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Computational Anatomy aims to model and analyze the variability of the human anatomy. Given a set of medical images of the same organ, the first step is the estimation of the mean organ’s shape. This mean anatomical shape is called the template in Computer vision or Medical imaging. The estimation of a template/atlas is central because it represents the starting point for all further processing or analyses. In view of the medical applications, evaluating the quality of this statistical estimate is crucial. How does the estimated template behave for varying amount of data, for small and large level of noise? We present a geometric Bayesian framework which unifies two estimation problems that are usually considered distinct: the template estimation problem and manifold learning problem – here associated to estimating the template’s orbit. We leverage this to evaluate the quality of the template estimator.

Template estimation in Computational Anatomy

Computational Medicine relying on medical images

First step: template shape computation

Second step: analysis

Template shape


deformable template

Orbit of template under the Lie group action

Uniformity through Geometric Statistics

M: space of the images X_i’s
G: Lie group of transformations
Action of G on M: p:M × G → M denoted: (X, g) → p(X, g)
\(q^*_t\): shape space, quotient of M by G

Space of images M

Different estimators of the template’s shape

Functional model: \(g_i\)’s are parameters

Structural model: \(g_i\)’s are random variables

Likelihood: \(L = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \exp \left( -\frac{\Delta g^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) \left( \frac{\Delta g^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) \exp \left( -\frac{\Delta g^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) \frac{\Delta g^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) d\gamma \)

Maximum-Likelihood (MLE-F)

(1) \(\hat{g}_i = \text{argmin}_{g_i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta g^2(\rho(T, g_i), g_i, \gamma, X_i)\)
(2) \(\hat{\gamma} = \text{argmin}_{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta g^2(\rho(T, g_i), g_i, \gamma, X_i)\)

Maximum-Likelihood: Expectation-Maximization algorithm (MLE-S)

(1) Expectation
(2) Maximization

No closed form solution

Adding priors: \(p(T) = \text{cte} \exp \left( -\frac{\Delta g^2}{\sigma^2} \right)\) reweights metric in shape space; \(p(\gamma) = \text{cte} \exp \left( -\frac{\Delta g^2}{\sigma^2} \right)\) reweights metric in the orbit; \(p(\gamma) = \text{cte} \exp \left( -\frac{\Delta g^2}{\sigma^2} \right)\)

Maximum-A-Posteriors (MAP-F)

Comparison of the estimators

MLE-S: Consistent but slow
MLE-F: Fast but inconsistent
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