A Direct Solver for Time Parallelization

Martin J. Gander, Laurence Halpern, Juliet Ryan, Thuy Thi Bich Tran

► To cite this version:

Martin J. Gander, Laurence Halpern, Juliet Ryan, Thuy Thi Bich Tran. A Direct Solver for Time Parallelization. Domain Decomposition Methods in Science and Engineering XXII, 104, pp.491-499, 2016, 10.1007/978-3-319-18827-0_50 . hal-01429894

HAL Id: hal-01429894

<https://hal.science/hal-01429894>

Submitted on 12 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial| 4.0 International License

A Direct Solver for Time Parallelization

Martin J. Gander, Laurence Halpern, Juliet Ryan, and Thuy Thi Bich Tran

1 Introduction

Using the time direction in evolution problems for parallelization is an active field of research. Most of these methods are iterative, see for example the parareal algorithm analyzed in [3], a variant that became known under the name PFASST [10], and waveform relaxation methods based on domain decomposition [4, 5], see also [1] for a method called RIDC. Direct time parallel solvers are much more rare, see for example [2]. We present here a mathematical analysis of a different direct time parallel solver, proposed in [9]. We consider as our model partial differential equation (PDE) the heat equation on a rectangular domain Ω ,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u = f \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, T), \quad u = g \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad \text{and } u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 \text{ in } \Omega. \quad (1)$$

Using a Backward Euler discretization on the time mesh $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_N = T$, $k_n = t_n - t_{n-1}$, and a finite difference approximation Δ_h of Δ over a

M.J. Gander (✉)

University of Geneva, 2-4 rue du Lièvre, CP 64, 1211 Genève, Switzerland
e-mail: martin.gander@unige.ch

L. Halpern • T.T.B. Tran

Université Paris 13, LAGA, 93430 Villetaneuse, France
e-mail: halpern@math.univ-paris13.fr

J. Ryan

ONERA, BP72-29 avenue de la Divison Leclerc, 92322 Chatillon, France
e-mail: ryan@onera.fr

rectangular grid of size $J = J_1 J_2$, we obtain the discrete problem

$$\frac{1}{k_n}(\mathbf{u}^n - \mathbf{u}^{n-1}) - \Delta_h \mathbf{u}^n = \mathbf{f}^n. \quad (2)$$

Let I_t be the $N \times N$ identity matrix associated with the time domain and I_x be the $J \times J$ identity matrix associated with the spatial domain. Setting $\mathbf{u} := (\mathbf{u}^1, \dots, \mathbf{u}^N)$, $\mathbf{f} := (\mathbf{f}^1 + \frac{1}{k_1} \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{f}^2, \dots, \mathbf{f}^N)$ and using the Kronecker symbol, (2) becomes

$$(B \otimes I_x - I_t \otimes \Delta_h) \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f}, \quad B := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{k_1} & & & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{k_2} & \frac{1}{k_2} & & \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & -\frac{1}{k_N} & \frac{1}{k_N} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

If B is diagonalizable, $B = SDS^{-1}$, then (3) can be solved in 3 steps:

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & (S \otimes I_x) \mathbf{g} = \mathbf{f}, \\ (b) \quad & (\frac{1}{k_n} - \Delta_h) \mathbf{w}^n = \mathbf{g}^n, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N, \\ (c) \quad & (S^{-1} \otimes I_x) \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{w}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The N equations in space in step (b) can now be solved in parallel. This interesting idea comes from [9], but its application requires some care: first, B is only diagonalizable if the time steps are all different, and this leads to a larger discretization error compared to using equidistant time steps, as we will see. Second, the condition number of S increases exponentially with N , which leads to inaccurate results in step (a) and (c) because of roundoff error. We accurately estimate these two errors, and then determine for a user tolerance the maximum N and optimal time step sequence of the form $k_{n+1} = qk_n$, which guarantees that errors stay below the user tolerance.

2 Error Estimate for Variable Time-Steps

We start by studying for $a > 0$ the ordinary differential equation (ODE)

$$\frac{du}{dt} + au = 0, \quad t \in (0, T), \quad u(0) = u_0 \implies u(t) = u_0 a e^{-aT}. \quad (5)$$

For Backward Euler, $u_n = (1 + ak_n)^{-1} u_{n-1}$ with time steps from the division $\mathcal{T} = (k_1, \dots, k_N)$ satisfying $T = \sum_1^N k_n$, we define the error propagator by

$$Err(\mathcal{T}; a, T, N) := \prod_{n=1}^N (1 + ak_n)^{-1} - e^{-aT}, \quad (6)$$

such that the error at time T equals $\text{Err}(\mathcal{T}; a, T, N)u^0$. We also define the equidistant division $\bar{\mathcal{T}} := (\bar{k}, \dots, \bar{k})$, where $\bar{k} = T/N$.

Theorem 1 (Equidistant Partition Minimizes Error) *For any a, T and N , and any division $\mathcal{T}$, the error propagator is positive, and for the equidistant division $\bar{\mathcal{T}}$, the error is globally minimized.*

Proof Rewriting $\text{Err}(\mathcal{T}; a, T, N) = \prod_{n=1}^N (1 + ak_n)^{-1} - \prod_{n=1}^N (e^{ak_n})^{-1}$, we see that the error propagator is positive, since for all positive x , $e^x > 1 + x$. To minimize the error, we thus have to minimize $\Phi(\mathcal{T}) := \prod_{n=1}^N (1 + ak_n)^{-1}$ as a function of $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}^N$, with N inequality constraints $k_n \geq 0$, and one equality constraint $\sum_{n=1}^N k_n = T$. We compute the derivatives

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial k_i}(\mathcal{T}) = -\frac{a}{1 + ak_i} \Phi(\mathcal{T}), \quad \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial k_i \partial k_j}(\mathcal{T}) = \frac{(1 + \delta_{ij})a^2}{(1 + ak_i)(1 + ak_j)} \Phi(\mathcal{T}),$$

and to show that Φ is convex, we evaluate for an arbitrary vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial k_i \partial k_j}(\mathcal{T}) x_i x_j &= \left(\sum_{i \neq j} \frac{a^2}{(1 + ak_i)(1 + ak_j)} x_i x_j + \sum_i \frac{a^2}{(1 + ak_i)^2} x_i^2 \right) \Phi(\mathcal{T}) \\ &= \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{ax_i}{1 + ak_i} \right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{ax_i}{1 + ak_i} \right)^2 \right) \Phi(\mathcal{T}) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the Kuhn Tucker theorem applies, and the only minimum is given by the existence of a Lagrange multiplier p with $\Phi'(\mathcal{T}) + p\mathbf{1} = 0$, $\mathbf{1}$ the vector of all ones, whose only solution is $\mathcal{T} = \bar{\mathcal{T}}$, $p = a(1 + a\bar{k})^{-N-1}$.

We now consider a division $\mathcal{T}_q$ of geometric time steps $k_n := q^{n-1}k_1$ for $n = 1, \dots, N$ as it was suggested in [8]. The constraint $\sum_{n=1}^N k_n = \sum_{n=1}^N q^{n-1}k_1 = T$ fixes k_1 , and using this we get

$$k_n = \frac{q^n}{\sum_{j=1}^N q^j} T. \quad (7)$$

Since according to Theorem 1 the error is minimized for $q = 1$, one should not choose q very different from 1, and we now study the case $q = 1 + \varepsilon$ asymptotically.

Theorem 2 (Asymptotic Truncation Error Estimate) *Let $u_N(q) := \Phi(\mathcal{T}_q)u_0$ be the approximate solution obtained with the division $\mathcal{T}_q$ for $q = 1 + \varepsilon$. Then, for fixed a, T and N , the difference between the geometric mesh and fixed step mesh*

approximations satisfies for ε small

$$u_N(q) - u_N(1) = \alpha(aT, N)u_0\varepsilon^2 + o(\varepsilon^2), \text{ with} \quad (8)$$

$$\alpha(x, N) = \frac{N(N^2 - 1)}{24} \left(\frac{x/N}{1 + x/N} \right)^2 (1 + x/N)^{-N}.$$

Proof Using a second order Taylor expansion, we obtain in the following two lemmas an expansion of $\Phi(\mathcal{T}_{1+\varepsilon})$ for small ε .

Lemma 1 *The time step k_n in (7) has for ε small the expansion $k_n = \bar{k}(1 + \alpha_n\varepsilon + \beta_n\varepsilon^2 + o(\varepsilon^2))$, with $\alpha_n = n - \frac{N+1}{2}$ and $\beta_n = n(n - N - 2) + \frac{(N+1)(N+5)}{6}$. These coefficients satisfy the relations $\sum_n \alpha_n = \sum_n \beta_n = 0$, $\sum_n \alpha_n^2 = \frac{N(N-1)(N+1)}{12}$.*

Lemma 2 *For ε small, we have the expansion*

$$\prod_{n=1}^N (1 + ak_n) = (1 + a\bar{k})^N \left(1 - \frac{b^2}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n^2 \varepsilon^2 + o(\varepsilon^2) \right), \text{ with } b = \frac{a\bar{k}}{1+a\bar{k}}.$$

We can now apply Lemma 2 to obtain $\Phi(\mathcal{T}_{1+\varepsilon}) = \Phi(\mathcal{T}_1) \left(1 + \frac{b^2}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n^2 \varepsilon^2 + o(\varepsilon^2) \right)$, and replacing Φ in the definition of u_N concludes the proof.

3 Error Estimate for the Diagonalization of B

The matrix B is diagonalizable if and only if all time steps k_n are different. The eigenvalues are then $\frac{1}{k_n}$, and the eigenvectors form a basis of $\mathbb{R}^N$. We will see below that the matrix of eigenvectors is lower triangular. It can be chosen with unit diagonal, in which case it belongs to a special class of Toeplitz matrices:

Definition 1 A unipotent lower triangular Toeplitz matrix of size N is of the form

$$T(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ x_1 & \ddots & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ x_{N-1} & \dots & x_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

Theorem 3 (Eigendecomposition of B) *If $k_n = q^{n-1}k_1$ as in (7), then B has the eigendecomposition $B = VDV^{-1}$, with $D := \text{diag}(\frac{1}{k_n})$, and V and its inverse are*

unipotent lower triangular Toeplitz matrices given by

$$V = T(p_1, \dots, p_{N-1}), \quad \text{with} \quad p_n := \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - q^j)}, \quad (10)$$

$$V^{-1} = T(q_1, \dots, q_{N-1}), \quad \text{with} \quad q_n := (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} p_n. \quad (11)$$

Proof Let $\mathbf{v}^{(n)}$ be the eigenvector with eigenvalue $\frac{1}{k_n}$. Since B is a lower bidiagonal matrix, a simple recursive argument shows that $v_j^{(n)} = 0$ for $j < n$. One may choose $v_n^{(n)} = 1$, which implies that for $j > n$ we have $v_j^{(n)} = (\prod_{i=1}^{n-j} (1 - \frac{k_{n+i}}{k_n}))^{-1}$, and the matrix $V = (\mathbf{v}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{v}^{(N)})$ is lower triangular with unit diagonal. Furthermore, if $k_n = q^{n-1} k_1$, we obtain for $j = 1, 2, \dots, N - n$ that $v_{n+j}^{(n)} = (\prod_{i=1}^j (1 - q^i))^{-1}$ which is independent of n and thus proves the Toeplitz structure in (10).

Consider now the inverse of V . First, it is easy to see that it is also unipotent Toeplitz. To establish (11) is equivalent to prove that

$$\text{for } 1 \leq n \leq N-1, \quad \sum_{j=0}^n p_{n-j} q_j = 0, \quad \text{with the convention that } p_0 = q_0 = 1. \quad (12)$$

This result can be obtained using the q -analogue of the binomial formula, see [7]:

Theorem 4 (Simplified q -Binomial Theorem) *For any $q > 0$, $q \neq 1$, and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(j-1)}{2}} \frac{(1 - q^{n-j+1}) \cdots (1 - q^n)}{(1 - q) \cdots (1 - q^j)} = 0. \quad (13)$$

Multiplying (13) by p_n then leads to (12).

In the steps (a) and (c) of the direct time parallel solver (4), the condition number of the eigenvector matrix S has a strong influence on the accuracy of the results. Normalizing the eigenvectors with respect to the ℓ^2 norm, $S := V\tilde{D}$, with $\tilde{D} = \text{diag}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{N-n} |p_i|^2}})$, leads to an asymptotically better condition number:

Theorem 5 (Asymptotic Condition Number Estimate) *For $q = 1 + \varepsilon$, we have*

$$\text{cond}_\infty(V) \sim ((N-1)! \varepsilon^{N-1})^{-2}, \quad (14)$$

$$\text{cond}_\infty(S) \sim \frac{N}{\phi(N)} \varepsilon^{-(N-1)}, \quad \phi(N) = \begin{cases} \frac{N}{2}! (\frac{N}{2} - 1)! & \text{if } N \text{ is even,} \\ (\frac{N-1}{2}!)^2 & \text{if } N \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

Proof Note first that $|q_n| \sim |p_n| \sim (n! \varepsilon^n)^{-1}$. Therefore

$$\|V\|_\infty = 1 + |p_1| + |p_2| + \cdots + |p_{N-1}| \sim |p_{N-1}| \sim ((N-1)! \varepsilon^{N-1})^{-1}.$$

The same holds for V^{-1} , and gives the first result. We next define $\gamma_n := \sqrt{1 + \sum_{j=1}^{N-n} |p_j|^2}$, $\tilde{d}_n := \frac{1}{\gamma_n}$, which implies $\tilde{D} = \text{diag}(\tilde{d}_n)$. Then $\gamma_n \sim |p_{N-n}|$, and we obtain

$$\|S\|_\infty = \sup_n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|p_{n-j}|}{\gamma_j} \sim \sup_n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|p_{n-j}|}{|p_{N-n}|} \sim \sup_n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(N-j)!}{(n-j)!} \varepsilon^{N-n} \sim N.$$

By definition $S^{-1} = \tilde{D}^{-1}V^{-1} = \tilde{D}^{-1}T(q_1, \dots, q_{N-1})$, that is the line n of $T(q_1, \dots, q_{N-1})$ is multiplied by γ_n . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|S^{-1}\|_\infty &= \sup_n \gamma_n \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |q_j| \sim \sup_n \gamma_n |q_{n-1}| \sim \sup_n \gamma_n |p_{n-1}| \sim \sup_n |p_{N-n}| |p_{n-1}| \\ &\sim \varepsilon^{-(N-1)} \sup_n \frac{1}{(n-1)!(N-n)!} \sim \frac{1}{\phi(N)} \varepsilon^{-(N-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

4 Relative Error Estimates for ODEs and PDEs

We first give an error estimate for the ODE (5):

Theorem 6 (Asymptotic Roundoff Error Estimate for ODEs) *Let $\mathbf{u}$ be the exact solution of $B\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f}$, and $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$ be the computed solution from the direct time parallel solver (4) applied to (5), and $\underline{u}$ denote the machine precision. Then*

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{u} - \hat{\mathbf{u}}\|_\infty}{\|\mathbf{u}\|_\infty} \lesssim \underline{u} \frac{N^2(2N+1)(N+aT)}{\phi(N)} \varepsilon^{-(N-1)}. \quad (16)$$

Proof In the ODE case, $D = \text{diag}(\frac{1}{k_n} + a)$ and $\|D\|_\infty = 1/k_1 + a$. Using backward error analysis [6], the computed solution satisfies the perturbed systems

$$(S + \delta S_1)\hat{\mathbf{g}} = \mathbf{f}, \quad (D + \delta D)\hat{\mathbf{w}} = \hat{\mathbf{g}}, \quad (S^{-1} + \delta S_2)\hat{\mathbf{u}} = \hat{\mathbf{w}},$$

and since S and S^{-1} are triangular and D is diagonal we get (see [6])

$$\|\delta S_1\| \leq N\underline{u}\|S\| + \mathcal{O}(\underline{u}^2), \quad \|\delta S_2\| \leq N\underline{u}\|S^{-1}\| + \mathcal{O}(\underline{u}^2), \quad \|\delta D\| \leq \underline{u}\|D\| + \mathcal{O}(\underline{u}^2).$$

Using Algorithm (4) to solve $B\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f}$ by decomposition is equivalent to solving $(S + \delta S_1)(D + \delta D)(S^{-1} + \delta S_2)\hat{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{f}$, which is of the form

$$(B + \delta B)\hat{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{f}, \quad \|\delta B\| \leq (2N+1)\underline{u}\|S\|\|S^{-1}\|\|D\| + \mathcal{O}(\underline{u}^2).$$

The relative error then satisfies (see [6])

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{u} - \hat{\mathbf{u}}\|}{\|\mathbf{u}\|} \leq \text{cond}(B) \frac{\|\delta B\|}{\|B\|} \leq (2N+1)\underline{u} \|B^{-1}\| \|S\| \|S^{-1}\| \|D\|.$$

By a direct computation, we obtain for the inverse of B

$$B^{-1} = k_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ 1 & q & & & \\ & 1 & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & q^2 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ 1 & q & q^2 & \dots & q^{N-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

and hence $\|B^{-1}\|_\infty = k_1(1 + q + \dots q^{N-1}) \sim Nk_1$. Since $k_1 \sim \bar{k} = T/N$, (16) is proved.

The error of the direct time parallel solver at time T can be estimated by

$$\frac{|e^{-aT}u_0 - \hat{u}_N|}{|u_0|} \leq \frac{|e^{-aT}u_0 - u_N(1)|}{|u_0|} + \frac{|u_N(1) - u_N(q)|}{|u_0|} + \frac{|u_N(q) - \hat{u}_N|}{|u_0|}. \quad (17)$$

The first term on the right is the truncation error of the sequential method using equal time steps. The second term is due to the geometric mesh and was estimated asymptotically in Theorem 2 to be $\alpha\varepsilon^2$. The last term can be estimated by $\|\mathbf{u}(q) - \hat{\mathbf{u}}\|_\infty/|u_0|$ and thus Theorem 6, since $a > 0$ which implies $|u_0| = \|\mathbf{u}\|_\infty$. Because the second term is decreasing in ε and the last term is growing in ε , we equilibrate them asymptotically:

Theorem 7 (Optimized Geometric Time Mesh) *Suppose the time steps are geometric, $k_n = q^{n-1}k_1$, and $q = 1 + \varepsilon$ with ε small. Let $\underline{u}$ be the machine precision. For $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0(aT, N)$ with*

$$\varepsilon_0(aT, N) = \left(\frac{\underline{u}^{N^2(2N+1)(N+aT)}}{\phi(N)\alpha(aT, N)} \right)^{\frac{1}{N+1}}, \quad (18)$$

where $\alpha(aT, N)$ is defined in (8) and $\phi(N)$ in (15), the error due to time parallelization is asymptotically comparable to the one produced by the geometric mesh.

Proof This is a direct consequence of Theorem 6.

We show in Fig. 1 on the left the optimized value $\varepsilon_0(aT, N)$ from Theorem 7. Choosing $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0(aT, N)$, the ratio between the additional errors due to parallelization to the truncation error of the fixed time step method is shown in Fig. 1 on the right.

In order to obtain a PDE error estimate for the heat equation (1), one can argue as follows: expanding the solution in eigenfunctions of the Laplacian, we can apply our results for the ODE with $a = \lambda_\ell$, λ_ℓ for $\ell = 1, 2, \dots$ the eigenvalues of the negative Laplacian. One can show for all $N \geq 1$ and machine precision $\underline{u}$ small enough (single precision suffices in practice) that our error estimate (17) has its maximum for $aT < a_T^* = 2.5129$, and thus if $\lambda_{\min}$ and T are such that $\lambda_{\min}T > a_T^*$, one can

Fig. 1 Optimized choice $\varepsilon_0(aT, N)$ from Theorem 7 (left). Ratio of the additional errors due to parallelization to the truncation error of the fixed step method (17) with this choice of $\varepsilon_0(aT, N)$ (right)

Fig. 2 Discretization and parallelization errors, and condition number of the eigenvector matrix, together with our theoretical bounds, left for the ODE, right for the PDE

read off the optimal choice ε_0 and resulting error estimate in Fig. 1 at $aT = \lambda_{\min}T$ for a given number of processors N . Similarly, if we have N processors and do not want to increase the error compared to a sequential computation by more than a given factor, we can read in Fig. 1 on the right the size of the time window T to use (knowing $a = \lambda_{\min}$), and the corresponding optimized ε_0 on the left.

5 Numerical Experiments

We first perform a numerical experiment for the scalar model problem (5) with $a = 1$, $T = 1$ and $N = 10$. We show in Fig. 2 on the left how the discretization error increases and the parallelization error decreases as a function of ε , together with our theoretical estimates, and also the condition number of the eigenvector matrix,

and our theoretical bound. We can see that the theoretically predicted optimized ε marked by a rhombus is a good estimate, and on the safe side, of the optimal choice a bit to the left, where the dashed lines meet.

We next show an experiment for the heat equation in two dimensions on the unit square, with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions and an initial condition $u_0(x, y) = \sin(\pi x) \sin(\pi y)$. We discretize with a standard five point finite difference method in space with mesh size $h_1 = h_2 = \frac{1}{10}$, and a Backward Euler discretization in time on the time interval $(0, \frac{1}{5})$ using $N = 30$ time steps. In Fig. 2 on the right we show again the measured discretization and parallelization errors compared to our theoretical bounds. As one can see from the graph, in this example, one could solve the problem using 30 processors, and would obtain an error which is within a factor two of the sequential computation.

References

1. A.J. Christlieb, C.B. Macdonald, B.W. Ong, Parallel high-order integrators. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* **32**(2), 818–835 (2010)
2. M.J. Gander, S. Güttel, Paraexp: a parallel integrator for linear initial-value problems. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* **35**(2), C123–C142 (2013)
3. M.J. Gander, E. Hairer, Nonlinear convergence analysis for the parareal algorithm, in *Domain Decomposition Methods in Science and Engineering XVII*, ed. by O.B. Widlund, D.E. Keyes, vol. 60 (Springer, Berlin, 2008), pp. 45–56
4. M.J. Gander, L. Halpern, Absorbing boundary conditions for the wave equation and parallel computing. *Math. Comput.* **74**, 153–176 (2005)
5. M.J. Gander, L. Halpern, Optimized Schwarz waveform relaxation methods for advection reaction diffusion problems. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **45**(2), 666–697 (2007)
6. G.H. Golub, C.F. Van Loan, *Matrix Computations*, 4th edn. Johns Hopkins Studies in the Mathematical Sciences (Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 2013)
7. J. Haglund, *The q, t -Catalan Numbers and the Space of Diagonal Harmonics*. University Lecture Series, vol. 41 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2008)
8. Y. Maday, E.M. Rønquist, Fast tensor product solvers. Part II: spectral discretization in space and time. Technical report 7–9, Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions (2007)
9. Y. Maday, E.M. Rønquist, Parallelization in time through tensor-product space-time solvers. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **346**(1–2), 113–118 (2008)
10. M.L. Minion, A hybrid parareal spectral deferred corrections method. *Commun. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci.* **5**(2), 265–301 (2010)