Transparent Polycrystalline SrREGa₃O₇ Melilite Ceramics: Potential Phosphors for Tuneable Solid State Lighting

Marina Boyer^{*,a}, Alberto José Fernandez Carrion^a, Sandra Ory^a, Ana Isabel Becerro^b, Sandrine Villette^c, Svetlana V. Eliseeva^c, Stéphane Petoud^c, Pierre Aballea^a, Guy Matzen^a and Mathieu Allix^{*,a}

Full and congruent crystallization from glass is applied to the SrREGa₃O₇ melilite family ($RE = \text{Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Y}$). This innovative process enables the synthesis of polycrystalline ceramics exhibiting high transparency both in the visible and near infra-red regions, despite tetragonal crystal structures and micrometer scale grain sizes. Moreover, glass crystallization provides an original route to synthesize new crystalline phases which are not accessible from classic solid state reaction, as demonstrated for SrYbGa₃O₇ and SrTmGa₃O₇. To illustrate the potential optical applications of such materials, SrGdGa₃O₇ transparent polycrystalline ceramics are doped with Dy³⁺ or Tb³⁺/Eu³⁺ in order to generate white light emission under UV excitation. It is foreseen that such transparent melilite ceramic phosphors, prepared via a cost-effective process, can be successfully used in solid state lighting devices of considerable technological interest.

INTRODUCTION

Transparent polycrystalline ceramics are of great interest for a wide range of optical devices, such as high energy lasers, transparent armours, scintillating materials and optical lenses.¹⁻⁶ Transparency in these materials is usually achieved *via* sintering of specific precursors under extreme conditions to avoid porosity, which acts as light scattering centre.⁷ Along with the absence of porosity, ceramics usually require either a cubic crystal structure or a crystallite size much smaller than the incident wavelength to exhibit transparency. However, current processes leading to transparent ceramic present major drawbacks such as complex and time-consuming multistep methods, limited doping abilities, and elaboration at high temperature / high pressure which implies significant costs.

An alternative process was recently proposed in order to elaborate transparent polycrystalline ceramics overcoming these limitations: the full crystallization from glass approach.⁸⁻¹² This innovative method combines glass technology advantages, such as large scale samples, shaping ability, low production costs and absence of porosity, with the active optical/photonic properties intrinsic to

ceramics.¹³⁻¹⁵ Moreover, this innovative approach was also demonstrated to enable the synthesis of highly transparent polycrystalline ceramics with noncubic symmetry materials, especially when the birefringence can be controlled via the presence of chemical disorder.¹¹

The ABC₃O₇ melilite structure is a well-known host matrix for luminescent materials.¹⁶⁻²⁰ Its flexible tetragonal framework can accept various alkaline earth, rare earth and aluminium or gallium elements, respectively occupying the A, B and C sites. Interestingly, several members of the melilite family present a very weak birefringence and a relatively low melting point, such as SrGdGa₃O₇ ($\Delta n = 0.008$, $T_m \approx 1600^\circ\text{C}$).^{16,21} According to these characteristics, melilite materials appear as promising candidates to achieve transparent polycrystalline ceramics by full crystallization from glass process, and to further develop luminescent materials with technological interest.

Among the ABC₃O₇ melilite family, the SrGdGa₃O₇ composition was previously synthesized both as a single crystal and as a crystalline powder *via* a solid state process, and reported to present interesting laser properties.^{22,23} Actually, SrGdGa₃O₇ presents a well-known absorption band in the UV region, and can transfer the energy gathered to other lanthanides.²⁰ Such an energy transfer process enhances the emission intensities of the doping lanthanides and enables simultaneous excitation of several elements. Thus, as reported for Gd₂Si₂O₇,²⁴ this energy transfer opens the possibility to achieve high intensity white light emission from a single doping agent such as Dy³⁺. Tuneable luminescence with a possible white light emission was also reported from Tb³⁺ and Eu³⁺ co-doping in the same material.

In this article, we report the possibility to synthesize SrREGa₃O₇ transparent polycrystalline ceramics ($RE = \text{Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Y}$), using a full crystallization from glass process. This synthesis method enables to enlarge the actual compositional domain of the melilite family to new members, namely SrYbGa₃O₇ and SrTmGa₃O₇, which cannot be elaborated by conventional solid

^a. CNRS, CEMHTI UPR 3079, Univ. Orléans, F-45071 Orléans, France. *E-mail : mathieu.allix@cnrs-orleans.fr

^b. Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Sevilla (CSIC-US), Avenida Américo Vespucio s/n, Isla de La Cartuja, 41092 Sevilla, Spain

^c. CNRS, Centre de Biophysique Moléculaire UPR4301, F-45071 Orléans, France

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: XRD of the SrTmGa₃O₇ glass. DSC thermograms of the different SrREGa₃O₇ glasses. *In situ* X-ray diffraction data of the nominal SrYbGa₃O₇ and SrGdGa₃O₇ compositions from 500°C up to 1500 °C. Electron diffraction pattern of SrTmGa₃O₇. TEM image on a SrGdGa₃O₇ ceramic. Transmittance curves of SrREGa₃O₇ glasses and ceramics. CIE diagram presenting the SrGdGa₃O₇:Dy³⁺ colour coordinates. Transmission curves for the undoped and doped transparent SrGdGa₃O₇ ceramics. Emission spectra of the SrGd_{0.98}Ga₃O₇:1.5%Tb³⁺, x%Eu³⁺ under 312 nm excitation, used for quantum yield measurements. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

state synthesis. All the prepared $\text{SrREGa}_3\text{O}_7$ ceramics exhibit transparency both in the visible and near-infrared regions, although different absorption bands and light scattering effects (due to varying birefringence values) are observed depending on the nature of the rare earth ion used. In order to illustrate the potential optical applications of such materials, remarkable white light emission arising from the sample volume²⁵ is demonstrated for both Dy^{3+} and $\text{Tb}^{3+}/\text{Eu}^{3+}$ doped $\text{SrGdGa}_3\text{O}_7$ transparent ceramics.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Synthesis procedure

The $\text{SrREGa}_3\text{O}_7$ ($RE = \text{Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb}$ and Y) meltite transparent polycrystalline ceramics have been elaborated via a full crystallization from glass process.⁸ First, high purity SrCO_3 , RE_2O_3 and Ga_2O_3 powders (Strem Chemicals, purity > 99.9 %) were weighed in stoichiometric amounts. They were subsequently mixed in an agate mortar using ethanol as a dispersant to maximize the homogeneity of the mixture. The resulting powder was then dried, pressed into pellets and placed in an aerodynamic levitator coupled to a CO_2 laser heating system in order to achieve vitrification.²⁶ The pellet was thus heated up to about 1650 °C, left to homogenize for a few seconds and subsequently quenched down to room temperature at roughly 300 °C/s by simply shutting off the lasers. The amorphousness of the resulting beads was further checked by X-ray powder diffraction (Fig S11). Full crystallization from glass was finally achieved via a single heat treatment performed in an open air atmosphere furnace for 2 hours. The crystallization temperature was optimized depending on the nature of the rare earth ion in the nominal composition (700 °C for Eu ; 715 °C for Gd, Tb and Dy ; 730 °C for Ho and Y ; and 765 °C for Er, Tm and Yb). A similar protocol was used for the $\text{SrGd}_{1-x}\text{Ga}_3\text{O}_7:x \text{RE}^{3+}$ doped ceramic materials.

Characterization methods

Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) was performed on a Setaram MULTI HTC 1600 instrument. The glass transition and crystallization temperatures of the various meltite glass compositions were determined from a 200 mg powder sample, using argon as a purging gas and a platinum crucible, with a heating rate of 10 K/min.

X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD) analyses were performed using a Bragg Brentano D8 Advance Bruker laboratory diffractometer ($\text{CuK}\alpha$ radiation) equipped with a lynxEye XE detector. Data were collected from 6 to 130° (2 θ) at room temperature with a 0.01° step size and an acquisition time of 3 s per step. *In situ* high-temperature diffraction data were collected every 50 °C from 500 °C up to 1500 °C using an Anton Paar oven chamber (HTK1600N model) from 19 to 38° (2 θ) with a 0.016° step size and an acquisition time of 1 s per step.

Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) experiments were performed to characterize the microstructure of the transparent polycrystalline ceramics. The samples were first prepared by mechanical polishing with a tripod and inlaid diamond discs until a 50 μm thickness. The thin foils were then obtained by argon ion milling (PIPS). TEM images were collected using a Philips CM20 transmission electron microscope fitted out with an EDAX analyser. Transmittance measurements were recorded over the 200-2700 nm range using a double beam commercial spectrophotometer (Cary 5000) equipped with a Photomultiplier and a PbS photocell for visible and infrared detection, respectively. The beads to be measured were mechanically polished into disks of 1 mm thickness using an automatic polisher running with silicon carbide papers.

Excitation and emission spectra of the different doped $\text{SrGdGa}_3\text{O}_7$ beads were recorded on a Horiba Jobin-Yvon Fluorolog3 spectrofluorometer, equipped with a 450 W CW xenon lamp and a photomultiplier tube (PMT) covering the full range from UV to near-IR (800 nm), operating in the front face mode. Quantum yields were determined with the use of an integration sphere (Model G8, GMP SA, Renens, Switzerland). The measurements were performed on powdered samples placed into 2.4 mm i.d. quartz capillaries. Each sample was measured three times under a 312 nm excitation. We used an integrated emission area ranging from 425 to 715 nm for Dy^{3+} doped samples, and from 350 to 715 nm for $\text{Eu}^{3+}/\text{Tb}^{3+}$ codoped ceramics. The Commission Internationale de L'Eclairage (CIE) chromaticity coordinates of the emitted light were calculated from the emission spectra, considering a 2° observer. The Correlated Colour Temperature (CCT) values of the white-light-emitting phosphors were then determined from the corresponding colour coordinates using the McCamy equation:²⁷ $\text{CCT} = -449n^3 + 3525n^2 - 6823n + 5520.33$, where $n = (x - x_e)/(y - y_e)$, $x_e = 0.332$, and $y_e = 0.186$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis and structural characterization

A series of $\text{SrREGa}_3\text{O}_7$ glasses were prepared using an aerodynamic levitation coupled to a laser heating system^{26,28} (Fig 1a). Glass materials could be obtained for $RE = \text{Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb}$ and Y . However, no glass formation could be achieved for large size rare earth cations, i.e. direct devitrification was observed for $RE = \text{La, Ce, Pr, Nd}$ and Sm during quenching, suggesting that the $\text{SrREGa}_3\text{O}_7$ glass formation is influenced by the rare earth ionic radius size (a large size difference between the constituent cations enhances a short range order improving the glass forming ability).²⁹ On the other hand, for the smallest cation (Lu), the size difference is certainly too large and prevents the formation of $\text{SrLuGa}_3\text{O}_7$ glass. Several $\text{SrREGa}_3\text{O}_7$ glass materials exhibit strong coloration,

Fig 1: a) Photograph of the $\text{SrREGa}_3\text{O}_7$ polished glass and polycrystalline ceramic materials. b) X-Ray powder diffractograms of the transparent $\text{SrREGa}_3\text{O}_7$ polycrystalline ceramics for different rare earth ($RE = \text{Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb}$ and Y). Red marks correspond to the indexation of $\text{SrGdGa}_3\text{O}_7$ phase (ICDD 50-1835) whereas blue triangles indicate peaks corresponding to the 3x1x1 meltite superstructure.

as expected by the presence of rare earth elements in the composition which partially absorb the incident visible light. Thermal analyses performed on the as prepared glasses (fig S12) present a decrease of the glass transition and crystallization temperatures with the increase of the ionic radii, suggesting a less rigid glass network for large cations.²⁹ Subsequently to glass synthesis, an annealing treatment of two hours performed at around 750 °C (optimized for each composition using DSC analyses) led to full crystallization of the glass materials. As presented in Fig 1b, X-Ray powder diffraction measurements performed on the annealed materials show pure melilite crystallization (ICDD 50-1835).²⁶ A slight shift of the cell parameters is observed depending on the nature of the rare earth in the $\text{SrREGa}_3\text{O}_7$ compositions. This shift is due to the evolving size of the rare earth incorporated in the melilite structure (the a and c cell parameters are decreasing, i.e. the reflections are moving towards high 2 theta angles, when the size of the rare earth cations is decreasing).

Moreover, no obvious presence of residual glass could be observed on the diffractograms, which was also confirmed by both scanning and transmission electron microscopy studies. As a consequence, a full crystallization from glass process could be applied to the $\text{SrREGa}_3\text{O}_7$ melilite glass materials, *via* a single heat treatment, leading to polycrystalline ceramics. These $\text{SrREGa}_3\text{O}_7$ polycrystalline ceramic exhibit remarkable transparency in the visible range, even though some light scattering effect clearly takes place in the materials given the observed loss of transparency by comparison with the related glass materials (Fig 1a). One can note that this light scattering is varying with the nature of the rare earth element. This effect is not surprising as the anisotropic tetragonal structure of melilite materials implies birefringence effects with intensities varying with the corresponding varying structures.

Fig 2: Projection of the $\text{SrREGa}_3\text{O}_7$ melilite structure, along the [001] and [100] axis. GaO_4 tetrahedra layer are stacked along the c -axis. Sr^{2+} and RE^{3+} share the same crystallographic site and are randomly distributed between these layers.

The melilite structure is built up from layers of GaO_4 tetrahedra stacked along the c -axis. Between these sheets, Sr^{2+} and RE^{3+} are randomly distributed on the same crystallographic site (fig 2). It is worth noting that $\text{SrREGa}_3\text{O}_7$ melilite crystalline phases have been reported previously only for RE ranging from La to Er and synthesized using a classic solid state reaction method.³⁰ Crystallization from glass, or directly from the liquid state, can be an innovative approach to elaborate new crystalline phases which cannot be synthesized *via* a classic solid state reaction.^{8,11,31–38} Indeed, similarly to a sol-gel method approach, crystallization from glass enables lower synthesis temperature compared to classic solid state reaction, which can explain the formation of metastable crystalline phases not stable up to high temperature. Thus, it is quite remarkable to note that both $\text{SrTmGa}_3\text{O}_7$ and $\text{SrYbGa}_3\text{O}_7$ materials, never reported so far, can be prepared by full crystallization from glass process. These new melilite compositions correspond to the smallest rare earth ionic radii, i.e. the materials showing the largest mismatch between the Sr^{2+} and RE^{3+} ionic radius sizes. The low crystallization temperature appears here to be crucial to the formation of these original ceramic compositions. In order to investigate further this point and prove the impossibility to synthesize the ytterbium and thulium melilite crystalline phases by solid state reaction, we performed *in situ* X-ray diffraction experiments, from room temperature up to the melting point, of $\text{SrTmGa}_3\text{O}_7$ and $\text{SrYbGa}_3\text{O}_7$ compositions starting from SrCO_3 , RE_2O_3 and Ga_2O_3 precursors. Both experiments indicate that the melilite phase is not formed independently of the temperature between RT and the melting point at 1500 °C (Fig S13). SrCO_3 first decomposes at 900 °C and reacts with Ga_2O_3 to form SrGa_2O_4 . At 1250 °C, RE_2O_3 and Ga_2O_3 start to form the $\text{RE}_3\text{Ga}_5\text{O}_{12}$ garnet material, and the melting of the different crystalline phases except the garnet eventually occurs from 1400 °C. A similar *in situ* XRD experiment was also performed on the $\text{SrGdGa}_3\text{O}_7$ composition to demonstrate the possibility to synthesize *via* a classical solid state reaction the other rare earth melilite compositions (Fig S14). In this case, SrCO_3 also first decomposes at 900 °C and react with Ga_2O_3 to form SrGa_2O_4 . Then, between 1200 °C and 1400 °C, SrGa_2O_4 , Ga_2O_3 and Gd_2O_3 form the pure $\text{SrGdGa}_3\text{O}_7$ melilite crystalline phase. At 1500 °C, $\text{SrGdGa}_3\text{O}_7$ melts and only a liquid phase remains. Although crystallization from glass can be considered as an innovative synthesis method, it is also limited by the fact that a glass material must be elaborated first. Unfortunately this is not the case for the large rare earth elements ($\text{RE} = \text{La}, \text{Ce}, \text{Pr}, \text{Nd}$ and Sm) which show direct devitrification during melt quenching and thus cannot be prepared as transparent ceramics.

Looking closely at the X-ray diffractograms of the polycrystalline $\text{SrREGa}_3\text{O}_7$ materials corresponding to the smallest rare-earth elements ($\text{RE} = \text{Er}, \text{Tm}$ and Yb), several extra reflections can be observed (see blue triangles in Fig 1b) compared to the pure tetragonal P-42₁m melilite phase indexation perfectly matching the $\text{RE} = \text{Eu}, \text{Gd}, \text{Tb}, \text{Dy}, \text{Ho}$ and Y materials. An electronic diffraction study performed on these three melilite materials also reveals the presence of extra spots compared to the tetragonal P-42₁m melilite structure (Fig S15). Remarkably, these extra reflections match perfectly the extra peaks observed by XRD and correspond to a 3x1x1 melilite superstructure. Thus, for smallest cations ($\text{RE} = \text{Er}, \text{Tm}, \text{Yb}$), the $\text{SrREGa}_3\text{O}_7$ melilite structure can be described as a 3x1x1 superstructure compared to the conventional tetragonal P-42₁m melilite structure. From preliminary Rietveld refinement results, the tripling of the a cell parameter could be due to a periodic arrangement of the large Sr^{2+} and the small RE^{3+} ions along

this direction. A complete description of this superstructure will be detailed in a further communication.

The absence of residual glass phase in our transparent ceramic materials was further confirmed by TEM experiments. The TEM image presented Fig 3a provides information on the microstructure of a typical fully crystallized $\text{SrREGa}_3\text{O}_7$ melilite ceramic. It shows the absence of both remaining glass phase and porosities. The contrast obtained between the grains is due to the different crystallographic orientation of each grain (different Bragg contrasts). The observation of different grey level within a same grain is attributed to a slight curvature of the TEM foil, leading to a slight crystallographic disorientation. No secondary phase could be detected, even at the grain boundaries which appear very thin (Fig S16). The $\text{SrGdGa}_3\text{O}_7$ ceramic material exhibits a wide grain size distribution (fig 3b), with crystals ranging from 200 nm to 8 μm diameter, and a harmonic mean grain size diameter of 970 nm.

Transparency

The different melilite polycrystalline ceramics presented in this work demonstrate a good transparency in the visible region as shown on the photography of the optical polished samples (Fig 1a), even though light scattering arising from birefringence affects the materials transparency with different effect depending on the rare earth element. In order to further study this transparency, transmittance measurements from the ultraviolet to the near infrared (NIR) ranges (200 – 2700 nm) were performed. Fig 4a depicts the transmittance curves of $\text{SrGdGa}_3\text{O}_7$ and SrYGa_3O_7 polycrystalline ceramics after thickness normalization at 1 mm.³⁹ Both materials exhibit a transmittance up to 80 % in the NIR range and a slow decrease towards the visible region, to eventually become completely opaque below 275 nm. Both ceramics show the same transmittance behaviour for $\lambda > 650$ nm. However, below

Fig 3: a) TEM micrograph of the $\text{SrGdGa}_3\text{O}_7$ transparent ceramic showing the wide grain size distribution, and the absence of residual glass or porosity. b) Grain size distribution of the $\text{SrGdGa}_3\text{O}_7$ ceramic. These values were obtained from analysis of numerous TEM micrographs.

650 nm the gadolinium ceramic presents an increase of transmittance (at around 300 nm) which is not visible for the yttrium ceramic material. The transmittance curves of all the other polycrystalline ceramics appear similar to the yttrium material even though they present additional absorption bands related to the different rare earth elements (fig S17).

A theoretical model derived from Apetz's⁷ was then used and adjusted to the experimental transmittance curves in order to determine the origin of the transmittance differences at short wavelengths between the gadolinium and yttrium materials. Moreover, we aimed here at confirming that the light scattering measured in these polycrystalline ceramics was exclusively due to birefringence effects. Thus, the theoretical in-line transmittance can be described using the following equation: $RIT = (1 - Rs) \times \exp\left(-3rt\left(\frac{\pi\Delta n}{\lambda_0}\right)^2\right) \times 100$ where Rs is the specular reflection:

$Rs = \frac{2R'}{1+R'}$, and $R' = \left(\frac{n-1}{n+1}\right)^2$, n the refractive index, r the average grain size radius (nm), t the sample thickness (nm), Δn the birefringence: $\Delta n = \frac{2}{3}(n_0 - n_e)$ and λ_0 the incident wavelength (nm). $\text{SrGdGa}_3\text{O}_7$ refractive indices were previously reported in the literature as $n_0^2 = 3.24652 + \frac{0.04136}{\lambda^2 - 0.01878} - 0.00601\lambda^2$ and $n_e^2 = 3.29170 + \frac{0.03671}{\lambda^2 - 0.02608} - 0.00708\lambda^2$.⁴⁰ A suitable fit of the experimental transmittance values of the $\text{SrGdGa}_3\text{O}_7$ ceramic material can be obtained for $\lambda > 650$ nm with a grain size diameter of 950 nm, which is almost matching the average grain size diameter determined from TEM images (970 nm, cf. Fig 3).

Below 650 nm, the model slightly differs from the SrYGa_3O_7 transmittance curve and does not take into account the transmittance increase observed at around 300 nm for the gadolinium ceramic. The incorrect fit at short wavelengths can be assigned to a possible erroneous value of the birefringence in this region. Thus, in order to evaluate the birefringence difference between the theoretical and the experimental results, an "approximated birefringence" of $\text{SrGdGa}_3\text{O}_7$ was calculated using

the following formula: $\Delta n = \sqrt{\frac{-\lambda_0^2}{3\pi^2 r} \times \ln\left(\frac{RIT}{1-R_s}\right)}$. Such calculations led to the results presented in Fig 4b. This figure clearly illustrates the very small difference between the birefringence of the literature and the approximated value (0.0144 maximum difference on the studied wavelength range, corresponding to a 0.7 % decrease of the refractive index). The graph also shows that no experimental points are reported in the related region (250 – 350 nm). Furthermore, these wavelengths are closed to the optical bandgap, explaining the difficulties to estimate the refractive indices in this region. However, this small birefringence difference can lead to an important underestimation of the transmittance value. For example, a 0.01 birefringence difference leads to a 50 % decrease of the transmittance such as observed in Fig 4a between the theoretical and experimental values. These curves highlight the importance of an accurate birefringence estimation to properly predict the transmittance behaviour of transparent polycrystalline ceramics. Moreover, this theoretical model derived from Apetz's can be easily applied and provide precious help to predict the ability of a non-cubic crystalline phase to be synthesized as a transparent polycrystalline ceramic.

Fig 4: a) Transmittance curves after thickness normalization³⁹ at 1 mm of both the SrGdGa₃O₇ (black) and SrYGa₃O₇ (blue) ceramics. The red dot curve corresponds to the transmittance fit based on the theoretical model. b) (Up) Birefringence of SrGdGa₃O₇, determined in the literature (red) and from our approximation (black). Down: Ordinary (dark blue) and extraordinary (sky blue) components of the refractive indices reported in the literature and fitted with Sellmeier's equation from experimental points.

White Light Emission: SrYGa₃O₇, SrGdGa₃O₇ and SrYbGa₃O₇ polycrystalline ceramics present a remarkable transparency in the visible region and their colourless aspect is an added value for further applications such as solid state white lighting. The gadolinium ceramic material exhibits, in addition, an interesting advantage over the other ceramics due to the well-known ability of Gd³⁺ ions to efficiently absorb UV radiation and transfer the resulting energy to a number of active lanthanide ions such as Dy³⁺, Eu³⁺ and Tb³⁺.^{24,41} Furthermore, excitation of these lanthanide ions through the Gd-Ln³⁺ energy transfer band is known to give rise to a higher emission intensity compared to direct excitation of the lanthanide ions themselves.^{43–45} For these reasons, we chose to focus our work on transparent gadolinium melilite ceramics for further demonstration of use of a transparent melilite ceramic as a single phase white light emitting phosphor. Two different strategies have been used for this purpose, consisting in the single doping of the SrGdGa₃O₇ transparent ceramic with Dy³⁺ ions, and the co-doping with Tb³⁺ and Eu³⁺. The first approach generates a white light radiation as a result of the combination of the yellow and blue emissions of the Dy³⁺ ions.³⁹ On the other hand, the Tb³⁺-Eu³⁺ co-doping leads to a white light emission with a better colour

rendering index due to the red contribution of the Eu³⁺ ions, which together with the blue and green emissions of Tb³⁺, leads to the pursuit white radiation.⁴⁰ In addition, the co-doping strategy allows tuning the colour temperature of the white light by changing the relative concentrations of Tb³⁺ and Eu³⁺, as explained later in this section. A transparent SrGdGa₃O₇ polycrystalline ceramic doped with 0.5 % Dy³⁺ was prepared following the same procedure as explained in the *Experimental section* for the undoped SrGdGa₃O₇ and using stoichiometric amounts of Gd₂O₃ and Dy₂O₃ while aiming for the SrGd_{0.995}Dy_{0.005}Ga₃O₇ composition. The 0.5% doping content was chosen on the basis of previous studies which demonstrated that such a value was the optimum content to avoid concentration quenching effects.¹⁹ The excitation spectrum recorded for this material while monitoring the characteristic Dy³⁺ emission band at 574 nm is shown in Fig 5a. It exhibits a set of low intensity features in the 320 nm – 450 nm range with the most intense peak located at 348 nm, which corresponds to the direct excitation from the Dy³⁺ ground state up to higher levels of the 4f manifold. The high intensity excitation bands observed at wavelengths < 320 nm are due to the electronic transitions from the ground-state level of Gd³⁺ (⁸S_{7/2}) to their ⁶I_{11/2} and ⁶P_J excited-state levels. The presence of Gd³⁺ bands in this spectrum, where the Dy³⁺ emission is monitored, indicate that an energy transfer from Gd³⁺ multiplets to the Dy³⁺ accepting electronic levels takes place in this system. A schematic representation of the energy levels diagrams of Dy³⁺ and Gd³⁺ is shown in Fig 5c, where a correct match between the energy levels of both ions can be observed, thus allowing the described energy transfer. The emission spectrum of the SrGd_{0.995}Dy_{0.005}Ga₃O₇ ceramic upon excitation at both 273 nm (Gd³⁺-Dy³⁺ energy transfer band) and 348 nm (direct Dy³⁺ excitation) is shown in Fig 5b. As expected, the excitation through the Gd³⁺ bands with the following energy transfer to Dy³⁺ produces higher emission intensity than the direct Dy³⁺ excitation. Independently of their intensities, both spectra show two dominant emission bands located in the blue and yellow regions of the spectrum, which are characteristic of the 4f-4f transitions in Dy³⁺ ions. The band centred at 574 nm (yellow region), corresponding to the electric dipole transition (⁴F_{9/2}-⁶H_{13/2}), appears stronger than the one centred at around 425 nm (blue region), corresponding to the magnetic dipole transition (⁴F_{9/2}-⁶H_{15/2}). The intensity ratio observed for both bands suggests that the Dy³⁺ ions are located in non-inversion symmetry sites,⁴⁶ which is indeed the case of the 4e Wyckoff position occupied by the rare earth element in the melilite structure.¹⁹ The colour coordinates obtained from the emission spectra of Fig 5b are (x,y) = (0.34, 0.39). They are located well inside the white region of the CIE diagram (Fig S18) and the corresponding correlated colour temperature was 5258 K. Finally the 0.5 % Dy³⁺ doped transparent ceramic presents a remarkable 21 (1) % quantum yield under a 312 ± 4 nm excitation. These results demonstrate that our transparent ceramic material can be used as a novel single-phase phosphor for warm white light generation.

In order to synthesize a single-phase ceramic producing white light emission with broad spectral dispersion, the SrGdGa₃O₇ matrix was further co-doped with Tb³⁺ and Eu³⁺ using the same experimental approach with appropriate amounts of Gd₂O₃, Tb₂O₃, and Eu₂O₃ and aiming at SrGdGa₃O₇:xTb³⁺;yEu³⁺ (0.5 ≤ x ≤ 1.5 % and 0.3 ≤ y ≤ 0.7 %) compositions. The low concentrations of Tb³⁺ ions used here were necessary to avoid concentration quenching of the blue emission.¹⁵ The Eu³⁺ doping levels were then adjusted experimentally to eventually obtain the pursuit white light emission by combination of the blue and green

Fig 5: a) Excitation spectrum of the SrGdGa₃O₇:Dy³⁺ recorded while monitoring the characteristic Dy³⁺ emission band at 574 nm. b) Emission spectra of the SrGdGa₃O₇:Dy³⁺ under 273 and 348 nm excitation (in red and blue respectively). c) Energy level diagram of Gd³⁺ and Dy³⁺ ions, showing the energy transfer from Gd³⁺ to Dy³⁺ and the relevant emission bands.

emissions of Tb³⁺ with the red of Eu³⁺. This approach requires, therefore, a simultaneous excitation of Eu³⁺ and Tb³⁺. Fig 6a presents two different excitation spectra of the SrGdGa₃O₇:xTb³⁺;yEu³⁺ (x=1.5 %, y=0.5 %) transparent ceramic material recorded while monitoring the characteristic emission wavelength of Tb³⁺ at 543 nm (green plot) and of Eu³⁺ at 616 nm (red plot). On the one hand, the high energy side of the spectrum recorded while monitoring the Tb³⁺ emission at 543 nm shows a sharp band peaking at 273 nm that corresponds to the electronic transitions from the ground state level of Gd³⁺ (⁸S_{7/2}) to its ⁶I₁ and ⁶P₁ excited levels. The presence of the Gd excitation band in this spectrum, where a Tb³⁺ emission is being monitored, indicates that an energy transfer is taking place from Gd³⁺ to Tb³⁺ ions in the sample. A schematic representation of the energy levels diagrams of Tb³⁺ and Gd³⁺ is shown in figure 6b, where a match between the energy levels of both ions can be observed, thus allowing the Gd→Tb energy transfer to take place. On the other hand, the excitation spectrum recorded while monitoring the Eu³⁺ emission at 616 nm shows a much broader feature in the high energy region, with maximum at around 274 nm. Two bands are expected in this region of the Eu³⁺ spectrum, namely i) the Gd³⁺→Eu³⁺ energy transfer band at 273 nm, which has been sufficiently referenced in the literature^{20,24,47} and is detailed here in the schematic representation of figure 6b, and ii) the Eu-O charge transfer band (CTB),⁴⁸ whose position varies with the matrix nature^{49,50} and is

observed here at around 275 nm. The broad nature of the Eu-O CTB hinders the direct observation of the sharper Gd³⁺→Eu³⁺ energy transfer band in the spectrum. In addition to these high energy bands, weaker features are observed at λ > 320 nm in both excitation spectra of figure 6a, which are due to the direct excitation of Tb³⁺ and Eu³⁺. In view of the characteristics of both spectra, a 273 (±2) nm excitation wavelength was then used to record the emission spectra of the different SrGdGa₃O₇:Tb³⁺,Eu³⁺ synthesised ceramics. This excitation enables simultaneous excitation of Tb³⁺ and Eu³⁺. The emission spectrum corresponding to the SrGdGa₃O₇:xTb³⁺;yEu³⁺ material with x= 1.5 % and y= 0.5 % is shown in Fig 6c. It displays emission bands covering the full visible spectrum, with blue and green emissions arising from Tb³⁺ doping and red ones from Eu³⁺, as labelled on the figure. The emission spectra of the other compositions are very similar, although different intensity ratios of the blue, green and red components were observed depending on the Tb/Eu contents. The CIE coordinates obtained for each phosphor have been plotted in the CIE diagram presented Fig 6d. The composition with 1.5 % Tb³⁺ and 0.5 % Eu³⁺ presents CIE coordinates (x=0.32 and y=0.29) very close to the theoretical white light point (x=0.33 and y=0.33) under a 273±2 nm excitation, with a CCT of 6355 K, higher than the one obtained for Dy³⁺, and therefore implying a colder white light. The other synthesised compositions showed CIE coordinates inside the

Fig 6: a) Excitation spectra of the $\text{SrGdGa}_3\text{O}_7:1.5\% \text{Tb}^{3+}, 0.5\% \text{Eu}^{3+}$ transparent ceramic materials while monitoring the characteristic Tb^{3+} and Eu^{3+} emission bands at 543 and 616 nm respectively. b) Energy level diagram of Gd^{3+} and $\text{Tb}^{3+}/\text{Eu}^{3+}$ ions. c) Emission spectrum of the $\text{SrGdGa}_3\text{O}_7:1.5\% \text{Tb}^{3+}, 0.5\% \text{Eu}^{3+}$ ceramic under a 273 nm excitation. d) CIE diagram where the different $\text{SrGdGa}_3\text{O}_7:\text{Tb}^{3+}, \text{Eu}^{3+}$ trials are presented under a $273 \pm 2 \text{ nm}$ excitation, showing the emission tuning ability of the mellilite matrix depending on the $\text{Tb}^{3+}, \text{Eu}^{3+}$ doping contents. WL represents the theoretical white light point at $(x, y) = (0.33, 0.33)$. The white line corresponds to the white light region limits. The table represents different trials with the corresponding Tb^{3+} and Eu^{3+} content. The inset presents a photograph of $\text{SrGdGa}_3\text{O}_7:1.5\% \text{Tb}^{3+}, x\% \text{Eu}^{3+}$ transparent ($x = 0.1$ and 0.5) ceramics under both daylight and excitation with a commercial 312 nm lamp.

white light region, as observed in Fig 6d, with coordinates shifting from reddish to bluish white light as the Tb/Eu ratio was decreased. The low amount of doping element used (2%) is sufficient to observed white light emission, and prevents important light shown on Fig SI 9).

In summary, a new transparent, single-phase ceramic phosphor able to generate white light upon excitation with a narrow UV LED at 273 nm has been elaborated *via* a simple full crystallization from glass process. The emission can be easily tuned from bluish to reddish white light using different amounts of Tb^{3+} and Eu^{3+} doping ions.

Potential Applications

The use of a wider range excitation lamp at 273 nm would preferentially excite Eu^{3+} ions instead of Tb^{3+} ions due to the wide Eu-O CTB, as observed in the excitation spectrum of Fig 6a (red curve), thus eventually rendering a red phosphor. We have then optimised the $\text{SrGdGa}_3\text{O}_7:x\text{Tb}^{3+};y\text{Eu}^{3+}$ ceramics to better suit realistic conditions (i.e. to generate white light by excitation with a broader emission centred at $312 (\pm 10) \text{ nm}$ similar to the wide excitation of a commercial UV lamp). Upon such excitation, we found that the $\text{SrGdGa}_3\text{O}_7: 1.5\% \text{Tb}^{3+}; 0.1\% \text{Eu}^{3+}$ transparent ceramic showed an interesting white light emission, as shown in Fig

6d inset. In order to further demonstrate the interest of the transparent ceramic materials described in this paper, we measured the quantum yield of the $\text{SrGd}_{0.98}\text{Ga}_3\text{O}_7:1.5\% \text{ Tb}^{3+}; 0.5\% \text{ Eu}^{3+}$ and $\text{SrGd}_{0.984}\text{Ga}_3\text{O}_7:1.5\% \text{ Tb}^{3+}; 0.1\% \text{ Eu}^{3+}$ transparent ceramics under a $312 \pm 4 \text{ nm}$ excitation (corresponding to the $^8\text{S}_{7/2} \rightarrow ^6\text{P}_j \text{ Gd}^{3+}$ excited levels). The emission spectrum used for this measurement is presented fig. SI10. The measured quantum yields are 10 (1) % and 6.2 (5) % for respectively the 0.5% and 0.1% Eu^{3+} doped materials. The decrease of quantum yield for a lower amount of Eu^{3+} is due to both a weaker red emission intensity (correlated to fewer emitting centres) and a weaker absorption of the Eu-O CTB. The set of results obtained here opens therefore new avenues for the development of new cost effective single phase transparent ceramics with tuneable colour emission

CONCLUSION

$\text{SrREGa}_3\text{O}_7$ ($\text{RE} = \text{Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Y, Er, Tm, Yb}$) transparent polycrystalline melilite ceramics have been prepared by a full crystallization from glass method. It is remarkable to note that this original process enlarges the existence domain of the $\text{SrREGa}_3\text{O}_7$ melilite family as it enables the synthesis of $\text{SrYbGa}_3\text{O}_7$ and $\text{SrTmGa}_3\text{O}_7$ materials, unreported so far and not synthesizable by classic solid state reaction method.

Despite exhibiting a tetragonal cell, i.e. a birefringent structure, and micrometer grain sizes, these polycrystalline ceramics present remarkable transparency both in the visible and near infrared ranges. Both the material coloration and transmittance are shown to vary depending on the rare earth element. It is especially remarkable that gadolinium and yttrium compositions do not possess absorption bands in the visible range. The transparency observed in these materials can be explained by a complete absence of porosity and secondary phases, very tiny grain boundaries, and small birefringence values which induce limited light scattering. The optical transmittance has been fitted using a light scattering model based on Apetz's model. Despite a small birefringence difference between the literature and our results, this model is shown to describe almost perfectly the transmittance behaviour of the $\text{SrREGa}_3\text{O}_7$ transparent polycrystalline melilite ceramics.

Finally, the full crystallization from glass process is here successfully applied to elaborate transparent polycrystalline ceramics which can be used to convert UV radiation into visible emission with tailored colour, and among them white light. Due to its promising transparency, absence of coloration and possible energy transfer between gadolinium and other lanthanides, the $\text{SrGdGa}_3\text{O}_7$ material was selected to demonstrate white light emission, arising from the sample volume (and not only from the surface as usually observed for classic opaque ceramics) using various lanthanide element doping. Dy^{3+} doping was demonstrated to enable white light emission, but due to the lack of red component in the emission spectrum the colour rendering index remains limited. However, this Dy^{3+} doping presents a remarkable quantum yield of 20 %. More interestingly, we showed that $\text{Tb}^{3+}/\text{Eu}^{3+}$ co-doping leads to white light emitting ceramic with tuneable colour coordinates depending on the Tb^{3+} and Eu^{3+} contents. The emission colour can be controlled by varying the both Tb^{3+} and Eu^{3+} contents, which emits in the blue (400-500 nm) /green (500-650 nm), and red (575-710 nm) regions respectively. Although the quantum yield remains limited (10 % and 6.2 % depending on the Eu^{3+} concentration) this approach could be further optimized on this system and applied to more efficient compositions in order to develop white solid state

lighting devices with unique feasibility in device fabrication given the glass state machinability.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the French ANR for its financial support to the projects CrystOG ANR-12-JS08-0002 and FOCAL ANR-14-CE07-0002. The ICMN (Orléans, France) and CME (Orléans, France) laboratories for TEM and PIPS accesses. A.J.F.-C. gratefully acknowledges an F.P.D.I. grant from Junta de Andalucía. Supported by MINECO (Project. MAT2014-54852-R). S.P. acknowledges support from INSERM.

REFERENCES

1. S. F. Wang, J. Zhang, D. W. Luo, F. Gu, D. Y. Tang, Z. L. Dong, G. E. B. Tan, W. X. Que, T. S. Zhang, S. Li and L. B. Kong, *Progress in Solid State Chemistry*, 2013, **41**, 20–54.
2. R. Won, *Nat. Photonics*, 2008, **2**, 216–217.
3. I. W. Chen and X. H. Wang, *Nature*, 2000, **404**, 168–171.
4. A. Ikesue and Y. L. Aung, *Nat. Photonics*, 2008, **2**, 721–727.
5. A. Krell, J. Klimke and T. Hutzler, *Opt. Mater.*, 2009, **31**, 1144–1150.
6. S. Chen and Y. Wu, *Am. Ceram. Soc. Bull.*, 2013, **92**, 32–37.
7. R. Apetz and M. P. B. van Bruggen, *Journal of the American Ceramic Society*, 2003, **86**, 480–486.
8. M. Allix, S. Alahrache, F. Fayon, M. Suchomel, F. Porcher, T. Cardinal and G. Matzen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 5570–5575.
9. S. Alahrache, *International patent*, WO2013079707, 2013.
10. S. Alahrache, K. Al Saghir, S. Chenu, E. Veron, D. D. S. Meneses, A. I. Becerro, M. Ocana, F. Moretti, G. Patton, C. Dujardin, F. Cusso, J.-P. Guin, M. Nivard, J.-C. Sangleboeuf, G. Matzen and M. Allix, *Chem. Mat.*, 2013, **25**, 4017–4024.
11. K. Al Saghir, S. Chenu, E. Veron, F. Fayon, M. Suchomel, C. Genevois, F. Porcher, G. Matzen, D. Massiot and M. Allix, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 508–514.
12. M. Boyer, S. Alahrache, C. Genevois, M. Licheron, F.-X. Lefevre, C. Castro, G. Bonnefont, G. Patton, F. Moretti, C. Dujardin, G. Matzen and M. Allix, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2016, **16**, 386–395.
13. G. Patton, F. Moretti, A. Belsky, K. A. Saghir, S. Chenu, G. Matzen, M. Allix and C. Dujardin, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **16**, 24824–24829.
14. D. Chen, Z. Wan, Y. Zhou, X. Zhou, Y. Yu, J. Zhong, M. Ding and Z. Ji, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 19484–19493.
15. D. Chen, Z. Wan, Y. Zhou, W. Xiang, J. Zhong, M. Ding, H. Yua and Z. Ji, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2015, **3**, 3141–3149.
16. Y. Zhang, X. Yin, H. Yu, H. Cong, H. Zhang, J. Wang and R. I. Boughtont, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2012, **12**, 622–628.
17. M. Karbowiak, P. Gnutek, C. Rudowicz and W. Ryba-Romanowski, *Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **387**, 69–78.
18. H. Xia, J. Feng, J. Xu, Z. You, Y. Wang and C. Tu, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf.*, 2015, **155**, 90–95.
19. A. A. Kaminskii, H. H. Yu, J. Y. Wang, Y. Y. Zhang, H. J. Zhang, O. Lux, H. Rhee, H. J. Eichler, J. Hanuza, H. Yoneda and A. Shirakawa, *Laser Phys.*, 2014, **24**, 085803.
20. X. M. Zhang, J. H. Zhang, L. F. Liang and S. Qiang, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 2005, **40**, 281–288.
21. M. J. Weber, *Handbook of Optical Materials*, CRC Press, 2002.

- 22 F. Hanson, D. Dick, H. Verdun and M. Kokta, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B-Opt. Phys.*, 1991, **8**, 1668–1673.
- 23 H. Xia, J. Feng, Y. Wang, J. Li, Z. Jia and C. Tu, *Sci Rep*, 2015, **5**, 13988.
- 24 A. Jose Fernandez-Carrion, M. Ocana, J. Garcia-Sevillano, E. Cantelar and A. Isabel Becerro, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 18035–18043.
- 25 J. Xu, J. Ueda, K. Kuroishi and S. Tanabe, *Scr. Mater.*, 2015, **102**, 47–50.
- 26 J. K. R. Weber, *International Journal of Applied Glass Science*, 2010, **1**, 248–256.
- 27 C. Mccamy, *Color Res. Appl.*, 1992, **17**, 142–144.
- 28 D. A. Winborne, P. C. Nordine, D. E. Rosner and N. F. Marley, *MTB*, 1976, **7**, 711–713.
- 29 A. Rosenflanz, M. Frey, B. Endres, T. Anderson, E. Richards and C. Schardt, *Nature*, 2004, **430**, 761–764.
- 30 J. M. S. Skakle and R. Herd, *Powder Diffraction*, 1999, **14**, 195–202.
- 31 G. Rousse, B. Baptiste and G. Lelong, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **53**, 6034–6041.
- 32 M. Boyer, E. Veron, A. I. Becerro, F. Porcher, M. R. Suchomel, G. Matzen and M. Allix, *Crystengcomm*, 2015, **17**, 6127–6135.
- 33 E. Veron, M. N. Garaga, D. Pelloquin, S. Cadars, M. Suchomel, E. Suard, D. Massiot, V. Montouillout, G. Matzen and M. Allix, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 4250–4258.
- 34 J. Yu, S. Kohara, K. Itoh, S. Nozawa, S. Miyoshi, Y. Arai, A. Masuno, H. Taniguchi, M. Itoh, M. Takata, T. Fukunaga, S. Koshihara, Y. Kuroiwa and S. Yoda, *Chem. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 259–263.
- 35 T. Shishido, Y. T. Zheng, A. Saito, H. Horiuchi, K. Kudou, S. Okada and T. Fukuda, *J. Alloy. Compd.*, 1997, **260**, 88–92.
- 36 I. V. Ogorodnyk, I. V. Zatovsky and N. S. Slobodyanik, *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, **52**, 121–125.
- 37 N. Y. Strutynska, I. V. Zatovsky, M. M. Yatskin, N. S. Slobodyanik and I. V. Ogorodnyk, *Inorg Mater*, 2012, **48**, 402–406.
- 38 T. Nakanishi, K. Watanabe, J. Ueda, K. Fushimi, S. Tanabe and Y. Hasegawa, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2015, **98**, 423–429.
- 39 L. Lallemand, N. Roussel, G. Fantozzi, V. Garnier, G. Bonnefont, T. Douillard, B. Durand, S. Guillemet-Fritsch, J.-Y. Chane-Ching, D. Garcia-Gutierrez and J. Aguilar-Garib, *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.*, 2014, **34**, 1279–1288.
- 40 Y. Y. Zhang, H. J. Zhang, H. H. Yu, J. Y. Wang, W. L. Gao, M. Xu, S. Q. Sun, M. H. Jiang and R. I. Boughton, *Journal of Applied Physics*, 2010, **108**, 063534.
- 41 V. Bedekar, D. P. Dutta, M. Mohapatra, S. V. Godbole, R. Ghildiyal and A. K. Tyagi, *Nanotechnology*, 2009, **20**, 125707.
- 42 A. J. Fernández-Carrión, M. Ocaña, J. García-Sevillano, E. Cantelar and A. I. Becerro, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 18035–18043.
- 43 J. Li, J.-G. Li, S. Liu, X. Li, X. Sun and Y. Sakka, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2013, **1**, 7614–7622.
- 44 T. Grzyb, M. Runowski and S. Lis, *J. Lumines.*, 2014, **154**, 479–486.
- 45 A. I. Becerro, S. Rodriguez-Liviano, A. J. Fernandez-Carrion and M. Ocana, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2013, **13**, 526–535.
- 46 P. A. Tanner, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 5090–5101.
- 47 P. D. G. Blasse and P. D. B. C. Grabmaier, in *Luminescent Materials*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1994, pp. 91–107.
- 48 M. Zhao, L. Li, J. Zheng, L. Yang and G. Li, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 807–815.
- 49 G. S. R. Raju, E. Pavitra, Y. H. Ko and J. S. Yu, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 15562–15569.
- 50 H. E. Hoefdraad, F. M. A. Stegers and G. Blasse, *Chemical Physics Letters*, 1975, **32**, 216–217.