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Summary. The study deals with the behavior of bolted joints between the wings and the fuselage of an airplane. To study this complex structure, we use a classical finite element code with a simplified model (called macromodel) to avoid a large number of degrees of freedom implied by a fine discretization of the local geometry and non-linearities (contact, friction). During previous studies, the macromodel was constructed by simple springs. The use of this type of macromodel in assembly simulations enables to define the most loaded bolt. However, these simple springs do not allow to take into account non-linearities such as contact with friction. To face this problem, a new macromodel is considered.

1 Introduction

The aim of this work is to solve mechanical problems including frictional contact in bolted joint using a macromodel. This macromodel should be implemented in an usual finite element code and used for each bolted joint in the complete structure simulation. The 2-nodes macromodel element can be characterized via parameters (a gap, a tangential stiffness, an axial stiffness, a friction ratio, and a pretension for example). Once the nature of the macromodel is established, an identification of the parameters is proceed. To this purpose, simulations on a 3D structure defined as a mesomodel can be used. This structure is composed of two aluminium plates, one composite plate and a bolt. To carry out the simulations, we use a dedicated software [CHA 95] based on the LATIN method [LAD 99], which enables us to handle frictional contact between the different parts of the assembly (see figure 1). The mesomodel parameters seizure is lead through a specific multi-resolution process allowing an important wage of time [BOU 03]. In the next sections, two types of macromodel are developed: a contact macromodel and a frictional contact one. Finally, some results are presented.
2 Macromodel definitions

2.1 Macromodel for contact

The contact macromodel in one dimension can be seen like a rheological model (represented by figure 2.b), and is composed of two different stiffnesses \((k, k')\) and a gap \((j)\). The contact macromodel behavior can be defined by:

\[
F = \alpha e k \delta u + \alpha c k' \delta u,
\]

where \(F\) is the force, \(\delta u\) the jump in displacement, \(k, k'\) the element stiffness before and after contact and \(\alpha e, \alpha c\) the ratio of the jump in displacement before and after contact. Considering this behavior, the ratio of the jump in displacement after contact can be written as:

\[
\alpha c = \frac{<|\delta u|> - j}{|\delta u|} + \alpha e = 1 - \alpha e.
\]

Using a standard linear discretization, the specific element behavior becomes:

\[
\{F\} = \alpha e [K] \{U\} + \alpha c [K'] \{U\}; \quad [K'] = \begin{bmatrix} k & -k \\ -k & k \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } [K'] = \begin{bmatrix} k' & -k' \\ -k' & k' \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)
\]

To solve the non-linear problem of contact, a standard newton algorithm is used in a quasi-static study. Consequently, the residual \( \{R\} \) is computed such that:

\[
\{R\} = \alpha e [K] \{U + \Delta U\} + \alpha c [K'] \{U + \Delta U\}
\]

and the tangent matrix \([K^T]\) is chosen such that \([K^T] = [K]\) if \(\alpha c = 0\) or \([K^T] = [K']\) if \(\alpha c > 0\). In a three-dimensional configuration, a simplified beam model is used...
to connect two shells and consequently defines a patch representing a contact joint between two parts (cf. fig. 2.a). To link the shell elements, the simplified beam model contains three translation dof and three rotation dof for each node (similar to a shell junction element integrated into a standard finite element code such as those exposed in [VAD 06], [MAY 07]). Considering the contact from a translational point of view, relations between translations and rotations implied by the element stiffness matrix are decoupled. As a result, the macromodel element stiffness matrix \((K_{el})\) is defined by:

\[
K_{el} = \begin{bmatrix} M & -M \\ -M & M \end{bmatrix} \{ \begin{array}{c} \{M_{ij} = 0 \forall i \neq j \\ M_{11} = k_n; M_{22} = M_{33} = k_t; M_{44} = M_{55} = M_{66} = k_r \end{array} \}
\]

where \(k_n\) is the axial stiffness, \(k_t\) the radial stiffness and \(k_r\) the rotational stiffness. Furthermore, the terms of the joint element matrix coupling the rotations are chosen high to impose rigid body motion for rotation. To know when the contact occurs, a normed jump in displacement is computed in the local tangential plane of the specific element and compared with the gap. In this contact model, the parameters are two radial stiffnesses \((k_t, k'_t)\), an axial stiffness \((k_n)\) and a gap \((j)\). Thus, considering the shell assembly, the joint is considered as a rigid body taken into account the translational contact.

### 2.2 Macromodel for frictional contact

For frictional contact (cf. fig. 2.d), three states are considered: sticking, sliding and contact. First, the plates are stuck. When a critical load \((G)\) is attained, one plate slide over the second plate and finally the bolt contacts the plates. To model the friction, a coulomb law is used with a threshold force \(G = f(P_c, \mu)\), where \(P_c\) is the pretension in the bolt and \(\mu\) the friction ratio. The states can be expressed as:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>states</th>
<th>conditions</th>
<th>displacement ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sticking</td>
<td>(F_t \cdot \text{sign} (\dot{U}_t) &lt; G)</td>
<td>(\alpha_e = 1; \alpha_g = 0; \alpha_c = 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sliding</td>
<td>(\delta u_t - \frac{\dot{G}}{k_n} &lt; j) and (F_t \cdot \text{sign} (\dot{U}_t) \geq G)</td>
<td>(\alpha_e = \frac{\dot{G}}{k_n \delta u_t}; \alpha_g = 1 - \alpha_e; \alpha_c = 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contact</td>
<td>(\delta u_t - \frac{\dot{G}}{k_n} \geq j) and (F_t \cdot \text{sign} (\dot{U}_t) \geq G)</td>
<td>(\alpha_e = 1; \alpha_g = \frac{1}{\delta u_t}; \alpha_c = 1 - (\alpha_e + \alpha_g))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consequently, the residual is computed by the relation: \(\{R\} = \alpha_e [K^e] \{U + \Delta U\} + \alpha_g [K^g] \{U + \Delta U\} + \alpha_c [K^c] \{U + \Delta U\}\), and the tangent matrix \((\{K^T\})\) is chosen such that: \([K^T] = [K^e]\) for sticking, \([K^T] = [K^g]\) for sliding, \([K^T] = [K^c]\) for contact, where \(F_t\) is the radial force, \(\delta u_t\) the radial jump in displacement, \(K^e\) the element stiffness before contact, \(K^g\) the regularization term during sliding, \(K^c\) the element stiffness after contact, \(\dot{U}_t\) the radial displacement speed, \(G\) the threshold friction force and \(\alpha_e, \alpha_g, \alpha_c\) the ratio of the jump in displacement related to the three states.

### 3 Numerical experiments

Three different cases are exposed in figures 3.a, 3.b, 3.c. The first problem is related to a structure of 2 plates under a traction-compression load with a macromodel simulating the contact (cf. fig. 3.a). The second problem is referred to a structure of 2 plates under compression linked
by four bolted joints (cf. fig. 3.b). The last problem presents a one dimensional problem including frictional contact (cf. fig. 3.c). The variation of the jump in displacement in direction x is displayed versus the load in the same direction for the three cases.
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Figure 3: Bolted joint - macromodel results

4 Conclusion

The key point of using the macromodel and the mesomodel presented is that they rest on a physical meaning. On one hand, the geometry and the initial conditions allow an identification of the macromodel’s gap and pretension. On the other hand, real or virtual (mesomodel simulations presented in figure 1) elementary tests could enable to identify the macromodel’s stiffnesses and friction ratio. Once the macromodel’s parameters will be defined, the final aim will be to carry out a simulation on a complex structure joining the wings to the fuselage composed of plates.
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