Amplitude and timing of the Laschamp geomagnetic dipole low from the global atmospheric ^{10}Be overproduction: contribution of authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratios in West Equatorial Pacific sediments.

L. Ménabréaz^{*1}, *D.L. Bourlès*^{*}, and *N. Thouveny*^{*}.

* Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS, IRD, CEREGE UM34, 13545 Aix-en-Provence
Cedex 04, France.

¹ Corresponding author : menabreaz@cerege.fr

19 **Abstract**

20 Authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratios were measured along a sediment core collected in the
21 West Equatorial Pacific in order to reconstruct cosmogenic ^{10}Be production variations
22 near the equator, where the geomagnetic modulation is maximum. From 60 to 20 ka, the
23 single significant ^{10}Be production impulse recorded at 41 ka results from the geomagnetic
24 dipole low that triggered the Laschamp excursion. No significant ^{10}Be overproduction
25 signature is recorded at the age of the Mono Lake excursion (~34 ka). A compilation of
26 authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ records obtained from sediments was averaged over a 1 ka window
27 and compared with the 1 ka-averaged ^{10}Be flux record of Greenland ice cores. Their
28 remarkable similarity demonstrates that the ^{10}Be production is globally modulated by
29 geomagnetic dipole variations and redistributed by atmosphere dynamics. After
30 calibration using absolute values of the virtual dipole moment drawn from paleomagnetic
31 database, the authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ stack allows reconstructing the geomagnetic dipole
32 moment variations over the 20-50 ka time interval. Between 48 ka and 41 ka, the dipole
33 moment collapsed at a rate of $-1.5 \times 10^{22} \text{ A.m}^2.\text{ka}^{-1}$, which will be an interesting criterion
34 for the assessment of the loss rate of the historical field and the comparison of dipole
35 moment loss prior to excursions and reversals. After a 2 ka duration of the minimum
36 dipole moment ($\sim 1 \times 10^{22} \text{ A.m}^2$), a slow increase started at 39 ka, progressively reaching
37 $5 \times 10^{22} \text{ A.m}^2$ at 20 ka. The absence of a significant dipole moment drop at 34 ka, the age
38 of the Mono lake excursion, suggests that the duration and amplitude of the dipole
39 weakening cannot be compared with that of the Laschamp. This study provides a reliable
40 basis to model the production of radiocarbon and *in-situ* cosmogenic nuclides and to
41 improve the calibration of these dating methods.

42

43 1. Introduction

44 The understanding of past and present geomagnetic field behaviour depends on
45 the accuracy and precision of proxy records of geomagnetic field magnitude and
46 direction. Since the last geomagnetic reversal (780 ka ago), the geomagnetic dipole
47 moment appears to have been affected by repeated drops, which generate large
48 directional variations of the geomagnetic vector field [e.g. *Thouveny et al.*, 2008;
49 *Channell et al.*, 2009]. The interpreted global character of these geomagnetic instabilities
50 however strongly depends on the geographic distribution of available paleomagnetic
51 records and on the recording materials (sediments or volcanic rocks), which influence the
52 reliability of the recorded phenomena (amplitude and duration). This is illustrated by the
53 ongoing debate over the occurrence of one or two dipole lows / excursions during the 30-
54 45 ka interval: Laschamp at ~41 ka and possibly Mono Lake at ~33 ka.

55 Quasi-reversed magnetizations recorded in Laschamp and Olby lava flows,
56 (Massif central, France) provided the first evidence of a past transient reversed state of
57 the geomagnetic field [*Bonhommet and Babkine*, 1967]. The first dating by *Bonhommet*
58 *and Zähringer* [1969] using K/Ar methods performed on whole rock led to an age
59 determination between 8 and 20 ka. After almost four decades of geochronologic
60 investigations using K–Ar, thermoluminescence, $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ and ^{230}Th – ^{238}U methods [e.g.
61 *Bonhommet and Zähringer*, 1969; *Condomines*, 1980; *Hall and York*, 1978; *Gillot et al.*,
62 1979; *Chauvin et al.*, 1989; *Plenier et al.*, 2007], the most reliable radiometric age
63 datasets finally led to concordant ages of 40.4 ± 2.0 ka [*Guillou et al.*, 2004] and 40.7 ± 1.0

ka BP [*Singer et al.*, 2009]. Meanwhile, the Laschamp excursion has been identified worldwide as a large amplitude swing of the magnetization vectors, and/or as a dramatic paleointensity low in lava flows (e.g. *Kristjansson and Gudmundsson*, 1980; *Roperch et al.*, 1988; *Levi et al.*, 1990; *Mochizuki et al.*, 2006; *Cassata et al.*, 2008] and sediments [e.g. *Thouveny and Creer*, 1992; *Vlag et al.*, 1996; *Lund et al.*, 2005; *Channell*, 2006]. These studies thus established the global extension of the geomagnetic anomaly and mark it as the major geomagnetic crisis to have occurred over the last 50 ka.

In contrast with the Laschamp case, the occurrence and/or the age of the Mono Lake (ML) excursion/dipole moment low remain controversial. *Denham and Cox* [1971], while seeking a record of the Laschamp excursion at Mono Lake (California), detected excursions paleomagnetic directions in sedimentary layers of the Wilson Creek formation. These layers were initially radiocarbon dated at ~24 ka, i.e. at an age older than the age attributed at that time to the Laschamp excursion [*Bonhommet and Zahringer*, 1969]. The ML excursion was thus considered as distinct from the Laschamp excursion. It was later described in other North-Western American lacustrine sections [e.g. *Negrini et al.*, 1984; *Liddicoat et al.*, 1992; *Coe and Liddicoat*, 1994; *Hanna and Verosub*, 1989] as well as North Atlantic sediments [e.g. *Novaczyk and Knies*, 2000; *Channell*, 2006]. The age of the excursion in the Mono Lake sediment sequence has since been revised from ~24 ka [*Denham and Cox*, 1971] to ~32 – 34 ka [*Negrini et al.*, 2000; *Benson et al.*, 2003; *Zic et al.* 2002]. This is, however, still subject to debate. Indeed, ^{14}C ages obtained on lacustrine carbonates and $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ ages of sanidine crystals from the Wilson Creek Formation which range from 38 to 41 ka suggest, on the contrary, that the ML excursion should be assigned to the Laschamp excursion [*Kent et al.*, 2002]. The

correlation of the Mono Lake RPI stack [Zimmerman *et al.*, 2006] with the GLOPIS record of Laj *et al.* [2004] supports this attribution.

Marine sediment relative paleointensity (RPI) records however, often display two successive significant RPI lows attributed to the Laschamp and Mono Lake excursions [Nowaczyk and Knies, 2000; Laj *et al.*, 2000, 2004; Channel *et al.*, 2006; Lund *et al.*, 2006]. The Mono Lake RPI low is recorded at ~34.7 ka according to the correlation of the sedimentary paleoclimatic proxies with oxygen isotopes records from Greenland [GICC05 age model; NGRIP dating group 2006, and references therein]. Volcanic records of the Mono Lake excursion were also retrieved from New Zealand [Shibuya *et al.*, 1992; Mochizuki *et al.*, 2004, 2006, 2007; Cassata *et al.*, 2008 ; Cassidy and Hill, 2009], Hawaii [Laj *et al.*, 2002; Teanby *et al.*, 2002] and the Canary Islands [Kissel *et al.*, 2011]. The case of the Mono Lake excursion, therefore, remains controversial. Both its age and the amplitude of dipole moment reduction remain uncertain.

Deciphering the amplitude and timing of dipole moment lows can be performed using methods independent from rock- and paleomagnetism, such as those based on cosmogenic nuclide production recorded in sediments and ice cores. Meteoric Beryllium-10 (^{10}Be , half-life: 1.387 ± 0.012 Ma [Chmeleff *et al.*, 2010; Korschinek *et al.*, 2010]) is produced through nucleonic cascades in the atmosphere which result from nuclear interactions between the Galactic Cosmic Rays (GCR) and the Oxygen and Nitrogen atmospheric targets. Proportional to the flux of the highly energetic charged particles constituting the GCR, the ^{10}Be production rate is mainly modulated over multi-millennial time scales by the variability of the magnetospheric shielding dominated by the geomagnetic dipole. In appropriate archives, records of ^{10}Be production rates thus

provide proxies of the geomagnetic dipole moment variations [e.g. *Lal*, 1988]. Independent from paleomagnetic methods, this approach is particularly well suited to confirm or invalidate the worldwide character of reported paleomagnetic features. Early works by *Elsasser et al.* [1956] and *Lal* [1988] allowed establishing an inverse relationship between the globally integrated ^{10}Be atmospheric production and the dipole moment magnitude. During the last decades, this relationship has been broadly confirmed using numerical simulations based on purely physical models [*Masarik and Beer*, 1999, 2009; *Wagner et al.*, 2000b], and experimentally supported by changes of ^{10}Be depositional fluxes in ice sheets [e.g. *Muscheler et al.*, 2005] and in marine sediment [e.g. *Robinson et al.*, 1995; *Frank*, 2000; *Carcaillet et al.*, 2003, 2004a; *Christl et al.*, 2003] records.

Cosmogenic nuclide production records over the time interval spanning the Laschamp and Mono Lake excursions are provided by ^{10}Be and ^{36}Cl deposition flux in polar ice [e.g. *Raisbeck et al.*, 1992; *Finkel and Nishiizumi*, 1997; *Yiou et al.*, 1997; *Wagner et al.*, 2000 a, b; *Muscheler et al.*, 2005] and by marine sediments using $^{230}\text{Th}_{\text{xs}}$ -normalized ^{10}Be fluxes [e.g. *Frank et al.*, 1997; *Christl et al.*, 2010]. Authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ records recently obtained from marine sediments [e.g. *Carcaillet et al.*, 2004a, b; *Leduc et al.*, 2006; *Ménabréaz et al.*, 2011] encourage further studies in other regions, especially at low latitude, where the modulation of ^{10}Be production rates by the geomagnetic dipole is maximum.

2. Environmental setting and sediment description of core MD05-2920.

The studied core MD05-2920 (36.67 m long; 2.51°S, 144.32°E; 1848 m water depth) was retrieved with a giant piston corer during the MD148-PECTEN Cruise aboard the RV/Marion Dufresne in 2005 [Beaufort *et al.*, 2005]. The coring site is located on the north coast of Papua New Guinea, in the Bismarck Sea, at ~100 km off the Sepik and Ramu Rivers estuaries (Figure 1.A). These large rivers and their tributaries drain through erodible volcanic and igneous rock formations distributed along a steep topographic profile (the altitude of these “central mountains” is > 4000 m).

The regional climate is dominated by the Asian-Australian monsoon system [Webster *et al.*, 1998; Wang *et al.*, 2003]. The sediment discharge from rivers draining the north slope of the island of New Guinea [Milliman *et al.*, 1999] is thus very considerable. This area is characterized by a very narrow continental shelf (< 5 km), incised principally by the Sepik submarine canyon extending from the river mouth to water-depths greater than 1000m [Cresswell, 2000]. After the vertical divergence of the surface plume at the head of the canyon, the Sepik sediments disperse along two distinct routes [Kineke *et al.*, 2000]: much of the sediment is transported down the canyon via near-bottom hyperpycnal flows, while a surface plume, driven by the New Guinean Coastal Current, transports fine sediments eastward (during the NW monsoon) and westward (during the SE monsoon) along the shelf and slope. Evidence of intermediate turbid layers also suggests distal transport along isopycnal surfaces [Kineke *et al.*, 2000]. However, the wet conditions throughout the year limit aeolian particle transport to this area, contrarily to contributions from the river inputs [Kawahata *et al.*, 2000]. This depositional setting leads to sedimentation rates on the order of tens of centimeters per ka [Beaufort *et al.*, 2005].

The MD05-2920 sequence presents good stratigraphic preservation and is mainly composed of homogeneous (non-laminated) greyish olive clay, with dispersed foraminifers and occasional black lenses of organic matter [Beaufort *et al.*, 2005]. The proportion of the terrigenous fraction in MD05-2920 core top sediments is ~70%, and that of the carbonate fraction is ~25% [Tachikawa *et al.*, 2011].

The sedimentary elemental composition as determined by X-ray fluorescence analyses [Tachikawa *et al.*, 2011] does not present a clear glacial-interglacial variability, which implies that glacial conditions have a limited influence on the hydrological cycle, which is rather linked to the intertropical convergence zone.

3. Methodology

3.1 Transport correction:

^{10}Be concentration in marine sediments is the result of superimposed contributions. First, it depends on the atmospheric ^{10}Be flux entering the ocean and reflecting its production rate in the atmosphere. ^{10}Be is primarily produced in the stratosphere: this proportion was estimated at 67% by Lal and Peters [1967], and at 56% by Masarik and Beer [1999]. Once produced, the particle-reactive ^{10}Be set onto aerosols is integrated to the hydrological cycle and removed from the atmospheric reservoir on a yearly time-scale. Baroni *et al.* [2011] calculated a ^{10}Be atmospheric residence time of ~3 years which is a combination of a tropospheric residence time of approximately one week and a stratospheric residence time that could be as long as 6 years. This estimation is slightly higher than previous ones [Beer *et al.*, 1990; Raisbeck *et al.*, 1981], and suggests,

177 according to *Heikkilä et al.* [2011], a better ^{10}Be atmospheric mixing than previously
178 assumed. The stratospheric ^{10}Be is transferred to the troposphere at mid-latitudes [e.g.
179 *Bard and Frank*, 2006]. It seems reasonable to suppose that the atmospheric transport
180 may have a negligible effect on ^{10}Be concentration in deep-sea sediments given that ^{10}Be
181 residence time in the ocean is 500 to 1000 times higher.

182 ^{10}Be content in marine sediments is also affected by oceanic reservoir effects
183 (transport, adsorption and deposition processes) that depend on Be scavenging efficiency,
184 residence time and particle composition affinities. Meaningless ^{10}Be concentrations have
185 thus to be normalized and two proxies are currently used to retrieve the cosmogenic
186 production signal: the $^{10}\text{Be}/^{230}\text{Th}_{\text{xs}}$ ratio [e.g. *Frank et al.*, 1997; *Christl et al.*, 2003,
187 2007, 2010; *Knudsen et al.*, 2008] and the authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratio [*Bourlès et al.*, 1989;
188 *Henken-Mellies et al.*, 1990; *Robinson et al.*, 1995; *Carcaillet et al.*, 2003, 2004a, 2004b;
189 *Leduc et al.*, 2006]. Principles, as well as possible biases resulting from their use, were
190 further summarized in *Ménabréaz et al.* [2011] and references therein. In their study, the
191 authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ methodology -confirmed for the first time by a $^{10}\text{Be}/^{230}\text{Th}_{\text{xs}}$ cross-
192 evaluation conducted on the same homogenized samples- has proven to reliably correct
193 for ocean secondary contributions.

195 3.2 Beryllium isotopes sampling strategy determined from preliminary paleomagnetic 196 results

197 A detailed paleomagnetic study will be presented in a future paper dedicated to a
198 set of several cores collected in the same area. This paper limits itself to the relative

paleointensity curve that has been the basis for Be sampling. Natural and artificial remanent magnetizations were measured on U-Channels using a 2G cryogenic magnetometer 760 SRM model coupled with an in-line Alternating Field (AF) demagnetizer. The Natural Remanent Magnetization (NRM) and Anhysteretic Remanent Magnetization (ARM) were measured after AF steps from 5 to 60 mT. After demagnetization at 30 mT, the NRM intensity /ARM intensity ratios were taken as the best proxy for the Relative PaleoIntensity (RPI) (Figure 2B). The major feature of this preliminary RPI record consists in a deep minimum located at 7.8 m expressing the occurrence of a geomagnetic dipole low (GDL).

The ^{10}Be sampling strategy was driven by the position of this GDL. The MD05-2920 sequence was sampled every 30 cm from 4.67 to 9.67 m, and every 10 cm between 6.27 and 8.67 m.

3.3 Sample preparation and Be isotopes extraction:

The 34 selected samples were dried and crushed in an agate mortar. Of the resulting homogenized powder, ~1g was leached using a 0.04M hydroxylamine ($\text{NH}_2\text{OH}\cdot\text{HCl}$) in a 25% acetic acid leaching solution [Bourlès *et al.*, 1989]. This procedure avoid the leaching of detrital Be that would strongly bias the authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratio through detrital ^9Be contamination.

The resulting leaching solution was then split into two aliquots: one 2ml aliquot was separated for natural ^9Be measurements using Flameless Atomic Adsorption Spectrophotometry, and the remaining solution was spiked using 300 μl of a 10^{-3} g/g ^9Be -

carrier solution (Sharlau®) before undergoing the chemical extraction procedure summarized below. Beryllium in the leachates was first chelated at pH 7 by acetylacetone. The obtained Be-acetylacetonates were then separated using an organic solvent extraction and decomposed in acid. Beryllium oxy-hydroxydes were finally precipitated at pH 8 before being oxidized to BeO at 800°C to perform AMS (Accelerator Mass Spectrometry) measurements [Bourlès *et al.*, 1989].

3.4 Measurements:

¹⁰Be concentrations were measured using the new French AMS national facility “ASTER”, operating at 5MV (CEREGE). ¹⁰Be concentrations were calculated from the measured spiked ¹⁰Be/⁹Be ratios (see equation given by Ménabréaz *et al.* [2011]) normalized to the NIST 4325 international standard (¹⁰Be/⁹Be = 2.79 x 10⁻¹¹ [Nishiizumi *et al.*, 2007]). Final ¹⁰Be concentrations were all corrected for ¹⁰Be radioactive decay using the half-life determined by Chmeleff *et al.* [2010] and Korschinek *et al.* [2010]. Uncertainties in the measured ¹⁰Be/⁹Be ratios and in the calculated ¹⁰Be concentrations may result from counting statistics and instrumental error propagation [Arnold *et al.*, 2010], according to the standard propagation of uncertainties equation [e.g. Taylor, 1997]. Chemistry blank ratios range from 7.66 10⁻¹⁵ to 1.39 10⁻¹⁴ and are at least 1000 times lower than the sample ratios. Measured ratios and their uncertainties are presented in Table 1.

Natural ⁹Be concentrations were measured using a graphite-furnace atomic absorption spectrophotometer equipped with a Zeeman effect background correction

(Thermo Scientific ICE 3400 installed at the CEREGE). The absorbance of each sample was repeatedly measured at incremental steps of standard addition. The analytical precision of final ^9Be concentrations was determined from the reproducibility of standard addition absorptions and the fit of standard addition lines, and ranges from 0.2% to 4.6% (see Table 1).

4. The authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ record

Authigenic ^{10}Be concentrations vary from 9.56×10^{-15} g/g to 2.44×10^{-14} g/g and authigenic ^9Be concentrations vary from 1.79×10^{-7} g/g to 2.60×10^{-7} g/g, resulting in $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratios that range from 4.57×10^{-8} to 1.20×10^{-7} . Sample concentration and ratio values are listed in Table 1. The ^{10}Be concentration profile shows a unique major enhancement reaching a peak at 767 cm which persists along the authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratio profile (Figure 2A) in the form of ~1.7-fold increase of the $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratio when compared to the average value calculated over the time interval spanned by this record (7.07×10^{-8}). This principal enhancement is recorded between ~737 cm and ~817 cm. The rest of the profile exhibits reduced variability, with slightly lower values before than after this major increase.

The RPI record, proxy of the geomagnetic dipole moment variation, and the authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratio, proxy of the cosmogenic nuclide production, are inversely correlated (Figure 2). The main RPI low, located between ~850 cm and ~750 cm, and the main authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratio enhancement, located between ~737 and ~817 cm, overlap

to a large extent. The RPI minimum leads the authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratio maximum by ~15 cm

Despite this slight delay which is coherent with the principle of the post-depositional magnetization lock-in depth, the two paleomagnetic and geochemical signatures must be interpreted as expressions of the same geomagnetic dipole low (GDL).

5. Age Model

The age model of core MD05-2920 is based on ten ^{14}C datings performed on the planktonic foraminifera *Globigerinoides ruber* (white), and on the correlation between the benthic $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ record and the reference benthic stack published by *Lisiecki and Raymo* [2005] [Figure 3 in *Tachikawa et al.*, 2011]. After correction of the local reservoir age of 420 ± 60 yrs [*Mc Gregor et al.*, 2008], conventional ^{14}C ages were calibrated using the IntCal09 calibration set [*Reimer et al.*, 2009] and the Calib 6.1.0 software (<http://intcal.qub.ac.uk/calib/>). The chronological reconstruction is presented in Figure 3C. Corresponding data can be found in Table 2. From these data, the sedimentation rates range between 12.3 and 16 cm/ka along the studied part of the MD05-2920 sequence.

6. Discussion

The authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ record is plotted on its time scale in Figure 4a. The $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratio peak can be seen recorded at ~40.8 ka, which allows its attribution to the Laschamp-related cosmogenic nuclide production enhancement in the atmosphere (see details on the chronology of the Laschamp event discussed by *Ménabréaz et al.* [2011]).

285
286 6.1 Comparison with the Laschamp ^{10}Be atmospheric overproduction records at mid and
287 high latitudes.

288 The age of the authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ maximum value at ~40.8 ka remarkably
289 coincides (within uncertainties) with the age of the authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ peak
290 documenting the Laschamp-related GDL recorded at ~41 ka in Portuguese Margin
291 sediment cores ([*Ménabréaz et al.*, 2011]; Figure 4b). Despite very different depositional
292 settings, these authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ records are in good overall correspondence over the
293 whole studied time period. During the Laschamp GDL, the presented data indicate that
294 the ^{10}Be production was enhanced by a factor of ~1.7 at that equatorial site (enhancement
295 factors are determined from the average value over the time interval spanned by the
296 records). This agrees, within respective uncertainties, with the ~1.9-fold increase
297 measured in the authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratio record of the Portuguese Margin [*Ménabréaz et*
298 *al.*, 2011], and also with the ~1.7-fold increase previously reported by *Carcaillet et al.*
299 [2004b] from a neighbouring core (Figure 4b). Such enhancement factors are also
300 compatible with the near-doubling of the global (i.e. latitude integrated) ^{10}Be production
301 during GDL, expected from the physically constrained algorithm [*Elsasser et al.*, 1956;
302 *Lal*, 1988] and from numerical simulations [*Masarik and Beer*, 2009] describing the
303 relation between geomagnetic moment and cosmogenic nuclides global production.

304
305 Furthermore, these sedimentary records of the ^{10}Be atmospheric overproduction
306 linked to the Laschamp GDL are concomitant with the ^{10}Be -flux peak recorded in the

Greenland ice cap at Summit (Figure 4) [Muscheler *et al.*, 2005], dated at ~41 ka based on ice laminae counting (GICC05 timescale of the *NGRIP-dating group* [2006] and references therein]. This emphasises the simultaneity of the Laschamp ^{10}Be overproduction records in paleoclimatic archives at very different locations. Over the entire studied time interval, the presented authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ records are remarkably similar both in time and in amplitude with the Greenland ^{10}Be -flux. This coherency of the signals observed for different archives located at different latitudes and in such various climatic and environmental conditions most likely allows ruling out the hypothesis that variability in $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratios in core MD05-2920 arises primarily from changes in the continental runoff and oceanic regimes.

In addition to validating the pertinence of the authigenic ^9Be normalization to account for secondary effects, these observations more importantly demonstrate that the ^{10}Be production signal in marine sediments is a global signal because of the lack of any significant latitude effects. This remarkable correspondence also confirms that the Greenland ^{10}Be -flux signal over long time-scales is dominantly modulated by the geomagnetic dipole moment [e.g. Muscheler *et al.*, 2005], implying that a significant part of the ^{10}Be deposited in Greenland ice has been homogenized in the atmosphere. This supports a ^{10}Be residence time of several years in the atmosphere [Baroni *et al.*, 2011].

6.2 A marine stacked record of the ^{10}Be production rates.

The authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ records obtained from sedimentary sequences from two different regions -West Equatorial Pacific (MD05-2920) and Northeast Atlantic (MD95-

2042 and MD04-2811 cores)- are normalized to their own average value and plotted on their own chronologies in Figure 5 (MD05-2920 chronological data are presented in Section 5 and Table 2; MD95-2042 and MD04-2811 chronological data are presented in *Ménabréaz et al.* [2011]).

These records are compared to the Greenland ^{10}Be flux (GRIP and GISP2 ice cores), normalized to its own average value and plotted in Figure 5 on its own chronology as established by multiparameter counting of annual layers [*Andersen et al.*, 2006; *NGRIP-dating group*, 2006; *Rasmussen et al.*, 2006]. The glacial part of this time scale has an estimated associated error of 2% back to 40 ka and of 5–10% back to 57 ka. The correspondence is remarkable despite noise and distortion introduced by 1) analytical uncertainties, 2) chronological uncertainties (e.g. linear interpolation between ~37 ka and 62 ka in the MD05-2920 core), and 3) recording processes (e.g. changes in sediment accumulation rate and/or sediment properties). A composite record of the normalized authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratios is thus constructed and arithmetically averaged using a 1000-year sliding window offset by 500 years. Associated uncertainties (1σ) are standard deviations around computed average values.

The normalized authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ stack (Figure 6; Table 3) indicates that the global 1000-year averaged ^{10}Be production rate increased by a factor of ~1.5 at ~41 ka (age of the Laschamp GDL) compared to the long-term average calculated over the 20-50 ka. No other significant enhancement of ^{10}Be production is evidenced over the studied time interval.

The comparison of the normalized authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ stack with the Greenland ice sheet ^{10}Be -record (smoothed over a 1000-year window and plotted on its own timescale) evidences a remarkable similarity (Figure 6). This is especially noticeable considering that both records are obtained using very different techniques and recording archives. For the first time, the Greenland ^{10}Be deposition millennial-scale flux variations can be compared with an authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ reference record composed by low and mid latitudes records. Their similarity confirms that the modulation mechanism is common and that they constitute proxies of the global atmospheric ^{10}Be production. Since there is no geomagnetic modulation of the cosmogenic nuclides production at high latitudes, the similarity of these ^{10}Be production records confirms that the major part of the ^{10}Be deposited in Greenland ice is transported from lower latitudes through atmospheric homogenization [e.g. *Muscheler et al.*, 2005; *Heikkilä et al.*, 2008]. The observed agreement of the Greenland ice record with oceanic sediment records located in high particle flux areas (where ^{10}Be residence time with respect to scavenging is ~ 500 yrs [Anderson et al., 1990; Ku et al., 1990]) also suggests that both signal attenuation and time lags potentially resulting from an oceanic ^{10}Be reservoir effect are minimized. Indeed, such settings reduce the ^{10}Be residence time in the water column and the effects of surface sediment mixing by the burrowing fauna.

6.3. Construction of a ^{10}Be -derived virtual dipole moment record

The normalized authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ stack is then used to reconstruct the modulating dipole moment variation. Normalized $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ values are calibrated using

absolute VDM values determined from absolute paleointensities measured on lava flows and drawn from the literature. For coherency, the same absolute VDM values as in *Ménabréaz et al.* [2011] are taken from the GEOMAGIA-50 database, because they are assumed to be representative of the VDM values over the 20-50 ka time period. Since ^{10}Be production rates are inversely proportional to VDM values [Elsasser *et al.*, 1956; Lal, 1988], (1) the normalized $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ maximum value (i.e. $[1.52 \pm 0.26] \times 10^{-8}$) was assigned to the minimum VDM value linked to the LE (i.e. $1.06 \pm 0.05 \times 10^{22} \text{ A.m}^2$ [Levi *et al.*, 1990]), (2) the average of the normalized $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ values lower than 0.78 (mean – 1 sigma) was assigned to the average of the VDM values higher than 8.70 A.m^2 (mean + 1 sigma), and (3) the average of the normalized $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ values comprised between 0.78 and 1.12 ([mean $\pm$ 1 sigma] interval) was assigned to the average of the VDM values comprised between 3.62 and 8.70 A.m^2 ([mean $\pm$ 1 sigma] interval). This empirical polynomial fit obtained using the absolute VDM values is compared to the curve derived from Elsasser's algorithm, obtained using relative VDM values (as noted by Lal, [1992]) and applied to the normalized ^{10}Be production values derived from this study: their similarity reinforces the validity of the proposed calibration procedure (Figure 7). The polynomial fit between ^{10}Be and absolute VDM data is then applied to the whole ^{10}Be dataset. The ^{10}Be -derived absolute VDM reconstruction is shown in Figure 8 (data are listed in Table 3).

The ^{10}Be -derived absolute VDM reconstruction is compared to the reference relative paleointensity stacks, evidencing an overall good agreement with the GLOPIS-75 curve [Laj *et al.*, 2004], particularly between 36 and 51 ka (Figure 8). A major difference appears at 30-33 ka, where high RPI values in GLOPIS follow the reduction generally

395 attributed to the Mono Lake excursion. The ^{10}Be -derived VDM record only shows a
396 small amplitude reduction at ~34 ka followed by a progressive increase until ~22 ka,
397 interrupted by another transient reduction at ~26 ka. The ^{10}Be -derived VDM record
398 presents similar averaged values to the SINT 800 stack but points out a larger amplitude
399 and narrower VDM reduction at the Laschamp age, due to the SINT-800 smoothing
400 which results from stacking numerous records.

401 6.4 Geomagnetic implications

402 These cosmogenic nuclide production records and their translation as VDM
403 records contribute to the understanding of the relation between the ^{10}Be production rates
404 and the dipole moment values, and to the timing and quantification of the VDM reduction
405 accompanying the geomagnetic excursions documented in the studied time interval.
406 Indeed, the trends of the ^{10}Be -derived VDM stack result from the construction of robust
407 chronologies along the two studied sedimentary sequences and are further supported by
408 the tight correlation between the sedimentary and ice cores ^{10}Be production records.
409 Estimates of durations and rates of changes are thus enabled.

410 While paleomagnetic sedimentary records rarely provide precise estimations of
411 the duration of excursions due to 1) imperfect remanent magnetization acquisition in low
412 intensity field, 2) remagnetization after recovery of higher intensity field [e.g. *Coe and*
413 *Liddicoat*, 1994; *Roberts and Winklhofer*, 2004], and 3) latitudinal and longitudinal
414 dependency of the geomagnetic vector variation, the ^{10}Be production records provide a
415 global perspective on the timing and amplitude of the VDM variation.

The Laschamp Excursion

The global cosmogenic ^{10}Be enhancement at the time of the Laschamp excursion (~41 ka) recorded in the marine reference $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ record is fully concordant with that reported from ^{10}Be deposition rates in the Greenland ice cores: when the dipole field vanishes (VDM $\sim 1 \times 10^{22} \text{ A.m}^2$), the 1 ka average global ^{10}Be production rate is multiplied by ~1.5, while individual unsmoothed records report a 1.7- to 1.9- fold increase of the ^{10}Be production.

The duration of the enhancement phase can be estimated using, either the ^{10}Be value above “mean+1 σ ” which provides a maximum duration of 2500 years, or above “mean + 2 σ ”, which provides a maximum duration of 1500 years. This provides the same estimated duration of VDM loss as that deduced from ice core records [Wagner *et al.*, 2000b; Muscheler *et al.*, 2005] and from the GLOPIS-75 stack [Laj *et al.*, 2004].

The VDM collapse from $\sim 11 \times 10^{22} \text{ A.m}^2$ to $\sim 1 \times 10^{22} \text{ A.m}^2$ occurred between 48 and 41 ka, yielding an average pre-excursion VDM loss rate of $\sim -1.4 \times 10^{22} \text{ A.m}^2.\text{ka}^{-1}$. The main VDM loss occurred after 44 ka with a loss rate of $\sim -1.5 \times 10^{22} \text{ A.m}^2.\text{ka}^{-1}$. It is interesting to note that from archeomagnetic reconstructions [Gallet *et al.*, 2009], the computed VDM loss rate of $\sim -2.7 \times 10^{22} \text{ A.m}^2$ over the last millennium appears significantly higher than the Laschamp pre-excursion rates.

The Mono Lake Excursion

The ^{10}Be production reference records do not evidence any global significant increase in the atmospheric production of cosmogenic nuclides at the age of the Mono Lake excursion (~34 ka). The corresponding VDM value ($4 \times 10^{22} \text{ A.m}^2$) obtained from our reconstruction (Figure 8) is consistent with those determined from absolute paleointensities measured on lava flows (though it must be stressed that VDM values computed from low paleointensity data are, by definition, biased by non-dipole field contributions). In Hawaii, 9 of the 11 lavas recording the excursion yield VADM estimates of $\sim 4 \times 10^{22} \text{ A.m}^2$ [Laj *et al.*, 2002; Teanby *et al.*, 2002]. In the Canary Islands, the 3 studied lava flows yield VADM values of (4.3 ± 1.3) , (1.6 ± 0.3) , and $(2.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{22} \text{ Am}^2$ [Kissel *et al.* 2011]. Compilation of New Zealand PI data [Mochizuki *et al.*, 2006; Cassidy and Hill 2009] provides a VADM value of $\sim 2.5 \times 10^{22} \text{ A.m}^2$ [Kissel *et al.*, 2011].

The short duration of the GDL associated with the Mono Lake excursion may contribute to the lack of cosmogenic nuclide overproduction signature in marine archives. Taking into account a (standard) bioturbation depth of 15 cm, the related overproduction may not be recorded in these sequences. However, ^{10}Be ice records, despite their high resolution, do not exhibit either any overproduction signature either (see Muscheler *et al.*, 2005), contrary to the ^{36}Cl record [Wagner *et al.*, 2000a]. The different response of these two cosmogenic nuclides, similarly produced through nuclear reactions induced in the atmosphere by cosmic ray particles has led to questions concerning the reliability of the ^{36}Cl peak [see Delmas *et al.*, 2004].

7. Conclusion and perspectives

The $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ record of the MD05-2920 sediment core is the first reliable authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ evidence of cosmogenic nuclide ^{10}Be overproduction at low latitude at the age of the Laschamp excursion (41 ka). Together with other records of marine and terrestrial archives it confirms the global synchronicity of the ^{10}Be overproduction in the atmosphere generated by the loss of the geomagnetic dipole. The compilation of authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ marine records indicates that the global ^{10}Be production rates at 41 ka were enhanced by a ~ 1.5 factor compared to the average over the 20-60 ka interval. The comparison of the authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ marine stack with the Greenland ^{10}Be flux record (smoothed by 1000-year averaging) evidences a good coherency of the timing and amplitude of ^{10}Be production at high, mid and low latitudes. This confirms that the ^{10}Be overproduction signal has a global significance, as expected from a geomagnetic dipole moment loss.

The calibration of the sedimentary $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ stack using absolute virtual dipole moment values provides an independent tool to reconstruct geomagnetic dipole moment variations. This allows computing the loss rate leading to the Laschamp dipole minimum ($\sim -1.5 \times 10^{22} \text{ A.m}^2.\text{ka}^{-1}$). This constitutes an interesting criterion to assess the loss rate of the historical field.

In contrast with the relevant signatures in the GLOPIS-75 relative paleointensity stack and in absolute paleointensity data sets, the absence of significant cosmogenic response at 34 ka suggests that the Mono Lake dipole low was hardly sufficient to trigger a significant cosmogenic overproduction. This demonstrates that if the Mono lake

excursion really occurred at that time, the duration and amplitude of the dipole weakening were very limited compared to that of the Laschamp.

The ^{10}Be overproduction quantified in this study constitutes a reliable basis to calibrate radiocarbon production and *in situ* cosmogenic nuclides production. For example, it can help to understand the atmospheric ^{14}C concentration variations recorded near 41 ka and near 34 ka in delta ^{14}C series [e.g. *Hughen et al.*, 2004; *Reimer et al.*, 2009], which were probably produced by the Laschamp and Mono Lake geomagnetic dipole lows.

The ^{10}Be production peak linked to the Laschamp dipole low can be used as a global tie point for correlation of high-resolution paleoclimatic series obtained from high quality archives.

Acknowledgements:

This work is a contribution to the MAG-ORB (ANR- 09-BLAN-0053-01) project, which is funded by the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR). We acknowledge V. Guillou for technical assistance during chemical procedures, and F. Demory for help in U-channel sampling and during paleomagnetic data acquisition. R. Muscheler kindly provided the ^{10}Be ice cores data used in this study. We also thank here M. Arnold, G. Aumaître, and K. Keddadouche for their valuable assistance during ^{10}Be measurements at the ASTER AMS national facility (CEREGE, Aix-en-Provence), which is supported by the INSU/CNRS, the French Ministry of Research and Higher Education, IRD and CEA. We acknowledge the scientific and technical crew of R.V. *Marion Dufresne* who collected core MD05-2920 during the MD148-PECTEN Cruise. We thank Prof. M. Frank and an anonymous reviewer for their helpful comments in preparing the final version of the manuscript.

520

521

522

523

524

525 **Reference list:**

526 Andersen, K.K., Svensson, A., Rasmussen, S.O., Steffensen, J.P., Johnsen, S.J., Bigler, M.,
527 Röthlisberger, R., Ruth, U., Siggaard-Andersen, M.-L., Dahl-Jensen, D., Vinther, B.M.,
528 Clausen, H.B., 2006. The Greenland Ice Core Chronology 2005, 15–42 ka. Part 1:
529 constructing the time scale. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 25, 246–257.

530 Anderson R.F., Y. Lao, W.S. Broecker, S.E Trumbore., H.J. Hofmann, and W. Wolfli
531 (1990), Boundary scavenging in the Pacific Ocean: a comparison of ^{10}Be and ^{231}Pa ,
532 *Earth and Planet. Sci. Lett.* 96, 287-304.

533 Arnold, M., S. Merchel, D.L. Bourlès, R. Braucher, L. Benedetti, R.C. Finkel, G. Aumaître,
534 A. Gott dang, and M. Klein (2010), The French accelerator mass spectrometry facility
535 ASTER: Improved performance and developments, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res.*
536 *Sect. B* 268, 1954-1959.

537 Bard, E., and M. Frank, (2006), Climate change and solar variability: What's new under the
538 sun? *Earth and Planet. Sci. Lett.* 248, 1–14.

539 Baroni, M., E. Bard, J.R Petit, O. Magnan, and D.L. Bourlès (2011), Volcanic and solar
540 activity, and atmospheric circulation influences on cosmogenic ^{10}Be fallout at Vostok
541 and Concordia (Antarctica) over the last 60 years., *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, ISSN
542 0016-7037, doi: 10.1016/j.gca.2011.09.002.

543 Beaufort, L., A.W. Droxler, M.-T Chen, and party, t.m.o.t.s., 2005. MD148 / IMAGES XIII,
544 PECTEN 2005 Cruise Report.

545 Beer, J., A. Blinov, G. Bonani, R.C. Finkel, H.J. Hofman, B. Lelmann, H. Oeschger, A.
546 Sigg, J. Schwander, T. Staffelbach, B. Stauffer, M. Suter, and W. Wolfli, (1990), Use of
547 ^{10}Be in polar ice to trace the 11-year cycle of solar activity, *Nature* 347, 164-166.

548 Benson, L., J. Liddicoat, J. Smoot, A. Sarna-Wojcicki. R. Negrini, and S. Lund, (2003), Age
549 of the Mono Lake excursion and associated tephra, *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 22, 135-140.

550 Bonhommet, N., and J. Babkine (1967), Sur la présence d'aimantations inverses dans la
551 Chaîne des Puys — Massif Central, France, *C.R.A.S. Paris* 264, 92.

552 Bonhommet, N., and J. Zahringer (1969), Paleomagnetism and potassium argon age
553 determinations of the Laschamp geomagnetic polarity event, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 6,
554 43–46.

555 Bourlès, D., G.M. Raisbeck, and F. Yiou (1989), ^{10}Be and ^9Be in marine sediments and their
556 potential for dating, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 53, 443-452.

557 Carcaillet, J., N. Thouveny, and D.L. Bourlès (2003), Geomagnetic moment instability
558 between 0.6 and 1.3 Ma from cosmonuclide evidence, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 30, 1792.

559 Carcaillet, J., D.L. Bourlès, and N. Thouveny (2004a), Geomagnetic dipole moment and
 560 ^{10}Be production rate intercalibration from authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ for the last 1.3 Ma,
 561 *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 5, 397-412, Q05006, doi: 10.1029/2003GC000641.

562 Carcaillet, J., D.L. Bourlès, N. Thouveny, and M. Arnold, (2004b), A high resolution
 563 authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ record of geomagnetic moment variations over the last 300 ka from
 564 sedimentary cores of the Portuguese margin, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 219, 397-412.

565 Cassata, W.S., B.S. Singer, and J. Cassidy, (2008), Laschamp and Mono Lake geomagnetic
 566 excursions recorded in New Zealand, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 268, 76-88.

567 Cassidy, J., and M. J. Hill (2009), Absolute palaeointensity study of the Mono Lake
 568 excursion recorded by New Zealand basalts, *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* 172, 225–234.

569 Channell, J. (2006), Late Brunhes polarity excursions (Mono Lake, Laschamp, Iceland
 570 Basin and Pringle Falls) recorded at ODP Site 919 (Irminger Basin), *Earth Planet. Sci.*
 571 *Lett.* 244, 378-393.

572 Channell, J.E.T., C. Xuan, and D.A. Hodell, (2009), Stacking paleointensity and oxygen
 573 isotope data for the last 1.5 Myr (PISO-1500), *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 283, 14-23.

574 Chauvin A., R.A. Duncan, N. Bonhommet, and S. Levi (1989), Paleointensity of the earth's
 575 magnetic field and K–Ar dating of the Louchadière volcanic flow (central France): New
 576 evidence for the Laschamp Excursion, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 16, 1189–1192.

577 Chmeleff, J., F. von Blanckenburg, K. Kossert, and D. Jakob (2010), Determination of the
 578 ^{10}Be half-life by multicollector ICP-MS and liquid scintillation counting, *Nucl. Instrum.*
 579 *Methods Phys. Res. Sect. B* 268, 192-199.

580 Christl, M., C. Strobl, and A. Mangini, (2003), Beryllium-10 in deep-sea sediments: a tracer
581 for the Earth's magnetic field intensity during the last 200,000 years, *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 22,
582 725-739.

583 Christl, M., A. Mangini, and P.W. Kubik (2007), Highly resolved Beryllium-10 record from
584 ODP Site 1089--A global signal? *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 257, 245-258.

585 Christl, M., J. Lippold, F. Steinhilber, F. Bernsdorff, and A. Mangini, (2010),
586 Reconstruction of global ^{10}Be production over the past 250 ka from highly
587 accumulating Atlantic drift sediments, *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 29, 2663-2672.

588 Coe, R.S., and J.C. Liddicoat (1994), Overprinting of natural magnetic remanence in lake
589 sediments by subsequent high intensity field, *Nature* 367, 57-59.

590 Condomines M. (1980), Age of the Olby-Laschamp geomagnetic polarity event, *Nature* 286,
591 697-699.

592 Cresswell, G.R. (2000), Coastal currents of northern Papua New Guinea, and the Sepik
593 River Outflow, *Mar. Freshw. Res.* 51, 553-564.

594 Denham, C.R., and A. Cox, (1971), Evidence that the Laschamp polarity event did not occur
595 13300-30400 years ago, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 13, 181-190.

596 Delmas R.J., J. Beer, H.A. Synal, R. Muscheler, J.R. Petit, and M. Pourchet (2004), Bomb-
597 test ^{36}Cl measurements in Vostok snow (Antartica) and the use of ^{36}Cl as a dating tool
598 for deep ice cores, *Tellus* 56B, 492-498.

599 Elsasser, W., E.P. Ney, and J.R. Winckler (1956), Cosmic-ray intensity and geomagnetism,
600 *Nature* 178, 1226-1227.

601 Finkel, R.C., and K. Nishiizumi (1997), Beryllium-10 concentrations in the Greenland Ice
602 Sheet Project 2 ice core from 3–40 ka, *J. Geophys. Res.* *102*, 26699-26706.

603 Frank, M., B. Schwarz, S. Baumann, P.W. Kubik, M. Suter, and A. Mangini (1997), A 200
604 kyr record of cosmogenic radionuclide production rate and geomagnetic field intensity
605 from ^{10}Be in globally stacked deep-sea sediments, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* *149*, 121-129.

606 Frank, M. (2000), Comparison of cosmogenic radionuclide production and geomagnetic
607 field intensity over the last 200,000 years, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A* *358*, 1089-1107.

608 Gallet Y., A. Genevey, M. Le Goff, N. Warmé, J. Gran-Aymerich, and A. Lefèvre (2009),
609 On the use of archeology in geomagnetism and vice-versa : Recent developments in
610 archeomagnetism, *C.R Phys.* *10*, 630-648.

611 Gillot, P.Y., J. Labeyrie, C. Laj, G. Valladas, G. Guerin, G. Poupeau, and G. Delibrias
612 (1979), Age of the Laschamp geomagnetic polarity excursion revisited, *Earth Planet.*
613 *Sci. Lett.* *42*, 444–450.

614 Guillou, H., B.S. Singer, C. Laj, C. Kissel, S. Scaillet, and B.R. Jicha (2004), On the age of
615 the Laschamp geomagnetic excursion, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* *227*, 331-343.

616 Guyodo, Y., and J.P. Valet (1999), Global changes in intensity of the Earth's magnetic field
617 during the past 800 kyr, *Nature* *399*, 249-252.

618 Hall, C.M., and D. York (1978), K–Ar and $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ age of the Laschamp geomagnetic
619 polarity reversal, *Nature* *274*, 462–464.

620 Hanna, R. L., and K. L. Verosub (1989), A review of lacustrine paleomagnetic records from
621 Western North America : 0-40000 years BP, *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* *56*, 76-95.

622 Heikkilä, U., J. Beer, and J. Feichter (2008), Meridional transport and deposition of
623 atmospheric ^{10}Be , *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 8, 16819–16849, 2008 [www.atmos-](http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/8/16819/2008/)
624 chem-phys-discuss.net/8/16819/2008/

625 Heikkilä, U., J. Beer, J.A. Abreu, and F. Steinhilber (2011), On the Atmospheric Transport
626 and Deposition of the Cosmogenic Radionuclides (^{10}Be): A Review, *Space Sci. Rev.*,
627 doi: 10.1007/s11214-011-9838-0.

628 Henken-Mellies, W.U., J. Beer, F. Heller, K.J. Hsü, C. Shen, G. Bonani, H.J. Hofmann, M.
629 Suter, and W. Wölfli (1990), ^{10}Be and ^9Be in South Atlantic DSDP Site 519: Relation to
630 geomagnetic reversals and to sediment composition, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 98, 267-
631 276.

632 Huguen, K., S. Lehman, J. Southon, J. Overpeck, O. Marchal, C. Herring, and J. Turnbull
633 (2004), ^{14}C Activity and Global Carbon Cycle Changes over the Past 50,000 Years,
634 *Science* 303, 202-207.

635 Kawahata, H., A. Suzuki, and H. Ohta (2000), Export fluxes in the Western Pacific Warm
636 Pool, *Deep-Sea Res. I* 47, 2061-2091.

637 Kent, D.V., S.R. Hemming, and B.D. Turrin (2002), Laschamp Excursion at Mono Lake?
638 *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 197, 151-164.

639 Kineke, G.C., K.J. Woolfe, S.A. Kuehl, J.D. Milliman, T.M. Dellapenna, and R.G. Purdon
640 (2000), Sediment export from the Sepik River, Papua New Guinea: evidence for a
641 divergent sediment plume, *Cont. Shelf Res.* 20, 2239-2266.

642 Kissel, C., H. Guillou, C. Laj, J.C. Carracedo, S. Nomade, F. Perez-Torrado, and C.
643 Wandres (2011), The Mono Lake excursion recorded in phonolitic lavas from Tenerife
644 (Canary Islands): paleomagnetic analyses and coupled K/Ar and Ar/Ar dating, *Phys.*
645 *Earth Planet. Inter.* 187, 232-244.

646 Knudsen, M.F., G.M. Henderson, M. Frank, C. Mac Niocaill, and P.W. Kubik (2008), In-
647 phase anomalies in Beryllium-10 production and palaeomagnetic field behaviour during
648 the Iceland Basin geomagnetic excursion, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 265, 588-599.

649 Korhonen, K., F. Donadini, P. Riisager, and L. Pesonen (2008), GEOMAGIA50: an
650 archeointensity database with PHP and MySQL, *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 9 (4),
651 Q04029, doi: 10.1029/2007GC001893.

652 Korschinek, G., A. Bergmaier, T. Faestermann, U.C. Gerstmann, K. Knie, G. Rugel, A.
653 Wallner, I. Dillmann, G. Dollinger, C.L. von Gostomski, K. Kossert, M. Maiti, M.
654 Poutivtsev, and A. Remmert (2010), A new value for the half-life of ^{10}Be by Heavy-Ion
655 Elastic Recoil Detection and liquid scintillation counting, *Nucl. Instr. Meth. in Phys.*
656 *Res. Sect. B* 268, 187-191.

657 Kristjansson, L., and A.A. Gudmundsson, (1980), Geomagnetic excursions in late-glacial
658 basalt outcrop in south-western Iceland, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 7, 337–340.

659 Ku, T.L., M. Kusakabe, C.I. Measures, J.R., Southon, G. Cusimano, J.S. Vogel, D.E.
660 Nelson, and S. Nakaya (1990), Beryllium isotope distribution in the western North
661 Atlantic : a comparison to the Pacific, *Deep-Sea Res.* 37, 795-808.

662 Laj, C., C. Kissel, A. Mazaud, J.E.T. Channell, and J. Beer (2000), North Atlantic
663 paleointensity stack since 75 ka (NAPIS-75) and the duration of the Laschamp event,
664 *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* 358, 1009–1025.

665 Laj, C., C. Kissel, V. Scao, J. Beer, D.M. Thomas, H. Guillou, R. Muscheler, and G. Wagner
666 (2002), Geomagnetic intensity and inclination variations at Hawaii for the past 98 kyr
667 from core SOH-4 (Big Island): a new study and a comparison with existing
668 contemporary data, *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* 129, 205–243.

669 Laj, C., C. Kissel, and J. Beer (2004), High resolution global paleointensity stack since 75
670 kyr (GLOPIS-75) calibrated to absolute values, In: Channell, J.E.T., D.V. Kent, W.
671 Lowrie, and J.G. Meert, (Eds.), Timescales of the Paleomagnetic Field, *Geophys.*
672 *Monogr.* 145.

673 Lal, D. (1988), Theoretically expected variations in the terrestrial cosmic-ray production
674 rates of isotopes, *Soc. Italia. di Fisica-Bologna-Italy XCV corso*, 216-233.

675 Lal, D. (1992), Expected secular variations in the global terrestrial production rate of
676 radiocarbon, In: Bard, E., and W.S. Broecker (Eds.), The Last Deglaciation: Absolute
677 and Radiocarbon Chronologies, Series I, *Global Environmental Change* 2, 114–126.

678 Lal, D., and B. Peters (1967), Cosmic ray produced radioactivity on the Earth, in: Flugge, S.
679 (Ed.), *Handb. Phys. Springer*, pp. 551-612.

680 Leduc, G., N. Thouveny, D.L. Bourlès, C.L. Blanchet, and J.T. Carcaillet (2006), Authigenic
681 $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ signature of the Laschamp excursion: A tool for global synchronisation of
682 paleoclimatic archives, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 245, 19-28.

683 Levi, S., H. Gudmunsson, R.A. Duncan, L. Kristjansson, P.V. Gillot, and S.P. Jacobsson
684 (1990), Late Pleistocene geomagnetic excursion in Icelandic lavas: Confirmation of the
685 Laschamp excursion, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 96, 443–457.

686 Liddicoat, J.C. (1992), Mono Lake Excursion in Mono Basin, California, and at Carson Sink
687 and Pyramid Lake, Nevada, *Geophys. J. Intern.* 108, 442-452.

688 Lisiecki, L.E. and M.E. Raymo (2005), A Pliocene-Pleistocene stack of 57 globally
689 distributed benthic $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ record, *Paleoceanography*, 20, 1-17,
690 doi:10.1029/2004PA001071

691 Lund, S.P., M. Schwartz, L. Keigwin, and T. Johnson (2005), Deep-sea sediment records of
692 the Laschamp geomagnetic field excursion (<41,000 calendar years before present), *J.*
693 *Geophys. Res.* 110, Q12006.

694 Lund, S.P., J.S. Stoner, J.E.T. Channell, and G. Acton (2006), A summary of Brunhes
695 paleomagnetic field variability recorded in ODP Cores, *Phys. Earth Planet. Int.* 156,
696 194–204.

697 Masarik, J., and J. Beer (1999), Simulation of particle fluxes and cosmogenic nuclide
698 production in the Earth's atmosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.* 104, 12099-12111.

699 Masarik, J., and J. Beer (2009), An updated simulation of particle fluxes and cosmogenic
700 nuclide production in the Earth's atmosphere. *J. Geophys. Res.* 114, D1110,
701 doi:10.1029/2008JD010557.

702 McGregor, H.V., M.K. Gagan, M.T. McCulloch, E. Hodge, and G. Mortimer (2008), Mid-
703 Holocene variability in the marine ^{14}C reservoir age for northern coastal Papua New
704 Guinea, *Quat. Geochronol.* 3, 213-225.

705 Ménabréaz, L., N. Thouveny, D. L. Bourlès, P. Deschamps, B. Hamelin, and F. Demory
706 (2011), The Laschamp geomagnetic dipole low expressed as a cosmogenic ^{10}Be
707 atmospheric overproduction at ~41 ka, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 312, 305-317.

708 Milliman, J.D., K.L. Farnsworth, and C.S. Albertin (1999), Flux and fate of fluvial
709 sediments leaving large islands in the East Indies, *J. Sea Res.* 41, 97-107.

710 Mochizuki, N., H. Tsunakawa, H. Shibuya, T. Tagami, A. Ozawa, J. Cassidy, and I.E.M.
711 Smith (2004), K–Ar ages of the Auckland geomagnetic excursions, *Earth Planets*
712 *Space* 56, 283–288.

713 Mochizuki, N., H. Tsunakawa, H. Shibuya, J. Cassidy, and I.E.M. Smith (2006),
714 Paleointensities of the Auckland geomagnetic excursions, *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*
715 154, 168–179.

716 Mochizuki, N., H. Tsunakawa, H. Shibuya, T. Tagami, A. Ozawa, and I.E.M. Smith (2007),
717 Further K–Ar dating and paleomagnetic study of the Auckland geomagnetic excursions,
718 *Earth Planets Space* 59, 755–761.

719 Muscheler, R., J. Beer, P.W. Kubik, and H.A. Synal (2005), Geomagnetic field intensity
720 during the last 60,000 years based on ^{10}Be and ^{36}Cl from the Summit ice cores and ^{14}C .
721 *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 24, 1849-1860.

722 Negrini, R.M., J.O Davis, and K.L. Verosub (1984), Mono Lake geomagnetic excursion
723 found at Summer Lake, Oregon, *Geology* 12, 643-646.

724 Negrini, R., K.F Erbes, A.M. Herrera, A.P Roberts, A. Cohen, M. Palacios-Fest, P.E.
725 Wigand, and F. Foit (2000), A paleoclimate record for the past 250,000 years from
726 Summer Lake, Oregon, USA: I. Age control and magnetic lake level proxies, *J.*
727 *Paleolimn.* 24, 125-149.

728 Nishiizumi, K., M. Imamura, M.W. Caffee, J.R. Southon, R.C. Finkel, and J. McAninch
729 (2007), Absolute calibration of ^{10}Be AMS standards, *Nucl. Instr. Meth. in Phys. Res.*
730 *Sect. B* 258, 403-413.

731 NGRIP-dating-group (2006), Greenland Ice Core Chronology 2005 (GICC05), IGBP
732 PAGES/World Data Center for Paleoclimatology Data Contribution Series # 2006-118.
733 NOAA/NCDC Paleoclimatology Program, Boulder CO, USA.

734 Nowaczyk, N.R., and J. Knies (2000), Magnetostratigraphic results from the eastern Arctic
735 ocean: AMS ^{14}C ages and relative paleointensity data of the mono lake and Laschamp
736 geomagnetic reversal excursions, *Geophys. J. Intern.* 140, 185-197.

737 Plenier, G., J.P. Valet, G. Guérin, J.C Lefèvre, M. LeGoff, and B. Carter-Stiglitz (2007),
738 Origin and age of the directions recorded during the Laschamp event in the Chaîne des
739 Puys (France), *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 259, 414-431.

740 Raisbeck, G.M., F. Yiou, M. Fruneau, J.M Loiseaux, M. Lieuvain, and J.C. Ravel (1981),
741 Cosmogenic $\text{Be-10}/\text{Be-7}$ as a probe of atmospheric transport processes, *Geophys. Res.*
742 *Lett.* 8, 1015-1018.

743 Raisbeck, G. M., F. Yiou, J. Jouzel, J.R Petit, E. Bard, and N. I Barkov (1992), ^{10}Be
744 deposition at Vostok, Antarctica, during the last 50000 years and its relationship to
745 possible cosmogenic production variations during this period, in: Bard, E., and W.S.
746 Broecker (Eds.), The Last Deglaciation: Absolute and Radiocarbon Chronologies,
747 Series I, *Global Environmental Change* 2, 127–139.

748 Rasmussen, S.O., Seierstad, I.K., Andersen, K.K., Bigler, M., Dahl-Jensen, D., Johnsen,
749 S.J., 2006. NGRIP, GRIP, and GISP2 Synchronization Match Points. IGBP
750 PAGES/World Data Center for Paleoclimatology. Data Contribution Series # 2006-120.
751 NOAA/NCDC Paleoclimatology Program, Boulder CO, USA.

752 Reimer, P.J., M.G.L. Baillie, E. Bard, A. Bayliss, J.W Beck, P.G. Blackwell, C. Bronk
753 Ramsey, C.E. Buck, G.S. Burr, R.L. Edwards, M. Friedrich, P.M. Grootes, T.P
754 Guilderson, I. Hajdas, T.J. Heaton, A.G Hogg, K.A. Hughen, K.F. Kaiser, B. Kromer,
755 G. McCormac, S. Manning, R.W Reimer, D.A. Richards, J.R. Southon, S. Talamo,
756 C.S.M. Turney, J. van der Plicht, and C.E Weyhenmeyer (2009), IntCal09 and
757 Marine09 radiocarbon age calibration curves, 0-50000 Years cal BP, *Radiocarbon* 51,
758 1111-1150.

759 Roberts, A.P., and M. Winklhofer (2004), Why are geomagnetic excursions not always
760 recorded in sediments? Constraints from post-depositional remanent magnetization
761 lock-in modelling, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 227, 345-359.

762 Robinson, C., G.M Raisbeck, F. Yiou, B. Lehman, and C. Laj (1995), The relationship
763 between ^{10}Be and geomagnetic field strength records in central North Atlantic
764 sediments during the last 80 ka, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 136, 551-557.

765 Roperch, P., N. Bonhommet, and S. Levi (1988), Paleointensity of the earth's magnetic field
 766 during the Laschamp excursion and its geomagnetic implications, *Earth Planet. Sci.*
 767 *Lett.* 88, 209–219.

768 Shibuya, H., J. Cassidy, I.E.M. Smith, and T. Itaya (1992), A geomagnetic excursion in the
 769 Brunhes epoch recorded in New Zealand basalts, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 111, 41–48.

770 Singer, B.S., H. Guillou, B.R. Jicha, C. Laj, C. Kissel, B.L. Beard, and C.M. Johnson (2009),
 771 $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$, K-Ar and ^{230}Th - ^{238}U dating of the Laschamp Excursion: A radioisotopic tie-
 772 point for ice core and climate chronologies, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 286, 80-88.

773 Tachikawa, K., O. Cartapanis, L. Vidal, L. Beaufort, T. Barlyaeva, and E. Bard (2011), The
 774 precession phase of hydrological variability in the Western Pacific Warm Pool during
 775 the past 400 ka, *Quat. Sc. Rev.* 30, 3716-3727

776 Taylor, J.R. (1997), An Introduction to Error Analysis. The study of Uncertainties in
 777 Physical Measurements, *Second ed.*, University Science Books, Sausalito, USA.

778 Teanby, N., C. Laj, D. Gubbins, and M. Pringle (2002), A detailed palaeointensity and
 779 Inclination record from drill core SOH1 on Hawaii, *Physics Earth Planet. Inter.* 131,
 780 101-140.

781 Thouveny, N., and K.M. Creer (1992), On the brevity of the Laschamp Excursion, *Bull.*
 782 *Soc. Géol. Fr.* 6, 771–780.

783 Thouveny, N., J. Carcaillet, E. Moreno, G. Leduc, and D. Nérini (2004), Geomagnetic
 784 moment variation and paleomagnetic excursions since 400 kyr BP: a stacked record

785 from sedimentary sequences of the Portuguese margin, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 219,
786 377-396.

787 Vlag, P., N. Thouveny, D. Williamson, P. Rochette, and F. Ben-Atig (1996), Evidence for a
788 geomagnetic excursion recorded in the sediments of Lac St. Front, France: a link with
789 the Laschamp Excursion? *J. Geophys. Res.* 101, 28211–28230.

790 Wagner, G., J. Beer, C., Laj, C. Kissel, J. Masarik, R. Muscheler, and H.A. Synal (2000a),
791 Chlorine-36 evidence for the Mono Lake event in the Summit GRIP ice core, *Earth*
792 *Planet. Sci. Lett.* 181, 1-6.

793 Wagner, G., J. Masarik, J. Beer, S. Baumgartner, D. Imboden, P.W. Kubik, H.A. Synal, and
794 M. Suter (2000b), Reconstruction of the geomagnetic field between 20 and 60 kyr BP
795 from cosmogenic radionuclides in the GRIP ice core, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res.*
796 *Sect. B* 172, 597-604.

797 Wang, B., S.C. Clemens, and P. Liu (2003), Contrasting the Indian and East Asian
798 monsoons: implications on geologic timescales, *Mar. Geol.* 201: 5-21.

799 Wang, Y.J., H. Cheng, R.L. Edwards, Z.S. An, J.Y. Wu, C.C. Shen, and J.A. Dorale (2001),
800 A High-Resolution Absolute-Dated Late Pleistocene Monsoon Record from Hulu Cave,
801 China, *Science* 294, 2345-2348.

802 Webster, P.J., V.O. Magana, T.N. Palmer, J. Shukla, R.A. Tomas, M. Yanai, and T.
803 Yasunari (1998), Monsoon: Processes, predictability, and prospects for prediction, *J.*
804 *Geophys. Res.* 103(C7), 14451-14,10.

805 Yiou, F., G.M. Raisbeck, S. Baumgartner, J. Beer, C. Hammer, S. Johnsen, J. Jouzel, P.W.
806 Kubik, J. Lestringuez, M. Stiévenard, M. Sutter, and P. Yiou (1997), Beryllium 10 in
807 the Greenland Ice Core Project ice core at Summit, Greenland, *J. Geophys. Res.* 102,
808 26783-26794.

809 Zic, M., R. Negrini, and P.E. Wigand (2002), Evidence of synchronous climate change
810 across the Northern Hemisphere between the North Atlantic and the northwestern Great
811 Basin, United States, *Geology* 30, 635–638.

812 Zimmerman, S.H., S.R. Hemming, D.V. Kent, and S.Y. Searle (2006), Revised chronology
813 for late Pleistocene Mono Lake sediments based on paleointensity correlation to the
814 global reference curve, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 252, 94-106.

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

822 **Figure Captions :**

823

Figure 1. A. Location map of the MD05-2920 coring site in the Bismarck Sea, on the Papua New Guinea northern margin. B. Location of the marine sediment cores used in this study: MD05-2020 core located North of Papua New Guinea (NPNG), MD04-2811 and MD95-2042 cores located on the Portuguese Margin. Location of the GRIP and GISP2 ice cores at Summit (Greenland).

Figure 2. A. The $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ record along core MD05-2920. B. The NRM and ARM intensities are both demagnetized at 30mT AF step along core MD05-2920 and provide a ratio considered as the best Relative Paleointensity proxy. C. $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ measured on benthic foraminifer *Uvigerina peregrina* (Tachikawa et al., 2011). D. Chronostratigraphic markers in MD05-2920 sediments, and the depth-age relationship. Green dots are radiocarbon dated levels, and the blue dot is the MIS-3 MIS-4 transition tie point. Between these points, the age model is based on linear interpolation.

Figure 3. (a) ^{10}Be deposition flux (10^6 atoms/cm²/yr) record at Summit (Greenland) in GIPS2 and GRIP ice cores [Finkel et al., 1997; Yiou et al., 1997; Muscheler et al., 2005]. Authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratios (10^{-8}) records in the Portuguese Margin (b) and Papua New Guinea (c) sediments.

Figure 4. Records of ^{10}Be atmospheric production variations between 20 and 60 ka. Dots correspond to the authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratios from MD04-2811 (blue dots), MD05-2920 (red dots) and MD95-2042 (black dots). In grey, the Greenland ^{10}Be deposition flux variations. All data series are normalized to their own mean values, and plotted on their own chronological scales.

Figure 5. Variations of the ^{10}Be production in the atmosphere during the 20-50 ka period. In red, the authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ composite record, and the associated 1σ uncertainties. It is compared with the Greenland ^{10}Be -Flux record (In grey), and its 1000-year smoothed version (black curve). The Greenland record is normalized to its own mean value, and plotted on its own chronological scale (see text).

Figure 6. Calibration of the normalized $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ (proxy of the normalized ^{10}Be production) values using Virtual Dipole Moments (VDM) values provided by the GEOMAGIA-50 database [Korhonen *et al.*, 2008], and the polynomial fit used to derive Virtual Dipole Moments (VDM): $y = 32.973 - 42.156x + 13.921x^2$. The normalized $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ intermediate and minimum clusters are calculated using values comprised within the « mean $\pm 1\sigma$ » range, and using values less than « mean - 1σ », respectively. Associated error bars correspond to the standard deviation of the values used for averaging. Grey dots indicate normalized dipole moments obtained after application of Elsasser's algorithm [see Lal, 1992] on the normalized $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ data.

Figure 7. ^{10}Be -based VDM reconstruction (in 10^{22} Am^2) and associated 1-sigma uncertainties, compared to paleomagnetic VADM reconstructions (GLOPIS-75 and SINT 800 reference records) over the 20- 50 ka interval. The grey band represents the GLOPIS-75 1 sigma envelop [Laj *et al.*, 2004] plotted on the GICC05 time-scale, and the black curve is the SINT 800 reconstruction [Guyodo and Valet, 1999]. Each data series is plotted on its own time scale. The dotted line shows the present-day VADM value of $\sim 8 \times 10^{22} \text{ A.m}^2$.

867

868

869

870

871

872

873

874

875

876

877

A.

B.

Table1: AMS measurements, Be isotopes concentrations and authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratios of core MD05-2920 samples.

Sample: Core name- sample depth in core (cm)	Age (BP)	Measured $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ (10^{-11}) *	Decay-corrected authigenic [^{10}Be] (10^{-14}g/g) *	Authigenic [^9Be] (10^{-7}g/g) *	Authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ (10^{-8}) *
MD05 2920-467	19405	2.134±0.021	0.956±0.009	1.793±0.083	5.33±0.26
MD05 2920-797	20937	3.307±0.034	1.535±0.016	2.442±0.026	6.29±0.10
MD05 2920-527	22777	3.148±0.029	1.475±0.014	2.325±0.006	6.34±0.06
MD05 2920-557	24616	3.246±0.031	1.558±0.015	2.536±0.033	6.15±0.10
MD05 2920-587	26798	2.508±0.024	1.701±0.016	2.355±0.037	7.22±0.14
MD05 2920-627	29925	4.097±0.035	1.723±0.015	2.540±0.017	6.78±0.08
MD05 2920-637	30714	4.094±0.035	1.724±0.015	2.486±0.028	6.94±0.10
MD05 2920-647	31121	3.636±0.033	1.541±0.014	2.423±0.036	6.36±0.11
MD05 2920-657	31529	3.546±0.033	1.457±0.014	2.233±0.010	6.52±0.07
MD05 2920-667	31936	3.604±0.032	1.538±0.014	2.252±0.016	6.83±0.08
MD05 2920-677	32343	3.693±0.034	1.477±0.014	2.098±0.023	7.04±0.10
MD05 2920-687	33436	4.419±0.040	1.717±0.016	2.542±0.028	6.75±0.10
MD05 2920-697	34529	4.386±0.038	1.609±0.014	2.076±0.052	7.75±0.21
MD05 2920-707	35622	3.330±0.030	1.546±0.014	2.445±0.007	6.32±0.06
MD05 2920-717	36715	4.787±0.043	1.763±0.016	2.604±0.030	6.77±0.10
MD05 2920-727	37557	2.910±0.060	1.830±0.038	2.387±0.048	7.67±0.22
MD05 2920-737	38372	3.426±0.030	1.865±0.017	2.458±0.031	7.59±0.12
MD05 2920-747	39187	4.987±0.042	2.104±0.018	2.347±0.026	8.96±0.13
MD05 2920-757	40002	5.540±0.047	2.311±0.020	2.514±0.019	9.19±0.11
MD05 2920-767	40816	4.358±0.028	2.439±0.016	2.029±0.032	12.01±0.21
MD05 2920-777	41631	3.994±0.023	2.274±0.013	2.115±0.033	10.75±0.18
MD05 2920-787	42446	3.662±0.025	2.068±0.014	2.242±0.011	9.22±0.08
MD05 2920-797	43261	4.262±0.028	1.892±0.013	2.016±0.021	9.38±0.12
MD05 2920-807	44075	3.829±0.027	1.627±0.012	2.097±0.048	7.76±0.19
MD05 2920-817	44890	3.077±0.023	1.307±0.010	2.333±0.055	5.60±0.14
MD05 2920-827	45705	2.672±0.019	1.294±0.010	2.127±0.020	6.09±0.08
MD05 2920-837	46520	2.850±0.019	1.335±0.009	2.138±0.068	6.24±0.21
MD05 2920-847	47334	3.419±0.022	1.276±0.009	2.107±0.048	6.06±0.15
MD05 2920-857	48149	2.590±0.019	1.227±0.009	2.257±0.015	5.44±0.06
MD05 2920-867	48964	2.531±0.020	1.222±0.010	2.509±0.008	4.87±0.05
MD05 2920-897	51408	1.645±0.015	1.123±0.010	2.459±0.100	4.57±0.19
MD05 2920-927	53852	2.051±0.016	1.400±0.011	2.572±0.103	5.45±0.23
MD05 2920-957	56297	2.834±0.021	1.549±0.012	2.053±0.054	7.55±0.21
MD05 2920-967	57111	3.181±0.023	1.543±0.011	2.398±0.074	6.43±0.21
mean ± std. dev.			1.618±0.397	2.303±0.202	7.07±1.62
mean ± SDOM					7.07±0.28

* errors are 1 sigma, and rounded to the higher value. Sample depths are corrected from the 30 cm surface sediment void.

Table 2: Chronological data for MD05-2920 age model construction.

Sample depth in core MD05-2920 (cm) ^a	¹⁴ C Age ^b (¹⁴ C yr BP)	Calibrated Age (yr BP)	Method
40	2610 ± 35	2151 ± 206	¹⁴ C AMS ages, INTCAL09 *
80	4445 ± 35	4553 ± 271	¹⁴ C AMS ages, INTCAL09 *
119	6040 ± 40	6463 ± 191	¹⁴ C AMS ages, INTCAL09 *
198	8965 ± 45	9544 ± 251	¹⁴ C AMS ages, INTCAL09 *
253	10120 ± 35	11000 ± 252	¹⁴ C AMS ages, INTCAL09 *
302	11835 ± 45	13289 ± 196	¹⁴ C AMS ages, INTCAL09 *
322	12525 ± 40	13973 ± 267	¹⁴ C AMS ages, INTCAL09 *
352	12575 ± 45	14146 ± 396	¹⁴ C AMS ages, INTCAL09 *
417	15130 ± 60	18375 ± 130	¹⁴ C AMS ages, INTCAL09 *
437	15710 ± 60	19405 ± 197	¹⁴ C AMS ages, INTCAL09 *
472	16710 ± 60	19405 ± 197	¹⁴ C AMS ages, INTCAL09 *
557	21030 ± 90	24616 ± 409	¹⁴ C AMS ages, INTCAL09 *
592	22840 ± 90	27162 ± 609	¹⁴ C AMS ages, INTCAL09 *
637	26430 ± 130	30714 ± 557	¹⁴ C AMS ages, INTCAL09 *
677	28560 ± 160	32343 ± 715	¹⁴ C AMS ages, INTCAL09 *
718	32520 ± 240	36824 ± 623	¹⁴ C AMS ages, INTCAL09 *
1027		62000	Tuned to benthic δ ¹⁸ O **

^a All depths in core MD05-2920 are corrected for a top-core 30 cm void.

^b All ¹⁴C ages were determined by *Tachikawa et al.* [2011], and corrected for a regional reservoir age of 420 ± 60 years given by *McGregor et al.* [2008]. Errors are 2-sigma.

* *Reimer et al.* [2009]

** *Lisiecki and Raymo* [2005], *Tachikawa et al.* [2011].

Table 3: Authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ marine stacked record, and corresponding ^{10}Be -based VDM record.

Age (BP)	Authigenic $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ stack (normalized data)	VDM (10^{22} A.m ²)
20572	0.90 ± 0.03	6.4 ± 0.4
21072	0.87 ± 0.04	6.7 ± 0.6
22072	0.91 ± 0.10	6.2 ± 1.3
22572	0.90 ± 0.02	6.4 ± 0.3
23072	0.88 ± 0.01	6.7 ± 0.1
23572	0.86 ± 0.01	6.9 ± 0.2
24572	0.86 ± 0.01	7,0 ± 0.1
25072	0.86 ± 0.01	7,0 ± 0.1
25572	1.05 ± 0.07	4,0 ± 0.5
26072	1.04 ± 0.07	4.2 ± 0.6
26572	1.00 ± 0.04	4.8 ± 0.3
27072	0.94 ± 0.09	5.6 ± 1.1
27572	0.90 ± 0.08	6.4 ± 1.1
28072	0.89 ± 0.04	6.4 ± 0.5
28572	0.89 ± 0.02	6.4 ± 0.4
29072	0.92 ± 0.03	5.9 ± 0.4
29572	0.93 ± 0.04	5.9 ± 0.6
30072	0.94 ± 0.09	5.6 ± 1,0
30572	0.97 ± 0.07	5.2 ± 0.8
31072	0.93 ± 0.04	5.7 ± 0.4
31572	0.93 ± 0.15	5.8 ± 1.8
32072	0.95 ± 0.16	5.5 ± 1.9
32572	0.99 ± 0.06	4.9 ± 0.6
33072	0.99 ± 0.05	4.9 ± 0.5
33572	0.99 ± 0.04	4.9 ± 0.4
34072	1.06 ± 0.02	3.9 ± 0.1
34572	1.03 ± 0.08	4.3 ± 0.6

35072	0.98 ± 0.06	5,0 ± 0.6
35572	0.92 ± 0.12	5.9 ± 1.5
36072	0.97 ± 0.16	5.2 ± 1.7
36572	0.98 ± 0.11	5,0 ± 1.1
37072	0.96 ± 0.07	5.4 ± 0.8
37572	0.93 ± 0.13	5.8 ± 1.6
38072	1.02 ± 0.20	4.4 ± 1.7
38572	1.06 ± 0.22	3.9 ± 1.6
39072	1.09 ± 0.22	3.6 ± 1.4
39572	1.22 ± 0.09	2.3 ± 0.4
40072	1.29 ± 0.18	1.7 ± 0.5
40572	1.49 ± 0.21	1.1 ± 0.3
41072	1.52 ± 0.26	1.1 ± 0.4
41572	1.33 ± 0.31	1.5 ± 0.7
42072	1.23 ± 0.15	2.2 ± 0.5
42572	1.13 ± 0.08	3.1 ± 0.4
43072	1.05 ± 0.25	4.2 ± 2,0
43572	0.92 ± 0.28	5.9 ± 3.6
44072	0.95 ± 0.10	5.4 ± 1.1
44572	0.09 ± 0.15	6.3 ± 2.2
45072	0.82 ± 0.12	7.8 ± 2.2
45572	0.90 ± 0.13	6.4 ± 1.8
46072	0.90 ± 0.07	6.2 ± 1,0
46572	0.86 ± 0.07	7,0 ± 1.1
47072	0.83 ± 0.07	7.5 ± 1.2
47572	0.74 ± 0.15	9.5 ± 3.9
48072	0.67 ± 0.10	10.9 ± 3.1
48572	0.70 ± 0.06	10.2 ± 1.9
49072	0.68 ± 0.04	10.8 ± 1.3
49572	0.73 ± 0.04	9.7 ± 1.1
50072	0.76 ± 0.06	9,0 ± 1.5

50572	0.79 ± 0.04	8.3 ± 0.8
51072	0.71 ± 0.07	10.0 ± 1.9
51572	0.68 ± 0.07	10.7 ± 2.1

errors are 1-sigma