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Abstract

Aim: The human corneal endothelium is a monolayer of flat hexagonal cells. It is a nearly regular hexagonal tessellation during the first years of life, but with age, becomes less regular in shape and size. The aim is to evaluate geometrically the age of an endothelial mosaic.

Material and methods: Segmented endothelial mosaics of healthy subjects of different age groups are compared by morphological criteria. The mosaics are studied according to their age group (decades), their age and their location (center or mid-periphery of the cornea). The measures used are: the cell density, the Ripley’s L function and the cell area and perimeter density.

Results: These measures point out the endothelial cell density decrease, the cell area, perimeter and diameter increase, the cell heterogeneity increase, and the differences between central and mid-peripheral cells increases with age.
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age.

Conclusion: These measures are able to characterize healthy mosaics.
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1. **Introduction**

The human corneal endothelium is a monolayer of flat hexagonal cells, which do not regenerate and are responsible for the maintenance of the cornea transparency. When the number of endothelial cells (ECs) is too low, the cornea becomes edematous, causing irreversible loss of vision that can only be treated by a corneal graft. The donor cornea brings numerous new functioning ECs into the recipient eye. Because of their location at the most posterior layer of this transparent tissue, ECs can be visualized in vivo using a specular microscope using the light reflected by the interface between ECs and the liquid that fills the anterior chamber of the eye. Similarly, they can be observed ex vivo during corneal storage using a transmitted light microscope or a specular microscope. The morphologic characteristics of ECs have been studied since the 50’s. Three parameters are universally used to describe the endothelium: the EC density (ECD, by convention expressed in cells/mm²), the coefficient of variation of cell area indicative of the pleomorphism (CV is the standard deviation divided by the mean cell area), and percentage of cells with 6 neighbors, indicative of polymorphism (hexagonality).

During the first years of life, the endothelial mosaic is a nearly regular hexagonal tessellation. With aging, endothelial cells (ECs) become less regular in shape and size and their number slowly decreases, at a rate of 0.6% per
year during adulthood [1]. Nevertheless, in healthy corneas, the number of ECs remains always high enough to maintain corneal clarity even in centenarians. This important notion of endothelial reserve disappears when diseases or traumatisms alter the endothelium. In these situations, decrease of ECD and changes in pleomorphism (i.e. shape variability) and polymorphism (i.e. size variability) can be dramatically accelerated, ultimately leading to corneal opacification requiring corneal graft.

In eye banks, donor corneas are stored and strictly controlled in order to verify if they are suitable for corneal graft. Quality of the endothelium is the main criterion to decide whether a cornea can be grafted or must be destroyed. At present, ECD is the only quantitative parameter used. A threshold under which a cornea is unsuitable for graft determines the fate of each donor cornea. It is usually of 2000 cells/mm$^2$ for corneas destined to penetrating keratoplasty (replacement of the whole thickness of the central cornea, constituting the gold standard and the most frequent technique worldwide) and 2400 cells/mm$^2$ for corneas destined to posterior endothelial graft (selective replacement of the endothelium, requiring preparation of a thin posterior lamellae that can be slightly harmful to the ECs, explaining the higher threshold). For CV and hexagonality that can be measured with image analysis [2], their influence on the post graft endothelial survival has never been studied. They are at present used as additional criteria to help qualifying corneas with ECD near the threshold.

In order to better explain endothelial aging and some of the most frequent clinical situations (ECD decrease in Fuchs corneal endothelial dystrophy, the most frequent primary endothelial dystrophy, and after corneal grafts), new
methods to qualify the endothelial mosaic, using geometrical and morphological criteria, are studied. The aim is to establish an original mathematical model of the human corneal endothelium. In the present work, three measures of the cell size variability are presented: the Ripley’s L function and the area and perimeter cells densities. These mathematical parameters are used to assess the age of an endothelial mosaic of healthy corneas.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Source of endothelial images

Images were taken using a small field non-contact specular microscope (SP 3000, Topcon, Tokyo, Japan) (Fig.1). In 10 age groups (from 0 to 10 years old, 11 to 20, 21 to 30, . . . , and 91 to 100), images of healthy eyes of 5 subjects that were taken during routine examination, were selected. Images were anonymised and patients could not be recognized from the pictures.

ECD is not homogeneous on the whole endothelium, it progressively decreases toward center ([4, 3]). For each eye, five images were therefore taken in the central, temporal, nasal, superior and the inferior zones of the endothelium, by asking the patient to focus on each of the 5 LEDs placed on the microscope to orientate the eyeball. The 4 non central positions were localized 3 to 4 millimeter from the center, that is to say not in the extreme periphery of the cornea. As non-contact specular microscope have a narrow field of view, the acquisition of 5 images distributed on the corneal surface is the usual protocol used in routine to increase the sampling and obtain a more representative analysis. Each image was manually segmented by an expert using ImageJ (Fig.2).
2.2. Ripley’s L function

The Ripley’s L function (RLF) is used to analyze the spatial distribution of a collection of points. The RLF counts the mean number of mass centers at a given distance from another mass center [5, 6].

Let \( P = \{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_N\} \) be a collection of \( N \) points in the image \( I \), considered as a bounded region of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), and let \( A \) be the area of \( I \).

An estimator of the RLF is given, for all \( r \geq 0 \), by:

\[
\hat{L}(r) = \sqrt{\frac{A}{\pi N^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j \neq i} \delta_{ij}(r)},
\]

where \( \delta_{ij}(r) \) is equal to 1 if the distance between the points \( p_i \) and \( p_j \) is less than \( r \), and 0 otherwise.

The RLF is compared to the stationary Poisson point process one, that serves as a measure of complete randomness and lack of interaction. In the case of a Poisson point process, \( L(r) = r \) for all distance \( r \). Moreover, for
Figure 2: Representative segmented endothelial mosaics of the central, inferior, nasal, superior and temporal zones of the right eye of three patients. They illustrate that cell area, the polymorphism and pleomorphism increase with age.
Figure 3: Three collections of points and their Ripley’s $\hat{L}$ function. (a) is a regular point collection, $\hat{L}$ is a step function and for small distances, $\hat{L}(r) < r$. (b) is a realization of a Poisson point process, $\hat{L}$ is linear. (c) are clustered points, $\hat{L}(r) > r$.

In the case of the endothelial mosaic, the points considered are the mass centers of the ECs. The RLF provides information about the spatial distribution of the cells mass centers, and consequently about the distance between cells mass centers, that is to say their diameters.
2.3. Area and perimeter density

Another way to study the cell size variability according to the age, is to 
use the area and perimeter density of ECs.

Let \((a_1, \ldots, a_k)\) be a sample of observations : cell area or perimeter (of 
a patient, or an age group, etc.). The density function \(f\) of this sample is 
estimated by the kernel density estimator [7, 8], which is:

\[
\hat{f}(x) := \frac{1}{bk} \sum_{i=1}^{k} K \left( \frac{x - a_i}{b} \right),
\]

where \(K(\cdot)\) is a kernel function and \(b > 0\) is the smoothness parameter, called 
bandwidth, proportional to \(k^{-\frac{1}{5}}\). The kernel function used is the Epanechnikov kernel function [9].

A kernel density estimator is used rather than an histogram, because the 
histogram method have fixed classes whereas the kernel estimator is mobile 
and centered on each observation.

3. Results

3.1. Endothelial cell density

First, the mean ECD per age group and per patient is calculated over 
all images of an age group or patient (Fig.4a and 4b). As expected, ECD 
decreased with age and the variability between patients of the same age class 
increased (the coefficient of variation computed over all images of an age 
group increases, Fig.4c).

3.2. Ripley’s \(L\) function

The \(\hat{L}\) function was calculated for the cell mass centers of each segmented 
image of an age group. The mean \(\hat{L}\) function over all images of an age group
Figure 4: (a) Mean, minimum and maximal endothelial cell density of all images of each age group, (b) mean cell density for each patient, and (c) the coefficient of variation for each age group.
was then represented graphically, and compared to the one of realizations of Poisson point processes (Fig.5a). For all age groups, the mean $\hat{L}$ function is null for small distances and become non null earlier for the youngest group, meaning that the smallest distance between mass centers increases with age. Oscillations of the mean RLF were marked for the youngest age groups and decreased with age, indicating that homogeneity in cell diameters decreased with age. Furthermore, the first rebound for the youngest age groups indicates the maximum distance between mass centers of neighbor cells.

For 3 age groups (young: 0-10 years old, middle age: 41-50 years old, and elderly age: 91-100 years old), we compared the RLF of the ECs from the center of the cornea with the mean RLF of the 4 images taken in the mid periphery of the cornea (Fig.5b).

To quantify the difference between two curves, the error in percent was compute between the curve of the central $C_1$ and the mid peripheral cells $C_2$:

$$E(C_1, C_2) = \frac{\|C_1 - C_2\|_1}{\frac{1}{2}\|C_1 + C_2\|_1} \times 100,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the $l_1$ norm (also called Manhattan or Taxicab norm). No big difference was observed between center and mid periphery ($E < 1\%$), except for the elderly age group (Fig.6), but it is probably due to the small number of cells per image for some elderly patients.

### 3.3. Area and perimeter density

The standard deviation of the cell area and perimeter mean estimate density progressively increases with age (wider dispersion around the peak), and indicates a gradual increase in heterogeneity (Fig.7). The function $E$ (3)
Figure 5: The mean Ripley’s $\hat{L}$ function for realizations of a Poisson point process and for endothelial mosaics. The mean $\hat{L}$ function (a) for each age group and (b) for cells observed in the center versus in the mid periphery of the cornea in 3 age groups.
was calculated for each patient between his density mean estimate and his age group density mean estimate, to quantify the inter-individual variability in each age group, and showed the increase of inter-individual variability (Fig.8a).

Next, the cell area and perimeter estimate density of the central cells was compared to the mean estimates densities of the mid peripheral cells for 3 age groups (Fig.7e-7f). For the two oldest age groups, the mean cell area and perimeter (density peak) is higher in the central cells than in the mid periphery of the cornea, indicating that, with age, the central cells become bigger than in the mid periphery. The computation of the $E$ function, between densities mean estimates of central and mid peripheral ECs, pointed out these increases of differences between center and mean periphery with age (Fig.8b).
Figure 7: Cells area and perimeter density mean estimate. (a)-(b) for each age group, (c)-(d) for each patient, and (e)-(f) for cells observed in the center versus in the mid periphery of the cornea in 3 age groups.
4. Discussion

The number of subjects is quiet low per decade, and for the oldest groups, the small field of observation of the non-contact microscope was an obstacle because it greatly limited the number of entirely visible big ECs. Therefore, a great number of ECs were available to analyze the endothelial mosaic per decade, but not to study them image per image or to compare central cells to outlying cells for some subjects. Repeating the analysis with more subjects and using wide field digital contact specular microscopy images [10] would validate and improve the accuracy of our measurements. Further works are ongoing to constitute a bank of images of wide field digital contact specular microscopy images.

Despite the time-consuming task, the segmentation have been made manually by an expert to avoid the bias induced by automatic segmentation.
methods, and in order that the segmented endothelial mosaics serve as reference.

In this preliminary study, it has been shown that the ECD, the RLF and the area and perimeter density estimate are able to characterize the human corneal endothelial mosaic changes occurring with age. These measures point out the differences according to the age: they find the same well-known increase in cell area (diameter and perimeter) and increase in cell heterogeneity, they point out that inter-individual variability increases and that a difference between size of ECs from the central (bigger) and the mid-peripheral cornea appears with age. The time needed to compute all these measures is quite low: the mean time for one view is 0.63 seconds (the maximum time is 1.32 seconds).

5. Conclusion

Original geometrical and morphological criteria are able to characterize the healthy human corneal endothelial mosaic. Works are now ongoing to study other parameters like the number of neighbor cells, morphometric criteria by using shape diagrams [11], etc. Applied to the most frequent pathological endothelial modifications (ECs loss after corneal grafts and in Fuchs corneal endothelial dystrophy), these new criteria could bring new insights in their physiopathology.
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