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ABSTRACT

The relation between the galaxy stellar mass $M_*^e$ and the dark matter halo mass $M_h$ gives important information on the efficiency in forming stars and assembling stellar mass in galaxies. We present measurements of the ratio of stellar mass to halo mass (SMHR) at redshifts $2 < z < 5$, obtained from the VIMOS Ultra Deep Survey. We use halo occupation distribution (HOD) modelling of clustering measurements on ~3000 galaxies with spectroscopic redshifts to derive the dark matter halo mass $M_h$, and spectral energy density fitting over a large set of multi-wavelength data to derive the stellar mass $M_*^e$. We find that the SMHR ranges from 1% to 2.5% for galaxies with $M_*^e = 1.3 \times 10^9$ $M_\odot$ to $M_*^e = 7.4 \times 10^9$ $M_\odot$ in DM halos with $M_h = 1.3 \times 10^{11}$ $M_\odot$ to $M_h = 3 \times 10^{11}$ $M_\odot$. We derive the integrated star formation efficiency (ISFE) of these galaxies and find that the star formation efficiency is a moderate 6–9% for lower mass galaxies, while it is relatively high at 16% for galaxies with the median stellar mass of the sample ~7–9$\times M_\odot$. The lower ISFE at lower masses may indicate that some efficient means of suppressing star formation is at work (like SNe feedback), while the high ISFE for the average galaxy at $z \approx 3$ indicates that these galaxies efficiently build up their stellar mass at a key epoch in the mass assembly process. Based on our results, we propose a possible scenario in which the average massive galaxy at $z \approx 3$ begins to experience truncation of its star formation within a few million years.
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1. Introduction

Understanding processes regulating star formation and mass growth in galaxies along cosmic time remains a key issue of
(haloes), where the conditions for gas fragmentation trigger star formation (Bromm et al. 2009). Current models connecting star formation and stellar mass evolution on the one hand and the formation histories of DM halos on the other hand rely on simplifying assumptions and approximations and need to be amended by observational data to reduce the uncertainties in the modelling process (e.g. Conroy & Wechsler 2009).

The efficiency of assembling baryons into stars is an important ingredient for understanding galaxy formation, but it remains poorly constrained observationally. In recent years, it has been proposed to derive this efficiency comparing DM halo mass with galaxy stellar mass. With the measurement of the characteristic mass of DM host haloes $M_h$ now available from observational data and of stellar mass $M_*$ derived from the analysis of the spectral energy distribution of galaxies, coupled to the knowledge of the cosmological density of baryons and DM, the conversion rate from baryons to stellar mass can be inferred.

Several methods have been used to link $M_*$ and $M_h$. One of these methods involves halo occupation models, which provide a description of how galaxies populate their host haloes using galaxy clustering statistics and local density profiles (e.g. Zehavi et al. 2005; Leauthaud et al. 2012). An alternative method uses abundance matching to associate galaxies to underlying dark matter structure and sub-structures assuming that the stellar masses or luminosities of the galaxies are tightly connected to the masses of dark matter halos (Conroy et al. 2009; Moster et al. 2013). The efficiency with which the galaxies converted baryons into stars is encoded in the relationship between $M_*$ and $M_h$, as a function of redshift, which provides a benchmark against which galaxy evolution models can be tested. Using observed stellar mass functions, abundance-matching models have led to the derivation of the stellar mass—halo mass (SMHM) relation, which gives for a given halo mass the ratio of stellar mass to halo mass (SMHR). SMHR = $M_*/M_h$. Behroozi et al. (2010) reported that the integrated star formation efficiency (ISFE) at a given halo mass peaks at 10–20% of available baryons for all redshifts from 0 to 4.

The shape of the SMHM is claimed not to evolve much from $z = 0$ to $z = 4$, although it may be evolving more significantly at $z > 4$ (Behroozi et al. 2013; Behroozi & Silk 2015). The SMHR is characterized by a maximum around $M_h = 10^{12} M_\odot$. The lower efficiency at masses below this value may indicate that supernova feedback might be sufficient to remove gas from the galaxy because the halo gravitational potential is lower (e.g. Silk 2003; Bertone et al. 2005; Béthermin et al. 2013). At higher masses, the decrease in star formation efficiency might be produced when cold streams are replaced by isotropic cooling (e.g. Dekel & Birnboim 2006; Faucher-Giguère et al. 2011) or by some high-energy feedback process like that produced by AGNs.

While this picture is attractive from a theoretical modelling point of view, consistency with observational constraints needs to be further improved. In this Letter we use the VIMOS Ultra Deep Survey (VUDS, Le Fèvre et al. 2015) to report on the first measurements of the SMHR derived from the observed clustering of galaxies at $2 < z < 5$. Using $M_h$ derived from HOD modelling based on the two-point projected correlation function $w_p(r_p)$, and $M_*$ obtained from spectral energy distribution (SED) fitting computed for ~3000 galaxies we estimate the SMHR for several galaxy samples and compare it to SMHM models. The Letter is organized as follows: we summarize the VUDS data in Sect. 2, the $M_h$ and $M_*$ measurements are presented in Sect. 3, we derive the SMHR and the ISFE for several mass bins at $z < 3$ in Sect. 4, and we discuss our results in Sect. 5.

We use a flat ΛCDM cosmological model with $\Omega_m = 0.25$ and a Hubble constant $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ to compute absolute magnitudes and masses.

## 2. VUDS data

The VIMOS Ultra Deep Survey (VUDS) is a spectroscopic survey of ~10,000 galaxies performed with the VIMOS multi-object spectrograph at the European Southern Observatory Very Large Telescope (Le Fèvre et al. 2003). Its main aim is to study early phases of galaxy formation and evolution at $2 < z < 6$. Details about the survey strategy, target selection, and data processing and redshift measurements are presented in Le Fèvre et al. (2015).

We use data in the redshift range $2 < z < 5$ from two independent fields, COSMOS and VVDS-02h, covering a total area 0.81 deg$^2$, corresponding to a volume ~3 × 10$^7$ Mpc$^3$. The sample used here contains 3,000 galaxies with reliable spectroscopic redshifts (spectroscopy reliability flags 2, 3, 4 and 9, see Le Fèvre et al. 2015) and with a stellar mass in the range $9 < \log (M_*) < 11 M_\odot$ as presented in Fig. 1. The whole sample has been divided into two redshift ranges: $2 < z < 2.9$ with log $M_\text{h}^{\text{resh}} = 9.1 M_\odot$ and $2.9 < z < 5.0$, for which log $M_\text{h}^{\text{resh}} = 9.3 M_\odot$, where $M_\text{h}^{\text{resh}}$ is the lower mass boundary of the sample resulting from the survey selection function (see below). Additionally, to estimate the SMHR for more massive galaxies, we define a galaxy sub-sample in the range $2 < z < 5$ and with log $M_*> 9.87 M_\odot$. This mass limit is the practical limit for which we can measure a galaxy correlation function signal accurately enough at each observed scale $0.3 < r_p < 17 h^{-1} \text{ Mpc}$, which is required to achieve convergence of the HOD fit.

## 3. $M_*$ and $M_h$ measurements

The stellar masses in the VUDS survey were estimated by performing SED fitting on the multi-wavelength photometry using the code Le Phare (Ilbert et al. 2006), as described in detail by Ilbert et al. (2013) and references therein.

Halos masses $M_h$ were measured in a two-step process. First, the projected two-point correlation function $w_p(r_p)$ was computed for all three sub-samples in Durkalec et al. (2014). The

---

**Fig. 1.** Stellar mass distribution in VUDS. Red lines and horizontal lines indicate the limits in redshift and stellar mass applied to select low- and high-redshift samples. The dashed blue line indicates the mass cut at $M_* = 7.4 \times 10^9 M_\odot$ applied to define a high-mass sample.
Table 1. Ratio of stellar mass to halo mass (SMHR) and the integrated star formation efficiency (ISFE) in the VUDS survey.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Redshift range</th>
<th>zmean</th>
<th>Stellar mass range</th>
<th>log (M_{\text{stellar}}^{\text{thresh}})</th>
<th>log (M_{\text{halo}}^{\text{min}})</th>
<th>SMHR (\times 10^{2})</th>
<th>ISFE (\times 10^{2})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[2.0,−2.9]</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>[9.10−11.40]</td>
<td>9.10±0.16</td>
<td>11.12±0.33</td>
<td>0.95±0.50</td>
<td>16.16±2.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2.9,−5.0]</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>[9.30−11.40]</td>
<td>9.30±0.17</td>
<td>11.18±0.56</td>
<td>1.39±0.98</td>
<td>8.52±6.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2.0,−5.0]</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>[9.87−11.40]</td>
<td>9.87±0.15</td>
<td>11.47−0.43</td>
<td>2.51±0.23</td>
<td>16.19±7.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. (a) High mass sample.

Fig. 2. Left: the relation between the stellar mass \(M_\star\) and the halo mass \(M_h\) in VUDS for different \(M_\star\) and redshifts (red symbols). \(M_\star\) is derived from SED fitting of the multi-wavelength photometric data using known spectroscopic redshifts; error bars in \(M_\star\) indicate expected uncertainties of the SED-fitting method. \(M_h\) is obtained from HOD modelling of the two-point correlation function in different redshift and mass ranges. The VUDS data are compared to low- and intermediate-redshift measurements from satellite kinematics (Conroy et al. 2007; More et al. 2011), weak lensing (Mandelbaum et al. 2006), and galaxy clustering (Foucaud et al. 2010). The lines represent model predictions derived from abundance matching at various redshift (Moster et al. 2013). Centre: the ratio of stellar mass \(M_\star\) over halo mass \(M_h\) vs. halo mass at \(z = 3\) in the VUDS survey. The colour scheme is the same as for the left panel. Right: evolution of the \(M_\star/M_h\) ratio with time predicted from stellar and halo mass accretion histories for the most massive galaxy population observed at \(z > 3\), using the toy model described in the text.

The correlation function results were then interpreted in terms of a three-parameter halo occupation model (HOD) of the form proposed by Zehavi et al. (2005) and motivated by Kravtsov et al. (2004), with the mean number of galaxies:

\[
(N_M(M)) = \begin{cases} 
1 + (\frac{M}{M_\text{min}})^\alpha & \text{for } M > M_\text{min} \\
0 & \text{otherwise,} 
\end{cases}
\]

where \(M_\text{min}\) is the minimum mass needed for a halo to host one central galaxy, and \(M_1\) is the mass of a halo having on average one satellite galaxy, while \(\alpha\) is the power-law slope of the satellite mean occupation function.

The correlation function measurements and model-fitting procedures are described in Durkalec et al. (2014). By construction of the halo occupation function given in Eq. (1), the parameter \(M_\text{min}\) is the halo mass associated with galaxies with a stellar mass defined as the stellar mass threshold in the SHM relation (Zheng et al. 2005; Zehavi et al. 2005). We therefore quote the lowest mass of the sample considered as \(M_\text{stellar}^{\text{thresh}}\), as imposed by the survey limiting magnitude. The errors associated with this lower limit were computed as the average of the errors on \(M_\star\) from the SED fitting for each redshift and mass sub-sample separately.

4. Relation of stellar mass to halo mass at \(z = 3\)

Our results are presented in Table 1 and in the left panel of Fig. 2. For the low-redshift sample \(z \sim 2.5\) the stellar mass for halos of mass log \(M_{\text{halo}}^{\text{min}} = 11.12 \pm 0.33 M_\odot\) is log \(M_{\text{stellar}}^{\text{thresh}} = 9.1 M_\odot\), while at \(z \sim 3.5\) the halo mass reaches log \(M_{\text{halo}}^{\text{min}} = 11.18 \pm 0.56 M_\odot\) for a stellar mass log \(M_{\text{stellar}}^{\text{thresh}} = 9.3 M_\odot\).

From these measurements we find that log \(M_{\text{stellar}}/M_{\text{halo}}\) ranges from \(-2.02 \pm 0.18\) for the low-mass sample to up to \(-1.6 \pm 0.17\) for the most massive sample, at redshift \(z \sim 3\). As shown in Fig. 2, these results are compared to various measurements at low and intermediate redshift \(z < 1\), obtained using different methods, including satellite kinematics (Conroy et al. 2007; More et al. 2011), weak lensing (Mandelbaum et al. 2006), galaxy clustering (Foucaud et al. 2010; Hartley et al. 2013), and abundance matching (Moster et al. 2013). Our measurements agree excellently well with models derived from abundance matching at redshift \(z = 3\) (Moster et al. 2013).

5. Discussion and conclusion

Our SMHR measurements are among the first performed at \(z \sim 3\) from a clustering and HOD analysis. These measurements were
made possible by the large VUDS spectroscopic redshift survey. The SMHMR is 1% to 2.5% for galaxies with intermediate stellar masses (at $z \sim 3$) ranging from $\sim 10^9 M_\odot$ to $\sim 7 \times 10^9 M_\odot$.

Following Conroy & Wechsler (2009), we computed the ISFE $\eta = M_\star / M_\delta f_\delta$ with $f_\delta$ the universal baryon fraction $f_\delta = \Omega_\text{b} / \Omega_\text{m} = 0.155$ (Planck Collaboration XVI 2014). Results are reported in Table 1. The ISFE ranges from 6.2 to 13.6% to 16.2 to 18.7% for galaxies with $M_\star$ from $M_{\star, \text{thresh}} = 1.3 \times 10^9 M_\odot$ to $M_{\star}^\text{thresh} = 7.4 \times 10^9 M_\odot$. The ISFE at $z \sim 3$ therefore increases with $M_\star$ over this mass range. The star formation efficiency of $\sim 16\%$ in a halo with $M_\delta = 3 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ is quite close to the maximum of $\sim 20\%$ occurring at $10^{12} M_\odot$ in SMHM models Behroozi et al. (2010; see also Moster et al. 2013).

We used a simple mass-growth model to derive the time scale for which our most massive galaxy sample would reach the maximum predicted in the SMHM relation from Behroozi et al. (2010). In this model the mass growth of DM halos is described by a mean accretion rate $\langle \dot{M} \rangle$ taken from Fakhouri et al. (2010), while galaxies grow in $M_\star$ via star formation using the median SFR for our sample (Tasca et al. 2014a), as well as through mergers with a constant accretion in stars of $\sim 1 M_\odot / \text{yr}$ (Tasca et al. 2014b). We computed the halo and stellar mass values every $\delta t = 5$ Myr to account for the halo accretion rate and SFR changing with redshift and mass. In the right panel of Fig. 2 we represent the expected time evolution in $M_\star / M_\delta$ versus $M_\star$ for a galaxy starting at $z \sim 3$ following this toy model. The SMHM relation would reach a maximum log $M_\star / M_\delta = -1.25$ about 360 Myr after the observed epoch (i.e. at $z \sim 2.6$), and at this time, halo and stellar masses will be $M_{\star, \text{max}} = 10^{11.6} M_\odot$ and $M_{\star}^\text{thresh} = 2 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$.

According to the model proposed by Moster et al. (2013), the SMHM relation is expected to reverse after reaching a maximum, with the slope of the relation maintaining the same abso-

In conclusion, the SMHM is a simple yet efficient tool for probing star formation efficiency at the epoch of rapid stellar mass assembly, provided one obtains robust measurements on both $M_\star$ and $M_\delta$; this is now possible with VUDS at $z \sim 3$, which complements more indirect estimates that use abundance matching, for example. A more extensive exploration of the ef-
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