

Piscine orthoreovirus can infect and shed through the intestine in experimentally challenged Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.)

Helena Hauge, Maria Dahle, Torfinn Moldal, Even Thoen, Anne-Gerd Gjevre, Simon Weli, Marta Alarcón, Søren Grove

▶ To cite this version:

Helena Hauge, Maria Dahle, Torfinn Moldal, Even Thoen, Anne-Gerd Gjevre, et al.. Piscine orthoreovirus can infect and shed through the intestine in experimentally challenged Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.). Veterinary Research, 2016, 47 (1), pp.57. 10.1186/s13567-016-0343-z . hal-01341509

HAL Id: hal-01341509 https://hal.science/hal-01341509

Submitted on 4 Jul 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

RESEARCH ARTICLE







Piscine orthoreovirus can infect and shed through the intestine in experimentally challenged Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.)

Helena Hauge^{*}, Maria Dahle, Torfinn Moldal, Even Thoen, Anne-Gerd Gjevre, Simon Weli, Marta Alarcón and Søren Grove

Abstract

Piscine orthoreovirus (PRV) is a ubiquitous virus in Norwegian salmon farms associated with the disease heart and skeletal muscle inflammation (HSMI). Experimental challenge has shown that the virus replicates in circulating red blood cells of Atlantic salmon prior to infecting heart myocytes. The infection route from water to blood is however still unknown. The related mammalian orthoreovirus primarily infects the lungs and gastrointestinal (GI) tract and is proposed to spread mainly through the faecal–oral route. To investigate the role of the salmonid GI tract in PRV-infection, oral and anal administration of virus was compared to intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection. When administered anally, PRV was transferred to blood 4 days post challenge (dpc) and levels peaked at 42 dpc, similar to i.p. injected fish. PRV was detected in heart and faeces with corresponding kinetics, and inflammatory heart lesions consistent with HSMI were observed from 49 dpc. The orally intubated group showed slower virus kinetics in both blood and heart, and no signs of HSMI. Compared to the oral and i.p. administration routes, leakage of virus inoculate by anal intubation was minor and challenge was restricted to the mid- and distal intestine. These findings show that anal intubation is an efficacious method for PRV delivery to the GI tract and demonstrates that PRV can establish infection through the intestine with the potential for transmission via faeces.

Introduction

In Norway, *Piscine orthoreovirus* (PRV) is associated with heart and skeletal muscle inflammation (HSMI) in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) [1–3]. HSMI is one of the most common diseases in Norwegian salmon farming [4] and is characterized by epicardial and myocardial inflammation, usually combined with inflammation in the red skeletal muscle and signs of circulatory disturbances [1]. The relationship between PRV infection and development of HSMI remains unclear as PRV infection is not always associated with disease. In Norway, HSMI typically occurs in seawater staged farmed Atlantic salmon although PRV is ubiquitous in seawater and freshwater salmon farms [5] and is occasionally detected in wild salmon [6, 7] and marine species [8]. PRV has also been commonly detected in salmon and trout species in Chile

*Correspondence: helena.hauge@vetinst.no

Norwegian Veterinary Institute, Pb 750, Sentrum, 0106 Oslo, Norway

and western North America without the occurrence of HSMI [9–11]. Furthermore, despite replicating to high viral loads in Atlantic salmon, PRV from western North America failed to cause HSMI or other disease [12, 13]. Whether this is due to differential virulence of PRV or multifactorial disease triggers is not known.

PRV is a non-enveloped virus with a genome consisting of ten segments of double stranded RNA. The virus is related to the well characterized mammalian orthoreovirus (MRV) [14]. MRV primarily infects the lungs and GI tract and is so common in humans that most people are exposed early in life and develop immunity without any signs of disease [15]. Several isotypes of MRV have been characterized, and some are associated with gastroenteritis in young children. In murine models, MRV has also been found associated with pathology in the liver and heart [16]. Although the relative sequence homology is low, potential homologues of all main MRV proteinencoding genes have been detected in the PRV genome



© 2016 The Author(s). This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/ publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

[17]. Studies of PRV by electron microscopy have so far revealed that the virus shape, size and viral factory fortissue [2]

mation in the cytoplasm of erythrocytes shares similarities to MRV [18]. Accordingly, it was of interest to determine the role of the GI tract in PRV infections.

The epithelial surfaces in e.g. gills, skin and intestine are major sites of viral entry in fish [19]. The intestine of Atlantic salmon posterior to the stomach has been defined into five different regions; the pyloric caeca, the first segment of the mid-intestine with pyloric caeca, the first segment of the mid-intestine posterior to pyloric caeca (in the following called mid-intestine), the second segment of the mid-intestine (in the following called distal intestine) and the posterior segment (Figure 1B) [20]. The distal intestine is characterized by a larger diameter than the mid-intestine and by pronounced complex folds in addition to simple folds. In contrast to mammals where mesenteric lymph nodes and distinct lymphoid follicles are harboured in the intestinal mucosa, teleost fish like Atlantic salmon have their immune competent cells including antigen presenting cells and T and B lymphocytes, more diffusely spread in the intestinal tissue [21]. Higher transcript levels of immune-related genes are observed in the distal intestine compared to the proximal regions [22], and the presence of cells that resemble mammalian M cells, important in stimulating mucosal immunity, has also been demonstrated in this segment [23].

PRV has been shown to transmit through cohabitation with infected fish, and controlled challenge trials have demonstrated that the virus infects and replicates in circulating red blood cells prior to infection of heart myocytes [18, 24, 25]. The infection route from water to blood is still unknown.

Studying the early events of infection presents obvious difficulties when using established experimental models such as i.p. injection and cohabitation. Ideally, fish should be challenged simultaneously with identical virus doses targeting the same infection site. Since MRV is detected in faeces and proposed to spread mainly through the faecal-oral route, we set out to study if a similar transmission route is relevant for PRV in Atlantic salmon, using



courtesy of Inge Bjerkås, Oslo, Norway.

an experimental model of oral and anal intubation of virus inoculates.

The current study shows that Atlantic salmon are efficiently infected by PRV after anal intubation, leading to transfer of virus from the intestinal lumen to blood, then heart and subsequently to development of HSMI. Furthermore, PRV was found to shed into faeces, indicating a potential virus transmission route. In contrast to established experimental models, the anal administration challenge route limits virus exposure mainly to the intestine and hence allows studies of viral infections over the epithelium at the very early time points.

Materials and methods

Fish and rearing conditions

The experimental study was carried out in accordance with the recommendations in the current animal welfare regulations: FOR-1996-01-15-23 (Norway), and the protocols were approved by the Norwegian Animal Research Authority. All fish handling was performed under anesthesia with Aqui-S vet. (Scan Aqua, Årnes, Norway) at a concentration of 10 mg/L, and the fish were monitored daily for mortality and signs of disease.

Unvaccinated Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.) presmolts (indigenous to the river Drammenselva, Buskerud County, Norway), 20-40 g (33.7 g average), originating from Hellefoss cultivation station, were transported to the research aquarium facility at the Norwegian Veterinary Institute. The fish were here reared in freshwater in standard 160 L fibreglass tanks with flow-through water supplied from the municipal water works. The water was passed through a carbon filter column and aerated mechanically in the aquarium facility, before entering the fish tanks. Water temperature and oxygen saturation was monitored daily and ranges were 8.3-12.1 °C and 86-99%, respectively, during the study period. The fish were hand-fed a 2 mm pelleted commercial diet (Skretting, Stavanger, Norway) at a rate of 2% of calculated biomass/tank/day. The fish were acclimatised for 3 weeks. Prior to the experimental start, histology was performed on 12 fish to rule out any health issues in the fish population, and three fish were tested for common salmonid viruses; PRV, salmonid alphavirus (SAV), piscine myocarditis virus (PMCV) and infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (IPNV) by real time RT-PCR using primers and probes as described elsewhere [7, 26-28].

Preparation of virus homogenate

The PRV inoculum originated from a Norwegian field HSMI outbreak in 2012 and had previously been passaged through two controlled PRV challenge trials also resulting in HSMI where the challenge inoculum was prepared from homogenized PRV infected blood cells. Here, blood collected on heparinized tubes from PRV infected fish with HSMI was centrifuged, plasma removed, and the blood cell pellets stored frozen at -80 °C until use. At the day of infection, the pellets were diluted 1:2 in sterile phosphate-buffered saline (0.01 M, pH 7.4) (PBS) and combined, freeze-thawed in three rapid cycles until cell lysis, further diluted fivefold in PBS and used as challenge material. RNA corresponding to 25 µL of virus inoculate analysed by one-step real time RT-PCR targeting the PRV-segment $\lambda 1$, resulted in a Ct value of 17. An inoculum dose of 0.1 mL, equivalent to Ct 15, was administered to the individual fish.

Challenge experiment

Fish were kept in freshwater and starved for 6 days prior to challenge, partly to facilitate intubation and absorption of challenge material and partly to reduce potential leakage and rapid excretion of the inoculate e.g. via faeces. Treatment groups, comprising 90 fish each, were administered as follows under anesthesia with benzocaine (Figure 1):

Anal intubation: The challenge inoculate was administered by anal intubation using a sterile syringe fitted with a disposable mouse feeding needle (7202K, Fuchigami, Akirunoshi, Japan). After introduction via the anus, the feeding needle was inserted to a length where the 0.1 mL inoculate would become deposited in the mid-intestine (Figure 1B). Then the feeding needle was slowly withdrawn to minimize leakage.

Oral intubation: Fish were intubated using the same type of equipment and challenge material and the catheter was introduced through the mouth down to the stomach (bend) where challenge material was deposited (Figure 1B).

Intraperitoneal injection (i.p.): Fish were injected i.p. with 0.1 mL inoculate.

Negative controls: Fish were intubated via the anal- or oral route respectively with 0.1 mL PBS.

Leakage control: In order to minimize adverse effects of inoculate leakage, i.e. infection via non-GI routes, the following procedures were undertaken. After challenge, fish were first dipped into a tank with fresh water and then left in a temporary tank with maximum water-flow (12 L/min) until all fish in the group had been treated. The water was then changed completely twice within the first 12 h before the fish were finally transferred to a permanent tank for the rest of the experiment. To further reduce potential excretion of infective material via intestinal waste, fish were not fed the first 24 h after intubation. To assess potential leakage of challenge material from challenged fish and the consequential risk of virus transmission via undesirable routes, 10 naïve fish ("leakage control cohabitants") were introduced to the tanks of

each of the three challenged groups after the two changes of water. These leakage control fish were left in the tank for 24 h, and then transferred to separate tanks where they were kept until 56 dpc when they were sacrificed and sampled.

Fish were monitored for a total period of 70 dpc where upon all remaining fish were sampled.

Sampling

Six fish per group were euthanized with an overdose of Finquel vet. (Scan Aqua) and sampled at 15 individual time points after challenge, i.e. at 6 h post-challenge (in the following denoted 0.25 dpc) as well as 1, 2, 4, 7, 10, 14, 21, 28, 35, 42, 49, 56, 63 and 70 dpc.

Weight-, stomach- and intestinal content registration did not reveal any significant differences between experimental groups. Neither did haematocrit measurements.

Detection of viral RNA

For virus analyses, samples of heart (tip), spleen, head kidney, stomach, pyloric caeca, mid- and distal intestine, and faeces were collected on RNAlater and stored at -80 °C until further processing. Total RNA was isolated manually (heart, stomach, faeces) or automatically (QIAsymphony, QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) (pyloric caeca, mid-intestine, distal intestine, spleen, head kidney) with the RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. 50 µL of blood was transferred to NucliSENS easyMag lysis buffer (280134, bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) before isolation of nucleic acids (easyMag, bioMérieux). The RNAconcentration was measured using a NanoDrop[™] 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA), and the samples were stored in RNase-free water at -80 °C. Real time RT-PCR was performed on 500-1000 ng total RNA using OneStep RT-PCR kit (QIAGEN) and primers and probes targeting the PRV-segment $\lambda 1$ as described elsewhere [7] and according to the manufacturer's instructions. PCR was run for 45 cycles, and all virus negative samples were given an arbitrary Ct-value of 45 allowing them to be included in statistical analyses. Validation of assays and data handling was according to the MxPro Manual, and baseline and cycle threshold (CT) were set manually. Analyses were based on the mean Ct-value of six fish per group and time point.

Histology

For histological examination, samples of gills, pseudobranch, skin, muscle, heart, liver, spleen, kidney, stomach, pyloric caeca, mid- and distal intestine were fixed in 10% buffered formalin. After 24–48 h of fixation, the samples were transferred to 70% ethanol until processed and embedded in paraffin wax. Samples from a total of 171 fish were sectioned, stained with haematoxylin & eosin (H&E) and examined by light microscopy as follows: all anally intubated fish, all negative controls until 63 dpc, oral group fish at 49 and 56 dpc, and i.p. group fish at 63 and 70 dpc. Throughout the study, histology showed that most of the fish examined suffered sparse gill inflammation. No consistent lesions were noted in muscle, pseudobranch, gastrointestinal tract, liver, kidney or spleen. A total of nine fish died; three in the anal group (14, 41 and 48 dpc), 1 (63 dpc) in the oral group, and 5 (2, 24, 24, 26, 28 dpc) in the control group. Mortalities displayed similar macroscopical and microscopical lesions; mild to moderate bacteria gill inflammation.

Immunohistochemistry

A polyclonal antibody against the putative PRV outer capsid protein σ 1 (anti- σ 1) (kindly provided by Øystein Wessel, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Oslo, Norway) was used to investigate the presence of viral proteins in hearts of anally infected fish. We used a previously described protocol for immunohistochemical detection of PRV with minor modifications [24]. In short, heart sections mounted on poly L-lysine coated glass slides were heated at 60 °C for 20 min, deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated through graded alcohol baths. Antigen retrieval was done by microwave treatment for 2×6 min in citrate buffer (0.1 M, pH 6.0). To block non-specific binding sites, sections were incubated 20 min in goat serum diluted 1:50 in 5% skimmed dry milk in TBS (pH 7.6, 0.05 M Tris/HCl, 0.15 M NaCl). Primary antibody (anti- σ 1) diluted 1:2500 in 1% skimmed milk in TBS was then added and the sections incubated in a humidity chamber at 4 °C overnight. The UltraVision ONE detection system kit (Thermo Scientific) was used for detection of bound antibody according to the manufacturer's instructions, employing Fast Red (1 mg/mL) as substrate. Finally the sections were counterstained with haematoxylin and mounted (Aquamount, Thermo Scientific).

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using the JMPTM software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC USA). Non-parametric Wilcoxon was used to compare groups and non-parametric Kendall's τ and Spearman's ρ was used for testing correlation.

Results

PRV levels in blood during the course of infection

PRV was detected by real time RT-PCR in blood samples in all the challenged groups but not in the control group (Figure 2A). In the i.p. group, PRV was detected in all



and heart (**B**) of i.p. (red), oral (orange), anal (green) and control (black) group fish. Markers indicate individual fish, horizontal bars group means and vertical bars the range. Graph for control group and parts of graph for oral group has been slightly parallel offset from x = 45 for improved intelligibility. Table indicates number of positive fish per group (n = 6 for the challenge groups except for 70 dpc, n = 4 for control group).

sampled fish already 0.25 dpc, after which the mean level increased steadily until peaking at around 42 dpc (mean Ct 19). In the anally challenged group, only one single fish was positive at 0.25, 1 and 2 dpc, and then the number of positive fish increased until all fish were PRV positive from day 14. Peak levels were reached at 42 dpc (mean Ct 22.7), as observed for the i.p. injected fish. In contrast, PRV was only detected consistently in orally injected fish from 28 dpc and onward and even then never in all sampled fish. From 28 dpc, the mean level of PRV increased in orally challenged fish until 63 dpc when 5 out of 6 oral fish had PRV Ct values comparable to the other challenge groups.

Temporal development in PRV levels in heart tissue

Also for heart tissue, PRV was detected by real time RT-PCR in all three challenged groups (Figure 2B). The data for heart were overall similar to the findings in blood, with the i.p. group exhibiting the earliest detection (one out of six fish at 7 dpc) and highest initial PRV levels. In the anal challenge group, the number of PRV positive fish increased from two out of six fish at 14 dpc until all fish were positive from 49 dpc and onward, with PRVlevels peaking at 63 dpc (mean Ct 30.8). The mean PRV level of the anal challenge group was accordingly significantly lower (p < 0.05) than in the i.p. group between 14 and 49 dpc, after which similar levels were reached. PRV was detected much later in the oral infection group and was never seen in every fish at any sampling point, peaking with four out of six fish positive at 63 dpc (mean Ct 37.6).

Histology and PRV-immunohistochemistry of heart tissue

Inflammatory heart lesions consistent with HSMI were observed in 5 out of 12 fish in the i.p. group (63–70 dpc) and 4 out of 24 fish in the anal group (49–63 dpc) (Figure 3A and Table 1). Minor myocarditis was seen at early samplings in a few individuals. The fish in the oral and control groups showed no HSMI lesions.

Hearts from fish with high PRV-levels in blood (Ct < 29) in the anally intubated group were further analysed by immunohistochemistry, and positive staining was detected in 8 out of 28 analysed fish (35-63 dpc) (Table 1). At 35 and 42 dpc, PRV positive cells were mainly circulating blood cells located in the cardiac lumen (suspected erythrocytes and leukocyte-like cells). At later time points PRV was found mainly in cardiomyocytes (Figure 3B). Positive staining was not observed at earlier (0.25-28 dpc) or at the last sampling point (70 dpc).

Distribution dynamics of PRV in gastrointestinal tract of challenged fish

To investigate the distribution of PRV during the early phase post challenge, four segments of the GI tract, from the stomach to the distal intestine, (Figure 1B) were analysed by PRV real time RT-PCR from 0.25 to 10 dpc (Figure 4). The data showed that both tissue distribution and distribution dynamics differed between the challenge groups in accordance with the different administration routes. For the i.p. group, PRV levels were comparable between the four GI segments at all sampling times, indicating a uniform distribution of virus in the peritoneum. From a medium high level (mean Ct 32.4–35.4) at 0.25 dpc, the PRV levels gradually decreased until a distinct minimum was reached by 4 dpc, after which the PRV levels increased in all four segments, in line with virus replication in blood. Compared to the i.p. group, the anal and oral challenge groups exhibited clear differences in the distribution of virus in the four GI segments. At early time points, PRV levels in the oral challenge group were distinctly higher in stomach where the inoculum was deposited, compared to the other segments. From high levels in stomach at 0.25 dpc, PRV amounts gradually decreased during 1 and 2 dpc until becoming undetectable at 4 dpc. In pyloric caeca, three of six oral fish were slightly PRV positive (Ct > 40) at both 1 and 2 dpc, after which PRV became undetectable at 7 dpc. In the mid- and distal intestine, PRV was only detected at low levels in 5 out of 36 fish from 0.25 to 10 dpc (Ct > 35). In the anal challenge group, PRV levels in stomach and pyloric caeca were consistently low with only a few detections, except for in pyloric caeca at 4 dpc when 4 out of 6 fish were positive (Ct > 34). In line with the deposition of the virus inoculum, PRV was detected in mid- and distal intestine at relatively high levels at 0.25 dpc (mean Ct 34.1 and 30.0, respectively), gradually decreasing until 10 dpc when PRV was still detectable in 2 out of 6 fish. In contrast to the i.p. and oral challenge groups, the anal challenge group did not exhibit the same marked minimum in PRV detection at 4 dpc.

PRV in faecal contents

Since inoculated virus was detectable in the intestine of anally challenged fish as late as 10 dpc, only faeces samples collected from the intestine of the i.p. and anal group fish from 14 dpc and later were analysed for viral RNA. PRV was detected in faeces of both groups, reaching a maximum at 42 dpc (mean Ct 28.3) in the i.p. fish and at 63 dpc (mean Ct 34.1) in the anal challenge group, corresponding to the virus levels in blood (Figure 5).



(red arrows) and myocarditis in the underlying compact myocardium (asterisk) in the anal group at 9 wpc. **B** Immunostaining of heart tissue in the anal group at 9 wpc. PRV antigen detected with o1 antibodies in cardiomyocytes in the cardiac ventricle (red colour).

Table 1 Histology and immunohistochemistry for heart tissue

wpc dpc	0–4 0.25–28	5 35	6 42	7 49	8 56	9 63	10 70
, Anal (IHCM)	0/54 (0/10)	0/6 (1/2)	0/6 (2/2)	1/6 (2/6)	1/6 (2/6)	2/6 (1/1)	0/6 (0/1)
Oral (IHCM)	0/6	_	_	0/1 (0/1)	0/2 (0/2)	_	-
i.p.	_	_	_	_	_	4/6	1/6
Neg. ctrl.	0/26	0/2	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	-

Number of fish with HSMI in the anal, oral, i.p. and negative control group at different time points. Positive fish are expressed out of the total analysed fish per group. In parentheses the number of positive fish detected in situ by immunohistochemistry.

Leakage of PRV from challenged fish

To assess potential leakage of inoculated virus from challenged fish, PRV real time RT-PCR was applied on samples of pooled heart-, spleen- and head kidney tissue from leakage control cohabitants (leakage) at 56 dpc and compared to the corresponding samples from challenged fish. The results demonstrated notable differences between the leakage groups (Figure 6). While most fish were positive for PRV in the oral leakage group and quite surprisingly also in the i.p. leakage group, the majority of fish in the anal leakage group were negative. The mean PRV Ct values in the oral leakage group was significantly lower (p < 0.01) than that of the anal leakage group, indicating a significantly higher viral load in the former group. Between the i.p. leakage and anal leakage groups, a similar difference was apparent but not significant (p = 0.09).



Integrated analyses

To further characterize the kinetics of the PRV-infection, PRV levels in GI segments, heart, faeces and blood were analysed for possible correlations in the i.p. and anal groups. Comparable levels of correlation were observed for anal and i.p. challenge groups but due to a generally lower variation in Ct values in the i.p., only data from this group are presented in Additional files 1, 2 and 3. Comparison of PRV levels in the four GI segments versus blood from 4 to 14 dpc, showed a strongly significant correlation for all four GI tissues (p < 0.0001 by nonparametric Spearman's ρ testing) (Additional file 1). A strongly significant correlation was also found between PRV levels in heart and blood (p < 0.0001 by non-parametric Spearman's ρ testing). When sampling time was taken into account, it became clear that a certain spatiotemporal development took place during the infection (Additional file 2). For all sampling time points, the PRV Ct values for blood and heart grouped into relative narrow clusters in the "blood-heart space". The centre of these clusters further exhibited an interesting development with time. Between 7 and 21 dpc, heart seemed to have a comparatively stronger increase in PRV levels which was then succeeded by a more equal increase





in both tissues until the peak was reached at 42 dpc. After 42 dpc, PRV levels decreased slowly in heart but more rapidly in blood. PRV levels in blood and faeces were strongly correlated (p < 0.0001 by non-parametric Spearman's ρ testing) and again the PRV Ct values in these samples formed sampling time-dependent clusters (Additional file 3). In contrast to blood-heart, the temporal development of these blood-faeces clusters followed a relative linear trajectory, in particular after 42 dpc where both sample types experienced a comparable decrease in PRV levels.

Discussion

Understanding how pathogenic organisms enter their host and spread in the environment is crucial for the management of disease. For PRV, the mechanisms of infection and transmission are yet unknown. In this study, we investigated if the GI tract could serve as a putative site of infection and potential source of shedding for PRV as shown for the related mammalian orthoreovirus [15].

A cohabitation challenge model is often preferred in viral infection studies because the transfer of virus to the cohabitants mimics natural infection. However, in order to study site-specific virus-host interactions at the first encounter it is essential to control when and where the challenge takes place, as well as standardizing the viral dose. Whereas injecting virus into the peritoneum of the host is assumed to ensure these factors, the intrinsic defense mechanisms of the mucus, skin, gills and gut of the fish are bypassed, and the infection cannot be considered natural. We therefore set out to establish an experimental model for studying PRV-infection with particular focus on the GI tract at early time points post infection. The GI tract is responsible for uptake of water and nutrients but also serves as a protective barrier towards the environment. Intestinal challenge may therefore mimic the conditions of a natural infection. The intestinal epithelium is very likely to be an entry port for pathogens transmitting through the fecal-oral route, which include orthoreoviruses in mammals and possibly also PRV. In this study, a PRV inoculum was administered to fish via either oral or anal intubation or by i.p. injection, the latter serving as a basis for comparison. Analysis of the PRV levels in blood and heart showed that anal, but not oral intubation of virus resulted in infection kinetics comparable to i.p. injected fish. Histology further demonstrated that fish infected through the anal route develop HSMI. The real time RT-PCR analysis of GI samples showed that the challenge inoculum is largely restricted to stomach and pyloric caeca in the orally intubated group and to the mid- and distal intestine in the anally intubated group. The low levels of virus RNA in mid- and distal intestine of orally challenged fish suggest that only limited amounts of virus reached this compartment, which could be due to the apparent leakage of virus to the water column that was likely caused by regurgitation. The relative delayed and milder infection kinetics of the oral group may hence correspond to a lesser amount of infective material reaching the intestinal segments that likely serve as an infection site for PRV. Oral and anal vaccination against enteric redmouth disease in rainbow trout revealed that much of the orally fed antigen is digested in the stomach before it reaches the second segment of the intestine where it can be taken up as an immunogenic antigen [29],

and this may also in part provide an explanation for the reduced PRV load observed in our oral treatment group.

A feature of mammalian reovirus is that it depends on proteolytic activity for optimal infection. Specific proteolysis of the outer capsid to form an infective subviral particle (ISVP) triggers uptake of the virus, and endosomal proteolysis and low pH further induces virus transfer into the cell cytoplasm [30]. Analysis of the PRV μ 1 protein sequence has revealed the presence of a central proteolytic cleavage site, indicating that similar mechanisms may apply for PRV [17]. MRV given orally is demonstrated to be readily transferred to the intestine (within 10-30 min) as ISVPs, most likely due to intestinal protease activity and acidic conditions, indicating that oral uptake should be well suited for reoviruses [31]. Chymotrypsin activity (<10 µg/mL) can trigger MRV ISPV formation and increase infectivity ex vivo, but high levels $(>100 \ \mu g/mL)$ may have an inhibitory effect [32]. Compared to mammals, the salmonid stomach is less acidic [33], and starvation has been demonstrated to increase the chymotrypsin levels in the pyloric caeca [34], factors that may affect PRV infectivity. In contrast to PRV, a recent report on IPNV reported slightly higher antigen uptake by oral than anal administration [35]. IPNV has been shown to resist harsh environments well [36, 37] but as PRV is also a non-enveloped virus, this difference may reflect separate infection strategies. It should also be noted that fish in the IPNV-study were starved only 24 h prior to intubation as compared to 6 days in the present study that in our experience was necessary to empty the intestine and enable challenge of the midintestine. Under natural circumstances, it is more likely that virus is introduced orally than anally. It could still be that infective virus is able to pass through the stomach and reach the intestine if embedded in fat-containing biological material, i.e. faeces or feed, which could protect from acids and digestive enzymes in the stomach. If the trial period had been extended or the virus inoculum absorbed to a matrix, results might have shown that a PRV-infection can be established also through the oral route.

To control for infection via non-GI routes, naïve fish were cohabitated with challenged fish for 24 h and then held in the same unit until 56 dpc. Although this could allow for individual infected fish to shed virus and further infect the cohort, analysis revealed interesting differences between the various challenge groups. While the relative higher virus levels in the oral leakage group could be explained by leakage of inoculate through regurgitation, the data suggest that the inoculate delivered anally remain relatively confined at the site of inoculation, which is somewhat surprising given the quite direct contact with the water through the anal opening. Although not statistically significant, results indicate that virus can leak through the narrow puncture in the peritoneal wall of i.p. injected fish. In cohabitation challenge experiments, commonly performed with 30-50% of i.p. injected shedders, cohabitant infection efficacies often vary and may be influenced by virus leakage to water from the i.p. injected fish. Moreover, the i.p. challenge method is considered particularly targeted, but leakage short-circuiting the intended i.p. route could add a potentially significant mucosal/immersion component to this challenge type. In comparison, the low leakage observed in the anal challenge, suggest this route to be relatively targeted.

Regarding the kinetics of the PRV-infection, the observed correlation between PRV levels in blood and heart is complex, illustrated by the non-straightforward spatiotemporal development (Additional file 2). These data suggest that heart has an "eigenvalue" in the pathogenesis of PRV in which heart tissue contributes independently to overall virus load, e.g. by local replication. In contrast the relation between PRV in blood and faeces is simpler, almost linear (Additional file 3). This suggests that PRV levels in faeces can be explained by blood levels alone, and that intestine hence is less likely to contribute to faeces levels by local replication. The strong correlation between PRV levels in blood and the four GI segments (Additional file 1) further corroborates the determining role of blood for the PRV findings. Hence, the demonstration of relatively high levels of PRV RNA in faeces samples suggests that faeces is a likely route for transmission of PRV, and that PRV reaches the intestinal lumen via active/passive transport from blood across the intestinal wall. A study on SAV similarly showed that virus was detected in faeces and mucus following challenge [38]. These observations suggest that analysis of fish droppings collected from the net pens or freshwater tanks could be used as a diagnostic tool for early detection of virus without sacrificing fish.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that anal intubation is an efficacious and well suited model for studying PRV-infection. Furthermore, the results show that PRV can establish an infection through the intestine and can likely shed to the environment through faecal contents. To our knowledge, this is the first report to document that the intestine can be a port of entry for PRV under experimental conditions. The more specific PRV infection mechanisms should be a subject for future studies.

Additional files

Additional file 1. Correlation between PRV levels (Ct values) in blood and four gastrointestinal tissues of i.p. fish. Markers indicate individual fish sampled at 4 (filled circle), 7 (square), 10 (star) and 14 (triangle) dpc. Note the inverted axes.

Additional file 2. Temporal development in relationship between **PRV levels in blood and heart of i.p. group from 7 dpc to 70 dpc.** Fish from each sampling time point are marked according to legend insert *Day-Group.* Coloured circles indicate the approximate centre of distribution of PRV values for each sampling time point as indicated by inserted coloured text boxes. The arrows indicate potential temporal trends in PRV distribution centre in the course of the experiment.

Additional file 3. Temporal development in relationship between PRV levels in blood and faeces of i.p. group from 7 dpc to 70 dpc. Fish from each sampling time point are marked according to legend insert *Day-Group*. Coloured circles indicate the approximate centre of distribution of PRV values for each sampling time point as indicated by inserted coloured text boxes. The arrows indicate potential temporal trends in PRV distribution centre in the course of the experiment.

Abbreviations

dpc: days post challenge; GI: gastrointestinal; HSMI: heart and skeletal muscle inflammation; IPNV: infectious pancreatic necrosis virus; i.p.: intraperitoneal; MRV: mammalian orthoreovirus; PMCV: piscine myocarditis virus; PRV: *Piscine orthoreovirus*; SAV: salmonid alphavirus.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors participated in the design and execution of the study, and writing of the manuscript. Coordination of the study and virus analyses: HH, fish and rearing conditions: ET, histological examination and immunohistochemistry: MA, figures and statistical analyses: SG. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by the Norwegian Veterinary Institute. We greatly thank Øystein Wessel and Espen Rimstad, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, for providing anti-PRV o1 (Anti-o1) antibody and to Miroslava Hansen, Terje Markussen, Elin Trettenes, Karen Bækken-Soleim, Inger H. Austrheim and Randi Faller, Norwegian Veterinary Institute, for technical assistance. Figure 1B modified with courtesy of Inge Bjerkås.

Received: 28 January 2016 Accepted: 9 May 2016 Published online: 23 May 2016

References

- Kongtorp RT, Kjerstad A, Taksdal T, Guttvik A, Falk K (2004) Heart and skeletal muscle inflammation in Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar L: a new infectious disease. J Fish Dis 27:351–358
- Kongtorp RT, Taksdal T (2009) Studies with experimental transmission of heart and skeletal muscle inflammation in Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar L. J Fish Dis 32:253–262
- Palacios G, Løvoll M, Tengs T, Hornig M, Hutchison S, Hui J, Kongtorp RT, Savji N, Bussetti AV, Solovyov A, Kristoffersen AB, Celone C, Street C, Trifonov V, Hirschberg DL, Rabadan R, Egholm M, Rimstad E, Lipkin WI (2010) Heart and skeletal muscle inflammation of farmed salmon is associated with infection with a novel reovirus. PLoS One 5:e11487
- Bornø G, Lie Linaker M (eds.) (2015) The health situation in Norwegian aquaculture 2014. http://www.vetinst.no/eng/Publications/Fish-Health-Report/Fish-Health-Report-2014. Norwegian Veterinary Institute

- Løvoll M, Alarcon M, Jensen BB, Taksdal T, Kristoffersen AB, Tengs T (2012) Quantification of piscine reovirus (PRV) at different stages of Atlantic salmon Salmo salar production. Dis Aquat Organ 99:7–12
- Garseth ÅH, Biering E, Aunsmo A (2013) Associations between piscine reovirus infection and life history traits in wild-caught Atlantic salmon Salmo salar L. in Norway. Prev Vet Med 112:138–146
- Garseth Å, Fritsvold C, Opheim M, Skjerve E, Biering E (2013) Piscine reovirus (PRV) in wild Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L, and sea-trout, *Salmo trutta* L., in Norway. J Fish Dis 36:483–493
- Wiik-Nielsen CR, Løvoll M, Sandlund N, Faller R, Wiik-Nielsen J, Jensen BB (2012) First detection of piscine reovirus (PRV) in marine fish species. Dis Aquat Organ 97:255–258
- Kibenge MJ, Iwamoto T, Wang Y, Morton A, Godoy MG, Kibenge FS (2013) Whole-genome analysis of piscine reovirus (PRV) shows PRV represents a new genus in family *Reoviridae* and its genome segment S1 sequences group it into two separate sub-genotypes. Virol J 10:230
- Siah A, Morrison DB, Fringuelli E, Savage P, Richmond Z, Johns R, Purcell MK, Johnson SC, Saksida SM (2015) Piscine reovirus: genomic and molecular phylogenetic analysis from farmed and wild salmonids collected on the Canada/US Pacific coast. PLoS One 10:e0141475
- Marty GD, Morrison DB, Bidulka J, Joseph T, Siah A (2015) Piscine reovirus in wild and farmed salmonids in British Columbia, Canada: 1974–2013. J Fish Dis 38:713–728
- 12. Garver KA, Johnson SC, Polinski MP, Bradshaw JC, Marty GD, Snyman HN, Morrison DB, Richard J (2016) Piscine orthoreovirus from Western North America is transmissible to Atlantic salmon and Sockeye salmon but fails to cause heart and skeletal muscle inflammation. PLoS One 11:e0146229
- Garver KA, Marty GD, Cockburn SN, Richard J, Hawley LM, Müller A, Thompson RL, Purcell MK, Saksida S (2016) Piscine reovirus, but not jaundice syndrome, was transmissible to Chinook salmon, Oncorhynchus tshawytscha (Walbaum), Sockeye salmon, Oncorhynchus nerka (Walbaum), and Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar L. J Fish Dis 39:117–128
- 14. Nibert ML, Duncan R (2013) Bioinformatics of recent aqua- and orthoreovirus isolates from fish: evolutionary gain or loss of FAST and fiber proteins and taxonomic implications. PLoS One 8:e68607
- 15. Virgin HW, Tyler KL, Dermody TS (1997) Reoviruses. In: Nathanson N (ed) Viral pathogenesis. Lippincott-Raven, New York
- Stanley N, Leak P, Walters M, Joske R (1964) Murine infections with reovirus. II. The chronic disease following reovirus type 3 infection. Br J Exp Pathol 45:142–149
- Markussen T, Dahle MK, Tengs T, Løvoll M, Finstad Ø, Wiik-Nielsen CR, Grove S, Lauksund S, Robertsen B, Rimstad E (2013) Sequence analysis of the genome of piscine orthoreovirus (PRV) associated with heart and skeletal muscle inflammation (HSMI) in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). PLoS One 8:e70075
- Finstad ØW, Dahle MK, Lindholm TH, Nyman IB, Løvoll M, Wallace C, Olsen CM, Storset AK, Rimstad E (2014) Piscine orthoreovirus (PRV) infects Atlantic salmon erythrocytes. Vet Res 45:35
- 19. Secombes CJ, Ellis AE (2012) The immunology of teleosts. In: Roberts RJ (ed) Fish pathology, 4th edn. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Oxford
- Løkka G, Austbø L, Falk K, Bjerkås I, Koppang EO (2013) Intestinal morphology of the wild Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar). J Morphol 274:859–876
- 21. Rombout J, Abelli L, Picchietti S, Scapigliati G, Kiron V (2011) Teleost intestinal immunology. Fish Shellfish Immunol 31:616–626
- Løkka G, Austbø L, Falk K, Bromage E, Fjelldal PG, Hansen T, Hordvik I, Koppang EO (2014) Immune parameters in the intestine of wild and reared unvaccinated and vaccinated Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). Dev Comp Immunol 47:6–16
- Fuglem B, Jirillo E, Bjerkås I, Kiyono H, Nochi T, Yuki Y, Raida M, Fischer U, Koppang EO (2010) Antigen-sampling cells in the salmonid intestinal epithelium. Dev Comp Immunol 34:768–774
- 24. Finstad ØW, Falk K, Løvoll M, Evensen Ø, Rimstad E (2012) Immunohistochemical detection of piscine reovirus (PRV) in hearts of Atlantic salmon coincide with the course of heart and skeletal muscle inflammation (HSMI). Vet Res 43:11
- Wessel Ø, Olsen CM, Rimstad E, Dahle MK (2015) Piscine orthoreovirus (PRV) replicates in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) erythrocytes ex vivo. Vet Res 46:26
- Hodneland K, Endresen C (2006) Sensitive and specific detection of salmonid alphavirus using real-time PCR (TaqMan (R)). J Virol Methods 131:184–192

- Løvoll M, Wiik-Nielsen J, Grove S, Wiik-Nielsen CR, Kristoffersen AB, Faller R, Poppe T, Jung J, Pedamallu CS, Nederbragt AJ, Meyerson M, Rimstad E, Tengs T (2010) A novel totivirus and piscine reovirus (PRV) in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) with cardiomyopathy syndrome (CMS). Virol J 7:309
- Ørpetveit I, Mikalsen AB, Sindre H, Evensen Ø, Dannevig BH, Midtlyng PJ (2010) Detection of infectious pancreatic necrosis virus in subclinically infected Atlantic salmon by virus isolation in cell culture or real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction: influence of sample preservation and storage. J Vet Diagn Invest 22:886–895
- Villumsen KR, Neumann L, Ohtani M, Strøm HK, Raida MK (2014) Oral and anal vaccination confers full protection against enteric redmouth disease (ERM) in rainbow trout. PLoS One 9:e93845
- Guglielmi KM, Johnson EM, Stehle T, Dermody TS (2006) Attachment and cell entry of mammalian orthoreovirus. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 309:1–38
- Sturzenbecker LJ, Nibert M, Furlong D, Fields BN (1987) Intracellular digestion of reovirus particles requires a low pH and is an essential step in the viral infectious cycle. J Virol 61:2351–2361
- Madren JA, Sarkar P, Danthi P (2012) Cell entry-associated conformational changes in reovirus particles are controlled by host protease activity. J Virol 86:3466–3473

- Krogdahl Å, Sundby A, Holm H (2015) Characteristics of digestive processes in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). Enzyme pH optima, chyme pH, and enzyme activities. Aquaculture 449:27–36
- Rungruangsak-Torrissen K, Moss R, Andresen LH, Berg A, Waagbø R (2006) Different expressions of trypsin and chymotrypsin in relation to growth in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). Fish Physiol Biochem 32:7–23
- 35. Chen LH, Evensen Ø, Mutoloki S (2015) IPNV antigen uptake and distribution in Atlantic salmon following oral administration. Viruses 7:2507–2517
- 36. Myrmel M, Modahl I, Nygaard H, Lie KM (2014) Infectious pancreatic necrosis virus in fish by-products is inactivated with inorganic acid (pH 1) and base (pH 12). J Fish Dis 37:349–355
- Nygaard H, Modahl I, Myrmel M (2012) Thermal inactivation of infectious pancreatic necrosis virus in a peptone-salt medium mimicking the watersoluble phase of hydrolyzed fish by-products. Appl Environ Microbiol 78:2446–2448
- Graham DA, Brown A, Savage P, Frost P (2012) Detection of salmon pancreas disease virus in the faeces and mucus of Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L., by real-time RT-PCR and cell culture following experimental challenge. J Fish Dis 35:949–951

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

• Maximum visibility for your research

