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1. Introduction

Macroscopic traffic flow models usually consist of partial differential equations describing the evolution of aggregated quantities, like traffic density and mean velocity. They express the mass conservation and eventually the traffic acceleration. In this article, we focus on a pressure-less gas dynamics system subject to a maximal density constraint, which was introduced in [5] and can be derived through a singular limit in the pressure term of a modified Aw-Rascle-Zhang model [2, 12].

In the following, we denote by $\rho$, $v$ the density and velocity of the traffic and by $p$ the “reserve” of velocity acting as an anticipation factor of drivers to the local traffic conditions. We consider the following system of conservation laws

\[
\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t \rho + \partial_x (\rho v) = 0, \\
&\partial_t (\rho (v + p)) + \partial_x (\rho v (v + p)) = 0,
\end{aligned}
\tag{1.1}
\]

subject to the constraints

\[
0 \leq \rho(t, x) \leq \rho^*, \quad p(t, x) \geq 0, \quad (\rho(t, x) - \rho^*)p(t, x) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. } t, x, \quad (1.2)
\]
for some \( \rho^* \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) denoting the maximal density of cars allowed on the road. System (1.1) is equipped with the following initial data

\[
\rho(0, x) = \rho^0(x), \quad v(0, x) = v^0(x), \quad p(0, x) = p^0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.3)
\]

We assume that

- \((H1)\) \( \rho^0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \) with \( 0 \leq \rho^0 \leq \rho^* \) and \( \rho^0 \) with compact support;
- \((H2)\) \( v^0, p^0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap BV(\mathbb{R}) \) with \( v^0 \geq 0, p^0 \geq 0 \) and \( (\rho^0(x) - \rho^*)p^0(x) = 0 \) for a.e. \( x \in \mathbb{R} \).

In [5], the authors introduced the following constrained follow-the-leader model to compute approximate solutions of (1.1)-(1.3).

Let us denote by \( x_i(t), V_i(t) \) and \( p_i(t) \) the position, speed and reserve of velocity, respectively, of the \( i \)-th particle at time \( t \geq 0 \), for \( 0 \leq i \leq N \). The initial conditions

\[
x_N^i(0) = x_N^i, \quad V_N^i(0) = \bar{V}_i^N, \quad p_N^i(0) = \bar{p}_i^N \quad \text{for } i = 0, \ldots, N, \quad (1.4)
\]

are defined as follows: let \( x_{\min}, x_{\max} \) the extremal points of the convex hull of the support of \( \rho^0 \), so that

\[
\text{Supp}(\rho^0) \subseteq [x_{\min}, x_{\max}], \quad (1.5)
\]

and set

\[
l_N = \frac{1}{N} \int_\mathbb{R} \rho^0(x) \, dx, \quad d_N = l_N/\rho^*, \quad (1.6)
\]

\[
x_0^N = x_{\min}, \quad \bar{x}_i^N = \sup \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} ; \int_{x_{i-1}}^x \rho^0(x) \, dx < l_N \right\}, \quad \text{for } i = 0, \ldots, N, \quad (1.7)
\]

\[
\bar{V}_i^N = \sup_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]} v^0, \quad \bar{p}_i^N = \sup_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]} p^0, \quad \text{for } i = 0, \ldots, N - 1, \quad (1.8)
\]

Notice that from (1.7) we get \( \bar{x}_N^N = x_{\max} \) and

\[
\int_\mathbb{R} \rho^0(x) \, dx = \int_{x_{\min}}^{x_{\max}} \rho^0(x) \, dx = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \rho^0(x) \, dx = N l_N,
\]

since

\[
l_N = \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \rho^0(x) \, dx, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N.
\]

Notice also that we have

\[
l_N = \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \rho^0(x) \, dx \leq \| \rho^0 \|_\infty (\bar{x}_i^N - \bar{x}_{i-1}^N) \leq \rho^*(\bar{x}_i^N - \bar{x}_{i-1}^N) \quad (1.9)
\]

for all \( i = 1, \ldots, N \), and therefore

\[
\bar{x}_i^N - \bar{x}_{i-1}^N \geq d_N, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N.
\]

The dynamics of the discrete model is the following: each particle moves freely until it reaches the minimal distance to the preceding one, that is to
say \(x_{i+1}^N(t) - x_i^N(t) = d_N\). At this point, the particle \(i\) takes the velocity of the particle \(i + 1\) and they keep the distance \(d_N\) forever. For any initial positions and velocities of the \(N + 1\) particles, these “interactions” can only happen \(k\) times, with \(k \leq N\). Let us denote by \(t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \ldots \leq t_k\) the times when an interaction happens and we denotes by \(i_m\) the number of particle(s) for which at time \(t_m\), the collision is between the \(i_m\)-th and the \((i_m + 1)\)-th particles. The particle dynamics is therefore described by the following rules

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_i^N(t) &= V_i^N(t), & t \geq 0, & \text{for } i = 0, \ldots, N, \\
V_i^N(t) &= \nabla_N^N, \\
\dot{V}_i^N(t) &= 0 & t \neq t_m, & m = 1, \ldots, k, & \text{for } i = 0, \ldots, N - 1, \\
\dot{p}_i^N(t) &= 0 & t \neq t_m, & m = 1, \ldots, k, & \text{for } i = 0, \ldots, N - 1,
\end{align*}
\]

and at times \(t_m\), there is a jump such that for \(t \geq t_m\),

\[
\begin{align*}
V_i^{m+1}(t) := V_i^N(t_m), & \quad t \geq t_m, \\
p_i^{m+1}(t) := V_i^N(t_m) - V_i^N(t_{m-}) + p_i^N(t_{m-}). &
\end{align*}
\]

We introduce the variables

\[
y_i^N(t) = \frac{l_N}{x_{i+1}^N(t) - x_i^N(t)}, & \quad i = 0, \ldots, N - 1,
\]

which satisfy

\[
\dot{y}_i^N(t) = -\frac{l_N(\dot{x}_{i+1}^N(t) - \dot{x}_i^N(t))}{(x_{i+1}^N(t) - x_i^N(t))^2} = -\frac{y_i^N(t)^2}{l_N} (V_i^{N+1}(t) - V_i^N(t)).
\]

Since \(x_i^N(t) - x_{i-1}^N(t) \geq d_N\), we have \(y_i^N(t) \leq l_N/d_N = \rho^*\).

We define the piecewise constant density \(\hat{\rho}^N\) by

\[
\hat{\rho}^N(t, x) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} y_i^N(t) I_{[x_i^N(t), x_{i+1}^N(t)]}(x),
\]

the velocity \(\hat{v}^N\) by

\[
\hat{\rho}^N \hat{v}^N(t, x) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} y_i^N(t) V_i^N(t) I_{[x_i^N(t), x_{i+1}^N(t)]}(x),
\]

and the pressure term \(\hat{p}^N\) by

\[
\hat{\rho}^N \hat{p}^N(t, x) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} y_i^N(t) p_i^N(t) I_{[x_i^N(t), x_{i+1}^N(t)]}(x).
\]

**Remark 1.1.** These definitions identify \(\hat{v}^N\) and \(\hat{p}^N\) where \(\hat{\rho}^N \neq 0\), that is to say away from vacuum. Thus we need to extend the functions \(\hat{v}^N\) and \(\hat{p}^N\) when \(\hat{\rho}^N = 0\). While the pressure term must be equal to zero by (1.2), the velocity is given any non-negative constant value that does not increase the total variation. For example, by taking the average between two no-vacuum zones and extending by constants at infinity.
The main result of the present article is the convergence of the microscopic constrained follow-the-leader model to the macroscopic constrained Aw-Rascle-Zhang system as the number of particles tends to infinity.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $\rho^0, v^0$ and $p^0$ satisfy (H1)-(H2) and consider the discrete quantities $(\hat{\rho}^N, \hat{v}^N, \hat{p}^N)$ defined by (1.14)-(1.16) with (1.12) and (1.4)-(1.8). Then there exists $(\rho, v, p)$ with $\rho \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $v, p \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap BV(\mathbb{R})$, solution of (1.1) with the constraints (1.2), with initial data $(\rho^0, v^0, p^0)$ such that, up to a subsequence,

$$\hat{\rho}^N \rightharpoonup \rho, \quad \hat{\rho}^N \hat{v}^N \rightharpoonup \rho v, \quad \hat{\rho}^N \hat{p}^N \rightharpoonup \rho p$$

in the distributional sense.

The proof is deferred to Section 4.3. We recall that previous derivations of macroscopic traffic models from microscopic dynamical systems have been investigated for the classical Lighthill-Whitham-Richards equation [7, 8] and its non-local version [11], for the Aw-Rascle system [1, 9], for a phase-transition model based on a speed bound [6], and for Hughes model of crowd motion [10]. In our case, the main difficulty is represented by the lack of a uniform bound on the density total variation, that cannot be compensated by the compactness of the Riemann invariants like in [9], due to the zero-pressure term in the momentum equation. Therefore, the convergence relies on a compensated compactness argument introduced in [3].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we provide the convergence proof for initial data. Section 3 collects the $L^\infty$ and $BV$ estimates satisfied by the approximate solutions, which allow to show their convergence in Section 4.

### 2. Initial data limit

We start first by proving that the discrete quantities constructed at the previous section are compatible with the initial data.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let $\rho^0, v^0$ and $p^0$ satisfy (H1)-(H2). We consider the discrete quantities (1.14)-(1.16) with (1.12) and (1.4)-(1.8). Then, for all $\varphi \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\rho}^N(0, x)\varphi(x) \, dx \to_{N \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^0(x)\varphi(x) \, dx, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\rho}^N(0, x)\hat{v}^N(0, x)\varphi(x) \, dx \to_{N \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^0(x)v^0(x)\varphi(x) \, dx \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\rho}^N(0, x)\hat{p}^N(0, x)\varphi(x) \, dx \to_{N \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^0(x)p^0(x)\varphi(x) \, dx. \quad (2.3)$$

**Proof.** We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\rho}^N(0, x)\varphi(x) \, dx = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} \frac{l_N N}{x_{i+1} - x_i} \varphi(x) \, dx$$
Therefore
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^0(0,x) \varphi(x) \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^0(x) \varphi(x) \, dx
\]
\[
= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_{\pi_i}^{\pi_{i+1}} \rho^0(x) (\varphi(x) + \varphi(\pi_i^N) - \varphi(x)) \, dx
\]
\[
+ \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{l_N}{\pi_{i+1} - \pi_i^N} \int_{\pi_i}^{\pi_{i+1}} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(\pi_i^N)) \, dx.
\]
Now
\[
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^N(0,x) \varphi(x) \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^0(x) \varphi(x) \, dx \right|
\]
\[
\leq \|\varphi'\|_{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_{\pi_i}^{\pi_{i+1}} \rho^0(x) |\pi_i^N - x| \, dx + \|\varphi'\|_{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{l_N}{\pi_{i+1} - \pi_i^N} \int_{\pi_i}^{\pi_{i+1}} (x - \pi_i^N) \, dx
\]
\[
\leq \|\varphi'\|_{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (\pi_i^N - x) \int_{\pi_i}^{\pi_{i+1}} \rho^0(x) \, dx + \|\varphi'\|_{\infty} l_N \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{\pi_i^N - \pi_i^N}{2}
\]
\[
\leq \|\varphi'\|_{\infty} l_N \frac{3}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (\pi_i^{N} - \pi_i^N)
\]
\[
\leq l_N \frac{3}{2} \|\varphi'\|_{\infty} (\pi_N^N - \pi_0^N)
\]
\[
\leq l_N \frac{3}{2} \|\varphi'\|_{\infty} (x_{\max} - x_{\min}) \rightarrow 0.
\]
Thus we get
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\rho}^N(0,x) \varphi(x) \, dx \rightarrow_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^0(x) \varphi(x) \, dx. \tag{2.4}
\]
We consider now the product \( \hat{\rho}^N(0,x) \hat{v}^N(0,x) \). In this case we have the relation
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\rho}^N(0,x) \hat{v}^N(0,x) \varphi(x) \, dx
\]
Now for the last term of the inequality, we have

\[ \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \nabla_i^N \varphi(x) dx \]

and

\[ \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \nabla_i^N l_N \varphi(x) \varphi(x) dx = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \nabla_i^N \int_{\overline{x}_i}^{\overline{x}_{i+1}} \rho^0(x) \varphi(x) dx \]

\[ = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \nabla_i^N \rho^0(x)(\varphi(x) + \varphi(\overline{x}_i) - \varphi(x)) dx \]

\[ = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^0(x)v^0(x)\varphi(x) dx + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_{\overline{x}_i}^{\overline{x}_{i+1}} (\nabla_i^N - v^0(x))\rho^0(x)\varphi(x) dx \]

\[ + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_{\overline{x}_i}^{\overline{x}_{i+1}} \rho^0(x)(\varphi(\overline{x}_i) - \varphi(x)) dx . \]

Therefore

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^N(0, x)v^N(0, x)\varphi(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^0(x)v^0(x)\varphi(x) dx \]

\[ = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \nabla_i^N \int_{\overline{x}_i}^{\overline{x}_{i+1}} \rho^0(x)(\varphi(\overline{x}_i) - \varphi(x)) dx \]

\[ + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_{\overline{x}_i}^{\overline{x}_{i+1}} (\nabla_i^N - v^0(x))\rho^0(x)\varphi(x) dx . \]

Since \( \nabla_i^N \) are bounded by \( \|v^0\|_\infty \), we get similarly as for the convergence of \( \rho^N \) the estimate

\[ \left| \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \nabla_i^N \int_{\overline{x}_i}^{\overline{x}_{i+1}} \rho^0(x)(\varphi(\overline{x}_i) - \varphi(x)) dx \right| \]

\[ + \left| \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \nabla_i^N \int_{\overline{x}_i}^{\overline{x}_{i+1}} (\nabla_i^N - v^0(x))\rho^0(x)\varphi(x) dx \right| \]

\[ \leq l_N \frac{3}{2} \|\varphi\|_\infty \|v^0\|_\infty \|\rho^0\|_\infty (x_{\text{max}} - x_{\text{min}}) \rightarrow 0 . \]

Now for the last term of the inequality, we have

\[ \left| \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_{\overline{x}_i}^{\overline{x}_{i+1}} (\nabla_i^N - v^0(x))\rho^0(x)\varphi(x) dx \right| \]
Thus we get

\[ \leq \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_{\Pi_i}^{\Pi_{i+1}} \left| V_i^N - v^0(x) \right| \rho_i^0(x) |\varphi(x)| \, dx \]

\[ \leq \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_{\Pi_i}^{\Pi_{i+1}} \left( \sup_{[\Pi_i, \Pi_{i+1}]} v_i^0 - \inf_{[\Pi_i, \Pi_{i+1}]} v_i^0 \right) \rho_i^0(x) |\varphi(x)| \, dx \]

\[ \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_{\Pi_i}^{\Pi_{i+1}} \left( \sup_{[\Pi_i, \Pi_{i+1}]} v_i^0 - \inf_{[\Pi_i, \Pi_{i+1}]} v_i^0 \right) \rho_i^0(x) \, dx \]

\[ \leq l_N \|\varphi\|_\infty TV(v^0) \xrightarrow{N \to +\infty} 0. \]

Thus we get

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\rho}^N(0, x) v^N(0, x) \varphi(x) \, dx \xrightarrow{N \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^0(x) v^0(x) \varphi(x) \, dx. \] (2.5)

Similarly, we have

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\rho}^N(0, x) p^N(0, x) \varphi(x) \, dx \xrightarrow{N \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^0(x) p^0(x) \varphi(x) \, dx. \] (2.6)

\[ \square \]

3. \( L^\infty \) and BV estimates

The dynamics of \( x_i^N(t) \), \( V_i^N(t) \) and \( p_i^N(t) \) described by (1.10), (1.11), implies the following properties.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( \rho^0, v^0 \) and \( p^0 \) satisfy (H1)-(H2). Then the functions \( V_i^N(t) \) and \( p_i^N(t) \) defined by (1.10), (1.11) satisfy

\[ |V_i^N(t)| \leq \|v^0\|_\infty, \quad |p_i^N(t)| \leq \|v^0\|_\infty + \|p^0\|_\infty. \] (3.1)

Moreover, it holds

\[ TV(V^N(t, .)) \leq TV(V^0), \] (3.2)

\[ TV(p^N(t, .)) \leq TV(V^0) + TV(p^0). \] (3.3)

**Proof.** The \( L^\infty \) estimates (3.1) are deduced from the maximum principles

\[ 0 \leq V_i^N(t) \leq \max_j V_j^N(0) \leq \sup_l v^0, \]

\[ 0 \leq p_i^N(t) \leq \max_j (V_j^N(0) + p_j^N(0)) \leq \sup_l (v^0 + p^0), \]

which directly follow from the system dynamics.

The estimate (3.2) derives from the fact that between two interaction times \( t_m \), the functions \( t \mapsto V_i^N(t) \) are constant. At time \( t_m \), for a collision between the \( i_m \)-th and the \((i_m + 1)\)-th particles, from (1.11) we have

\[ TV(V^N(t_m + .)) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} |V_{i+1}^N(t_m+) - V_i^N(t_m+)| \]
\[
\begin{align*}
&= \sum_{i=0}^{i_m-1} |V^N_{i+1}(t_m^+) - V^N_i(t_m^+)| + |V^N_{i+1}(t_m^+) - V^N_{i_m}(t_m^+)| \\
&+ \sum_{i=i_m+1}^{N-1} |V^N_{i+1}(t_m^+) - V^N_i(t_m^+)| \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{i_m-1} |V^N_{i+1}(t_m^-) - V^N_i(t_m^-)| + \sum_{i=i_m+1}^{N-1} |V^N_{i+1}(t_m^-) - V^N_i(t_m^-)| \\
&\leq TV(V^N(t_m^-, .))
\end{align*}
\]

thus proving (3.2). Notice that the variation which is lost for \( V^N \) is transferred to \( p^N \), thus giving (3.3). \( \square \)

These properties clearly have the following consequences on the functions \( \hat{\rho}^N, \hat{v}^N \) and \( \hat{p}^N \):

**Proposition 3.2.** We have the following estimates:

1. The functions \( \hat{\rho}^N, \hat{v}^N \) and \( \hat{p}^N \) are bounded in \( L^\infty([0, +\infty[ \times \mathbb{R}) \).

2. Furthermore

\[
TV(\hat{v}^N(t, .)) \leq TV(v^0), \quad TV(\hat{p}^N(t, .)) \leq TV(v^0) + TV(p^0), \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

Finally, notice that for all \( x \in \mathbb{R} \) we have

\[
(\hat{\rho}^N(t, x) - \rho^*)\hat{p}^N(t, x) = 0.
\]

Indeed, this is true at \( t = 0 \). Moreover

1. if \( \hat{p}^N(0, x) \neq 0 \) for \( x \in [\overline{x}^N_i, \overline{x}^N_{i+1}] \), then \( \hat{\rho}^N(t, x) = \rho^* \) for \( x \in [x^N_i(t), x^N_{i+1}(t)] \) for \( t > 0 \);

2. when \( \hat{p}^N \) passes from 0 to non-zero, as described by (1.11), then it is when \( \hat{\rho}^N = \rho^* \) is satisfied.

4. Convergence proofs

4.1. Study of the approximated equations

We first start by studying the limit of the approximated equations.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let \( \rho^0, v^0 \) and \( p^0 \) satisfy (H1)-(H2). Then, for any \( \varphi \in C_c^\infty([0, +\infty[ \times \mathbb{R}) \), it holds

\[
\begin{align*}
&- \left< \partial_t \hat{\rho}^N + \partial_x (\hat{\rho}^N \hat{v}^N), \varphi \right> \to_{N \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^0(x) \varphi(0, x) \, dx \\
&- \left< \partial_t \hat{\rho}^N (\hat{v}^N + \hat{p}^N) + \partial_x (\hat{\rho}^N \hat{v}^N (\hat{v}^N + \hat{p}^N)), \varphi \right> \\
&\to_{N \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^0(x) (v^0 + p^0)(x) \varphi(0, x) \, dx.
\end{align*}
\]
Proof. Let \( \varphi \in C^1_c([0, +\infty[ \times \mathbb{R}) \). We have

\[
- \langle \partial_t \hat{\rho}^N + \partial_x (\hat{\rho}^N \hat{v}^N), \varphi \rangle 
= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\rho}^N(t,x) \partial_t \varphi(t,x) + \hat{\rho}^N(t,x) \hat{v}^N(t,x) \partial_x \varphi(t,x) \, dx \, dt 
= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_0^{+\infty} y_i^N(t) \int_{x_i^N(t)}^{x_{i+1}^N(t)} (\partial_t \varphi(t,x) + V_i^N(t) \partial_x \varphi(t,x)) \, dx \, dt.
\]

Notice that

\[
\left. \frac{d}{dt} \int_{x_i^N(t)}^{x_{i+1}^N(t)} \varphi(t,x) \, dx \right|_{t=0} = \int_{x_i^N(t)}^{x_{i+1}^N(t)} \partial_t \varphi(t,x) \, dx + \dot{x}_i(t) \varphi(t,x_i^N(t)) - \dot{x}_{i+1}(t) \varphi(t,x_{i+1}^N(t)) 
= \int_{x_i^N(t)}^{x_{i+1}^N(t)} \partial_t \varphi(t,x) \, dx + V_i^N(t) \varphi(t,x_i^N(t)) - V_{i+1}^N(t) \varphi(t,x_{i+1}^N(t)),
\]

therefore

\[
- \langle \partial_t \hat{\rho}^N + \partial_x (\hat{\rho}^N \hat{v}^N), \varphi \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_0^{+\infty} y_i^N(t) \left( \int_{x_i^N(t)}^{x_{i+1}^N(t)} \varphi(t,x) \, dx - V_i^N(t) \varphi(t,x_i^N(t)) + V_{i+1}^N(t) \varphi(t,x_{i+1}^N(t)) - \varphi(t,x_i^N(t)) \right) \, dt
\]

Now

\[
\int_0^{+\infty} y_i^N(t) \frac{d}{dt} \int_{x_i^N(t)}^{x_{i+1}^N(t)} \varphi(t,x) \, dx \, dt 
= - y_i^N(0) \int_{x_i^N(0)}^{x_{i+1}^N(0)} \varphi(0,x) \, dx - \int_0^{+\infty} y_i^N(t) \int_{x_i^N(t)}^{x_{i+1}^N(t)} \varphi(t,x) \, dx \, dt,
\]

which, with (1.13), gives

\[
\int_0^{+\infty} y_i^N(t) \frac{d}{dt} \int_{x_i^N(t)}^{x_{i+1}^N(t)} \varphi(t,x) \, dx \, dt 
= - y_i^N(0) \int_{x_i^N(0)}^{x_{i+1}^N(0)} \varphi(0,x) \, dx + \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{(y_i^N(t))^2}{l_N} (V_i^N(t) - V_{i+1}^N(t)) \int_{x_i^N(t)}^{x_{i+1}^N(t)} \varphi(t,x) \, dx \, dt. \]
Furthermore
\[ \varphi(t, x_{i+1}^N(t)) = \frac{1}{x_{i+1}^N(t) - x_i^N(t)} \int_{x_i^N(t)}^{x_{i+1}^N(t)} \varphi(t, x_i^N(t)) \, dx = \frac{y_i^N(t)}{l_N} \varphi(t, x_{i+1}^N(t)) \, dx. \] (4.5)

Reporting (4.4) and (4.5) in (4.3), we obtain
\[- \langle \partial_t \rho^N + \partial_x (\rho^N \hat{v}^N), \varphi \rangle = \int_0^{T_\varphi} \Delta_N(t) \, dt - \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} y_i^N(0) \int_{x_i^N(0)}^{x_{i+1}^N(t)} \varphi(0, x) \, dx \]
\[= \int_0^{T_\varphi} \Delta_N(t) \, dt - \int_0^{+\infty} \int_\mathbb{R} \rho^N(0, x) \varphi(0, x) \, dx \, dt, \] (4.6)

where
\[ \Delta_N(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (y_i^N(t))^2 (V_{i+1}^N(t) - V_i^N(t)) \int_{x_i^N(t)}^{x_{i+1}^N(t)} (\varphi(t, x) - \varphi(t, x_i^N(t))) \, dx \]

with \( T_\varphi \) such that \( \varphi(t, x) = 0 \) for \( t \geq T_\varphi \). Now we have
\[ \left| \int_{x_i^N(t)}^{x_{i+1}^N(t)} (\varphi(t, x) - \varphi(t, x_{i+1}^N(t))) \, dx \right| \leq \frac{\|\varphi\|_{\infty} l_N}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} |V_{i+1}^N(t) - V_i^N(t)| \leq \frac{\|\varphi\|_{\infty} l_N}{2} TV(v^0), \]

thus
\[ |\Delta_N(t)| \leq \frac{\|\varphi\|_{\infty} l_N}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} |V_{i+1}^N(t) - V_i^N(t)| \leq \frac{\|\varphi\|_{\infty} l_N}{2} TV(v^0), \]

and
\[ \left| \int_0^{T_\varphi} \Delta_N(t) \, dt \right| \leq \frac{\|\varphi\|_{\infty} l_N}{2} T_\varphi TV(v^0) \to 0. \]

Finally, we use Proposition 2.1 to conclude to (4.1).

For the second equation, we have
\[- \langle \partial_t (\hat{\rho}^N + \hat{p}^N) + \partial_x (\rho^N \hat{v}^N + \hat{p}^N), \varphi \rangle = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_\mathbb{R} \hat{\rho}^N(t, x) (\hat{\rho}^N + \hat{p}^N)(t, x) (\partial_t \varphi(t, x) + \hat{\rho}^N(t, x) \partial_x \varphi(t, x)) \, dx \, dt \]
\[= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_0^{+\infty} y_i^N(t) (V_i^N(t) + p_i^N(t)) \int_{x_i^N(t)}^{x_{i+1}^N(t)} (\partial_t \varphi(t, x) + V_i^N(t) \partial_x \varphi(t, x)) \, dx \, dt. \]

Notice that \( V_i^N(t) + p_i^N(t) \) is constant with respect to \( t \). Indeed, when there is no collision \( V_i^N(t) = 0 \) and \( p_i^N(t) = 0 \) and at a collision time \( t_m \),
\[ V_i^N(t_m+) + p_i^N(t_m+) = V_{i+1}^N(t_m-), V_i^N(t_m-), -V_{i+1}^N(t_m-) + p_i^N(t_m-) = V_i^N(t_m-) + p_i^N(t_m-). \]

Thus we get the convergence (4.2) as for the first equation. \( \square \)
4.2. Compactness estimates for $\hat{\rho}^N$

To go further, a key point is to obtain some compactness for $\hat{\rho}^N$.

**Proposition 4.2.** Let $\rho^0$ and $v^0$ satisfy (H1)-(H2). For any $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, there exists $C_\phi > 0$ such that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $s,t \in [0,T]$, it holds

\[
\left| \int_\mathbb{R} (\hat{\rho}^N(t,x) - \hat{\rho}^N(s,x)) \phi(x) \, dx \right| \leq C_\phi |t-s|.
\]  

Therefore, up to a subsequence, there exists $\rho \in L^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})$ such that $\hat{\rho}^N \to \rho$ in $C([0,T], L^\infty_{\text{w}}(\mathbb{R}))$, i.e.

\[
\forall \Gamma \in L^1(\mathbb{R}), \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left| \int_\mathbb{R} (\hat{\rho}^N - \rho)(t,x) \Gamma(x) \, dx \right| \to 0 \text{ as } k \to +\infty.
\]

**Proof.** In the formulation (4.6), we take $\varphi(t,x) = \Gamma_R(t) \phi(x)$ with $\Gamma_R$ with a compact support in $[0, +\infty)$ and we make $\Gamma_R \to I_{[s,t]}$ when $R \to +\infty$, it gives

\[
\int_\mathbb{R} (\hat{\rho}^N(t,x) - \hat{\rho}^N(s,x)) \phi(x) \, dx + \int_s^t \int_\mathbb{R} \hat{\rho}^N \hat{u}^N \partial_x \phi = \int_s^t \tilde{\Delta}_N(\sigma) \, d\sigma
\]

for $\varphi$ where

\[
\tilde{\Delta}_N(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{(y_i^N(t))^2}{l_N} (V_i^N(t) - V_{i+1}^N(t)) \int_{x_N^i(t)}^{x_{N+1}^i(t)} (\phi(x) - \phi(x_i^N(t))) \, dx
\]

Similarly as in Section 4.1, we have

\[
\left| \int_s^t \tilde{\Delta}_N(\sigma) \, d\sigma \right| \leq |t-s| \frac{\|\phi'\|_\infty l_N}{2} TV(v^0).
\]

Furthermore, from Proposition 3.2,

\[
\left| \int_s^t \int_\mathbb{R} \hat{\rho}^N \hat{u}^N \partial_x \phi \, dx \, d\sigma \right| \leq |t-s| \|\rho^0\|_\infty TV(v^0)
\]

then

\[
\left| \int_\mathbb{R} (\hat{\rho}^N(t,x) - \hat{\rho}^N(s,x)) \phi(x) \, dx \right|
\]

\[
\leq |t-s| \left( \frac{\|\phi'\|_\infty \|\rho^0\|_1}{2N} TV(v^0) + \|\rho^0\|_\infty \int_\mathbb{R} |\phi| \, dx \right).
\]

To conclude, we use the following Lemma 4.3 proved in [4].

**Lemma 4.3.** Let $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded sequence in $L^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})$ which satisfies: for all $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, the sequence $(\int_\mathbb{R} n_k(t,x) \phi(x) \, dx)_k$ is uniformly Lipschitz continuous on $[0,T]$, i.e. $\exists C_\phi > 0$,

\[
\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \forall s,t \in [0,T], \left| \int_\mathbb{R} (n_k(t,x) - n_k(s,x)) \phi(x) \, dx \right| \leq C_\phi |t-s|.
\]
Then, up to a subsequence, there exists \( n \in L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}) \) such that \( n_k \to n \) in \( C([0, T], L^\infty_w(\mathbb{R}_x)) \), i.e.
\[
\forall \Gamma \in L^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \int_\mathbb{R} (n_k - n)(t, x) \Gamma(x) dx \right| \xrightarrow{k \to +\infty} 0.
\]

We have a similar result from the second equation, that is to say:

**Proposition 4.4.** Let \( \rho^0, v^0 \) and \( p^0 \) satisfy (H1)-(H2). For any \( \phi \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}) \), there exists \( C_\phi > 0 \) such that for any \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) and any \( s, t \in [0, T] \), we have
\[
\left| \int_\mathbb{R} ((\hat{\rho}^N(\hat{v}^N + \hat{p}^N))(t, x) - (\hat{\rho}^N(\hat{v}^N + \hat{p}^N))(s, x))\phi(x) dx \right| \leq C_\phi|t - s|. \quad (4.9)
\]

Then, up to a subsequence, there exists \( q \in L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}) \) such that \( \hat{\rho}^N(\hat{v}^N + \hat{p}^N) \to q \) in \( C([0, T], L^\infty_w(\mathbb{R}_x)) \), i.e.
\[
\forall \Gamma \in L^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \int_\mathbb{R} (\hat{\rho}^N(\hat{v}^N + \hat{p}^N) - q)(t, x) \Gamma(x) dx \right| \xrightarrow{k \to +\infty} 0.
\]

**Proof.** This time, we have, for any \( \phi \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}) \),
\[
\int_\mathbb{R} ((\hat{\rho}^N(\hat{v}^N + \hat{p}^N))(t, x) - (\hat{\rho}^N(\hat{v}^N + \hat{p}^N))(s, x))\phi(x) dx \\
= - \int_s^t \int_\mathbb{R} \hat{\rho}^N \hat{v}^N \hat{p}^N \partial_x \phi + \int_s^t \Delta_N(\sigma) d\sigma
\]
where
\[
\Delta_N(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (V_i^N(t)+p_i^N(t)) \frac{(y_i^N(t))^2}{l_N} (V_{i+1}^N(t)-V_i^N(t)) \int_{x_i^N(t)}^{x_{i+1}^N(t)} (\phi(x) - \phi(x_{i+1}^N(t))) dx.
\]
We have now
\[
\left| \int_s^t \Delta_N(\sigma) d\sigma \right| \leq |t - s| \|\phi'\|_\infty l_N TV(v^0)(||v^0||_\infty + ||p^0||_\infty)
\]
using furthermore (3.1). Then we get
\[
\left| \int_\mathbb{R} ((\hat{\rho}^N(\hat{v}^N + \hat{p}^N))(t, x) - (\hat{\rho}^N(\hat{v}^N + \hat{p}^N))(s, x))\phi(x) dx \right| \\
\leq |t - s| \left( \|\phi'\|_\infty \frac{||p^0||_N^1}{N} TV(v^0) + 2\rho^* \|v^0\|_\infty \int_\mathbb{R} |\phi| dx \right) (||v^0||_\infty + ||p^0||_\infty).
\]
We conclude using the previous Lemma 4.3. \qed

### 4.3. Convergence to the limit equations

We need now to pass to the limit in the product terms. We recall the following result, which is the key point of the proof to pass to the limit in the products.

**Lemma 4.5.** Let us assume that \( (n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \) is a bounded sequence in \( L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}) \) that tends to \( n \) in \( L^\infty_w([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}) \), and satisfies for any \( \phi \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}_x) \),
\[
\int_\mathbb{R} (n_k - n)(t, x)\phi(x) dx \xrightarrow{k \to +\infty} 0,
\]
\[
\int_\mathbb{R} (n_k - n)(t, x)\phi(x) dx \xrightarrow{k \to +\infty} 0.
\]

(4.10)
either i) a.e. \( t \in [0, T] \) or ii) in \( L^1([0, T]) \).

Let us also assume that \((\omega_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\) is a bounded sequence in \( L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}) \) that tends to \( \omega \) in \( L^\infty_w([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}) \), and such that for all compact interval \( K = [a, b] \), there exists \( C > 0 \) such that the total variation (in \( x \)) of \( \omega_k \) over \( K \) satisfies
\[
\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad TV_K(\omega_k(t, .)) \leq C.
\] (4.11)

Then, \( n_k \omega_k \rightharpoonup n_\omega \) in \( L^\infty_w([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}) \) as \( k \to +\infty \).

Remark 4.6. This is a result of compensated compactness, which uses the compactness in \( x \) for \((\omega_k)_{k}\) given by (4.11) and the weak compactness in \( t \) for \((n_k)_{k}\) given by (4.10) to pass to the weak limit in the product \( n_k \omega_k \). We can refer to [3] for a complete proof, even in the case where
\[
\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad TV_K(\omega_k(t, .)) \leq C \left( 1 + \frac{1}{t} \right),
\]
which is more general. Notice that the total variation bound (in \( x \)) of \( \omega \) over \( K \) is also satisfied thanks to the lower semi-continuity to the BV norm.

We are now able to obtain the limit result.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since \((\hat{\rho}^N)_{N}, (\hat{v}^N)_{N}, (\hat{p}^N)_{N}\) are bounded in \( L^\infty \), there exists \((\rho, u, p)\) such that
\[
\hat{\rho}^N \rightharpoonup \rho, \quad \hat{v}^N \rightharpoonup v, \quad \hat{p}^N \rightharpoonup p \quad \text{in} \quad L^\infty_w([0, +\infty[ \times \mathbb{R}).
\]

By Proposition 4.2, we also have \( \hat{\rho}^N \to \rho \) in \( C([0, T], L^\infty_w(\mathbb{R}_x)) \).

Using Proposition 3.2, we get that the sequences \((\hat{v}^N(t, .))_{N}\) and \((\hat{p}^N(t, .))_{N}\) are uniformly bounded in BV with respect to \( t \).

We can then apply the Lemma 4.5, which gives that \( \hat{\rho}^N \hat{v}^N \rightharpoonup \rho v \) in \( L^\infty_w([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}) \) and \( \hat{\rho}^N \hat{p}^N \rightharpoonup \rho p \) in \( L^\infty_w([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}) \). Therefore the (4.1) of Proposition 4.1 gives that
\[
- < \partial_t \rho + \partial_x (\rho v), \varphi > = \int_\mathbb{R} \rho^0(x) \varphi(0, x) \, dx.
\]

By Proposition 4.4, there exists \( q \in L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}) \) such that, up to a subsequence, \( \hat{\rho}^N(\hat{v}^N + \hat{p}^N) \to q \) in \( C([0, T], L^\infty_w(\mathbb{R}_x)) \). By uniqueness of the limit \( q = \rho(v + p) \). We apply now Lemma 4.5, which gives that \( \hat{\rho}^N \hat{v}^N(\hat{v}^N + \hat{p}^N) \rightharpoonup \rho v(v + p) \) in \( L^\infty_w([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}) \). Therefore the (4.2) of Proposition 4.1 gives that
\[
- < \partial_t \rho(v + p) + \partial_x (\rho v(v + p)), \varphi > = \int_\mathbb{R} \rho^0(x)(v^0(x) + p^0(x)) \varphi(0, x) \, dx.
\]

Now we pass to the limit in \( 0 \leq \hat{\rho}^N \leq \rho^*, \hat{p}^N \geq 0, (\hat{\rho}^N - \rho^*) \hat{p}^N = 0 \) to get the constraints and conclude the proof. □
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