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ABSTRACT

The aim of this study is to build a model suitable to classify grassland management practices using satellite image time series with high spatial resolution. The study site is located in southern France where 52 parcels with three management types were selected. The NDVI computed from a Formosat-2 intra-annual time series of 17 images was used. To work at the parcel scale while accounting for the spectral variability inside the grasslands, the pixels signal distribution is modeled by a Gaussian distribution. To deal with the small ground sample size compared to the large number of variables, a parsimonious Gaussian model is used. A high dimensional symmetrized Kullback-Leibler divergence (KLD) is introduced to compute the similarity between each pair of grasslands. Our proposed model provides better results than the conventional KLD in terms of classification accuracy using SVM.

Index Terms— Satellite image time series, high dimension, Kullback-Leibler divergence, grassland management practice, classification.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the frame of sustainable development, the study of landscape state and its evolution are required to understand environmental changes and biodiversity loss. To this aim, research in landscape ecology is devoted to understanding how the landscape configuration and composition impact on biodiversity and services provided. This research requires the identification and the characterization of semi-natural elements in the landscape. Indeed, semi-natural habitats are perennial and less inclined to be disturbed. They are sources of biodiversity in farmed landscapes. Particularly, permanent grasslands, as they represent one of the largest terrestrial landscape (they cover 18% of France territory [1]), are a source of significant animal and vegetal biodiversity [2, 3], providing many ecosystem services such as carbon storage, erosion regulation, crop pollination, biological regulation of ravagers [4]. Although policies have been adopted to protect biodiversity in semi-natural landscapes (European Union Habitats Directive, 92/43/EEC), the permanent grasslands area is continuously decreasing, leading to a loss of biodiversity [3].

Grasslands being the main livestock feeding resource, the species composition in semi-natural grasslands is also impacted by the management practices [5]. Indeed, the anthropic events in the grasslands, like mowing and/or casual grazing, disturb the natural cycle and the structure of the vegetation. Therefore, it is essential to identify the management practices in each parcel to predict their effect on biodiversity and related ecosystem services.

In this context, remote sensing appears to be an appropriate tool to characterize grasslands at the landscape scale, because of the large spatial coverage and revisit frequency of satellite sensors. However, the reflected signal of the grasslands is more difficult to interpret compared to mono-specific lands like crops, due to the diversity and the mix of grassland species. Furthermore, grasslands are relatively small elements of the landscape (in average 1 hectare), which require high spatial resolution data to be detectable [6]. Given their phenological cycle and the punctuality of the anthropic events (e.g., mowing), very dense time series through the vegetation cycle are necessary to identify the management types [7].

Until recently, satellite missions offering high revisit frequency had low spatial resolution (i.e., MODIS), and high spatial resolution missions did not provide dense time series. New missions like Sentinel-2, with very high revisit frequency (5 days) and high spatial resolution (10 meters) enable new possibilities for grassland monitoring [8].

In this study, a statistical model is proposed to identify grassland management practices using time series of a spectral vegetation index (NDVI) with high temporal resolution. Management practices are defined at the parcel scale. Conventional pixel-oriented approaches result in the appearance of misclassified pixels within a class [9], leading to non-homogeneous objects that are ecologically unrealistic. Thus, an object-oriented method is developed in this paper.

The first contribution of the method was to account for the spectral variability in a grassland. We considered that the distribution of the pixel spectral reflectance in a given grassland can be modeled by a Gaussian distribution. Then, the
Kullback-Leibler divergence was used to compute the distance between each pair of grasslands. To deal with the small sample size compared to the number of temporal variables, a parsimonious Gaussian model was proposed as a second contribution. The object-oriented approach was compared to a pixel-based approach, through supervised classification.

In section 2, the dataset is introduced. Then, the high dimensional Kullback-Leibler divergence method that we developed is described in section 3. In section 4, the experimental results on real satellite image time series are presented. Finally, conclusions and perspectives conclude this paper.

2. DATASET

2.1. Study site

The study site is located in south-west France, near Toulouse, in a semi-rural area where livestock farming is in decline in favor of field crops. Grasslands are mostly used for forrage or silage production. The extent of the area corresponds to the satellite image extent (4400 km²).

2.2. Field Data

The dataset is composed of 52 parcels with their management methods. The homogeneity has been controlled during a field survey in May, 2015, where the past and current management practices were also determined, by interviewing the farmers or grassland owners. We identified 3 management types during the vegetation cycle: one mowing (34 parcels), grazing (10 parcels) and mixed management (mowing then grazing, 8 parcels). We used them as classes for the classification. The grasslands have been digitalized by hand.

2.3. Satellite data

The satellite image time series (SITS) is composed of 17 multispectral Formosat-2 images (8 meters spatial resolution) from 2013. The images are provided with a mask of clouds and shadows [10]. The Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) was used during this study. Each pixel \( x \) is represented by a vector of size 17.

To remove the noise due to clouds and shadows in the SITS, the NDVI was smoothed applying the Whittaker filter pixel-by-pixel [11].

3. HIGH DIMENSIONAL KULLBACK-LEIBLER DIVERGENCE

3.1. Symmetrized Kullback-Leibler divergence

The pixel reflectance distribution of grasslands is modeled by a Gaussian distribution, i.e. the density function of pixels \( x \) is, conditionally to grassland \( g_i \), a Gaussian distribution. To compute the similarity of the distribution between two grasslands, we used the symmetrized Kullback-Leibler divergence (KLD) [12]. The symmetrized KLD between two Gaussian distributions can be written as:

\[
KLD(g_i, g_j) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \text{Tr} \left( \Sigma_i^{-1} \Sigma_j + \Sigma_j^{-1} \Sigma_i \right) + (\mu_i - \mu_j)^T \left( \Sigma_i^{-1} + \Sigma_j^{-1} \right) (\mu_i - \mu_j) \right] - d
\]

where \( \Sigma_i \) is the covariance matrix, \( \mu_i \) is the mean vector of the signal, \( d \) the number of variables and \( \text{Tr} \) is the trace operator. The parameters are estimated by their empirical counterparts \( \hat{\Sigma}_i = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{t=1}^{n_i} x_t x_t^T \) and \( \hat{\Sigma}_i = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{t=1}^{n_i} (x_t - \mu_i)(x_t - \mu_i)^T \) with \( n_i \) the number of pixels in grassland \( g_i \), \( g_i \in \{1, \cdots, G\} \) and \( G \) is the total number of grasslands.

Unfortunately, the number of pixels used in the estimation is low compared to the number of variables. Figure 1 shows that the number of pixels of most grasslands is lower than the number of parameters to estimate. Thus, the covariance matrix is non invertible for these grasslands. Furthermore, for the other grasslands, the estimated covariance matrices in eq.(1) are ill-conditioned making the computation of their inverse numerically unstable. To cope with this issue, specific derivations are considered in the next section.

3.2. High Dimensional Symmetrized KLD

In this work, a high dimensional model is used to model the Gaussian distribution of grasslands [13]. The model assumes that the last (lowest) eigenvalues of the covariance matrix are equal. According to this model, the covariance matrix of grassland \( g_i \) can be written as:

\[
\Sigma_i = Q_i \Lambda_i Q_i^T + \lambda_i I_d
\]
where: $I_d$ is the identity matrix of size $d = 17$, $Q_i = [q_{i1}, \ldots, q_{ipi}]$, $A_i = \text{diag} \left[ \lambda_{i1} - \lambda_{i1}, \ldots, \lambda_{ipi} - \lambda_{ipi} \right]$, $q_{ij}, \lambda_{ij}$ are the $j^{th}$ eigenvalues/eigenvectors of the covariance matrix $\Sigma_i$, $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ such that $\lambda_{i1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{id}$, $p_i$ is the number of non-equal eigenvalues, $\lambda_i$ is the multiple eigenvalue corresponding to the noise term (last and equal eigenvalues).

Following this model, the inverse of the covariance matrix can be computed explicitly:

$$
\Sigma_i^{-1} = -Q_i V_i Q_i^\top + \lambda_i^{-1} I_d
$$

with $V_i = \text{diag} \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda_{i1}}, \ldots, \frac{1}{\lambda_{ipi}} \right]$, and eq.(1) can be written as:

$$
\text{HDKLD}(g_i, g_j) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ -\|\Lambda_i^\top Q_i^\top Q_i V_i \|^2_F - \|\Lambda_j^\top Q_j^\top Q_j V_j \|^2_F 
+ \lambda_i^{-1} \text{Tr} \left[ \Lambda_i - \lambda_i \text{Tr} \left[ V_i \right] \right] - \lambda_j^{-1} \text{Tr} \left[ \Lambda_j \right] - \lambda_i \text{Tr} \left[ V_j \right] 
- \|V_i^\top Q_i^\top (\mu_i - \mu_j)\|^2 - \|V_j^\top Q_j^\top (\mu_i - \mu_j)\|^2 
+ \lambda_i + \lambda_j \|((\mu_i - \mu_j))\|^2 + \frac{\lambda_i^2 + \lambda_j^2}{\lambda_i \lambda_j} \|L\|_F^2 \right] - d
$$

where $\|L\|_F^2 = \text{Tr}(L^\top L)$ is the Frobenius norm.

3.3. Estimation

The parameters of eq.(4) are estimated for each grassland $g_i$ from the empirical mean vector and covariance matrix such as [13]:

- $\hat{\lambda}_{ij}$ and $\hat{q}_{ij}$ are the first eigenvalues/eigenvectors of $\hat{\Sigma}_i$, $j \in \{1, \ldots, p_i\}$. Thus, only the $p_i$ first eigenvalues/eigenvectors are required and the unstable estimation of the eigenvectors associated to small eigenvalues is avoided,

- $\hat{p}_i$ corresponds to the number of eigenvalues needed to reach a given percentage of variance $t$, $\sum_{j=1}^{p_i} \hat{\lambda}_i \geq t \cdot t$ being tuned during learning,

- $\hat{\lambda}_i = \frac{\text{Tr}(\hat{\Sigma}_i) - \sum_{j \leq \hat{p}_i} \hat{\lambda}_{ij}}{d - \hat{p}_i}$.

3.4. Construction of a positive definite kernel with HD-KLD

The (HD)KLD measure is a semi-metric, i.e., it satisfies only three first axioms of a metric [14]: (HD)KLD$(g_i, g_j) \geq 0$, (HD)KLD$(g_i, g_i) = 0$ and (HD)KLD$(g_i, g_j) = (HD)KLD(g_j, g_i)$. This semi-metric can be turned to a positive definite kernel function by plugging it into a radial basis function [15]: $K(g_i, g_j) = \exp \left[ -\frac{(HD)KLD(g_i, g_j)^2}{\sigma} \right]$ with $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^*_+$. This kernel is used in the experimental section with a SVM.

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

4.1. Competitive method

In order to test the effectiveness of the proposed approach, the kernel built in the previous section was used to classify the data using SVM, both for the conventional KLD and the HDKLD. In order to make tractable the inverse problem in KLD, a small ($10^{-9}$) ridge regularization was done for the covariance matrices. The Gaussian modelization was further compared to the simple case where the pixel reflectance distribution of a grassland is modeled by the mean vector value. Then grasslands are classified by SVM with a conventional RBF kernel. Finally, a pixel-wise SVM classification with a RBF kernel was performed and a majority rule inside each grassland was done to extract one class label at the grassland scale. The SVM and the HDKLD were implemented in Python through the Scikit library. In the remaining of the paper, the methods are denoted, KLD-SVM, HDKLD-SVM, $\mu$-SVM and P-SVM, respectively.

4.2. Protocol

All the parameters of each method were optimized using cross-validation. The search ranges were $\sigma \in \{2^{-5}, 2^{-4}, \ldots, 2^5\}$ for P-SVM and $\mu$-SVM, $\sigma \in \{2^k, 2^{k+1}, \ldots, 2^{12}\}$ for KLD-SVM and HDKLD-SVM, $C \in \{1, 10, 100\}$ (penalty parameter) for all the methods and $t \in \{0.80, 0.85, 0.90, 0.95, 0.99\}$ for HDKLD-SVM.

Given the small size of the reference data, a Leave One Out procedure was chosen. One grassland is iteratively classified given all the other grasslands. The confusion matrix is built during the process. The classification accuracy is assessed with the overall accuracy (OA) and the Kappa coefficient. The statistical significance of the observed differences are computed using the Z-test.

4.3. Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRD</th>
<th>P-SVM</th>
<th>$\mu$-SVM</th>
<th>KLD-SVM</th>
<th>HDKLD-SVM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REF</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Confusion matrices for each classification method. Bold numbers correspond to the best results in terms of Kappa. The observed differences are not significant according to the Z-test and the 95% confidence level.

Table 1 gives the confusion matrices associated to each method, their overall accuracy (OA) and Kappa coefficient.

The KLD-SVM is the worst method in terms of Kappa coefficient. The conventional KLD does not perform well in
this small sample size context. The proposed parsimonious model is robust to this configuration and it outperforms the conventional KLD.

However, with this dataset, the classifications based on object and pixel-wise approaches are equivalent since the Kappa coefficients between HDKLD-SVM and P-SVM are not significantly different. As an example, there was only one more well-classified grassland with P-SVM compared to HDKLD-SVM. Thus, at this step, no conclusion can be drawn about the performance of HDKLD against P-SVM. Indeed, for HDKLD-SVM, $G$ grasslands instead of $n$ pixels are processed, with $G \ll n$ (here $G = 52$ and $n = 8741$). Moreover, the proposed model provides a statistical description of the grasslands through the distribution parameters: mean and covariance.

5. CONCLUSION

A suitable model for high dimensional data was developed to deal with the dense images time series which will be provided by Sentinel-2 mission. This model is able to classify grassland management practices from dense time series in a small ground sample size context. We proposed to account for the spectral variability in grasslands by modelling the pixels value distribution with a parsimonious Gaussian model. This model is used to define a semi-metric between two grasslands that is used in a supervised classifier.

From the experimental results, HDKLD-SVM is the most-efficient object-oriented method compared to KLD-SVM and to $\mu$-SVM. No significant differences have been observed with the pixel-wise approach. However this model enables a proper modelization of the grassland at the parcel scale.

This model was investigating for future Sentinel-2 image time series. At this step, our model has been tested with a spectral vegetation index only. We will further extend the method for multispectral data to account for all the spectral information provided by the SITS.
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