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ABSTRACT: Inflatable structures are under increasing development in various domains. Their study is often carried out by using 3D membrane finite elements and for static loads. There is a lack of knowledge in dynamic conditions, especially for simple and accurate solutions for inflatable beams. This paper deals with the research on the natural frequencies of inflatable Timoshenko beams by an exact method: the continuous element method (CEM), and by the classical finite element method (FEM). The dynamic stiffness matrix $D(\omega)$ is here established for an inflatable beam; it depends on the natural frequency and also on the inflation pressure. The stiffness and mass matrices used in the FEM are deduced from $D(\omega)$. Natural frequencies and natural modes of a simply supported beam are computed, and the accuracy of the CEM is checked by comparisons with the finite element method and also with experimental results.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Inflatable structures are increasingly used nowadays. They find applications in well-known structures (tires, boats) and in more recent applications, mainly in the spatial industry (inflatable antennas, shields, houses) or in civil engineering (buildings, temporary hospitals, inflatable scales). Other industrial sectors are developing inflatable structures. Inflatable wings are aeronautical applications. The naval industry is interested in many different structures: boats, inflatable laths to rigidify the sails and inflatable landing stages. Environmental protection is concerned with inflatable dams. Medical inflatable prosthesis are being increasingly used.

The growing interest for inflatable structures comes from the large amount of advantages that they offer: they are light, easy to fold, quite easy to built, easy to deploy. In the spatial industry, the focus is put on their ability to attain large sizes for a small storage volume and to present low specific mass and an interesting reliability. For these applications, the inflation pressure is quite low. Earthly structures are mainly used for temporary applications, but durable ones are also built nowadays: autonomous or in association with metallic reinforcements (tensairity systems [1]). Generally, they need greater pressure than for spatial applications and may become very strong structures if the pressure is large enough. All these developments require engineers to be able to calculate their mechanical behaviour.

The type of material used, the geometrical characteristics of the beams, and the internal pressure lead to the strength and stiffness of the inflatable
structure. Several types of fabrics are used. They are often manufactured from high modulus fibres such as Kevlar,Vectran. For instance, Vectran was used for the Mars Pathfinder [2]. Polyester fabrics with a PVC coating are more often used for inflatable structures in civil engineering: Ferrari pre-stressed fabric is one of them. Materials are robust and can be packed and deployed many times with minimal degradation in performance.

Inflatable structures are built from elementary parts like tubes, panels, cones or tori. Due to the geometry of these elements, the strength of materials is well adapted to the study of these structures in order to predict their behaviour. A beam approach gives useful tools for easy model assembly of longitudinal elements. Several authors have worked on static analysis. Comer and Levy [3] have proposed an Euler Bernoulli formulation for an inflatable cantilever beam. Main and al. [4] have realized experiments and compared their results to Comer and Levy’s theory. In fact, the effect of the pressure isn’t obtained explicitly by using the usual beam theory. Clearly, the deflections of fabric tubes are dependent on the internal pressure, on the fabric’s constitutive law, on the initial geometry and on the external loads. Fichter [5] used the minimization of the global potential energy with Timoshenko’s beam assumptions and was the first to put forward a theory for the deflections including the pressure effects. Thomas [6] has constructed a model for the tubes, which lead the way to quite complicated formulas and to a non-symmetrical stiffness matrix. Even if the comparison between theoretical and experimental results have given evidence of a good accuracy of these formulas, the choice has been made here to use the recent Le Van’s approach [7] who obtained simpler static beam formulations, which leads to bending formulas where the inflation pressure appears also explicitly in the rigidities of the beam. In fact, Le Van’s study is an improvement on Fichter’s. Equations are written by the use of the virtual work principle in lagrangian variables with finite rotations. This approach has been extended in this paper by introducing the dynamic terms in order to predict the influence of the pressure effects on the natural frequencies.

There are only a few papers which deal with theoretical studies on the dynamics of inflatable beams with a strength of materials approach. Membrane or shell analysis is generally used. For instance, the vibrations of inflatable dams (rubber dams) have lead to several studies where the authors mainly use displacement finite element computations [8]. Recently, Jha and al. [9] have suggested a study focused on the vibrations of an inflatable torus made of kapton dedicated to the spatial industry, and the model used was based on a shell theory. Concerning the beam approach, Main and al [10] put forward work dealing principally with the damping mechanisms; an Euler-Bernoulli theory was used again. In fact the experimental results clearly show the dependency of the frequencies on the pressure. The beam dynamic theory that will be established in this paper follows Le Van’s work on static analysis. We have chosen to use the continuous element method to analytically obtain the dynamic stiffness matrix because it allows an exact vibration analysis of the problem. The most popular computational method used in structural dynamic is the finite element method. In this work, the stiffness matrix and the mass matrix used in the FEM have also been calculated.

The physical intuition is that increasing the pressure in a structure leads to an increase of the material properties. In order to take into account the effects of the inflation pressure and the shear deformation, a Timoshenko beam theory has been used in this paper. The internal pressure is the pre-stress effect: it allows pre-stressing the walls and induces the initial geometry and stiffness. The second special feature comes from the fact that the force inducted locally follows the follower load effect due to the pressure can be taken into account properly, which is of importance for these kinds of structures.

The framework of this paper is the following: in the first part, the differential equations of motion are obtained by using the virtual work principle written in lagrangian variables following [7]. In the second part, the dynamic stiffness matrix of an inflatable beam is built by connecting the values of the loads and the displacements at the two ends of the beam. FEM stiffness and mass matrices are deduced from the dynamic stiffness matrix. In the fourth part, the stiffness and mass matrix are directly derived from the FEM and the coherence with the continuous element analysis is verified. Then the natural frequencies are calculated for an inflatable simply, supported beam by using the CEM. Comparisons are finally made with experimental results and show the accuracy of the theory.
2. THE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS OF MOTION

Inflatable structures are made of pressurized fabrics. The inflation pressure leads to a pre-stress state in the walls of the structure, which ensures its stiffness. The shear deformations of these thin-walled structures must not be overlooked and one must use Timoshenko’s beam kinematics because the cross-section doesn’t remain orthogonal to the neutral fibre (see Fig 2 in [6]). Fig. 1 shows a straight uniform Timoshenko beam model.

The use of the virtual work principle written in lagrangian variables leads to differential equations of motion where the effect of the inflation pressure appears. This principle is written as follows:

\[ \forall U^* \in R^3, \quad - \int_{\Omega_0} (\Pi^T : \text{grad}U^*) \, d\Omega_0 + \int_{\partial\Omega_0} f_0 \cdot U^* \, d\Omega_0 \]
\[ + \int_{\partial\Omega_0} \Pi^* \cdot N \, dS_0 - \int_{\Omega_0} \rho_0 U^* \cdot U^* \, d\Omega_0 \quad (1) \]

\( U \) denotes the displacement field and \( U^* \) the virtual displacement field. \( \Pi \) is the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor, \( \rho_0 \) is the density in the reference configuration, \( \Omega_0 \) is the volume of the element in the reference configuration and \( \partial\Omega_0 \) its boundary. \( N \) is the outward normal unit in the reference configuration, and \( f_0 \) the body force per unit reference volume. In the following, details are expressed for the virtual work of the inertia terms. The principle of calculus and the corresponding results for the other virtual works (internal virtual stress work, external virtual dead loads work, external virtual work due to the pressure) have just been condensed. Details can be found in [7].

2.1 The virtual work of the inertia terms

The cross-section is assumed to have a rigid body displacement. In a right-handed rectangular Cartesian coordinate system, Fig. 1 shows an inflatable tube of length \( l_0 \) in the reference configuration and a current cross section on the reference and in its current configuration. The displacement of any point \( P_0 \) of the cross section comes from:
Here, \( U(G_0, t) = (U(X, t), V(X, t), 0) \) is the displacement of the centre of the current cross-section, and \( R \) corresponds to the rotation of the section.

The virtual displacement field is chosen as follows:

\[
U^* (P_0) = U^* (G_0) + \Omega^* \wedge GP
\]

where \( U^*(G_0) = (U^*(X), V^*(X), 0) \), \( \Omega^* = (0, 0, \theta^*(X)) \) and where the particularity comes from \( GP \) which corresponds to the final configuration. This gives in lagrangian variables:

\[
GP = R \cdot G_0 P_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta - \sin \theta & 0 & 0 \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -Y \sin \theta \\ -Y \cos \theta \\ Z \end{bmatrix}
\]

The virtual work of the inertia terms is:

\[
W^*_{ac} = \int_{\Omega_0} \rho_0 \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial t^2} U^* \, d\Omega_0
\]

where

\[
\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial t^2} U^* (P_0) = \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial t^2} \cos \theta \cdot V + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2} \sin \theta \cdot U^* + \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \sin \theta \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \sin \theta + \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \cos \theta \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \cos \theta
\]

This leads to:

\[
W^*_{ac} = \int_{S_0} \rho_0 \left( U^* \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial t^2} + V^* \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2} + \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \sin \theta \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \sin \theta \right) + \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \cos \theta \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \cos \theta \right) \right) \, dS \, dX
\]

By introducing the second moment of area of the beam cross section about the z axis I, and considering that the first moment of area is nil, the virtual work of the inertia terms is:

\[
W^*_{ac} = \int_{S_0} \rho_0 \left( U^* \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial t^2} + V^* \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2} + I^* \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial t^2} \right) \, dX
\]
2.2 Strain tensor, stress tensor, constitutive law

The Green strain tensor is given by

\[ E = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \mathbf{U} + (\nabla \mathbf{U})^T + \nabla \mathbf{U}^T \cdot \nabla \mathbf{U}) \]

where \( \nabla \mathbf{U} \) is the displacement gradient tensor. One obtains:

\[ E_{XX} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \cos \theta \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right)^2 - 2 \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \cos \theta \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} - 2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \sin \theta \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right) \]

\[ E_{XY} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \cos \theta - \left( 1 + \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right) \sin \theta \right) \]

and \( E_{YY} = 0 \) (9)

The matrix of the second Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor \( \Sigma \) is assumed to be a “beam” matrix:

\[ \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix}
\Sigma_{XX} & \Sigma_{XY} & 0 \\
\Sigma_{XY} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix} \]

(10)

The following generalized stresses can therefore be introduced:

\[ N = \int_{S_0} \Sigma_{XX} \, dS_0 \quad M = -\int_{S_0} y \Sigma_{XY} \, dS_0 \quad T = \int_{S_0} \Sigma_{XY} \, dS_0 \]

(11)

which are respectively considered as the normal force, the bending momentum and the shear force acting on the cross-section in the reference configuration.

Different kinds of materials are commonly used to build inflatable structures. Thin isotropic membrane may be used (example of the kapton in the spatial industry). Composites made of fabric embedded in a matrix material are also used (temporary buildings are often made of polyester fibres and rubber coating). Even if this kind of membrane follows an orthotropic behaviour, the material is considered here as an hyperelastic isotropic Saint-Venant Kirchhoff material and the constitutive laws are:

\[ \Sigma_{XX} = \sigma_{XX}^0 + E \varepsilon_{XX} \]

\[ \Sigma_{XY} = \sigma_{XY}^0 + 2G \varepsilon_{XY} \]

(12)

\[ \Sigma_{XX} \]

and \( \Sigma_{XY} \) are the initial stresses. They are induced by the initial inflation with inducts pre-stress effects in the walls of the structures. \( E \) and \( G \) are respectively the Young modulus and the shear modulus.

2.3 The virtual work of the internal forces

The virtual work of the internal forces is:

\[ W_{int}^* = -\int_{\Omega_0} \left( (\Pi)^T : \text{grad} U \right) \, d\Omega_0 \]

(13)

\[ \Pi \]

is calculated by using the deformation gradient \( F : \Pi = F \Sigma \)

On the assumption that the material is linear and elastic and by taking into account the existence of pre-stressing, we have:

\[ -W_{int} = \int_{\Omega_0} \left[ N \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right) + M \cos \theta \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} - T \sin \theta \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right] \frac{dV^*}{dV} + \left[ N \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + M \sin \theta \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} + T \cos \theta \right] \frac{dV^*}{dV} \]

\[ + M \left[ 1 + \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \sin \theta \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} - \sin \theta \cos \theta \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right] \left[ 1 + \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \cos \theta + \cos \theta \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right] \frac{dV^*}{dV} \]

\[ + M \left[ 1 + \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right] \cos \theta + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \sin \theta \right] + \left[ N \frac{I_0}{S_0} + \frac{1}{2} K E \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right)^2 + K Y^0 \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right] \frac{dV^*}{dV} \]

(14)

\[ d\Omega_0 \]
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Here, $K = \int_{S_0} y^2 dS_0 - \frac{I_2}{S_0}$ and $\bar{p}$ comes from the development of the initial stresses. The strain expression allows writing

$$\Sigma_{xx} = \alpha + \beta Y + \gamma' Y^2$$

and

$$\Sigma^0_{xx} = \alpha^0 + \beta^0 Y + \gamma^0 Y^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

### 2.4 The virtual work of the external loads

Two kinds of load can be considered for these structures: dead loads (loads which always go in the same direction) and follower loads due to the inflation pressure (which inducts local forces acting normally to the surface, and following this surface from the reference configuration to the current configuration). The inflation pressure is considered here as an external force even if it is acting inside the structure.

The virtual work of the external loads (except the pressure) is given by:

$$W_{\text{ext}}^* = \int_{\Omega} (p_0, t) U^* (P_0) d\Omega_0 + \int_{\Omega} T (P_0, t) U^* (P_0) dS_0$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} (p_0, t) (U^* (G_0) + \Omega^* \times GP) d\Omega_0 + \int_{S_0} T (P_0, t) (U^* (G_0) + \Omega^* \times GP) dS_0$$

$$= \int_0^1 (p_x U^* + p_y V^* + \mu \theta^*) dX + X(0) \mu^* (0) + X(0) \theta^* (0) + X(0) \mu^* (0)$$

$$+ Y(0) \theta^* (0) + \Gamma (0) \theta^* (0) + X(0) \mu^* (0)$$

$$+ Y(0) \theta^* (0) + \Gamma (0) \theta^* (0).$$

Where $T = ILN$ and $p_x, p_y, \mu$ are respectively the in-plane components of the dead load and momentum per unit length; $X(\cdot), Y(\cdot), \Gamma (\cdot)$ are the components of the resultant force and the resultant momentum, which will lead to the boundary conditions.

Concerning the external loads due to the pressure, it is supposed that the beam is subjected to a uniform pressure $p$ on the entire internal surface. The surface is broken down into two different parts: the lateral walls and the surfaces at the end sections. In the following, the force due to the pressure acting on the end section in the initial configuration will be noted: $P = pS_0$.

![Figure 2: Following forces](image)

Under the assumption that reference volume is a cylinder, the virtual work of the surface density $p_n$ is calculated.

$$W_{\text{prev}}^* = \int_{S_r} U^* p_n dS$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)
Sp corresponds to the lateral external surfaces and the two bases of the tube. After development (see [7]), the work can be written as follows:

\[ W_{\text{prev}} = P \int \left[ U^* \sin \theta \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} + V^* \cos \theta \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right] dX + \int E^* \cos \theta + V^* \sin \theta \theta_0 \] \quad (19)\]

2.5 Equations of motion and boundary conditions:

The use of the virtual work principle written in lagrangian variables then leads to the following equations where the dynamic terms appear:

\[ W_{\text{acc}} = W_{\text{ext}} + W_{\text{prev}} + W_{\text{int}} \] \quad (20)

After part integration and considering the terms \( U^*, V^*, \theta^* \), we can obtain the equations of motion:

\[ \rho_0 S_0 \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial t^2} \nabla (N(1+\frac{\partial U}{\partial X})) + \frac{\partial}{\partial X}(M \cos \theta \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial X}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial X}(T \sin \theta) - P \sin \theta \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial X} = P_X \] \quad (21)

\[ \rho_0 S_0 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2} \nabla (N(1+\frac{\partial V}{\partial X})) + \frac{\partial}{\partial X}(M \sin \theta \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial X}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial X}(T \cos \theta) + P \cos \theta \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial X} = P_Y \] \quad (22)

\[ \rho_0 \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial t^2} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial X}(M(1+\frac{\partial U}{\partial X}) \cos \theta - \frac{\partial}{\partial X}(M \frac{\partial V}{\partial X} \sin \theta + ((I + \frac{\partial U}{\partial X}) \cos \theta + \frac{\partial V}{\partial X} \sin \theta) T) - \frac{P \sin \theta (\frac{\partial V}{\partial X} - \sin \theta (I + \frac{\partial U}{\partial X})))}{mu} \] \quad (23)

With the boundary conditions:

\[ N(0)(1 + \frac{\partial U}{\partial X}) + M(0) \cos \theta(0) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial X}(0) + T(0) \sin \theta(0) - P \cos \theta(0) = -X(0) \]

\[ N(0)(1 + \frac{\partial U}{\partial X}) + M(0) \sin \theta(0) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial X}(0) + T(0) \cos \theta(0) - P \sin \theta(0) = -Y(0) \]

\[ N(0) \frac{\partial V}{\partial X}(0) + M(0) \sin \theta(0) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial X}(0) + T(0) \sin \theta(0) - P \cos \theta(0) = -Y(0) \]

\[ M(0)(1 + \frac{\partial U}{\partial X}(0)) \cos \theta(0) + M(0) \sin \theta(0) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial X}(0) + \left( N(0) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial X}(0) + \frac{1}{2} \right) E \left( \frac{C}{C} \right) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial X}(0) = -\Gamma(0) \]

\[ M(0)(1 + \frac{\partial U}{\partial X}(0)) \cos \theta(0) + M(0) \sin \theta(0) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial X}(0) + \left( N(0) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial X}(0) + \frac{1}{2} \right) E \left( \frac{C}{C} \right) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial X}(0) = \Gamma(0) \]
In order to calculate analytical solutions, the same assumptions than those made in [7] are made. After linearization, the reference and spatial configurations can be considered as the same approximately. The computational viewpoint remains Lagrangian; however, use will be made of small characters (i.e. Eulerian notations) in order to designate the variable. The constitutive laws become:

\[ N = N^h \quad T = kGSo \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} - \theta \quad M = EI_0 \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \]  

(25)

Here, \( k \) is the correction shear coefficient. For circular thin tubes, \( k = 0.5 \).

The equations of motion can therefore be deduced:

\[ \rho_0 S_0 \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial t^2} - N^0, x = p_x \]  

(26)

\[ \rho_0 S_0 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2} - (N^0 + kGSo) \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + (P + kGSo) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} = p_y \]  

(27)

\[ \rho_0 I_0 \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial t^2} - (EI_0 + N^0) \frac{I_0}{S_0} \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial x^2} - (P + kGSo)(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} - \theta) = \mu \]  

(28)

The boundary conditions are written for the generalized stresses:

\[ N^0(0) - P = -X(0) \]  

(29)

\[ N^0(0) = P = X(0) \]  

(30)

\[ (N^0(0) + kGSo) \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(0) - (P + kGSo) \theta(0) = -Y(0) \]  

(31)

\[ (N^0(0) + kGSo) \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(0) - (P + kGSo) \theta(0) = Y(0) \]  

(32)

\[ (EI_0 + N^0(0)) \frac{I_0}{S_0} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}(0) = -\Gamma(0) \]  

(33)

\[ N^0(0) = N^0(0) = P \]  

(34)

3. CONSTRUCTION OF THE ELEMENTARY DYNAMIC STIFFNESS MATRIX

3.1 Solutions for the differential equation of motion

In the following, we will consider an inflated tube submitted to bending local external loads and torques at its two ends, and to its internal pressure. Hence, we consider that \( p_x, p_y, \mu \) are nil. Eqns (25) and (30) give:

\[ N^0(0) = N^0(0) = P \]  

(35)
By neglecting the effect of rotation inertia, the equation of motion for free vibrations can be obtained:

\[ \rho_0 S_0 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2} - (P + kGS_0) \left( \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right) = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (36)

\[ -(EI_0 + P \frac{I_0}{S_0}) \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial x^2} - (P + kGS_0) \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} - \theta \right) = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (37)

which allows to write, after some manipulations:

\[ \frac{\rho_0 S_0}{EI_0 + P \frac{I_0}{S_0}} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\rho_0 S_0}{P + kGS_0} \frac{\partial^4 V}{\partial x^2 \partial t^2} - \frac{\rho_0 S_0}{P + kGS_0} \frac{\partial^4 V}{\partial x^4} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (38)

One assumes that the solution takes the shape:

\[ V(x, t) = v(x) g(t) \]  \hspace{1cm} (39)

The differential equation can be written as follows:

\[ \frac{\rho_0 S_0}{EI_0 + P \frac{I_0}{S_0}} \frac{d^2 g}{dt^2} \frac{d^2 g}{dx^2} + \frac{\rho_0 S_0}{P + kGS_0} \frac{d^2 g}{dx^2} \frac{d^2 g}{dx^2} + \frac{d^2 g}{dx^2} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (40)

and leads to the usual result:

\[ \frac{d^2 g}{dt^2} = \frac{d^4 g}{dx^4} = \frac{d^4 v}{dx^4} = -\omega^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (41)
Harmonic variation of g with circular frequency $\omega$ is assumed then $g(t) = \cos(\omega t - \phi)$; then:

$$\frac{d^4g}{dt^4} + \frac{\omega^2}{P + kG} \frac{d^2g}{dt^2} - \frac{\omega^2 \rho_0 S_0}{E_0 + \frac{P I_0}{S_0}} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

Let us consider a solution of eqn (42) in the exponential form:

$$v(x) = ae^{sx}$$  \hspace{1cm} (43)

Introducing solution eqn (43) into eqn (42) yields to the characteristic equation:

$$s^4 + \frac{\omega^2 \rho_0 S_0}{P + kG} s^2 - \frac{\omega^2 \rho_0 S_0}{E_0 + \frac{P I_0}{S_0}} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (44)

The roots can now be obtained:

$$2s^2 = -\frac{\omega^2 \rho_0 S_0}{P + kG} - \sqrt{\frac{\omega^2 \rho_0 S_0}{P + kG}^2 + 4 \frac{\omega^2 \rho_0 S_0}{E_0 + \frac{P I_0}{S_0}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (45)

or

$$2s^2 = -\frac{\omega^2 \rho_0 S_0}{P + kG} + \sqrt{\frac{\omega^2 \rho_0 S_0}{P + kG}^2 + 4 \frac{\omega^2 \rho_0 S_0}{E_0 + \frac{P I_0}{S_0}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (46)

Finally we can obtain the solutions:

$$s_1 = \pm \lambda_1; \quad s_2 = \pm \lambda_2$$  \hspace{1cm} (47)

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\omega^2 \rho_0 S_0}{P + kG} + \frac{\omega^2 \rho_0 S_0}{P + kG}^2 + 4 \frac{\omega^2 \rho_0 S_0}{E_0 + \frac{P I_0}{S_0}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (48)

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\omega^2 \rho_0 S_0}{P + kG} + \frac{\omega^2 \rho_0 S_0}{P + kG}^2 + 4 \frac{\omega^2 \rho_0 S_0}{E_0 + \frac{P I_0}{S_0}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (49)

$\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ are functions of the circular frequency $\omega$ and of the internal pressure.
3.2 Expression of displacements in the matrix form

The expression of the transversal displacement and the cross-section rotation can be written as:

\[ V(x, t) = \left[ A \cos(\lambda_1 x) + B \sin(\lambda_1 x) + C \cosh(\lambda_2 x) + D \sinh(\lambda_2 x) \right] \cos(\omega t - \varphi) \]

\[ \theta(x, t) = \left[ \frac{E I_o + P L_0}{S_o} \theta(x) - \frac{\rho S_o}{P + KG_0} \bar{\theta}(x) \right] \cos(\omega t - \varphi) \]

where

\[ \bar{\theta}_i(x) = -\lambda_i \lambda_{1i} \sinh(\lambda_{1i} x) + \lambda_i \lambda_{1i} \sin(\lambda_{1i} x) + \lambda_i \lambda_{1i} \cosh(\lambda_{2i} x) + \lambda_i \lambda_{1i} \sinh(\lambda_{2i} x) \]

\[ \bar{\theta}_j(x) = \left[ -\lambda_j \lambda_{1j} \sin(\lambda_{1j} x) + \lambda_j \lambda_{1j} \cos(\lambda_{1j} x) + \lambda_j \lambda_{1j} \sinh(\lambda_{2j} x) + \lambda_j \lambda_{1j} \cosh(\lambda_{2j} x) \right] \cos(\omega t - \varphi) \]

In eqn (50), A, B, C and D are undetermined constants. After reduction, one can obtain:

\[ \theta = \left[ A \sin(\lambda_1 x) \cdot D \cos(\lambda_1 x) \cdot B + \Theta \sinh(\lambda_2 x) \cdot C + \Theta \cosh(\lambda_2 x) \cdot D \right] \cos(\omega t - \varphi) \]

with

\[ A \lambda_1 = -\lambda_1^2 \quad ; \quad \Theta \lambda_2 = \lambda_2^2 \]

The values of the displacements and rotations at the ends of the beams are:

- At the left-end \( x = 0 \):

\[ V_1 = (A + C) \cdot \cos(\omega t - \varphi) \]

\[ \theta_1 = (-A - B + \Theta - D) \cdot \cos(\omega t - \varphi) \]

- At the right-end \( x = \ell \):

\[ V_2 = \left[ A \cosh(\lambda_2 \ell) + B \sinh(\lambda_2 \ell) + C \sinh(\lambda_2 \ell) + D \cosh(\lambda_2 \ell) \right] \cos(\omega t - \varphi) \]

\[ \theta_2 = \left[ A \sinh(\lambda_2 \ell) + B \cosh(\lambda_2 \ell) + C \cosh(\lambda_2 \ell) + D \sinh(\lambda_2 \ell) \right] \cos(\omega t - \varphi) \]

We can now write the displacements in a matrix form:

\[ \{U\} = [W(\omega)] \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \\ C \\ D \end{bmatrix} \cos(\omega t - \varphi) \]

where

\[ [W(\omega)] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_1^2 & 0 & \lambda_2^2 \\ \cos(\lambda_1 \ell) & \sin(\lambda_1 \ell) & \cosh(\lambda_2 \ell) & \sinh(\lambda_2 \ell) \\ -\lambda_2^2 \sin(\lambda_2 \ell) & \lambda_2^2 \cos(\lambda_2 \ell) & \lambda_2^2 \cosh(\lambda_2 \ell) & \lambda_2^2 \sinh(\lambda_2 \ell) \end{bmatrix} \]

and with \( \{U\} = [v_1, \theta_1, v_2, \theta_2] \)
3.3 Expression of the external loads in the matrix form

In the same way, we will obtain the expression of the external loads at the ends of the beam by using the boundary conditions (31-34):

- For the transversal forces $F_1$ and $F_2$:

\[
(P + kG_S)(\frac{dV}{dx}(0) - \theta(0)) = -F_1 \quad (P + kG_S)(\frac{d\theta}{dx}(0) - \theta(0)) = F_2 \quad (59)
\]

- For the torques $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$:

\[
(EI_0 + \frac{P}{S_0} \frac{d\theta}{dx}(0)) = -\Gamma_1 \quad (EI_0 + \frac{P}{S_0} \frac{d\theta}{dx}(0)) = \Gamma_2 \quad (60)
\]

Substituting into $\frac{dV}{dx}$, $\frac{d\theta}{dx}$, $\theta$, and simplifying gives:

\[
(kG_S)(\frac{d\theta}{dx} - \theta) = \delta(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \sin(\lambda_1 x) \cdot A + \lambda_2 \cos(\lambda_1 x) \cdot B + (-\lambda_1 \sinh(\lambda_2 x) \cdot C + (-\lambda_1 \cosh(\lambda_2 x)) \cdot D \cdot \cos(\omega x - \varphi)
\]

\[
(EI_0 \frac{d\theta}{dx} - \theta) = (EI_0 \lambda_2^2 \cos(\lambda_2 x) \cdot A - \lambda_2^2 \sin(\lambda_1 x) \cdot B + \lambda_2^2 \cosh(\lambda_2 x) \cdot C + \lambda_2^2 \sinh(\lambda_2 x) \cdot D \cdot \cos(\omega x - \varphi)
\]

With

\[
\theta = \sqrt{\rho_0 S_0 (EI_0)} \quad \text{(62)}
\]

\[
(EI_0 \frac{d\theta}{dx} = (EI_0 + \frac{P \delta}{S_0}) \quad \text{and} \quad (kG_S)\theta = (P + kG_S) \quad \text{(63)}
\]

The values of the shear loads and bending momenta at the ends of beam are finally:

At the left-end $x = 0$:

\[
-F_1 = \theta(\lambda_2 \cdot B - \lambda_1 \cdot D) \cdot \cos(\omega x - \varphi) \quad \text{(64)}
\]

\[
-\Gamma_1 = (EI_0)\theta(-\lambda_2^2 \cdot A + \lambda_1^2 \cdot C) \cdot \cos(\omega x - \varphi) \quad \text{(65)}
\]

At the right-end $x = L$:

\[
F_2 = \delta(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \sin(\lambda_1 L) \cdot A + \lambda_2 \cos(\lambda_1 L) \cdot B + (-\lambda_1 \sinh(\lambda_2 L) \cdot C + (-\lambda_1 \cosh(\lambda_2 L)) \cdot D \cdot \cos(\omega x - \varphi) \quad \text{(66)}
\]

\[
\Gamma_2 = (EI_0 \lambda_2^2 \cos(\lambda_2 L) \cdot A - \lambda_2^2 \sin(\lambda_1 L) \cdot B + \lambda_2^2 \cosh(\lambda_2 L) \cdot C + \lambda_2^2 \sinh(\lambda_2 L) \cdot D \cdot \cos(\omega x - \varphi) \quad \text{(67)}
\]

The external loads are also written in matrix form:

\[
[F] = [Z(\omega)] \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \\ C \\ D \end{bmatrix} \cos(\omega x - \varphi) \quad \text{(68)}
\]
where

\[ [Z(\omega)] = (69) \]

and with \( \{F\}^T = \{F_1, \Gamma_1, F_2, \Gamma_2\} \)

### 3.4 The dynamic stiffness matrix

The dynamic stiffness matrix \([D(\omega)]\) is given by:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & -\partial_2 & 0 & \partial_4 \\
(EL)_r \lambda_2^2 & 0 & -(EL)_r \lambda_1^2 & 0 \\
-\partial_2 \sin(\lambda_1 \omega) & \partial_2 \cos(\lambda_1 \omega) & -\partial_2 \sinh(\lambda_2 \omega) & -\partial_4 \cosh(\lambda_2 \omega) \\
-(EL)_r \lambda_2^2 \cos(\lambda_1 \omega) & -(EL)_r \lambda_2^2 \sin(\lambda_1 \omega) & (EL)_r \lambda_1^3 \sinh(\lambda_2 \omega) & (EL)_r \lambda_1^3 \cosh(\lambda_2 \omega)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

and with \( \{F\}^T = \{F_1, \Gamma_1, F_2, \Gamma_2\} \)

The dynamic stiffness matrix is symmetrical, and its components are:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
D_{11} \\
D_{22} \\
D_{33} \\
D_{44}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
[\omega] & [\theta_1] \\
\Gamma_1 & \omega_1 \\
\Gamma_2 & \omega_2 \\
\Gamma_3 & \omega_3
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
[D(\omega)] = \begin{bmatrix}
D_{11} & D_{12} & D_{13} & D_{14} \\
D_{22} & D_{23} & D_{24} \\
D_{33} & D_{34} \\
D_{44}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The dynamic stiffness matrix is symmetrical, and its components are:

\[
D_{11} = \frac{\partial_4 \lambda_2}{d} (\lambda_2^2 + \lambda_2^2) \left[ \lambda_1^3 \cos(\lambda_1 \omega) \sinh(\lambda_2 \omega) + \lambda_1^3 \sin(\lambda_1 \omega) \cosh(\lambda_2 \omega) \right]
\]

\[
D_{12} = \frac{\partial_4 \lambda_2}{d} \left[ \lambda_1^4 + \lambda_2^4 \right] \left[ \lambda_1^3 \sin(\lambda_1 \omega) \sinh(\lambda_2 \omega) + \lambda_1^3 \cos(\lambda_1 \omega) \cosh(\lambda_2 \omega) + 1 \right]
\]

\[
D_{13} = \frac{\partial_4 \lambda_2}{d} \left[ \lambda_1^4 + \lambda_2^4 \right] \left[ \lambda_1^3 \sin(\lambda_1 \omega) + \lambda_1^3 \sinh(\lambda_2 \omega) \right]
\]

\[
D_{14} = \frac{\partial_4 \lambda_2}{d} \left[ \lambda_1^4 + \lambda_2^4 \right] \left[ \lambda_1^3 \cos(\lambda_1 \omega) - \sinh(\lambda_2 \omega) \right]
\]

\[
D_{22} = \frac{(EL)_r \lambda_2}{d} \left[ \lambda_1^4 + \lambda_2^4 \right] \left[ \lambda_1^3 \sin(\lambda_1 \omega) \cosh(\lambda_2 \omega) - \lambda_1^3 \cos(\lambda_1 \omega) \sinh(\lambda_2 \omega) \right]
\]

\[
D_{23} = \frac{(EL)_r \lambda_2}{d} \left[ \lambda_1^4 + \lambda_2^4 \right] \left[ \lambda_1^3 \cos(\lambda_1 \omega) - \cosh(\lambda_2 \omega) \right]
\]
The inflation pressure appears in the equations and each term of the matrix $D$ is a transcendental function of $\omega$.

Simple changes of coordinates give the matrices needed in order to compute the bending natural frequencies and mode shapes of inflatable tubes. This leads to the non-linear eigenvalue problem:

$$D(\omega)U = F$$  \hspace{1cm} (73)

Natural frequencies can be calculated by the use of the well-known algorithm defined by Wittrick and Williams [11], which enables the calculation of the number of natural frequencies of the structure that exist below an arbitrary chosen trial frequency. It allows us to calculate the natural frequencies with a chosen accuracy. Numerous papers deal with the determination of natural frequencies and natural modes of beams with the CEM with accuracy ([12], [13]). In this paper, we have preferred to focus our attention on two points: the first is the coherence of the results with the conventional finite element method; the second point is concerned with the influence of the inflation pressure in the results which is of great importance for inflatable structures.

4. F.E. STIFFNESS MATRIX AND MASS MATRIX

The aim of this section is to deduce FEM stiffness and mass matrices dedicated to inflatable tubes from the dynamic stiffness matrix.

4.1 From CEM to FEM:

Free vibrations may be calculated by the use of the dynamic stiffness matrix, which leads to the non-linear eigenvalue problem:

$$D(\omega)U = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (74)

This problem may be rewritten as follows:

$$(K(\omega) - \omega^2 M(\omega))U = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (75)

The mass and stiffness matrices are obtained with the help of Leung’s theory [14]:

$$M(\omega) = \frac{\partial D(\omega)}{\partial \omega^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (76)

then

$$K(\omega) = D(\omega) + \omega^2 M(\omega)$$  \hspace{1cm} (77)
Free vibrations may also be studied by the use of the finite element method. The displacement field is discretized:

\[ v(x,t) = [N(x)]^T [V(t)] \]  

(78)

where \( N \) is the shape function matrix, and \( V \) is the vector of generalized co-ordinates. The FEM leads to the linear eigenvalues problem:

\[ (K - \omega^2 M) U = 0 \]  

(79)

The FEM stiffness and mass matrixes will then be calculated with:

\[ K = \lim_{\omega \to 0} D(\omega) \]  

(80)

\[ M = \lim_{\omega \to 0} M(\omega) = -\lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{\partial D(\omega)}{\partial \omega^2} \]  

(81)

4.2 The stiffness and mass matrix obtained with the dynamic stiffness matrix

By calculating the limit of \( D(\omega) \) when \( \omega \to 0 \), one obtains \( K \).

\[ \Phi_P \] has been introduced for convenience:

\[ \phi_P = \frac{12(EL + Pl_o)}{So} \left( \frac{P + kGS_o \phi}{S_o} \right) \]  

(82)

The pressure \( P \) appears explicitly in the stiffness matrix. If the pressure tends to 0, one can recognize the Timoshenko beam stiffness matrix. This stiffness matrix can be deduced from the results derived by Wielgosz [15], by replacing \( E*I* \) by \( El_o + Pl_o \) and \( pbh \) by \( P + kGS_o \).

The limit of \( M(\omega) \) with \( \omega \to 0 \), gives the mass matrix \( M \):

\[ [M] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{13}{75} + \frac{7}{10}\phi_p + \frac{1}{3}\phi_p^2 & \frac{11}{210} + \frac{11}{120}\phi_p + \frac{1}{24}\phi_p^2 & \frac{1}{105} + \frac{1}{60}\phi_p + \frac{1}{120}\phi_p^2 & \frac{1}{24}\phi_p^2 \ \frac{9}{70} + \frac{3}{10}\phi_p + \frac{1}{6}\phi_p^2 & \frac{13}{420} + \frac{3}{40}\phi_p + \frac{1}{24}\phi_p^2 & \frac{1}{140} + \frac{1}{60}\phi_p + \frac{1}{120}\phi_p^2 & \frac{1}{24}\phi_p^2 \ \frac{9}{70} + \frac{3}{10}\phi_p + \frac{1}{6}\phi_p^2 & \frac{13}{420} + \frac{3}{40}\phi_p + \frac{1}{24}\phi_p^2 & \frac{1}{140} + \frac{1}{60}\phi_p + \frac{1}{120}\phi_p^2 \ \frac{9}{70} + \frac{3}{10}\phi_p + \frac{1}{6}\phi_p^2 & \frac{13}{420} + \frac{3}{40}\phi_p + \frac{1}{24}\phi_p^2 & \frac{1}{140} + \frac{1}{60}\phi_p + \frac{1}{120}\phi_p^2 \ \end{bmatrix} \]  

(84)
The inflation pressure also appears in the mass matrix. If $P$ tends to 0, the mass matrix also becomes the Timoshenko beam mass matrix.

### 4.3 The stiffness matrix by the left quotient operation:

The foundations of this algebraic operation can be found in [16]. The diagram given figure 4 can draw the left quotient operation.

![Figure 4. the left quotient operation](image)

The continuous element is described by a "mechanical element": the two vector spaces $V$ (displacement space) and $\Phi$ (loads space) are dual for a bilinear form $<.;.>_1$, which represents the work of a load $\{\phi\} \in \Phi$ in a displacement $\{v\} \in V$. The load law $L$ (application from $V$ into $\Phi$) summarizes the equilibrium equations and the boundary conditions. This has already been written for an inflatable panel [17] element submitted to nodal concentrated loads. The vector spaces are:

$$ V = C^4([0, a] : R) \quad \Phi = C([0, a] : R) \times R^4 $$

(85)

The static load law and the load vector are:

$$ L(v, \theta) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (EI_0) \frac{d^2 \theta}{dx^2} + (P + kGS_0) \left( \frac{dv}{dx} - \theta \right) \\ \frac{d^2 v}{dx^2} - \left( kGS_0 + pS_0 \right) \left( \frac{dv}{dx} - \theta \right) \end{array} \right\} $$

(86)

with $\{\phi\} = \{F_1, \Gamma_1, F_2, \Gamma_2\}$

The principle of the left quotient operation is to describe the equilibrium element by another "mechanical element". $\Phi_1$ is the discrete subspace of the loads space vector and contains the nodal loads (here $\Phi_1 = R^4$). If $j$ is the canonical injection from $\Phi_1$ into $\Phi$, then $V/\Phi_1^0$ is the quotient space (i.e. the subspace of the nodal displacements, $V/\Phi_1^0 = R^4$), $\{\phi\} \in \Phi_1$ represents the nodal loads ($F_1, \Gamma_1, F_2, \Gamma_2$) and $\{\phi\} \in V/\Phi_1^0$ represents the nodal displacements ($\phi_1, v_1, \theta_1, \phi_2, v_2, \theta_2$). These two vector spaces are dual for the bilinear form $<.;.>_2$, work of the nodal loads in the nodal displacements:

$$<\{\phi\}, \{\phi\}>_{\Phi_1} \rightarrow <\{\phi\}, \{\phi\}>_{\Phi_1} \rightarrow <\{\phi\}, \{\phi\}>_{\Phi_1} \quad \forall \{\phi\} \in j^{-1}(\{\phi\})$$

(87)

The principle of the left quotient operation is to solve the following problem: if the nodal loads $\{\phi\} \in \Phi_1$ are given, find the nodal displacements $\{\phi\} \in V/\Phi_1^0$.

The displacement $\{\phi\} \in V$ is obtained by integration of the equilibrium equations. The nodal displacements are therefore given by $\{\phi\} = j\{\phi\}$. The result gives the compliance of the element $[\phi] = [j' L^{-1} \circ j']([\phi])$. If the nodal displacements $\{\phi\} \in V/\Phi_1^0$ are given, find the nodal loads $\{\phi\} \in \Phi_1$. The result now gives the stiffness matrix of the element: $[\phi] = [j' L^{-1} \circ j']([\phi])$

We have to inverse the compliance given by the first problem, but it always leads to a singular system (because...
of the rigid body displacements) and the building of the stiffness matrix needs other equations, which come from the equilibrium equations.

Following the process detailed in [17], one obtains:

\[
[K] = \left(\frac{EI_0}{\theta(1+\theta P)}\right) \begin{bmatrix}
12 & 6 & 0 & -12 & 6 & 0 \\
\frac{\theta}{6}(4+\phi_P) & 6 & 0 & \frac{\theta}{6}(2-\phi_P) & 6 & 0 \\
\text{sym} & 12 & 0 & -6 & 0 & -6
\end{bmatrix}
\] with \( \phi_P = \frac{12EI_0}{(P+kGS_0)} \phi \) \hspace{1cm} (88)

Concerning the inflatable tubes, the load law is obtained with the static equilibrium equations obtained by elimination of the dynamic terms in eqns (36-37-59-60):

\[
L(\nu, \theta) = \left[ EI_0 + \frac{P l_0}{S_0} \right] \frac{d^2\theta}{dx^2} \left( pS_0 + kGS_0 \right) \left( \frac{dv}{dx} - 0 \right) - \frac{d^2\nu}{dx^2} - \frac{d\theta}{dx} \left( kGS_0 + pS_0 \right) \left( \frac{dv}{dx} (0) - \theta(0) \right),
\] with \( \phi = \frac{12EI_0}{(P+kGS_0)} \phi \) \hspace{1cm} (89)

Hence, an obvious analogy allows us to obtain the exact stiffness matrix of the inflatable tube. Only the bending rigidity changes (just replace \( EI_0 \) by \( \bar{E} I_0 \)). This proves that the stiffness matrix obtained by calculating the limit of \([D(t)]\) is the exact stiffness matrix.

4.4 The FEM stiffness and mass matrix

The left quotient operation doesn’t allow the calculation of the mass matrix. Once the coherence between FEM and CEM is verified for the stiffness matrix, the usual method is used to propose shape functions and calculate the stiffness and mass matrix by the use of the virtual work principle.

After linearization, by neglecting the distributed loads from eqn (17), the following expression for the flexion of an inflatable beam in dynamics is obtained:

\[
F_1 \int_0^1 \left[ \rho_0 S_0 \frac{dv}{dx} - \rho_0 S_0 \frac{d\theta}{dx} \right] + \rho_0 S_0 \frac{d\nu}{dx} + \frac{d\theta}{dx} - \rho_0 S_0 \frac{dv}{dx} dx + P \left[ \frac{dV}{dx} - \theta \right] dx =
\] \hspace{1cm} (90)

The use of the constitutive equations allows us to write the virtual work principle in terms of displacements, and after some manipulation, the equation may be written under a simple and practical form:

\[
F_1 \int_0^1 \left[ \rho_0 S_0 \frac{dv}{dx} - \rho_0 S_0 \frac{d\theta}{dx} \right] + \rho_0 S_0 \frac{d\nu}{dx} + \frac{d\theta}{dx} - \rho_0 S_0 \frac{dv}{dx} dx + P \left[ \frac{dV}{dx} - \theta \right] dx + \left[ EI_0 + \frac{P l_0}{S_0} \right] \frac{d\theta}{dx} + \left[ \rho_0 S_0 \frac{dv}{dx} + \rho_0 S_0 \frac{d^2\theta}{dx^2} \right] dx =
\] \hspace{1cm} (91)
The virtual work principle for static analysis can then be written, which is used here to obtain the stiffness matrix:

\[
F_1 \nu'(0) + F_2 \theta'(0) + F_3 (\nu(0) + \nu'') + E_0 \rho \left[ \frac{dV}{dx} \theta'(0) \right]
\]

\[
= \int_0^1 \left( P + kGh \right) \left( \frac{dV}{dx} \theta'(0) \right) \frac{dV'}{dx} \theta' + \left( E_0 + \frac{Gh}{2} \right) \frac{dV}{dx} \theta'
\]

(92)

The equations of motion are easily obtained by elimination of the dynamic terms in eqns (36-37).

For an Euler Bernoulli beam, the displacement is written as follows:

\[
V(x) = \begin{bmatrix} v_1(x) \\ \n \theta_1(x) \\ v_2(x) \\ \theta_2(x) \end{bmatrix} = [\mathbf{N}] \ddot{\mathbf{P}}
\]

(93)

where the shape functions are the usual cubic expansions:

\[
N_{v_1}(x) = \frac{x^3}{6} - \frac{x}{2}, \quad N_{v_2}(x) = \frac{x^3}{6} - \frac{x}{2}
\]

\[
N_{\theta_1}(x) = \frac{x^3}{6}, \quad N_{\theta_2}(x) = -\frac{x^3}{6}
\]

(94)

The relation is valuable for an Euler Bernoulli beam. It allows us to obtain the shape functions for the section’s rotation. For inflatable beams, which are Timoshenko’s beams, the cross-section does not remain orthogonal to the neutral fibre. Hence \( \frac{dv}{dx} \neq \theta \). The preceding functions interpolate displacements and the derivative of displacements, which is now written as:

\[
V(x) = \begin{bmatrix} v_1(x) \\ \n \theta_1(x) \\ v_2(x) \\ \theta_2(x) \end{bmatrix} = [\mathbf{N}] \ddot{\mathbf{P}}
\]

(95)

where the shape functions \( N_{v_1}(x) \) and \( N_{\theta_1}(x) \) are:

\[
N_{v_1}(x) = \frac{x^3}{6} - \frac{x}{2}, \quad N_{\theta_1}(x) = \frac{x^3}{6}
\]

\[
N_{v_2}(x) = -\frac{x^3}{6}, \quad N_{\theta_2}(x) = -\frac{x^3}{6}
\]

(96)

In this case, the following boundary conditions are, of course, checked:

\[
V(0) = v_1, \quad \frac{dV}{dx}(0) = v_2' \quad V(0) = v_1, \quad \frac{dV}{dx}(0) = v_2'
\]

(97)
By changing the unknowns \( \{V\} = \{v_1, v'_1, v_2, v'_2\} \) to the unknowns \( \{V\} = \{v_1, \theta_1, v_2, \theta_2\} \), the equilibrium equations lead to:

\[
\theta(x) = \frac{dV}{dx}(x) + \frac{(EI_0 + P L_0)}{S_0} \frac{d^3V}{dx^3}(x) = \frac{dV}{dx}(x) + \frac{12}{P} \frac{d^4V}{dx^4}(x)
\]

(98)

where

\[
\phi_P = \frac{12(EI_0 + P L_0)}{(P + kGS_0)} \frac{7}{12}
\]

(99)

The boundary conditions give the linear system:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\theta_1 \\
\theta_2
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
v_1 \\
v_2
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(100)

The following relation is then easily obtained:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
v_1 \\
v_2
\end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{1 + \phi_P} \begin{bmatrix}
- \phi_P & 1 + \phi_P & - \phi_P & 0 \\
- \phi_P & 0 & - \phi_P & 1 + \phi_P
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\theta_1 \\
\theta_2
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(101)

Thus:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
v_1 \\
v_2
\end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{1 + \phi_P} \begin{bmatrix}
- \phi_P & 1 + \phi_P & - \phi_P & 0 \\
- \phi_P & 0 & - \phi_P & 1 + \phi_P
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\theta_1 \\
\theta_2
\end{bmatrix} = [4][\theta]
\]

(102)

And the shape functions can be calculated by:

\[
V(x) = [N][4][\theta]
\]

(103)

The shape functions depends on the inflation pressure, the Young modulus and the shear modulus:

\[
N_{v_1}(x) = \frac{(x - \phi)(2x^2 - x + \phi) - \phi}{\phi (1 + \phi)} \\
N_{v_2}(x) = \frac{x(2x - \phi)}{\phi (1 + \phi)} \\
N_{\theta_1}(x) = \frac{1}{\phi (1 + \phi)} \\
N_{\theta_2}(x) = \frac{x(2x - \phi)}{\phi (1 + \phi)}
\]

(104)
The boundary conditions allow the calculation:
\[
\frac{dV}{dx} \theta = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \Phi_P & -\theta \\ \Phi_P & \Phi_P \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} v_j \\ \theta_j \end{array} \right] \quad (105)
\]

The virtual work of the internal loads can then be written as:
\[
\int_0^\ell (P + KGS_0 dV \theta) + \left[ \begin{array}{c} \Phi_P \\ \Phi_P \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} v_j \\ \theta_j \end{array} \right] \quad (106)
\]

And by use of the shape functions:
\[
\delta W = \left( \frac{EI_0 + Pl_0}{S_0} \right) \left[ \begin{array}{c} \Phi_P \\ \Phi_P \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} \Phi_P \\ \Phi_P \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} \Phi_P \\ \Phi_P \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} v_j \\ \theta_j \end{array} \right] \quad (107)
\]

The stiffness matrix of the inflatable tube is then calculated, which is nothing else than the stiffness matrix calculated from the dynamic stiffness matrix:
\[
[K] = \left( \frac{EI_0 + Pl_0}{S_0} \right) \frac{1}{\Phi_P + \Phi_P} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 12 & 6 \\ 6 & 6 \\ 6 & 6 \end{array} \right] \quad (108)
\]

The use of the shape functions now allows the calculation of the mass matrix:
\[
V(x,t) = \left[ N(x) \right]\left[ \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial t^2} \right] \quad (109)
\]

The term \( \rho_0 S_0 \int_0^\ell \left[ N(x) \right] \left[ \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial t^2} \right] dx \) gives this mass matrix. The calculation of the different terms of the matrix is simplified by the use of formal calculus software. Finally, the mass matrix and the stiffness matrix obtained here are exactly the same as those given by eqns (82-84), which shows the coherence of these developments.

5. SOLUTIONS FOR A SIMPLY SUPPORTED INFLATABLE BEAM

The theory is now applied to a simply supported inflatable beam. The boundary conditions are:
\[
V(0,t) = 0 \quad \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(0,t) = 0 \quad \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2}(0,t) = 0
\]

\[
V(\ell,t) = 0 \quad \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(\ell,t) = 0 \quad \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2}(\ell,t) = 0
\]

The calculation of the different terms of the matrix is simplified by the use of formal calculus software. Finally, the mass matrix and the stiffness matrix obtained here are exactly the same as those given by eqns (82-84), which shows the coherence of these developments.
The natural frequencies of vibration of an inflatable simply supported beam are solutions of:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial t^2} - \frac{d}{\partial x} \left( \frac{E}{\rho} \frac{d^2 x}{dx^2} \right) = 0
\]  

(111)

One gets:

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\frac{E}{d} \lambda_1 \lambda_2 (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2) \left[ \lambda_1 \sin(\lambda_1 D) - \lambda_2 \sinh(\lambda_2 D) \right]^2 - \\
- \frac{E}{d} \lambda_1 \lambda_2 (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2) \left[ \lambda_1 \sin(\lambda_1 D) - \lambda_2 \sinh(\lambda_2 D) \right]^2 = 0
\end{array} \right. 
\]  

(112)

Then

\[
\sin(\lambda_1 D) \cosh(\lambda_2 D) - \sinh(\lambda_1 D) \sinh(\lambda_2 D) - 2 \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \left( \cos(\lambda_1 D) \cosh(\lambda_2 D) - 1 \right) = 0
\]  

(113)

Hence, with \( d \neq 0 \), one can obtain:

\[
\sin(\lambda_1 D) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \sinh(\lambda_2 D) = 0
\]  

(114)

We find:

\[
\lambda_1 = \pm \frac{n \pi}{D} \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda_2 = 0
\]  

(115)

The natural frequency can finally be calculated by the use of eqn (48):

\[
f_n = \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{\rho_0 S_0^2} - \frac{\rho_0 s_0^2 \pi^2}{2} \right] \left( \frac{1}{P + kG} \right)
\]  

(116)

The inflation pressure appears explicitly in the formulation of the frequency, which increases with the pressure.

Figure 5. Natural frequencies of a simply supported inflatable tube: influence of the internal pressure

Fig 5 shows the evolutions of the first three natural frequencies of an inflatable tube versus pressure. Here, \( \rho_0 = 1,858 \text{kg/m}^3, \) \( D_0 = 0,0831 \text{m}, \) \( \rho_{\ell}e = 0,3759 \text{kg/m}^2. \) Two Young moduli and three shear moduli are used here to show
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their influence on the solution. Increasing the pressure inducts an increase of the natural frequencies, but these
growths are quite small and depend on the natural frequency. Multiplying by three the pressure leads in this case
to an increase of the frequencies by 2.7%, 6% and 9% respectively for n=1, 2, 3 in the most varying cases. In
fact, the first frequency is mainly dependent on the Young modulus. For a given Young’s modulus, the shear
coefficient G has a great influence on the interval between the natural frequencies.

6. COMPARISONS WITH THE FINITE ELEMENT METHOD RESULTS
The first three natural frequencies are calculated with the two methods. The CEM values are simply obtained
with eqn (116). The three first frequencies are calculated for the simply supported inflatable tube, which is used
for the experiments (see section 7). Geometry and material are such that:

\[ u_0 = 1.858 \text{m}, R_0 = 0.0831 \text{m}, E_e = 179000 \text{ Pa.m}, G_e = 20000 \text{ Pa.m}, \rho_{e} = 0.3759 \text{kg.m}^{-2}. \]

Figure 6. Evolution of frequency according to the number of element reference

Figure (6) shows that the results of FEM converge with the results of CEM with the increase of the number
of elements. If the CEM gives the exact frequency with a chosen accuracy, it is not the case of the FEM,
However, the results of FEM are always greater than the ones of the CEM, as expected.

7. COMPARISONS WITH THE EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS
Experiments have been carried out to measure the natural frequencies of an simply inflatable supported beam.
Sewing two layers of fabric manufactures the beam used for these experiments. This fabric is a woven network,
for which the fibbers are initially orthogonal and imply an orthotropic behaviour. Because we focus the studies
on beam models, it will be considered here as an hyperelastic isotropic Saint-Venant Kirchhoff material. A thin
PVC membrane incorporated inside the beam ensures the air tightness. The vibration of the beam comes from
an electromagnet. The induction coil has been separated form the electromagnet. It is very light and just sticked
on the beam.
Figure 8 presents the results for the first three natural frequencies and the evolution of the length and radius of the inflated beam versus the pressure. The notable point is that increasing the pressure leads to an increase in the rigidity of the beam, which inducts the natural frequency to grow.

In order to compare experiments and theoretical results, the Young modulus has to be identified. This is done with the variation of the initial geometry, which clearly shows the importance of the prestress state. It is easy to calculate the circumferential and longitudinal stresses in the membrane due to the internal pressure of the beam in the initial configuration:

\[
\sigma_c = \frac{p R_0}{e} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma = \frac{p R_0}{2e}
\]  

(117)

e corresponds to the thickness of the fabric. Since we consider an elastic isotropic material, the length and the radius may be modelled with:

\[
\ell = \ell_0 \left(1 + \frac{p R_0}{2Ee}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad R = R_0 \left(1 + \frac{p R_0}{Ee}\right)
\]  

(118)

Here, \(\ell_0\) and \(R_0\) are the length and the radius for a very low internal pressure, and can be obtained with the curves Fig. 8. b with the linear interpolations of the two curves length versus pressure and radius versus pressure. The Young modulus is also determined with these linear interpolations.
The average value of the product of the Young modulus with the thickness $e$ is estimated to $Ee=178973 \text{ Pam}$. The Young modulus is the main influential parameter for the first natural frequency. The product $Ge$ is then estimated in order to ensure the interval between the other natural frequencies (here $Ge=20000 \text{ Pam}$). The comparison between theoretical and experimental results is shown fig 10 a. The analytical results are close to the experimental results for the first pressure (50 kPa) but the slopes of the theoretical curves are smaller than the experimental ones. This can be corrected by the use of the influence of the pressure on the radius and on the length on the natural frequencies. The initial state is then correctly defined. Fig 10. b presents the comparison between the experimental frequencies and the theoretical frequencies taking into account the variation of the length and radius versus pressure, and the results show a correct accuracy between theory and experiment.

8. CONCLUSIONS

Inflatable structures are increasingly used nowadays. They are often made of beam elements. In this paper, two methods to calculate the eigenvalues and eigenmodes of structures made of inflatable beams have been propounded. The equations of motion have been written in lagrangian variables for a Timoshenko beam in order to take into account the following forces due to the internal pressure and the shear behaviour of this kind of thin-walled fabric structure. We first have dealt with the continuous element method to establish the dynamic stiffness matrix, which allows us to get exact results. The finite element method stiffness and mass matrices have been derived from the CEM and also directly from the virtual work principle. In each case, the inflation pressure appears in the matrices. The natural frequencies have been calculated for a simply supported inflatable beam with the dynamic stiffness matrix, and these results have been compared to FEM and to experimental ones. The influence of the material parameters has been pointed out. The first frequency is mainly dependent on the Young modulus and for a given Young’s modulus, the shear coefficient has a great influence on the interval between the natural frequencies. The comparison between the experimental results and the theoretical results has shown the importance of the pre-stress effect, which increases the radius and the length of the initial configuration.
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