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**A B S T R A C T**

The aim of this study is to reconstruct the dietary patterns and economic behaviours of Neolithic populations in the Northwestern Mediterranean using isotopic and archaeological data. Burials come from four sites located in Languedoc–Roussillon region in French Mediterranean area. These sites are dated from the Middle Neolithic period (ca. 4500–3500 BC). They represent the Chasséen culture, characterized by regional features, such as economy management, resulting from territorial control. For this investigation, a stable isotopes ($\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$) method has been used on 50 human bone collagens and 28 associated animal bones. This method provides direct dietary information on the protein consumed including the relative amounts of marine vs. terrestrial and animal vs. plant proteins in diets. Isotopic results are mainly compared to archaeological data to understand economic distinctions and potential social status variations between different groups using specific funeral practices, i.e. lithic chamber graves vs. domestic/funeral pits. Results show that individuals buried in lithic chamber graves and those buried in pits did not have the same dietary pattern. This result suggests a possible differentiation between two socio-economic groups, i.e. consumers of resources from herding and from farming. No aquatic food appeared to be routinely consumed by these individuals despite a relative close proximity to sea and freshwater sources. Moreover, these outcomes lead us to hypothesize that: (1) funeral practices could be linked to specific economies and/or (2) to different social status and that (3) burial type and foodstuff could be an expression of religious worship. Further research could include data from other areas, such as Spanish Catalonia where there are funeral structures similar to Languedoc chamber graves.

© 2012 Published by Elsevier Inc.

Introduction

Food choices are one of features reflecting human behaviour and could be a means to shed light on power, control and hierarchy in past societies. It is generally accepted that social status could be revealed through analysis of diet (Lillie, 1997; Danforth, 1999) and funerary practices (Binford, 1972). But we must be aware of limits of such approach (Renfrew and Bahn, 2000; Parker Pearson, 2003). Indeed, Renfrew and Bahn (2000) explain, for instance, that materials buried with the deceased are not inevitably the materials used during the individual’s life, and that the relationship between the role and the social rank of the individual and the artefact disposal must be carefully considered.

However, this systemic approach has a great informative potential (Robb et al., 2001). In her synthesis of archaeological and ethnographical American studies, Danforth (1999) relates that dietary pattern differences may be at the centre of social and economic stratifications when biological and burial features are taken into account. She suggests, for example, that burial data, i.e. location, can be related to the economical status of an individual within a human group or that the social status of an individual, i.e. as leadership, may allow differential food access (e.g. to meat resources) from the other community members (Danforth, 1999). Several archaeological studies have undertaken comparisons between social status, burial practices and food supplies (e.g. Richards et al., 1998; Le Huray and Schutkowski, 2005; Jay and Richards, 2005; Linderholm et al., 2008). The results vary according to the period and location. For instance, the stable isotope study conducted on several Iron Age populations (ca. 5th century cal. BC) in Bohemia have shown that some individuals buried with weap-
ons, considered as “warriors”, had a different dietary pattern, with more animal protein intake than the others (Le Huray and Schutkowski, 2005). On the other hand, the combination of burial and stable isotope data on a Viking site in Sweden (ca. 8th century AD) does not indicate different dietary pattern between individuals buried in chambers (high status) and those discovered in more ordinary burials (Linderholm et al., 2008).

The aim of our study is to reconstruct the diet and economic behaviours of Neolithic populations in the Southern France (Languedoc region). Funerary data, symbolizing a social and/or economic identity are combined with dietary information provided by bone collagen stable isotopes. The aim is to highlight possible economic distinctions and relationship with environmental resources and to understand if there are different dietary patterns between human groups which could be linked to distinct funerary practices (Vaquer, 1998). This study involves human remains from the funerary site of Najac, Siran (Hérault), compared to data from the funerary site of Coste Rouge, Beaufort (Hérault) as well as on the two domestic sites with burials: Les Plots, Berriac (Aude) and Le Crès Béziers (Hérault).

**Archaeological context**

All sites are all located in the Languedoc region (France), ca. 20–80 km from the Mediterranean sea (Fig. 1) and belong to the Chasséen culture (Middle Neolithic, ca. 4500–3500 cal. BC). This period is characterized by a specific economy management resulting from territorial control and also by the diversity of the funerary practices, i.e. domestic pits, funerary pits, chamber tombs, collective burials (Fig. 2) and dog burials (e.g. Mahieu, 1992; Valentin et al., 2003; Loison et al., 2004). The dietary economy is comprised of herding and farming (Vaquer, 1990). Zooarchaeological studies reveals that food resources came from the domestic sheep, goat and cattle, for meat and dairy products, with a predominance of...
cattle in terms of meat weight (Forest, 2000; Carrère and Forest, 2003). Remains of pig and wild mammals are scarce. Very few shellfish remains were discovered in some sites, without evidence of marine and freshwater resources consumption (Forest in Loison et al., 2004). Cereals are the other main dietary staples. Agriculture may have been an important economic resource, highlighted by grindstone remains which were found in some burials (Loison et al., 2004).

Najac (Siran, Hérault) is a funerary site with four lithic chamber graves in which six individuals-five adults and one juvenile—were excavated (Mahieu, 1992). Very few faunal remains are associated with the deceased and there is no information regarding to diet via archaeological studies (e.g. archaeozoology, archaeobotanic). Three radiocarbon dates are available: 5354 ± 50 BP (Erl 12289), i.e. 4328–4050 cal. BC, 5265 ± 50 BP (Erl 12290), i.e. 4233–3978 cal. BC, 5268 ± 50 BP (Erl 12291), i.e. 4233–3981 cal. BC, indicating that the funerary deposits belong to the end of the Early Chasséen, ca. 4200–4000 cal. BC.

Coste Rouge (Beaufort, Hérault) is also a site dedicated to funerary practices, in which excavations brought to light one chamber grave (Fig. 2) with a child of 5–8 years old associated with very few faunal remains as funerary deposit (Vaquer et al., 2007). One radiocarbon date performed on a charcoal from the site: (Gif 9336) 5170 ± 50, i.e. 4210–3991 cal. BC and (Gif 9337) 5230 ± 70, i.e. 4238–3841 cal. BC, reports that the site was occupied during the Early Chasséen (Duday and Vaquer, 2003).

Lastly, Le Crès is the largest Middle Neolithic site, with burials (n = 30) associated with a dwelling space, located in the South of France (Loison et al., 2003, 2004; de Labriffe et al., 2007). The deceased are buried in domestic (silos) or funerary pits. The several radiocarbon dates are available: 5354 ± 50 BP (Erl 12289), i.e. 4328–4050 cal. BC, 5265 ± 50 BP (Erl 12290), i.e. 4233–3978 cal. BC, 5268 ± 50 BP (Erl 12291), i.e. 4233–3981 cal. BC, indicating that the funerary deposits belong to the end of the Early Chasséen, ca. 4200–4000 cal. BC.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Sites</th>
<th>Cultures</th>
<th>Funeral practices</th>
<th>Yield (mg/g)</th>
<th>wt%C ± 1SD</th>
<th>wt%N ± 1SD</th>
<th>C/N ± 1SD</th>
<th>δ13C (‰) ± 1SD</th>
<th>δ15N (‰) ± 1SD</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NH12</td>
<td>Najac</td>
<td>Chasséen</td>
<td>Chamber grave</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>–19.6</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH3</td>
<td>Najac</td>
<td>Chasséen</td>
<td>Chamber grave</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>–19.5</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH4</td>
<td>Najac</td>
<td>Chasséen</td>
<td>Chamber grave</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>–19.8</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH6</td>
<td>Najac</td>
<td>Chasséen</td>
<td>Chamber grave</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>–19.7</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>Coste Rouge</td>
<td>Late Chasséen</td>
<td>Chamber grave</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>–19.0</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>Herrscher and Le Bras-Goude (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Le Crès (n = 19)</td>
<td>Early Chasséen</td>
<td>Chamber grave</td>
<td>58.7 ± 37.0</td>
<td>36.7 ± 3.1</td>
<td>13.7   ± 1.0</td>
<td>3.1   ± 0.1</td>
<td>–19.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>8.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>Le Bras-Goude et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Le Crès (n = 13)</td>
<td>Early Chasséen</td>
<td>Domestic pits</td>
<td>45.8 ± 34.3</td>
<td>37.4 ± 1.8</td>
<td>13.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>–19.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>8.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>Le Bras-Goude et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Les Plots (n = 13)</td>
<td>Early Chasséen</td>
<td>Domestic pits</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>–19.6</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>Herrscher and Le Bras-Goude (2008)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Material and method

Stable isotope analyses are now a routine process used in archaeology to reconstruct palaeodiet and human palaeobehaviour (e.g. Murray and Schoeninger, 1988). This method provides direct and individual dietary information on the protein intake (Ambrose, 1990, 1993). The ratio of the stable isotopes of carbon and nitrogen (noticed δ13C and δ15N) allows researchers to reconstruct the type of environment from which individuals draw their resources (e.g., marine vs. terrestrial) and their place in the trophic web (e.g., herbivore, carnivore) (DeNiro and Epstein, 1978, 1981; Schoeninger et al., 1983; Minagawa and Wada, 1984; Ambrose, 1993).

Stable isotope (δ13C and δ15N) method was used on 50 human bone collagen: six at Najac (this study), one at Coste Rouge, four at Les Plots (Herrscher and Le Bras-Goude, 2008) and 39 at Le Crès (Le Bras-Goude et al., 2009) (Table 1). Children under the age of ca. 3 years old were not sampled due to possible breastfeeding signal in bone collagen (Schurr, 1997; Herrscher, 2003). Indeed, infants consuming exclusively mother's milk have a carnivorous diet, and thus show higher stable isotope values than adults. In order to provide baseline data on the local ecosystem, faunal remains from the sites of Les Plots and Le Crès (n = 28) are also included in this study (Table 2). Taxonomic determination performed by the zooarchaeologists certified the analyses of the following species: sheep/goat, bovines (domestic and/or wild cattle), dogs, pigs (domestic or wild), red deer and roe deer.

Since no C4 plants were cultivated during the Neolithic period in the South of France (Marinval, 1988), it is possible to interpret δ13C value in bone collagen of ca. –20.0‰ as a pure terrestrial protein intake (Schoeninger and DeNiro, 1984) while a value of ca. –12.0‰ could be interpreted as pure marine protein intake (ibid.). Moreover, the human bone collagen δ15N value indicates the relative importance of animal protein intake based on baseline isotopic data provided by a set of faunal species excavated from the same archaeological site (Bocherens and Drucker, 2003; Herrscher and Le Bras-Goude, 2010).

The bone collagen was extracted according to Longin's method (Longin, 1971) further adapted by Bocherens (1992). The isotopes δ13C and δ15N express the ratio of the heavy isotope (13C, 15N) to the light isotope (12C, 14N) in a sample relative to international standards (IAEA) and expressed in ‰. Percentages of C and N and isotopic values were measured from 0.5 mg of freeze-dried collagen and analysed with an IRMS iSoPRIME (VG Instrument) and elemental analyser NC 2500 Carlo Erba at the Department of Geology and Oceanography (EPOC UMR-CNRS 5805, University Bordeaux 1). Based on duplicate measurements the analytical precision
Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sites</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Cultures</th>
<th>Mean ± 1SD of animal stable isotope values, elemental compositions, C/N ratios and extraction yield of well preserved sampled.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Le Crès</td>
<td>Herbivores/omnivores</td>
<td>Early Chasséen</td>
<td>44.9 ± 14.1 wt.%C ± 1SD 32.8 ± 4.4 wt.%N ± 1SD 11.9 ± 1.5 δ13C (%) ± 1SD 3.2 ± 0.1 δ15N (%) ± 1SD 6.5 ± 0.5 References: Le Bras-Goude et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n = 8)</td>
<td>Dog (n = 3)</td>
<td>Early Chasséen</td>
<td>47.0 ± 3.1 wt.%C ± 1SD 36.1 ± 3.4 wt.%N ± 1SD 12.9 ± 1.4 δ13C (%) ± 1SD 3.3 ± 0.0 δ15N (%) ± 1SD 7.9 ± 0.2 References: Le Bras-Goude et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Les Plots</td>
<td>Herbivores/omnivores</td>
<td>Early Chasséen</td>
<td>65.4 ± 3.9 wt.%C ± 1SD 30.8 ± 1.3 wt.%N ± 1SD 10.9 ± 0.3 δ13C (%) ± 1SD 3.3 ± 0.2 δ15N (%) ± 1SD References: Le Bras-Goude et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n = 5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.4 ± 1SD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

was below 0.3% for both C and N. Standards used were glycine, casein and acetic acid.

In order to detect contaminant and damaged collagen which could affect the stable isotope values (Bocherens et al., 2005a), the extracted collagen preservation was checked according to several preservation criteria: collagen yield greater than 1% (van Klinken, 1999); carbon content or equal to 30 weight% (wt%) and nitrogen content greater or equal to 11 wt% (van Klinken, 1999); and C/N ratios between 2.9 and 3.6 (DeNiro, 1985).

Results and discussion

Preservation state

Among the six human samples at Najac only four have well preserved collagen (Table 1). With regards to the other sites, 49 (33 human and 16 faunal samples) were chosen based on their well preserved collagen (Tables 1 and 2). Considering samples all together (n = 53), the yield of extracted collagen ranges from 10.6 mg/g to 161.3 mg/g (51.3 mg/g ± 29.5), elemental compositions range from 29.3 to 42.0 wt% for carbon (35.6% ± 3.5) and from 10.4 to 15.5 wt% for nitrogen (13.0% ± 1.3). C/N ratios ranged from 2.9 to 3.5 (3.2 ± 0.1) and were within recommended values (DeNiro, 1985). Four collagen samples from Le Crès and Les Plots have lower carbon (29.3–29.7 wt%) and nitrogen (10.4–10.7 wt%) contents, but were very close to recommended values; the isotopic data are included but considered with caution (Herrsch and Le Bras-Goude, 2010). There is no significant correlation between the stable isotope values of the well preserved samples and these preservation criteria (p < 0.05). Thus, the ranges of stable isotope values do not appear to be linked to any kind of taphonomic process and may be used in palaeodietary studies (Bocherens et al., 2005a).

Stable isotope values of animals

Statistical descriptions of faunal stable isotope values are presented in Table 2. The δ13C for herbivore/omnivore species range from −20.7% to −18.4% (−20.0% ± 0.6, n = 13) and the δ15N range from 5.7% to 7.3% (6.3% ± 0.5, n = 13). The carbon stable isotope values of animals range in the expected values for individuals living in temperate and C3-plant environments (Bocherens, 1997). No marine resource (e.g. salt-marsh) were consumed by the sheep, goat, cattle and pig, suggesting that the coastal environment was not exploited for animal feeding (Balasse et al., 2005, 2006; Britton et al., 2008). Dog δ13C values range from −19.6% to −19.4% (−19.5% ± 0.1, n = 3) and from 7.8% to 8.1% (7.9% ± 0.2, n = 3) for δ15N values. As expected, the difference between stable isotope values of herbivores/pigs (n = 12) and dogs (n = 4) is in agreement with isotopic fractionation within the food chain (Mann–Whitney U test: p = 0.02, U = 6.5 for δ13C and p = 0.00, U = 0.0 for δ15N), highlighting the consumption of a significant amount of animal proteins by dogs. Likewise, there is no significant difference of faunal stable isotope values between sites. Thus, animal data can be considered together in order to define the isotopic baseline of this local ecosystem (Herrsch and Le Bras-Goude, 2010).

Stable isotope values of Humans

Najac human δ13C values range from −19.8‰ to −19.5‰ (−19.7% ± 0.1, n = 4) whereas δ15N values range from 8.7‰ to 10.3‰ (9.5% ± 0.7, n = 4). The average isotopic enrichment between humans from Najac and herbivores/omnivores from Langue-doc (Δ13C and Δ15N) is +0.9‰ for carbon and +3.2‰ for nitrogen. Both stable isotope values and isotopic enrichments highlight that most of the protein consumed by humans at Najac come from the local terrestrial ecosystem. No marine and/or freshwater resources seem to be routinely consumed by this human group, as well as other sites in this region (Herrsch and Le Bras-Goude, 2010). The isotopic values recorded in the human bone collagen at Najac suggest also that meat and/or dairy products could be the main protein sources consumed by humans. The range of human δ13C values at Najac (n = 4) is very low (0.3‰), potentially indicating probably that the resources consumed by all these individuals came from food items characterized by a low isotopic range. The range of δ15N values (Δ = 1.6‰) is in the range of values recorded among a human group with an omnivore diet in the study of O’Connell and Hedges (1999). This result suggests a difference of animal protein intake between some individuals from this group: the individual Najac_H6 has probably had a dietary pattern less rich in animal proteins than the others and particularly than the individual Najac_H4 who displays the highest δ15N value (10.3‰). A similar dietary pattern is suggested for the child of Coste Rouge (Herrsch and Le Bras-Goude, 2010). Interestingly, previous studies carried out on Les Plots and Le Crès sites revealed different dietary patterns with proteins coming from more mixed resources (vegetal and animal) and with varying animal protein intakes by individuals (Table 1) (Herrsch and Le Bras-Goude, 2008; Le Bras-Goude et al., 2009).

The statistical comparison between stable isotope values of humans from Najac (n = 4) and from Le Crès (n = 30) indicates that there is a significant difference between δ15N values (Mann–Whitney U test: p = 0.00, U = 7.0) while no difference was highlighted for δ13C values. Thus, two isotopic clusters can be defined: the first including data from Najac and Coste Rouge and the second including data from Les Plots and Le Crès (Table 1, Fig. 4). The statistical comparison between clusters confirms significantly high δ15N values for Najac and Coste Rouge (n = 5) individuals in comparison to Les Plots and Le Crès individuals (n = 31) (Mann–Whitney U test:...
individuals buried in domestic and funerary pits at Les Plots and Le Crès. The second hypothesis to explain the significant difference of $\delta^{15}N$ values would indicate a distinct social status of individuals using specific funerary practices. This result suggests that individuals buried in lithic chamber graves would have consumed more animal proteins and/or proteins from resources with a high nitrogen stable isotope values than individuals buried in domestic or funerary pits (Fig. 3).

Funerary practices and dietary patterns: application of a model

In order to have more information about diet composition of these two groups, we applied a model using stable isotope data, performed by Phillips and Gregg (2001; IsoError ©, available at http://www.epa.gov/wed/pages/models/stableisotopes/isotopes/iserror1_04.htm) and by Phillips and Koch (2002; IsoConc ©, available at http://www.epa.gov/wed/pages/models/stableisotopes/isconc/isonconc1_01.htm). This model provides quantitative estimates of the proportions of food sources in consumer's diet insofar as stable isotope values of three different dietary poles (e.g. carnivore, herbivore and fish-eater) are known for the sites. This model must be used carefully (Ben-David and Schell, 2001; Robbins et al., 2002; Koch and Phillips, 2002; Richards et al., 2006), however, its application, within a well defined framework, is interesting to identify different food proportions and to propose more interpretations about the different isotopic signatures of humans. Several palaeodietary studies have previously used this model (Newsome et al., 2004; Drucker and Henry-Gambier, 2005; Bocherens et al., 2005a, 2005b; Bocherens and Drucker, 2006).

In this study, the first pole chosen is the local herbivores/pigs from Les Plots and Le Crès sites, as plant consumers, because it is assumed that usually local herbivores have isotopic values that reflect the local vegetation (Hedges and Reynard, 2007). The second pole is the carnivores, calculated with the isotopic values of local herbivores/pigs enriched by the theoretical isotopic fractionation of ca.+1.0‰ for $\delta^{13}C$ and ca.+4.0‰ for $\delta^{15}N$ (Bocherens and Drucker, 2003). Lastly, the third pole chosen is aquatic resource consumers. The $\delta^{13}C$ of humans are different than values commonly recorded in bone collagen of marine fish eaters (Chisholm et al., 1982; Schoeninger and DeNiro, 1984), thus, the more pertinent aquatic resource should be freshwater fish. Freshwater fish consumers theoretically have $\delta^{13}C$ values lower or close to isotope values of terrestrial resources consumers. In such a context and as the consumption of freshwater fish is often difficult to detect and so is underestimated (Hedges and Reynard, 2007), therefore it is pertinent to consider this dietary pole to run the model. Several studies have highlighted that isotope ratios in freshwater fish vary widely in relation to the nature and size of lakes/rivers (Dufour et al., 1999; Katzenberg and Weber, 1999; Leng et al., 2006). Based on these considerations, values from literature for freshwater fish from small sized European lakes were chosen (Dufour et al., 1999), with a correction (+1.5‰-2‰) to avoid the “fuel effect” from modern values (Marino and McElroy, 1991; van Klinken et al., 2000).

The results of the model application are presented in the Fig. 5. The model clearly demonstrates that proportions of protein sources in diet differ among funerary groups. The individuals buried in lithic chamber graves might have consumed some freshwater resources but in very small proportion (probably less than 18%), thus, it confirms that terrestrial resources were the almost exclusive food items in the human diet in this area. The individuals buried in lithic chamber graves have a higher proportion of terrestrial meat proteins in their diet (at least 37%) than individuals buried in pits (at least 17%). On the other hand, individuals buried in pits have a higher proportion of plant and/or legume proteins in their...
diet (at least 50%) than those buried in lithic chamber graves (at least 10%). These results confirm the hypothesis suggested previously which proposed distinct dietary patterns according to the funerary group. The difference of animal protein intake between these groups is not linked to aquatic resources but to meat consumption. If one accepts the link between food production and food consumption, then the both funerary groups would have different food economies: composed of breeding for the individuals buried in lithic chamber graves and turned toward farming for the individuals buried in pits. Additionally, if one accepts relationship between beliefs and funerary practices, dietary patterns could also reflect behaviours regarding “religious” worship (Müldner and Richards, 2005; Vaquer, 2007). Is such isotopic evidence for relationship between funerary practices and dietary patterns specific to the Middle Neolithic in the Languedoc region? Similar studies performed in a wider area including Middle Neolithic populations from Garonne and Languedoc regions, did not reveal any relationship between dietary and funerary data (Herrsch and Le Bras-Goude, 2010). In contrast with the study of Linderholm et al. (2008), the relationship demonstrated above illuminates important information for palaeobehaviour studies and allows researchers to expand hypotheses, taking into account data such as body position and treatment, grave goods and structures. In addition, more archaeological evidence, especially further botanical studies, should be carried out in order to discuss more precisely our isotopic results. Furthermore, detailed zooarchaeological studies, including the discussion of proportions of animal species, may be useful in refining the accuracy of the linear mixing model (Drucker and Henry-Gambier, 2005). A combination of palaeoenvironmental, anthropological and isotopic information is the best way to propose pertinent hypotheses regarding the foodways and funerary behaviours of Neolithic populations and their beliefs in relation with the death.

Conclusion

Our stable isotope study provides specific data about foodstuff of Neolithic populations in the Languedoc region. It illuminates the intersection between stable isotope and archaeological data—mainly funerary practices—to understand the impact of social factors on dietary behaviours. This method provides the only the only methodological analyses to discover dietary information for sites without domestic context and with scarce zooarchaeological remains (Najac, Coste Rouge). The human dietary patterns appear to show a food supply mainly biased toward the terrestrial environment. Except potential freshwater protein intakes by some individuals, no aquatic food appears to have been routinely consumed despite a relative close proximity to the sea and rivers. The statistical approach shows a clear-cut difference in food choices according to the funerary practices. Two groups are highlighted: one with an important consumption of meat and/or dairy products for the individuals buried in lithic chamber graves and the other with a lower proportion of animal proteins and a greater proportion of vegetal resources (plant and/or legume) for the individuals buried in domestic (re-used silos) and funerary pits. These results suggest two hypotheses: either (1) funerary practices could be linked to specific economy and/or to different social status, or (2) burial type and dietary patterns might be an expression of religious worship. Additional information could be gained from an enlargement of data from studies of other areas, such as Spanish Catalonia where there are funerary structures similar to Languedoc chamber graves (Solsonian group).
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