 1 ORTEP representation of the molecular structure of **1**.

The Ni–O and Ni–N bond distances (1.869(2) Å and 1.850 (2) Å respectively) are as expected for Ni(II)-phenolato-imino complexes in an N_2O_2 environment.² The planar structure of **1** is reinforced by two intramolecular N–H $\cdots$ O hydrogen bonds between the pyrazole N–H and phenolate-O atoms (N $\cdots$ O distances of 2.717(2) Å, <N–H $\cdots$ O> angle of 121 °; Fig. 1). As expected for low-spin, d^8 , square planar Ni(II) ions, complex **1** is diamagnetic and exhibits a well resolved ^1H NMR spectrum in CDCl_3 , displaying one set of resonance for the two magnetically equivalent ligands; and a concentration-independent broad N–H singlet at 10.95 ppm, suggesting that the intramolecular N–H $\cdots$ O H-bonds are retained in solution. (Fig. S11) The UV/vis spectrum of **1** in CH_2Cl_2 displays absorption peaks at λ_{max} ($\epsilon/\text{M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$): 400 (610), 484 (120) and 630 (170); Fig. 4). The band at *ca.* 400 nm is attributed to a phenolate-to-Ni(II) charge transfer transition, whereas the weak lower energy bands are assigned to ligand-field transitions.^{2f}

The chemical oxidation of **1** with two equiv. of AgSbF_6 in CH_2Cl_2 under dry inert atmosphere, produces a dark-brown crystalline powder of **2** in 46 % yield, after filtration of metallic silver and $\text{Ni}^{2+}[\text{SbF}_6]_2$ insoluble side-products (Scheme 1, see ESI[†]). The slow diffusion of hexane into a saturated CH_2Cl_2 solution of **2** at 4 °C for a two days under dry inert atmosphere, yields dark-brown cube-like single crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography (Fig. 2, Tables 1 and S11, 4, 5). The X-ray structure of **2** reveals a unique salt composed of a dicationic *tris*(phenoxy) nickel complex, $[\text{NiL}_3]^{2+}$, and two SbF_6^- counter anions (Fig. 2). Both the cations and anions in the structure of **2** are located in the special positions on the 3-fold axis. The nickel ion binds in an octahedral *fac*- N_3O_3 coordination environment of three C_3 -symmetry related N,O-ligands. This *fac*- arrangement contrasts with the *mer*- conformation observed for the neutral Co(III)-*tris*(phenolate) analogue complex, CoL_3 ^{9c}; and appears to be stabilised by the presence of close N–H $\cdots$ F contacts between the three fluoro atoms of one SbF_6^- counter anion, and the three pyrazole N–H groups in the dicationic complex (N $\cdots$ F 2.864 Å; see Fig. 2).

Since all three ligands are identical (symmetrically related), the overall + 2 charge of the nickel complex in **2** can only be satisfied for the two extreme cases: (a) a Ni(V) with three anionic phenolate ligands, $[\text{Ni}^{\text{V}}(\text{L}^-)_3]^{2+}$; or (b) a Ni(II) with three neutral radical phenoxy ligands ($[\text{Ni}^{\text{II}}(\text{L}^\bullet)_3]^{2+}$). The Ni–N and Ni–O bonds in **2** are long (2.047(3) Å and 2.025(3) Å, respectively), clearly excluding a putative Ni(V)-phenolate complex. Rather, these are typical of those in octahedral Ni(II) $S = 1$ complex bearing

neutral N/O ligands. The Ni–O bond length in **2** of 2.025(3) is unprecedentedly long for an M–O bond involving either a phenolate or a phenoxyl radical ligand, (generally not exceeding 1.95 Å). The long Ni–O bond length in **2** suggests a Ni(II) centre coordinated by three neutral phenoxyl radical ligands. Indeed, upon oxidation of a phenolate to a phenoxyl radical ligand, a significant lengthening of the M–O bond is generally observed (e.g. Cu–O: 1.948(3) Å (L[•]) vs. 1.850 Å (L[–]) in [Cu(L)(L[•])]⁺).⁵ Such an effect is expected to be largely enhanced for *bis*, or *tris*(phenoxyl) radical complexes, as the effective charge increases; thereby resulting in much longer M–O bonds over 2 Å as in **2**.

The three identical phenoxyl rings in **2** display a quinonoid bond pattern observed for true localised phenoxyl radical complexes, as reported in the literature.^{4–7} Thus, the length of the C_{iso}–O bond (1.258(5) Å) is significantly shorter than that in the phenolate complexes **1**, CuL₂, or CoL₃ (1.30–1.34 Å)^{8c} (Table 1); whereas those of the two adjacent C_{iso}–C_o bonds are significantly longer (av. 1.465(5) Å vs. 1.40–1.44 Å; Table 1), in accordance with the two resonance forms depicted in Scheme 2. Thus, these structural data strongly suggest that **2** contains the Ni(II)-*tris*(phenoxyl)radical dicationic complex, [Ni(L[•])₃]²⁺.

Fig. 2 ORTEP representation of the molecular structure of [NiL₃][SbF₆]₂ (**2**). Dashed lines represent H-bonds. The ortho- *t*-Bu groups of all ligands and one SbF₆[–] counter ions have been omitted for clarity.

Table 1 Selected average bond lengths in crystal structures of **1** and **2**, and comparison with DFT-optimised structure for **2**.

	NiL ₂ (1)	[NiL ₃] ²⁺ (2) (Expt)	[Ni(L [•]) ₃] ²⁺ (2) (Calcd)
Ni–O	1.869(2)	2.025(3)	2.037
Ni–N	1.850(2)	2.047(3)	2.053
C _{iso} –O	1.333(2)	1.258(5)	1.269
C _{iso} –C _o	1.428(3)	1.465(5)	1.468
C _o –C _m	1.394(3)	1.372(6)	1.384
C _m –C _p	1.390(3)	1.409(6)	1.414

Scheme 2 Resonance forms of the neutral phenoxyl radical ligands in **2**.

Fig. 3 Spin density plot of DFT-optimised **2**.

In order to support the structural data, DFT-calculations were performed on the high spin (HS) state of **2**, assuming a multiplicity of six ($S=5/2$) with five unpaired electrons distributed on four magnetic centers in a parallel alignment. The geometrically optimised structure of **2** matches remarkably well with the crystallographic data. First, the facial N_3O_3 geometry is preserved and the three $N-H\cdots F$ close contacts with one SbF_6 counter anion (av. $N\cdots F$ 2.82 Å) (Fig. SI2) are reproduced. Second, the lengths of the Ni–O/N bonds, as well as the C–C and C–O bonds within each of the phenoxyl rings, are accurately reproduced by the calculation within 0.015 Å (Tables 1, SI6). Thus, the calculation predicts long Ni–O/N bonds, and phenoxyl radical-like short C–O and long $C_{iso}-C_o$ bonds (Table 1); strongly suggesting that the Ni(II) *tris*(phenoxyl) radical is the relevant form of **2**. This assignment is further confirmed by the energetic analysis performed on all possible spin configurations of **2** (Fig SI3). Comparison of the HS state energy with those of the $M_s=3/2$ and $M_s=1/2$ states evinced that the $S=5/2$ is the ground spin state of **2** which is best described as a $S=1$ Ni(II) ion ferromagnetically coupled to three radical ligands (Table SI7). Analysis of the electronic structure of the HS state of **2** shows five singly occupied molecular orbitals (SOMO). Three of them are ligand-based orbitals, each localised mainly on the phenoxyl radical ring, and the two others are metal-based (Ni $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $3d_{z^2}$) as expected for such spin configuration (Fig. SI4). The calculated spin density plot depicted in Figure 3 shows that the spin density is equally spread over the complex consistently with the distribution of the five unpaired electrons over the four magnetic centers of the system.

Fig. 4 UV/vis/NIR spectra of **1** (solid line) and **2** (dashed line) in CH_2Cl_2 at room temperature.

In the 200 – 1100 nm region, the absorption spectrum of **2** displays a UV-band at 332 nm ($12500\text{ M}^{-1}\text{ cm}^{-1}$) and a strong visible band at 422 nm ($7500\text{ M}^{-1}\text{ cm}^{-1}$) accompanied by a broad NIR band centred at ca. 840 nm ($2100\text{ M}^{-1}\text{ cm}^{-1}$); Fig. 4. This absorption profile is characteristic of that of phenoxyl radicals, the band at ca. 400 nm being generally assigned to the $\pi-\pi^*$ transition in the phenoxyl ring.^{2e} Moreover, the 1:4 ratio between the intensities of the ca. 400 nm band and the NIR bands supports the presence of localised phenoxyl radical ligands. For delocalised phenoxyl radical π -systems, stronger NIR bands tend to be observed, reaching a similar intensity to the 400 nm band (e.g. with a 1:1 ratio in $[Cu(L^{OMe*})(L^{OMe})]^+$).^{9b} Interestingly, in the 1000 – 3300 nm NIR region, the spectrum of **2** is silent, and contrasts with delocalised Class III Ni(II)salen radical complexes which exhibit an intense IVCT transition at 2100–2500 nm.^{2f} This observation further supports the presence of three localised phenoxyl radical ligands in **2**.

Herein, we have reported, using the suitably protected N,O-phenol-pyrazole pro-ligand, LH, the synthesis and characterisation of the neutral *bis*-Ni^{III}L₂ complex (**1**) together with that of the product of its two-electron oxidation with $Ag[SbF_6]$, **2**. Our combined crystallographic and theoretical studies reveal that **2** contains an unprecedented dicationic Ni(II) complex, $[Ni(L^*)_3]^{2+}$, bearing

three neutral phenoxyl radical ligands. The latter represents the first example of an isolated and crystallographically characterised *tris*(phenoxyl) radical complex. Further in-depth spectroscopic characterisations of **2** are in progress in our laboratory.

Notes and references

‡ Experimental, instrumental, crystallographic, together with supplementary results are presented in the Supporting Information. The structures of **1** and **2** are available at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publications CCDC-1005503, 1005504.

- (a) J. Stubbe and W. A. van der Donk, *Chem. Rev.*, 1998, **98**, 705; (b) J. W. Whittaker, *Chem. Rev.*, 2003, **103**, 2347.
- (a) S. Itoh, M. Taki and S. Fukuzumi, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **198**, 3; (b) B. A. Jazdzewski and W. B. Tolman, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **200–202**, 633; (c) P. Chaudhuri and K. Wieghardt, *Prog. Inorg. Chem.*, 2001, **50**, 151; (d) P. Chaudhuri, K. Wieghardt, T. Weyhermüller, T. K. Paine, S. Mukherjee and C. Mukherjee, *Biol. Chem.*, 2005, **386**, 1023; (e) F. Thomas, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, 2379; (f) C. T. Lyons and T. D. P. Stack, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **257**, 528.
- Y. Shimazaki, *Adv. Mat. Phys. Chem.*, 2013, **3**, 60.
- A. Sokolowski, E. Bothe, E. Bill, T. Weyhermüller and K. Wieghardt, *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 1671.
- (a) L. Benisvy, A. J. Blake, D. Collison, E. S. Davies, C. D. Garner, E. J. L. McInnes, J. McMaster, G. Whittaker and C. Wilson, *Chem. Comm.*, 2001, 1824; (b) L. Benisvy, A. J. Blake, D. Collison, E. S. Davies, C. D. Garner, E. J. L. McInnes, J. McMaster, G. Whittaker and C. Wilson, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton. Trans.*, 2003, 1975.
- (a) T. Storr, E. C. Wasinger, R. C. Pratt and T. D. P. Stack, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 5198; *Angew. Chem.*, 2007, **119**, 5290; (b) Y. Shimazaki, T. D. P. Stack and T. Storr, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **48**, 8383; (c) Y. Shimazaki, N. Arai, T. J. Dunn, T. Yagima, F. Tani, C. F. Ramogida and T. Storr, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 2469; (d) M. Orio, O. Jarjayes, H. Kanso, C. Philouze, F. Neese and F. Thomas, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 4989; *Angew. Chem.*, 2010, **122**, 5109.
- A. Kocher, H. Kanso, B. Baptiste, H. Arora, C. Philouze, O. Jarjayes, H. Vezin, D. Luneau, M. Orio and F. Thomas, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 10557.
- (a) T. J. Dunn, L. Chiang, C. F. Ramogida, K. Hazin, M. I. Webb, M. J. Katz and T. Storr, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 9606; (b) T. J. Dunn, M. I. Webb, K. Hazin, P. Verma, E. C. Wasinger, Y. Shimazaki and T. Storr, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 3950.
- (a) E. Bill, A. J. Blake, D. Collison, E. S. Davies, C. D. Garner, C. Guindy, E. J. L. McInnes, J. McMaster, G. McArdle, C. Wilson, and J. Wolowska, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton. Trans.*, 2004, 3647; (b) L. Benisvy, E. Bill, A. J. Blake, D. Collison, E. S. Davies, C. D. Garner, E. J. L. McInnes, J. McMaster, S. Ross and C. Wilson, *Dalton Trans.*, 2006, 258; (c) G. M. Zats, H. Arora, R. Lavi, D. Yufit and L. Benisvy,