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Migration of imaginary roots of multiplicity three and four under small deviation of two delays in time-delay systems

Dina Irofti\textsuperscript{1} Keqin Gu\textsuperscript{2} Islam Boussaada\textsuperscript{1} Silviu-Iulian Niculescu\textsuperscript{1}

Abstract—This paper studies the migration pattern of characteristic imaginary roots of multiplicity three and four in time-delay systems with two delays when the delay parameters undergo small deviations. Stability analysis for such problems is often based on Puiseux series, as multiple roots are not differentiable with respect to delay parameters. However, in this paper the approach is more traditional without using Puiseux series. In the case of triple roots, we show that the stability crossing curves are smooth; when a perturbation occurs in the delay parameter space, two roots move to one half-plane and one root to the other half-plane. The case of quadruple root is more complicated as the stability crossing curve has a cusp. Thus, in the neighbourhood of the critical point, the root is more complicated as the stability crossing curve has a cusp. This is not the case for triple roots. The paper is structured as follows: Section II states the problem and introduces the notation. In Sections III and IV we give the results concerning the behaviours of characteristic roots when a small change in the parameters occurs, for the case of triple and quadruple roots, respectively. The last section contains some concluding remarks.

I. INTRODUCTION

As many dynamic process contain some aftereffect (delay) phenomenon, the scientific community has a great interest in time-delay systems. However, the stability analysis of such systems is not an easy task as they belong to the class of functional differential equations. A very useful method for such an analysis is D-decomposition method [1]. Suppose the system depends on some parameters, the idea of D-decomposition method is to find the values of these parameters at which the number of the characteristic roots in the right half-plane changes. Such values divide the parameter space into regions. The method is especially valuable for analyzing time-delay systems [2] [3] [5]. When the parameters are the delays, this method is also known as \(\tau\)-decomposition method [4] [5].

In this paper we consider a case not sufficiently discussed in the literature (see for instance [6], [7]), namely when the system’s characteristic equation has multiple imaginary roots for some parameters. The stability analysis of systems with two delays and without multiple imaginary roots is discussed in [8]. Next, [9] presents an analysis for the case of double imaginary roots, it was shown that the local stability crossing curve has a cusp as shown in Figure 1, and an explicit criterion is given regarding how the double characteristic imaginary roots migrate as the delay parameters deviate from the critical values.

The cases of roots with multiplicity three and four have recently come into attention of the control community, and some work has been already done in connection with inverted pendulum (see, for instance, the bifurcation analysis of triple-zero eigenvalue in [10] and [11]).

Puiseux series are often used in the literature for the stability analysis in the case of multiple roots (see [12] and Part II. Chapter 5 of [13]). This approach is also important for time-delay systems (see for instance [14], [15], [7], where stability analysis is based on Puiseux series). We also mention [16], where delay blocks have been used in order to control a chain of oscillators.

In this paper, we study the case of imaginary characteristic roots of multiplicity three and four, and show that the stability analysis can be based on a more conventional approach without using Puiseux series. We shall see that if the system has an imaginary root of multiplicity four, then the stability crossing curve has a cusp in the parameter space. This is not the case for triple roots. The paper is structured as follows: Section II states the problem and introduces the notation. In Sections III and IV we give the results concerning the behaviours of characteristic roots when a small change in the parameters occurs, for the case of triple and quadruple roots, respectively. The last section contains some concluding remarks.

II. PROBLEM FORMULATION

Consider a system with two delays, \(\tau_1\) and \(\tau_2\), with the characteristic equation

\[ p(s, \tau_1, \tau_2) = p_0(s) + p_1(s)e^{-\tau_1 s} + p_2(s)e^{-\tau_2 s} = 0, \] (1)

where \(p_k(s), k = 0, 1, 2\) are polynomials of \(s\) with real coefficients, \(\tau_1, \tau_2\) are independent positive delays, and \(s\) is the Laplace variable. For \(\tau_1 = \tau_{10}, \tau_2 = \tau_{20}\), we assume \(p(s, \tau_1, \tau_2)\) has an imaginary root \(s_0 = i\omega_0\) of \(m^{th}\) order. In other words,

\[
\left. \frac{\partial^k p}{\partial s^k} \right|_{\begin{array}{c} s=s_0 \\ \tau_1=\tau_{10} \\ \tau_2=\tau_{20} \end{array}} = 0, \quad \text{for } k = 0 \ldots m - 1 \] (2)

\[
\left. \frac{\partial^m p}{\partial s^m} \right|_{\begin{array}{c} s=s_0 \\ \tau_1=\tau_{10} \\ \tau_2=\tau_{20} \end{array}} \neq 0. \] (3)

The case of \(m = 2\) (double roots) is presented in [9]. This paper studies the case of \(m = 3\) (triple roots) and \(m = 4\) (quadruple roots).
Throughout this paper, we make the following “least degeneracy” assumption:
\[
D = \det \left( \begin{array}{cc}
\text{Re}(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \tau_1}) & \text{Re}(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \tau_2}) \\
\text{Im}(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \tau_1}) & \text{Im}(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \tau_2})
\end{array} \right)_{s=s_0} \neq 0, \tag{4}
\]
where \(\text{Re}(\cdot)\) denotes the real part, and \(\text{Im}(\cdot)\) denotes the imaginary part of a complex number. In view of implicit function theorem, a consequence of the assumption (4) is that the characteristic equation (1) defines the pair \((\tau_1, \tau_2)\) in a small neighbourhood of the critical point \((\tau_{10}, \tau_{20})\) as a function of \(s\) in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of \(s_0\).

Introduce the notation
\[
\mathcal{N}_\epsilon(x_0) = \{ x \mid |x - x_0| < \epsilon \}.
\]
Then, in a sufficiently small neighbourhood \(\mathcal{N}_\epsilon(s_0)\) of \(s_0\), we can define (see proposition 1 in [9]) two functions, \(\tau_1(s)\) and \(\tau_2(s)\), differentiable up to an arbitrary order, as the unique solution of characteristic equation (1) in a small neighbourhood, \((\tau_1(s), \tau_2(s)) \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon(\tau_{10}, \tau_{20})\) (but this characteristic equation may have other solutions outside the of \(\mathcal{N}_\epsilon(\tau_{10}, \tau_{20})\)).

Define local stability crossing curve as the set
\[
\mathcal{T}_{(\omega, \tau_{10}, \tau_{20})} = \left\{ (\tau_1(\omega), \tau_2(\omega)) \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon(\tau_{10}, \tau_{20}) \mid \omega \in \mathcal{N}_\delta(\omega_0) \right\}.
\]
This curve divides \(\mathcal{N}_\epsilon(\tau_{10}, \tau_{20})\) into two regions. We will study how the triple or quadruple roots migrate as the delay parameters \((\tau_1, \tau_2)\) move into one of these two regions.

For the sake of convenience, we also define the positive local stability crossing curve as
\[
\mathcal{T}^+_{(\omega, \tau_{10}, \tau_{20})} = \left\{ \tau_1(\omega), \tau_2(\omega) \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon(\tau_{10}, \tau_{20}) \mid \omega \in \mathcal{N}_\delta(\omega_0), \omega > \omega_0 \right\},
\]
and the negative local stability crossing curve as
\[
\mathcal{T}^-_{(\omega, \tau_{10}, \tau_{20})} = \left\{ \tau_1(\omega), \tau_2(\omega) \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon(\tau_{10}, \tau_{20}) \mid \omega \in \mathcal{N}_\delta(\omega_0), \omega < \omega_0 \right\}.
\]

III. MULTIPlicity THREE

In this section, we study the migration of triple roots.

**Theorem 1:** Suppose system (1) satisfies (2) and (3) for \(m = 3\), and assumption (4) holds. Then, as \((\tau_1, \tau_2)\) move from \((\tau_{10}, \tau_{20})\) to one of the two regions of \(\mathcal{N}_\epsilon(\tau_{10}, \tau_{20})\) divided up by \(\mathcal{T}_{(\omega, \tau_{10}, \tau_{20})}\), at least one root moves to the right half-plane, and one other root moves to the left half-plane. The remaining root may move to either the left half-plane, or the right half-plane. Specifically:

**Case i.** \(D > 0\) and \((\tau_1, \tau_2)\) moves in the region on the clockwise side of \(\mathcal{T}^+_{(\omega, \tau_{10}, \tau_{20})}\) and on the counterclockwise side of \(\mathcal{T}^-_{(\omega, \tau_{10}, \tau_{20})}\). In this case, two characteristic roots of (1) move to the right-half complex plane, and the third root moves to the left-half plane.

**Case ii.** \(D > 0\) and \((\tau_1, \tau_2)\) moves in the region on the clockwise side of \(\mathcal{T}^-_{(\omega, \tau_{10}, \tau_{20})}\) and on the counterclockwise side of \(\mathcal{T}^+_{(\omega, \tau_{10}, \tau_{20})}\). In this case, two characteristic roots of (1) move to the left-half complex plane, and the third root moves to the right-half plane.

**Case iii.** \(D < 0\) and \((\tau_1, \tau_2)\) moves in the region on the clockwise side of \(\mathcal{T}^-_{(\omega, \tau_{10}, \tau_{20})}\) and on the counterclockwise side of \(\mathcal{T}^+_{(\omega, \tau_{10}, \tau_{20})}\). In this case, two characteristic roots of (1) move to the right-half complex plane, and the third root moves to the left-half plane.

**Case iv.** \(D < 0\) and \((\tau_1, \tau_2)\) moves in the region on the clockwise side of \(\mathcal{T}^+_{(\omega, \tau_{10}, \tau_{20})}\) and on the counterclockwise side of \(\mathcal{T}^-_{(\omega, \tau_{10}, \tau_{20})}\). In this case, two characteristic roots of (1) move to the left-half complex plane, and the third root moves to the right-half plane.

**Proof:** In the complex plane consider a point \(s\) in the neighbourhood of \(s_0\), let
\[
s = s_0 + u e^{i \theta}.
\]
Denote
\[
\gamma = \epsilon^{i \theta} = \frac{\partial s}{\partial u}.
\]
Differentiate (1) with respect to \(u\) with the angular variable \(\theta\) fixed (equivalently with \(\gamma\) fixed), and consider \(\tau_1(s)\) and \(\tau_2(s)\) as functions of \(u\) and \(\theta\). This yields:
\[
\frac{\partial p}{\partial \tau_1} \frac{\partial \tau_1}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \tau_2} \frac{\partial \tau_2}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \gamma} \gamma = 0. \tag{6}
\]
Setting \(u = 0\) and using equation (2) for \(k = 1\) in (6), we obtain
\[
\left( \begin{array}{cc}
\text{Re}(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \tau_1}) & \text{Re}(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \tau_2}) \\
\text{Im}(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \tau_1}) & \text{Im}(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \tau_2})
\end{array} \right)_{s=s_0, \tau_1=\tau_{10}, \tau_2=\tau_{20}} = 0,
\]
from which we conclude
\[
\left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial \tau_1}{\partial u} \\
\frac{\partial \tau_2}{\partial u}
\end{array} \right)_{u=0} = 0, \tag{7}
\]
in view of (4) and (5). Differentiating (6) with respect to \(u\) again yields
\[
\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \tau_1^2} \left( \frac{\partial \tau_1}{\partial u} \right)^2 + 2 \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \tau_1 \partial \tau_2} \frac{\partial \tau_1}{\partial u} \frac{\partial \tau_2}{\partial u} + 2 \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \tau_1 \partial \gamma} \frac{\partial \tau_1}{\partial u} \gamma + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \tau_1} \frac{\partial^2 \tau_1}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \tau_1}{\partial u^2} \frac{\partial \tau_2}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial^2 \tau_1}{\partial \tau_2 \partial \gamma} \gamma + \frac{\partial \tau_2}{\partial \tau_1} \frac{\partial^2 \tau_2}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \tau_2}{\partial \tau_2 \partial \gamma} \gamma = 0. \tag{8}
\]
Similar to the way we obtained (7) from (6), we may conclude from (8) using (2) for \(k = 2\) and equation (7) that
\[
\left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial^2 \tau_1}{\partial u^2} \\
\frac{\partial^2 \tau_2}{\partial u^2}
\end{array} \right)_{u=0} = 0. \tag{9}
\]
Differentiating (8) again with respect to $u$ yields

$$
\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial T_1^2} \left( \frac{\partial T_1}{\partial u} \right)^2 + 2 \frac{\partial^3 p}{\partial T_1^3} \left( \frac{\partial T_1}{\partial u} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial^3 p}{\partial T_1^3} \left( \frac{\partial T_1}{\partial u} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial^3 p}{\partial T_2^3} \left( \frac{\partial T_2}{\partial u} \right)^2 + 2 \frac{\partial^3 p}{\partial T_2^3} \left( \frac{\partial T_2}{\partial u} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial^3 p}{\partial T_3^3} \left( \frac{\partial T_3}{\partial u} \right)^2 + 2 \frac{\partial^3 p}{\partial T_3^3} \left( \frac{\partial T_3}{\partial u} \right)^2 = 0. (10)
$$

If we set $u = 0$ and use (7) and (9) in equation (10), we obtain

$$
\left( \frac{\partial p}{\partial T_1} \frac{\partial^3 T_1}{\partial u^3} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial T_2} \frac{\partial^3 T_2}{\partial u^3} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial T_3} \frac{\partial^3 T_3}{\partial u^3} \right)_{\tau_1=\tau_2=\tau_3} = 0.
$$

We separate real and imaginary part to obtain

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
\text{Re} \left( \frac{\partial^3 T_1}{\partial u^3} \right) \\
\text{Im} \left( \frac{\partial^3 T_1}{\partial u^3} \right)
\end{bmatrix}
= -\begin{bmatrix}
\text{Re} \left( \frac{\partial^3 T_2}{\partial u^3} \right) \\
\text{Im} \left( \frac{\partial^3 T_2}{\partial u^3} \right)
\end{bmatrix}.
$$

Thus

\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^3 T_1}{\partial u^3} &= \\
\frac{\partial^3 T_2}{\partial u^3} &= \\
\frac{\partial^3 T_3}{\partial u^3} &= \\
\text{Re} \left( \frac{\partial^3 T_1}{\partial u^3} \right) &= \\
\text{Im} \left( \frac{\partial^3 T_1}{\partial u^3} \right) &=
\end{align*}

Using Lemma 6 in [9] and in view of (11), we know that a 90° counterclockwise rotation of $\gamma$ in the complex plane will generate a 270° rotation in $\tau_1, \tau_2$ parameter space, in the counterclockwise direction if $D > 0$, and in the clockwise direction if $D < 0$.

Accounting for higher order terms, the situation is illustrated in Figure 2 for Cases i and ii ($D > 0$), and in Figure 3 for Cases iii and iv ($D < 0$). In both Figures 2 and 3, the line segment $CD$ in the diagram on the left is mapped to $C'D'$ (in Re(+)) in the diagram on the right. Similarly, $CB$, $CE$ and $CA$ in the diagram on the left are mapped to $C'B'$ (in Im(+)) or $T_{(\omega_0, \tau_10, \tau_20)}^{-}$, $C'E'$ (in Re(−)) and $C'A'$ (in Im(−)) or $T_{(\omega_0, \tau_10, \tau_20)}^{+}$ in the diagram on the right.

Remark 1: Note that

$$
\frac{\partial^3 T_1}{\partial u^3} |_{\gamma=-i} = -\frac{\partial^3 T_1}{\partial u^3} |_{\gamma=i}
$$

in view of (11). This means, in view of (7) and (9), that $T_{(\omega_0, \tau_10, \tau_20)}^{-}$ has the same tangent as $T_{(\omega_0, \tau_10, \tau_20)}^{+}$ at $(\tau_10, \tau_20)$. Thus, $T_{(\omega_0, \tau_10, \tau_20)}$ is a smooth curve. In other words, unlike the double root case discussed in [9], the stability crossing...
curve is smooth without a cusp at $(\tau_{10}, \tau_{20})$.

IV. MULTIPLICITY FOUR

In this section we study the migration of quadruple roots. For system (1), $s_0$ is a quadruple root if conditions (2) and (3) hold for $m = 4$.

Parameterize $s$ by $u$ and $\theta$ (or $\gamma$) as in (5). From (7), (9) and (11), we immediately conclude

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \tau_1 \partial u^4} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \tau_2 \partial u^4}\right)_{u=0} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, 3. \quad (12)$$

The above is true for $k = 3$ due to (11) and equation (2) for $k = 3$.

Differentiate (10) again with respect to $u$, taking into account (12); we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\partial^4 p}{\partial \tau_1 \partial u^4}\right)_{\tau_1 = \tau_{10}, \tau_2 = \tau_{20}} = - \left(\frac{\partial^4 p}{\partial \gamma^4}\right)_{\gamma = 0}. \quad (13)$$

This can be solved to obtain

$$\left(\frac{\partial^4 p}{\partial u^4}\right)_{\tau_1 = \tau_{10}, \tau_2 = \tau_{20}} = \frac{\text{Re} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \tau_1}\right)}{\text{Im} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \tau_1}\right)} \frac{\text{Re} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \tau_2}\right)}{\text{Im} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \tau_2}\right)} \frac{\text{Re} \left(\frac{\partial^3 p}{\partial \gamma^3}\right)}{\text{Im} \left(\frac{\partial^3 p}{\partial \gamma^3}\right)}.$$

Similar to the triple root case, the last equation above shows that \(\left(\frac{\partial^4 p}{\partial \tau_1 \partial \tau_2 \partial u^4}\right)\) rotates four times as fast as $\gamma$ does. To understand this case, we shall divide the circle in $s$ domain in 45° pieces in the complex plane, in order to work with singly connected regions (see Figures 4 to 7, left).

Considering (12) and (13) for $\gamma = i$ and $\gamma = -i$, we see that the local stability crossing curve $\mathcal{T}(\omega_0, \tau_{10}, \tau_{20})$ have a cusp at $(\tau_{10}, \tau_{20})$ [17]. Indeed, $\mathcal{T}(\omega_0, \tau_{10}, \tau_{20})$ partitions a sufficiently small neighborhood of $(\tau_{10}, \tau_{20})$ into a great sector (or G-sector) and a small sector (or S-sector) as shown in Figure 1. Next theorem shows how the quadruple roots at $\omega_0$ migrate as $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ moves from $(\tau_{10}, \tau_{20})$ to the G-sector or the S-sector.

**Theorem 2:** Suppose system (1) satisfies (2) and (3) for $m = 4$, and assumption (4) holds.

If $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ is in the G-sector in a sufficiently small neighborhood of $(\tau_{10}, \tau_{20})$, then two roots of (1) in the neighborhood of $s_0$ are in the right half-plane, and the other two are in the left half-plane.

When $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ is in the S-sector, then three roots move into one half-plane, and the fourth one moves into the other half-plane. More precisely,

**Case i.** If $D > 0$, and $\mathcal{T}_{\omega_0, \tau_{10}, \tau_{20}}$ is in the counterclockwise side of $\mathcal{T}_{\omega_0, \tau_{10}, \tau_{20}}$ in the S-sector, then three roots are in the left half-plane, and one root is in the right half-plane.

**Case ii.** If $D > 0$, and $\mathcal{T}_{\omega_0, \tau_{10}, \tau_{20}}$ is in the clockwise side of $\mathcal{T}_{\omega_0, \tau_{10}, \tau_{20}}$ in the S-sector, then three roots are in the right half-plane, and one root is in the left half-plane.

**Case iii.** If $D < 0$, and $\mathcal{T}_{\omega_0, \tau_{10}, \tau_{20}}$ is in the counterclockwise side of $\mathcal{T}_{\omega_0, \tau_{10}, \tau_{20}}$ in the S-sector, then three roots are in the right half-plane, and one root is in the left half-plane.

**Case iv.** If $D < 0$, and $\mathcal{T}_{\omega_0, \tau_{10}, \tau_{20}}$ is in the clockwise side of $\mathcal{T}_{\omega_0, \tau_{10}, \tau_{20}}$ in the S-sector, then three roots are in the left half-plane, and one root is in the right half-plane.

**Proof:** Denote the sector $ACE$ in the left-hand side of Figures 4-7 by region I. In the same manner, region II the sector ECF, region III the sector FCG, and so on. Thus, the neighbourhood of $s_0$ shown in left side of Figures 4 to 7 as a disk centered in $C$ is divided into 8 regions, denoted by $I, II, \ldots, VIII$. The mapping of these regions to the $\tau_1, \tau_2$ parameter space is represented in the right side of the figures. Note that we obtain another 8 singly connected regions: region $I'$ is bounded by curves $A'C', C'E'$ and $A'E'$, region $II'$ by $C'E'$, $E'F'$ and $F'C'$, and so on.

The neighbourhood $\mathcal{N}(\tau_{10}, \tau_{20})$ is divided into S-sector and G-sector by the curves $A'C'$ and $B'C'$. In general, $F'$ and $I'$ each may be either in the S-sector, or in the G-sector. We shall only show the case where they are in the S-sector. Their location do not affect the validity of the conclusion.

When one or both points $F'$ and $I'$ are outside of the S-sector, the proof for the G-sector is slightly more involved, but still possible.

Similar to the case discussed in [9] (see corollary 4) we can show that $(\tau_1(s), \tau_2(s))$ is a bijection from $R$ to $R'$ when $s$ is restricted to $R$, with $R$ a region from the set $\{I, II, \ldots, VIII\}$, and $R'$ the corresponding region in the
set \( \{I', II', \ldots, VIII'\} \).

Consider Case i. The S-sector (in a sufficiently small neighbourhood) can be expressed as \((II' \cup III') \cap V' \cap (VI' \cup VII' \cap VIII')\), as depicted in Figure 4 right. But the corresponding regions are \((II \cap III)\), which is in the right-half plane, and \(V \cap (VI \cup VII) \cap VIII\), which are all in the left-half plane. So we may conclude that when \((\tau_1, \tau_2)\) is in the S-sector, the characteristic equation (1) has a root in the right-half plane, and three others in the left-half plane. As for the G-sector, Figure 4 shows that it can be expressed as \((I' \cap III') \cap (II' \cup III') \cap (IV' \cup V') \cap (VI' \cup VII' \cap VIII')\). Thus, the characteristic equation (1) has two unstable roots in G-sector, within the regions \((I \cup II)\) and \((III \cup IV)\), and two stable roots, within the regions \((V \cup VI)\) and \((VII \cup VIII)\).

Case ii: The S-sector can be expressed as \(I' \cap (I' \cup III') \cap IV' \cap (VI' \cup VII')\), as shown in Figure 5. Therefore, for any \((\tau_1, \tau_2)\) in S-sector, one characteristic root must be in \((VI \cup VII)\) (in the left-half plane), and the remaining three roots in right-half-plane (one in \(I\), one in \(II \cup III\), and one in \(IV\)). Next, G-sector can be expressed as \((I' \cup II') \cap (III \cup IV') \cap (IV' \cup V') \cap (VII \cup VIII)\). Therefore, we can conclude that there are two roots on the left-half plane and two roots on the right-half plane.

For case iii and case iv, the conclusions can be drawn in a similar manner. Case iii is illustrated in Figure 6. S-sector can be expressed as \(I' \cap (I' \cup III') \cap IV' \cap (VI' \cup VII')\), and G-sector as \((I' \cup II') \cap (III \cup IV') \cap (IV' \cup V') \cap (VII \cup VIII)\). Case iv is depicted in Figure 7, S-sector can be expressed as \((II' \cup III') \cap V' \cap (VI' \cup VII' \cap VIII')\), and G-sector as \((I' \cup III') \cap (II' \cup IV') \cap (V' \cup VI') \cap (VII \cup VIII)\).

V. ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE

Consider the quasi-polynomial

\[
p(s, \tau_1, \tau_2) = s^4 + a_0 s^3 + a_0 s^2 + a_0 s + a_0 + \left(a_{12} s^2 + a_{11} s + a_{10}\right) e^{-s \tau_1} + \left(a_{21} s + a_{20}\right) e^{-s \tau_2}.
\]

The system has a triple imaginary root at \(s = s_0 = i \omega_0\), with \(\omega_0 = 1\), for \((\tau_1, \tau_2) = (3, 5)\), \(a_{03} = 1\), \(a_{12} = 1\), \(a_{21} = 1\), and the values of other coefficients are given in table I, where \(s_k\) stands for \(\sin k\), and \(c_k\) stands for \(\cos k\).

As depicted in Figure 8, the local stability crossing curves divide the neighbourhood of \((3, 5)\) in the \(\tau_1-\tau_2\) plane into two regions. Next, it can be calculated that \(D > 0\). Therefore, for \((\tau_1, \tau_2)\) taking values in the region below the curve, which is on the clockwise side of \(T_{(\omega_0, \tau_1, \tau_2)}\), and on the counterclockwise side of \(T_{(\omega_0, \tau_1, \tau_2)}\), two roots will move in the left-half plane, and one root in the right-half plane.

Similarly, for \((\tau_1, \tau_2)\) taking values above the curve, two roots will move on the right-half plane, and one root on the left-half plane.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The migration of imaginary characteristic roots of multiplicity three and four in time-delay systems under the deviation of two delay parameters can be studied by using a conventional approach, without using Puiseux series.

Under the least degeneracy assumption, neither the triple
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