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Abstract—Several NVM technologies have emerged during the last 10 years. These technologies offer solutions for the replacement of the Flash technology, which is facing downsizing limits [1]. Moreover these solutions propose lower switching energy and faster operations compared to the state of the art for Flash, and thus, are seen as an opportunity for the rise of the IoT market. But one of the main concerns regarding IoT is the protection of the data. Contrary to Flash, security of the data in emerging NVM is yet to be evaluated. In order to verify capability of the technology in terms of data integrity, we propose to investigate reliability and integrity of HfO$_2$-based Resistive RAM (OxRRAM). This paper details the experimental protocol defined for laser-based attacks, shows that a laser pulse can affect the information stored in a single OxRRAM bit. The occurring phenomenon is then explained by mean of thermal and electrical simulations.

Index Terms—OxRRAM, Laser, Security, Integrity, HfO$_2$, Simulation, Thermal Attacks, Optical Attacks.

I. INTRODUCTION

Innovative technologies are emerging as solutions for the future of Non Volatile Memories (NVM). One can cite Magnetoresistive Random Access Memories (MRAM), Phase Change RAM (PCRAM) or Resistive RAM (RRAM) as the main technologies of interest. A HfO$_2$-based RRAM solution is considered in this work. Due to its low cost of fabrication and its inherent lower switching energy, RRAM is of high interest for the IoT market.

In the following years, billions of smart objects will be interacting between each other. Power consumption is of course an essential issue. But if we consider the amount and nature of the processed data, the security aspect must not be neglected. In other words, emerging NVM must fulfill three essential criteria that are data integrity, data confidentiality and data accessibility. However, most studies still focus on the reliability of these technologies. Therefore none of them have been confronted to attacks such as UV lamp with masking [2] or focused laser [3] attacks that have been already shown as successful on Flash technology. As a follow up of these works, it is interesting to attack RRAM in the same way.

Section 2 describes the experimental setup whose results are presented in section 3 and 4. Section 5 is focused on the simulation of a laser pulse on a RRAM structure and of RRAM set operation.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

A. RRAM Principle and Characteristics Parameters

A RRAM cell is made of two metal electrodes with a transition metal oxide (TMO) in-between. In our case (see Fig.1), these are made of a 5nm-thick HfO$_2$ layer, located between a 10nm-thick Ti top electrode and a 10nm-thick TiN bottom electrode, as described in [5]. This technology relies on resistance switching, that is to say, in this case, migrating oxygen ions (as pictured in Fig. 1.) from the oxide to the electrode where a voltage is applied [6]. This process creates or dissolves a conductive filament (CF) of oxygen vacancies in the oxide, which is tuning its resistance.

The way set and reset (i.e. programming and erasing) operations are performed is presented on Fig. 2. $V_{\text{stopreset}}$ and the set compliance current $I_c$ are parameters which are set experimentally. For each experiment shown in this paper, $V_{\text{stopreset}} = -1V$ and $I_c = 1mA$.

B. Instrumentation and Experimental Protocol

We use a laser bench with a Nd:YAG source. Three wavelengths are available: 355 nm (Ultraviolet/UV), 533 nm (Green) and 1064 nm (Infrared/IR). It has a circular 50µm-diameter spot size (as seen in Fig. 3.) that allows shooting the whole cell - whose size is 3 µm up to a 400 µJ energy during a 10 ns pulse.

In the first place, to see the influence of laser pulses on the memory cells, their electrical characteristics were Flash technology [4] through fault attacks. As a follow up of these works, it is interesting to attack RRAM in the same way.

Fig. 1. Cell layer structure and ion migration description

For each experiment shown in this paper, $V_{\text{stopreset}} = -1V$ and $I_c = 1mA$. 
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evaluated. These were conducted in quasi-static conditions (i.e., without considering temporal aspects) and consisted in 10 cycles of reset/set operations. The resistance value has been measured thanks to a read operation performed after each operation.

These preliminary measurements allow verifying that the cells have a regular behavior, in conformity with what has been published. These data will be then used as reference data for the following experiments (See Fig. 4). The average set and reset voltages are respectively $V_{Set} = 0.6$ V and $V_{Reset} = -0.54$ V. Moreover, the average LRS and HRS resistance values are about $R_{LRS\text{mean}} = 550\,\Omega$ and $R_{HRS\text{mean}} = 35\,k\Omega$.

The experimental protocol of the next step is summed up in Fig. 5. For the need of the experiment, 50% of all devices are left in HRS, and 50% in LRS. A single pulse in either UV or IR has been performed in order to check the potential impact of each wavelength. In the end, a post-laser cycling will be made in order to compare the reference data to the new one.

III. INFLUENCE ON RESISTANCE VALUES

A. Cells state variation and wavelength influence

Regarding LRS cells after laser pulse, the first remarkable result is that the LRS remains unaffected. Fig. 6 shows that the cumulative distributions of the resistance values of the cells left initially in the LRS before and right after the pulse are similar. Moreover, both UV and IR data are overlaying.

However, contrary to LRS cells (as noticed on Fig. 7), a gap from about a decade in terms of resistance distribution can be seen between HRS cells before ($R_{HRS\text{mean before}} = 34\,k\Omega$) and after ($R_{HRS\text{mean after}} = 3400\,\Omega$) they were shot. Besides, half of these cells have switched to the LRS after the pulse.

Finally, as far as wavelength is concerned, UV and IR pulses have the same effect on HRS cells. Which means the laser impact on OxRRAM cells is independent from the wavelength used. Therefore, all the following data will not refer to the wavelength used during the experiment. The energy used will rather be taken into account as the most essential parameter.

B. Laser Low Resistive State Analysis

By confronting the resistance values of LRS cells to HRS cells that switched to the LRS cells after a laser pulse, it can be seen on Fig. 8 that the electrical LRS seems slightly different from the LRS obtained with laser. Indeed, the values of the switching cells ($R_{LRS\text{mean1}} = 880\,\Omega$) are quite higher than those of the LRS cells ($R_{LRS\text{mean2}} = 550\,\Omega$).
In a nutshell, this analysis showed that a laser pulse can disturb the behaviour of only HRS cells. Nevertheless, the nature of the resistive state obtained after exposition is yet to be confirmed.

IV. Impact on Electrical Characteristics

A. Cells left in the LRS

Even though the resistance values of LRS cells are showing the non-effectiveness of a laser beam on their state, $V_{Set}$ and $V_{Reset}$ measured after the attack may vary from those obtained before. But as expected, laser has not disturbed the cells since $V_{Set}$ and $V_{Reset}$ are matching the reference data (see Fig. 9).

B. Cells left in the HRS

Concerning the cells left in the HRS state, it has to be figured out whether or not cycling can be performed normally and if the laser set operation can be considered as equivalent to an electrical set operation. The cells that were submitted to a reset operation in a first place were hardly set to the HRS, since more than 80% of our devices first $V_{Reset}$ are above the average voltage reset according to the reference data (as pictured in Fig. 10). This means laser set and electrical set are not equivalent, which is confirmed by the data coming from cells whose first post-laser operation was a set operation. Indeed, their first reset voltage is corresponding to the reference data.

Explaining the phenomena responsible for laser switching will require a model of the laser impact on the structure. As well as a model of an electrical set operation so we can compare them.

V. Simulations

There are two possibilities to explain a change in the oxide structure by the use of a laser beam. The first one is an optical effect provided by the photon injection and the second one is a temperature effect. Indeed, experiments have shown [7] that high temperature operations tend to
lower both $V_{\text{Set}}$ and $V_{\text{Reset}}$. Before any modeling work, we made an estimation of the optical penetration of the laser beam by calculating a simplified optical transmission coefficient for each layer of our structure, defined as follows:

$$c = e^{-\frac{4\pi k l}{\lambda}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where $k$ is the imaginary part of the refractive index of the material, $l$ the material thickness and $\lambda$ the wavelength of the laser beam. For the metallization layer (shown on Fig. 12), $c \approx 1.7 \times 10^{-15}$ for $\lambda = 1064$ nm and $c \approx 4.3 \times 10^{-24}$ for $\lambda = 355$ nm. In other words, photons are not able to go through the metallization layer and therefore reach the oxide. Which also means that instead of being caused by an optical effect, the laser switching shall be a consequence of temperature, the main focus of our modeling.

### A. Laser Modeling

The geometry used for this model is presented on Fig. 11. For more accuracy, 1 nm of titanium dioxide has been considered on the top of our structure. The reason is since our measurements are performed in standard atmosphere, titanium nitride shall get oxidized which means we have a thin layer of oxide on the top of the structure.

Regarding the laser impact, the model was designed by using [8]. Therefore, the transmitted part of the laser energy to the surface of the structure and the heat emitted by each layer are respectively defined as follows:

$$I_T = I_0(1 - R)g(x)$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$S(z) = \alpha \times I_{TL} \times e^{-\alpha z}$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

Where $I_0$ is the laser surface power, $R$ the reflectivity of the surface material, $g(x)$ the gaussian profile (whose standard deviation is a third of the laser spot radius) value for the $x$ coordinate, $\alpha$ the optical penetration depth which equals $\frac{4\pi k}{\lambda}$ (with $k$ and $\lambda$ defined as in (1)), $z$ the depth in the layer and $I_{TL}$ the intensity transmitted to the layer top interface.

The simulation results show that the maximum temperature in the oxide is 610 K and that it is reached 0.8 ns after the end of the pulse (see also Fig. 12 and 13). This temperature is the result of the thermal diffusion coming from the titanium dioxide surface (whose maximum temperature is reached 0.8 ns before the end of the pulse). We might expect higher temperatures provided that the estimated silicon dioxide temperature is about 3000 K after the end of the pulse (beyond SiO$_2$ melting point which is not taken into consideration in this model). However the duration needed for thermal diffusion from the SiO$_2$ surface to the HfO$_2$ layer is about 1 $\mu$s since silicon dioxide has a low thermal conductivity ($k = 1.4$ W.m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ [10]) and the corresponding temperature much lower (about 500 K).

The objective of the following electrical set modeling is to verify if the temperature reached during laser exposition is relevant for an electrical set operation.
B. Electrical Set Simulation

For the electrical set simulation, the model described in [9] has been applied to the cell structure. The main objective is to compare the temperature reached during an electrical set operation to the value obtained from thermal modeling. $V_{\text{Set}}$, $I_c$ as well as both thermal ($k$) and electrical ($\sigma$) conductivities of the CF are the parameters of interest. By choosing the CF conductivities, we aim to get temperature according to its state after the set operation. In our case, the laser pulses were not able to really set the cells, which means the CF has a structure not far from HfO$_2$, whose conductivities are lower than Hf.

We then simulated different conductivities values for each couple ($V_{\text{Set}}$, $I_c$) which gave us maps of the temperature reached in the CF in function of $k$ and $\sigma$ at constant set voltage and compliance current. The values chosen for $V_{\text{Set}}$ and $I_c$ are respectively 0.5 V / 0.6 V / 1.2 V and 1 $\mu$A / 10 $\mu$A / 0.1 mA / 1 mA. Regarding the values of the conductivities, we decided to choose 10 values decreasing linearly (for $k$) and logarithmically (for $\sigma$) from the values given in [11] and [12] for Hf. The results presented on Fig. 14 were obtained for $V_{\text{Set}} = 0.5$ V and $I_c = 10$ $\mu$A.

The temperature calculated with laser modeling (610 K) is reached for $k \approx 4$ W.m$^{-1}$.K$^{-1}$ and $\sigma \approx 5 \times 10^5$ S.m$^{-1}$. These low conductivities mean that the set hasn’t been totally completed since the CF structure is closer to hafnium dioxide than hafnium, explaining the experimental results obtained by laser pulse.

VI. Conclusion

For the first time, RRAM cells have been disturbed by laser exposition, independently from the wavelength used. It is possible to perform a bitflip only from the HRS to the LRS. Simulations allowed us to explain that this phenomenon is due to the temperature brought by laser heating.
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