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EULER-LAGRANGE EQUATION FOR A DELAY VARIATIONAL PROBLEM
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Abstract. We establish Euler-Lagrange equations for a problem of Calculus of Variations where the unknown variable contains a term of delay on a segment.
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1. Introduction

We consider the following problem of Calculus of Variations $(P)$

$\begin{align*}
\text{Minimize} & \quad J(x) := \int_0^T F(t, x_t, x'_t) \, dt \\
\text{when} & \quad x \in C^0([-r,T], \mathbb{R}^n) \\
& \quad x_{[0,T]} \in C^1([0,T], \mathbb{R}^n) \\
& \quad x_0 = \psi, \ x(T) = \zeta.
\end{align*}$

where $r, T \in (0, +\infty), \ r < T, \ F : [0, T] \times C^0([-r,0], \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a functional, $\psi \in C^0([-r,0], \mathbb{R}^n), \ \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $x_t(\theta) := x(t + \theta)$ when $\theta \in [-r,0]$ and $t \in [0,T]$. $C^0$ denotes the continuity and $C^1$ denotes the continuous differentiability.

The aim of this paper is to establish a first-order necessary condition of optimality for problem $(P)$ which is analogous to the Euler-Lagrange equation of the variational problem without delay. Note that in other settings of delay variational problems, the question of the establishment of an Euler-lagrange equation was studied, for instance in [8] (see references therein), [9], [2].

Now we describe the contents of the paper. In Section 2, we specify the notation of various functions spaces, we introduce an operator to represent the dual space of $C^0([-r,0], \mathbb{R}^n)$ into a space of bounded variation functions (denoted by $\mathcal{R}_n$) and we establish properties on this operator. In Section 3, we state the main theorem of the paper (Theorem 3.1) on the Euler-Lagrange equation. We provide comments on this theorem. In Section 4, we introduce function spaces and operators which are specific to the delayed functions and we establish several of their properties. In Section 5, we provide conditions to ensure the Fréchet differentiability of the criterion of $(P)$. Section 6 is devoted to the proof of the Theorem 3.1.

2. Notation and recall

When $X$ and $Y$ are real normed vector spaces, $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ is the space of the continuous linear mappings from $X$ into $Y$. When $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$, we use the writings $\Lambda \cdot x := \Lambda(x), \ (\Lambda, x) = \Lambda(x)$ when $Y = \mathbb{R}$, and we write the norm of linear continuous
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operators as $\|A\|_C := \sup\{\|A \cdot x\|_C : x \in X, \|x\|_X \leq 1\}$. The topological dual space of $X$ is denoted by $X^* := \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{R})$.

When $a < b$ are two real numbers, the space of the continuous functions from $[a, b]$ into $X$ is denoted by $C^0([a, b], X)$; its norm is $\|f\|_{\infty,[a,b]} := \sup\{\|f(t)\|_X : t \in [a, b]\}$.

When $E$ is a finite-dimensional normed vector space, and when $a < b$ are two real numbers, $BV([a, b], E)$ denotes the space of the bounded variation functions from $[a, b]$ into $E$. $NBV([a, b], E)$ denotes the space of the $g \in BV([a, b], E)$ which are left-continuous on $[a, b)$ and which satisfy $g(a) = 0$. When $g \in BV([a, b], E)$, the total variation of $g$ is $V_a^b(g)$ which defined as the supremum of the non negative numbers $\sum_{i=0}^{k} \|f(t_i) - f(t_{i+1})\|_E$ on the set of the finite lists $(t_i)_{0 \leq i \leq k+1}$ such that $a = t_0 < \ldots < t_{k+1} = b$. The norm on $NBV([a, b], E)$ is $\|g\|_{BV} = V_a^b(g)$.

Denoting by $B([a, b])$ the Borel $\sigma$-field of $[a, b]$, when $\gamma \in NBV([a, b], E)$, there exists an unique signed measure $\mu[\gamma] : B([a, b]) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that, for all $\alpha < \beta$ in $[a, b]$, $\mu[\gamma](\{\alpha, \beta]\}) = \gamma(\beta) - \gamma(\alpha)$. Necessarily we have $\mu[\gamma](\{\alpha, \beta]\}) = \gamma(\beta) - \gamma(\alpha)$, and when $\beta = b$, $\gamma(b+) := \gamma(b)$. The Lebesgue-Stieljes integral build on $\gamma$ is defined by $\int_a^b d\gamma(\theta) f(\theta) := \int_{[\alpha, \beta]} f(\theta) d\mu[\gamma](\theta)$ where $\alpha < \beta$ in $[a, b]$ and where $f$ is $\mu[\gamma]$-integrable. We also recall the useful inequality $|\int_a^b d\gamma(\theta) \varphi(\theta)| \leq V_a^b(\gamma) \|\varphi\|_{\infty,[a,b]}$.

We denote by $(e_k)_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and by $(e_k^*)_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ its dual basis. When $g \in NBV([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$, $g(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^n g_k(\theta) e_k^*$, when $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $f(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(\theta) e_k$, where the $f_k$ are $\mu[g_k]$-integrable, we set
\[
\int_\alpha^\beta dg(\theta) \cdot f(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_\alpha^\beta dg_k(\theta) f_k(\theta). \tag{2.1}
\]

The theorem of representation of F. Riesz of $C^0([-r, 0], \mathbb{R})^*$ permits to define the operator
\[
\mathcal{R}_1 : C^0([-r, 0], \mathbb{R})^* \to NBV([-r, 0], \mathbb{R})
\]
by
\[
\langle \ell, \varphi \rangle = \int_{-r}^0 d\mathcal{R}_1(\ell)(\theta) \varphi(\theta). \tag{2.2}
\]
when $\ell \in C^0([-r, 0], \mathbb{R})^*$ and $\varphi \in C^0([-r, 0], \mathbb{R})$. $\mathcal{R}_1$ is a topological linear isomorphism from $C^0([-r, 0], \mathbb{R})^*$ into $NBV([-r, 0], \mathbb{R})$, and it is an isometry: $\|\mathcal{R}_1(\ell)\|_{BV} = \|\ell\|_C$ when $\ell \in C^0([-r, 0], \mathbb{R})^*$.

When $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$, when $L \in C^0([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)^*$, for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ we define $\ell_k \in C^0([-r, 0], \mathbb{R})^*$ by setting
\[
\langle \ell_k, \varphi \rangle := \langle L, \varphi e_k \rangle \tag{2.3}
\]
where $\varphi \in C^0([-r, 0], \mathbb{R})$. We set
\[
\mathcal{R}_n(L) := \sum_{k=1}^n \mathcal{R}_1(\ell_k) e_k^*. \tag{2.4}
\]
This formula defines an operator
\[
\mathcal{R}_n : C^0([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)^* \to NBV([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n^*).
\]
When $\phi = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \phi^k e_k \in C^0([-r,0], \mathbb{R}^n)$ we have
\[
\langle L, \phi \rangle = \langle L, \sum_{k=1}^{n} \phi^k e_k \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \langle L, \phi^k e_k \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{-r}^{0} dR_1(\ell_k)(\theta) \phi^k(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{-r}^{0} d(R_1(\ell_k)(\theta)e_k^*) \cdot \phi(\theta)
\]
and using (2.4) we obtain
\[
\langle L, \phi \rangle = \int_{-r}^{0} dR_n(L)(\theta) \cdot \phi(\theta). \tag{2.5}
\]

**Lemma 2.1.** $R_n$ is a linear topological isomorphism from $C^0([-r,0], \mathbb{R}^n)^*$ onto $NBV([-r,0], \mathbb{R}^n)$.

**Proof.** $\mathbb{R}^n$ is endowed by the norm $\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} u^k e_k\| := \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |u^k|$, and $\mathbb{R}^{n*}$ is endowed by the norm $\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k e_k^*\| := \sum_{k=1}^{n} |p_k|$. Let $g \in NBV([-r,0], \mathbb{R}^{n*})$, $g(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} g_k(\theta)e_k^*$, with $g_k \in NBV([-r,0], \mathbb{R})$. We define the linear functional
\[
L^g : C^0([-r,0], \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
\]
\[
(L^g, \phi) := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{-r}^{0} d\|g_k(\theta)\| \phi_k^*(\theta) \phi(\theta) = \int_{-r}^{0} d\|\phi\| \cdot \phi(\theta)
\]
where $\phi \in C^0([-r,0], \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\phi(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \phi_k^*(\theta)e_k^*$. Since $V^g_{U_k}(g_k) \leq V^g_{U_r}(g)$ for all $k \in \{1, ..., n\}$, and for all $\phi \in C^0([-r,0], \mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $|\langle L^g, \phi \rangle| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{-r}^{0} d\|g_k(\theta)\| \phi_k^*(\theta) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \|g_k\|_{BV} \|\phi_k^*\|_{\infty,[-r,0]}$ which implies the following inequality
\[
|\langle L^g, \phi \rangle| \leq n\|g\|_{BV} \|\phi\|_{\infty,[-r,0]}. \tag{2.6}
\]
This inequality proves that $L^g \in \mathcal{L}(C^0([-r,0], \mathbb{R}^n), \mathbb{R})$

Hence we can build the linear operator
\[
\mathcal{L} : NBV([-r,0], \mathbb{R}^{n*}) \rightarrow C^0([-r,0], \mathbb{R}^n)^*, \quad \mathcal{L}(g) := L^g.
\]
From (2.6) we have $\|\mathcal{L}(g)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq n\|g\|_{BV}$ which implies the continuity of $\mathcal{L}$. When $\mathcal{L}(g) = 0$, for all $\varphi \in C^0([-r,0], \mathbb{R})$, taking $\phi = \varphi e_k$, we obtain that $\int_{-r}^{0} d\|g_k(\theta)\| \varphi(\theta) = 0$, therefore $R^{-1}_1(g_k) = 0$, and since $R_1$ is a linear isomorphism, we obtain $g_k = 0$ for all $k \in \{1, ..., n\}$, hence $g = 0$. We have proven that $\mathcal{L}$ is injective.

When $L \in C^0([-r,0], \mathbb{R}^n)^*$, setting $g(\theta) := \sum_{k=1}^{n} R^{-1}_1(\ell_k)(\theta)e_k^*$, we verify that $g \in NBV([-r,0], \mathbb{R}^{n*})$ and that $L = \mathcal{L}(g)$, and so we have proven that $\mathcal{L}$ is surjective. Hence $\mathcal{L}$ is linear bijective and continuous. Using the Inverse mapping Theorem of Banach, we obtain that $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ is continuous, and since $R_n = \mathcal{L}^{-1}$, we obtain the announced result. \qed

Now we consider a case with a dependence with respect to the time.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let $[t \mapsto L(t)] \in C^0([0,T], C^0([-r,0], \mathbb{R}^n)^*)$. Then the following assertions hold.

(i) $[t \mapsto R_n(L(t))] \in C^0([0,T], NBV([-r,0], \mathbb{R}^{n*}))$

(ii) $[t, \theta] \mapsto R_n(L(t))(\theta))$ is Lebesgue measurable on $[0,T] \times [-r,0]$

(iii) $[t, \theta] \mapsto R_n(L(t))(\theta))$ is Riemann integrable on $[0,T] \times [-r,0]$. 

Theorem 3.1. Under (A1, A2, A3) let
\[
\text{Then the function }
\]
Lemma 2.4. "Lemma of Heine-Schwartz". In [5] and in [4] we have called it compact neighborhood of compact subset in non locally compact metric spaces, for which are useful to this theorem.

Lemma 2.5. Let \( E, F \) be two metric spaces, and \( \Phi : A \times E \to F \) be a mapping. Then the two following assertions are equivalent.

(i) \( \Phi \in C^0(A \times E, F) \).

(ii) \( N_\Phi \in C^0(C^0(A, E), C^0(A, F)) \) where \( N_\Phi(u) := [a \mapsto \Phi(a, u(a))] \).

This result is established in [3] (Lemma 8.10).

We need to use the following classical Lemma of Dubois-Reymond.

Lemma 2.6. Let \( \alpha < \beta \) be two real numbers. Let \( p \in C^0([\alpha, \beta], \mathbb{R}^n) \) and \( q \in C^0([\alpha, \beta], \mathbb{R}^{n*}) \). We assume that, for all \( h \in C^1([\alpha, \beta], \mathbb{R}^n) \) such that \( h(\alpha) = h(\beta) = 0 \), we have \( \int_\alpha^\beta (p(t) \cdot h(t) + q(t) \cdot h'(t))dt = 0 \). Then we have \( q \in C^1([\alpha, \beta], \mathbb{R}^{n*}) \) and \( q' = p \).

This result is proven in [11] (p. 60) when \( n = 1 \). Working on coordinates, the extension to an arbitrary positive integer number is easy.

3. The main result

In this section we state the theorem on the Euler-Lagrange equation as a first-order necessary condition of optimality for problem (P). First we give assumptions which are useful to this theorem.

(A1) \( F \in C^0([0, T] \times C^0([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \).

(A2) For all \( (t, \phi, v) \in [0, T] \times C^0([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \), the partial Fréchet differential with respect to the second (function) variable, \( D_2F(t, \phi, v) \), exists and \( D_2F \in C^0([0, T] \times C^0([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n, C^0([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^{n*})) \).

(A3) For all \( (t, \phi, v) \in [0, T] \times C^0([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \), the partial Fréchet differential with respect to the third (vector) variable, \( D_3F(t, \phi, v) \), exists and \( D_3F \in C^0([0, T] \times C^0([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^{n*}) \).

Theorem 3.1. Under (A1, A2, A3) let \( x \) be a local solution of the problem (P). Then the function \( t \mapsto D_3F(t, x(t), x'(t)) - \int_t^{\min\{t+r,T\}} R_n(D_2F(s, x_s, x'(s))(t-s)ds) \)
Lemma 4.1. We can also write
\[
\frac{d}{dt}[D_3F(t, x_t, x'(t))] = \mathcal{R}_n(D_2F(t, x_t, x'(t)))(0) + \frac{d}{dt} \int_{t}^{\min(t+r,T)} \mathcal{R}_n(D_2F(s, x_s, x'(s))(t-s)ds.
\]

The operator \( \mathcal{R}_n \) which is used in this theorem is defined in Section 2 (formulas (2.4), (2.5)). The Euler-Lagrange equation of this theorem can be written under the integral form as follows
\[
\begin{align*}
D_3F(t, x_t, x'(t)) &= \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{R}_n(D_2F(s, x_s, x'(s)))(0)ds \\
&+ \int_{t}^{\min(t+r,T)} \mathcal{R}_n(D_2F(s, x_s, x'(s))(t-s)ds + c
\end{align*}
\]
where \( c \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is a constant which is independent of \( t \).

Note the presence of an advance (the contrary of the delay) in this equation. In other settings, \([2]\) and \([9]\), the Euler-Lagrange also contains a term of advance.

4. A FUNCTION SPACE AND OPERATORS

We define the following function space
\[
\mathcal{X} := \{ x \in C^0([-r, T], \mathbb{R}^n) : x|_{[0, T]} \in C^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n) \}.
\]

On \( \mathcal{X} \) we consider the following norm
\[
\|x\|_\mathcal{X} := \sup_{-r \leq t \leq T} \|x(t)\| + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|x'(t)\|.
\]

Lemma 4.1. \( (\mathcal{X}, \| \cdot \|_\mathcal{X}) \) is a Banach space.

Proof. We can also write \( \|x\|_\mathcal{X} = \|x\|_{\infty, [-r, T]} + \|x'\|_{\infty, [0, T]} \). Since \( \| \cdot \|_{\infty, [-r, T]} \) and \( \| \cdot \|_{\infty, [0, T]} \) are norms, \( \| \cdot \|_\mathcal{X} \) is a norm. We consider the space \( C^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n) \) endowed with the norm \( \|x\|_{C^1, [0, T]} := \|x\|_{\infty, [0, T]} + \|x'\|_{\infty, [0, T]} \). We know that \( (C^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n), \| \cdot \|_{C^1, [0, T]} \) is a Banach space. Let \((x^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\) be a Cauchy sequence in \((\mathcal{X}, \| \cdot \|_\mathcal{X})\). Since \((x^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\) is also a Cauchy sequence in \(C^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)\) there exists \(u \in C^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)\) such that \(\lim_{k \to \infty} \|x^k - u\|_{C^1, [0, T]} = 0\). Since \((x^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\) is also a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space \(C^0([-r, T], \mathbb{R}^n), \| \cdot \|_{\infty, [0, T]} \) there exists \(v \in C^0([-r, T], \mathbb{R}^n)\) such that \(\lim_{k \to \infty} \|x^k - v\|_{\infty, [-r, T]} = 0\).

Since \( \| \cdot \|_{\infty, [0, T]} \leq \| \cdot \|_{C^1, [0, T]} \) we have \(\lim_{k \to \infty} \|x^k - u\|_{C^1, [0, T]} = 0\), and since \( \| \cdot \|_{\infty, [0, T]} \leq \| \cdot \|_{\infty, [-r, T]} \) we have \(\lim_{k \to \infty} \|x^k - v\|_{\infty, [0, T]} = 0\). Using the uniqueness of the limit we obtain \(v|_{[0, T]} = u\). Therefore we have \(v \in \mathcal{X}\) and from the inequality \(\|x^k - u\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq \|x^k - v\|_{\infty, [-r, T]} + \|x^k - v\|_{C^1, [0, T]}\) we obtain \(\lim_{k \to \infty} \|x^k - u\|_{\mathcal{X}} = 0\).

We define the set
\[
\mathfrak{A} := \{ x \in \mathcal{X} : x_0 = \psi, x(T) = \zeta \}.
\]

Lemma 4.2. \( \mathfrak{A} \) is a non empty closed affine subset of \( \mathcal{X} \) and the unique vector subspace which is parallel to \( \mathfrak{A} \) is \( \mathfrak{V} := \{ h \in \mathcal{X} : h_0 = 0, h(T) = 0 \} \).

Proof. Setting \( y(t) := \psi(t) \) when \( t \in [-r, 0] \) and \( y(t) := \frac{1}{\zeta - \psi(0)} + \psi(0) \), we see that \( y \in \mathfrak{A} \) which proves that \( \mathfrak{A} \) is nonempty. From the inequalities \( \|x(T)\| \leq \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}} \) and \( \|x|_{[-r, \zeta]} \leq \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}} \), we obtain that \( \mathfrak{A} \) is closed in \( \mathcal{X} \). It is easy to verify that \( \mathfrak{A} \) is affine. The unique vector subspace of \( \mathcal{X} \) is \( \mathfrak{V} = \mathfrak{A} - u \) where \( u \in \mathfrak{A} \) and we can easily verify the announced formula for \( \mathfrak{V} \).
When \( x \in C^0([-r, T], \mathbb{R}^n) \) we define
\[
\mathfrak{z} : [0, T] \to C^0([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n), \quad \mathfrak{z}(t) := x_t. \tag{4.4}
\]

**Lemma 4.3.** When \( x \in C^0([-r, T], \mathbb{R}^n) \) we have \( \mathfrak{z} \in C^0([0, T], C^0([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)). \)

**Proof.** Using a Heine’s theorem, since \([-r, T]\) is compact and \( x \) is continuous, \( x \) is uniformly continuous on \([-r, T]\), i.e.
\[
\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta_\epsilon > 0, \forall t, s \in [-r, T], |t - s| \leq \delta_\epsilon \implies \|x(t) - x(s)\| \leq \epsilon.
\]
Let \( \epsilon > 0; \) if \( t, s \in [0, T] \) are such that \( |t - s| \leq \delta_\epsilon \) then, for all \( \theta \in [-r, 0] \) we have
\[
|(t + \theta) - (s + \theta)| \leq \delta_\epsilon \which implies \|x(t + \theta) - x(s + \theta)\| \leq \epsilon,
\]
therefore \( \|\mathfrak{z}(t) - \mathfrak{z}(s)\|_{\infty, [0, T]} \leq \epsilon. \)

After Lemma 4.3 we can define the operator
\[
\mathcal{S} : C^0([-r, T], \mathbb{R}^n) \to C^0([0, T], C^0([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)), \quad \mathcal{S}(x) := \mathfrak{z}. \quad \tag{4.5}
\]

**Lemma 4.4.** \( \mathcal{S} \) is a linear continuous operator from \( (C^0([-r, T], \mathbb{R}^n), \| \cdot \|_{\infty}) \) into \( (C^0([0, T], C^0([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)), \| \cdot \|_{\infty}). \)

**Proof.** The linearity of \( \mathcal{S} \) is clear. When \( x \in C^0([-r, T], \mathbb{R}^n) \) we have
\[
\|\mathcal{S}(x)\|_{\infty} = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sup_{-r \leq \theta \leq 0} \|x(t + \theta)\| \leq \sup_{-r \leq s \leq T} \|x(s)\| = \|x\|_{\infty, [-r, T]} \which implies the continuity of \( \mathcal{S}. \)
\]

The continuity of \( \mathcal{S}^1 \) results from the inequality \( \| \cdot \|_{\infty, [-r, T]} \leq \| \cdot \|_{\infty} \). \( \square \)

Now we consider the following operator
\[
\mathcal{D} : \mathfrak{X} \to C^0([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n), \quad \mathcal{D}(x) := x'. \quad \tag{4.6}
\]

**Lemma 4.5.** The operator \( \mathcal{D} \) is linear continuous from \( (\mathfrak{X}, \| \cdot \|_{\mathfrak{X}}) \) into \( (C^0([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n), \| \cdot \|_{\infty}). \)

**Proof.** The linearity of \( \mathcal{D} \) is clear. When \( x \in \mathfrak{X} \), we have
\[
\|\mathcal{D}(x)\|_{\infty, [0, T]} = \|x'\|_{\infty, [0, T]} \leq \|x\|_{\mathfrak{X}}
\]
which implies the continuity of \( \mathcal{D}. \) \( \square \)

When \( V \) and \( W \) are normed vector spaces we consider the operator
\[
B : \mathcal{L}(V, W) \times E \to W, B(L, y) := L \cdot y.
\]
\( B \) is bilinear continuous, and when \( I \) is a compact interval of \( \mathbb{R} \), we consider the Nemytskii operator defined on \( B \)
\[
N_B : C^0(I, \mathcal{L}(V, W)) \times C^0(I, V) \to C^0(I, W)
\]
\[
N_B(L, h) := [t \mapsto B(L(t), h(t)) = L(t) \cdot h(t)] \quad \tag{4.7}
\]
where we have assimilated \( C^0(I, \mathcal{L}(V, W)) \times C^0(I, V) \) and \( C^0(I, \mathcal{L}(V, W)) \times V \). \( N_B \)
is bilinear and the following inequality holds
\[
\forall L \in C^0(I, \mathcal{L}(V, W)), \forall h \in C^0(I, V), \|N_B(L, h)\|_{\infty, I} \leq \|L\|_{\infty, I} \cdot \|h\|_{\infty, I}. \quad \tag{4.8}
\]
This inequality shows that \( N_B \) is continuous and consequently it is of class \( C^1. \)
5. THE DIFFERENTIABILITY OF THE CRITERION

First we establish a general result on the differentiability of the Nemytskii operators.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let $I$ be a compact interval of $\mathbb{R}$, $V$, $W$ be two normed vector spaces, and $\Phi : I \times V \to W$ be a mapping. We assume that the following conditions are fulfilled.

(a) $\Phi \in C^0(I \times V, W)$.
(b) For all $t \in I$, the partial Fréchet differential of $\Phi$ with respect to the second variable, $D_2\Phi(t, x)$, exists for all $x \in V$, and $D_2\Phi \in C^0(I \times V, \mathcal{L}(V, W))$.

Then the operator $N_\Phi$ defined by $N_\Phi(v) := \{t \mapsto \Phi(t, v(t))\}$ is of class $C^1$ from $C^0(I, V)$ into $C^0(I, W)$, and we have $DN_\Phi(v) \cdot \delta v = [t \mapsto D_2\Phi(t, v(t)) \cdot \delta v(t)]$.

**Proof.** Under our assumptions, from Lemma 2.3 the following assertions hold.

\[ N_\Phi \in C^0(C^0(I, V), C^0(I, W)) \quad \text{(5.1)} \]
\[ N_{D_2\Phi} \in C^0(C^0(I, V), C^0(I, \mathcal{L}(V, W))). \quad \text{(5.2)} \]

We arbitrarily fix $v \in C^0(I, V)$. The set $K := \{(t, v(t)) : t \in I\}$ is compact as the image of a compact by a continuous mapping. Let $\epsilon > 0$; using Lemma 2.4 we have

\[
\exists \beta^* > 0, \forall t \in I, \forall s \in I, \forall y \in V, \quad |t - s| + \|v(t) - y\| \leq \beta^* \implies \|D_2\Phi(t, u(t)) - D_2\Phi(s, y)\| \leq \epsilon,
\]

which implies

\[
\exists \beta^* > 0, \forall t \in I, \forall y \in V, \|v(t) - y\| \leq \beta^* \implies \|D_2\Phi(t, u(t)) - D_2\Phi(t, y)\| \leq \epsilon.
\]

Let $\delta v \in C^0(I, V)$ such that $\|\delta v\|_\infty \leq \beta^*$. For all $y \in [v(t), v(t) + \delta v(t)] = \{(1 - \lambda)v(t) + \lambda(v(t) + \delta v(t))\}$, we have $\|y\| \leq \|\delta v(t)\| \leq \beta^*$, and consequently $\|D_2\Phi(t, u(t)) - D_2\Phi(t, y)\| \leq \epsilon$. Using the mean value theorem ([1], Corollaire 1, p. 141), we have

\[
\|\Phi(t, v(t) + \delta v(t)) - \Phi(t, v(t)) - D_2\Phi(t, v(t)) \cdot \delta v(t)\| \leq \sup_{y \in [v(t), v(t) + \delta v(t)]} \|D_2\Phi(t, v(t)) - D_2\Phi(t, y)\| \cdot \|\delta v(t)\| \leq \epsilon \|\delta v(t)\|
\]

which implies, taking the supremum on the $t \in I$,

\[ \|N_\Phi(v + \delta v) - N_\Phi(v) - N_B(N_{D_2\Phi}(v), \delta v)\|_{\infty, I} \leq \epsilon \|\delta v\|_{\infty, I}. \]

And so we have proven that $N_\Phi$ is Fréchet differentiable at $v$ and

\[ DN_\Phi(v) \cdot \delta v = N_B(N_{D_2\Phi}, \delta v). \]

When $v, v^1, \delta v \in C^0(I, V)$, using (4.3) we have

\[ \|(DN_\Phi(v) - DN_\Phi(v^1)) \cdot \delta v\|_{\infty, I} = \|N_B(N_{D_2\Phi}(v), \delta v) - N_B(N_{D_2\Phi}(v^1), \delta v)\|_{\infty, I} = \|N_B(N_{D_2\Phi}(v) - N_{D_2\Phi}(v^1), \delta v)\|_{\infty, I} \leq \|N_{D_2\Phi}(v) - N_{D_2\Phi}(v^1)\| \cdot \|\delta v\|_{\infty, I}, \]

and taking the supremum on the $\delta v \in C^0(I, V)$ such that $\|\delta v\|_{\infty, I} \leq 1$ we obtain

\[ \|DN_\Phi(v) - DN_\Phi(v^1)\|_{\infty, I} \leq \|N_{D_2\Phi}(v) - N_{D_2\Phi}(v^1)\|_{\infty, I} \]

and (5.2) implies the continuity of $DN_\Phi$. \qed
In different frameworks, similar results of differentiability of Nemytskii operators were proven in [4] (for almost periodic functions), in [5] (for bounded sequences), in [3] (for continuous functions which converge to zero at infinite).

From $F : [0, T] \times C^0([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we define the following Nemytskii operator

$$
N_F : C^0([0, T], C^0([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)) \times C^0([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^0([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)
$$

$$
N_F(U, v) := [t \mapsto F(t, U(t), v(t))].
$$

**Lemma 5.2.** Under (A1, A2, A3), $N_F$ is of class $C^1$ and for all $U$, $\delta U \in C^0([0, T], C^0([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n))$, for all $v$, $\delta v \in C^0([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$
DN_F(U, v) \cdot (\delta U, \delta v) = [t \mapsto D_2F(t, U(t), v(t)) \cdot \delta U(t) + D_3F(t, U(t), v(t)) \cdot \delta v(t)].
$$

**Proof.** It is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 5.1 with $V = C^0([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n$, $W = \mathbb{R}$, $\Phi = F$, and by using that the differential of $F(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ at $(U(t), v(t))$ applied to $(\delta U(t), \delta v(t))$ is equal to $D_2F(t, U(t), v(t)) \cdot \delta U(t) + D_3F(t, U(t), v(t)) \cdot \delta v(t)$. 

**Lemma 5.3.** Under (A1, A2, A3), $J \in C^1(\mathfrak{X}, \mathbb{R})$ and for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ and for all $h \in \mathfrak{U}$, we have

$$
DJ(x) \cdot h = \int_0^T (D_2F(t, x_t, x'(t)) \cdot h_t + D_3F(t, x_t, x'(t)) \cdot h'(t)) dt.
$$

**Proof.** We introduce the operator $in : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow C^0([-r, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$ by setting $in(x) := x$, and the functional $I : C^0([0, T], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by setting $I(f) := \int_0^T f(t) dt$ the Riemann integral of $f$ on $[0, T]$. The operator $in$ is clearly linear and from the inequality $\| \cdot \|_{\infty, [-r, T]} \leq \| \cdot \|_x$, it is continuous. $I$ is linear and by using the mean value theorem, it is continuous.

Note that $J = I \circ N_F \circ (S \circ in, D)$. Since $in$, $S$, $D$ and $I$ are linear continuous, they are of class $C^1$, and so $(S \circ in, D)$ is of class $C^1$. Using Lemma 5.2 $N_F$ is of class $C^1$, and so $J$ is of class $C^1$ as a composition of $C^1$ mappings. The calculation of $DJ$ is a simple application of the Chain Rule:

$$
DJ(x) \cdot h = DI(N_F(S(in(x), D(x))) \cdot DN_F(S(in(x), D(x))) .
$$

$$
(DS(in(x)) \cdot Dn(x)h, DD(x) \cdot h).
$$

$$
= I(DN_F(x, x'))(h, h')
$$

$$
= \int_0^T (D_2F(t, x_t, x'(t)) \cdot h_t + D_3F(t, x_t, x'(t)) \cdot h'(t)) dt.
$$

**6. Proof of the main result**

To abridge the writing, we write $D_2F[t] := D_2F(t, x_t, x'(t))$ and $D_3F[t] := D_3F(t, x_t, x'(t))$, and in the proofs we write $g(t, \theta) := \mathcal{R}_n(D_2F[t])(\theta)$.

**Lemma 6.1.** Under (A1, A2, A3), for all $h \in \mathfrak{U}$, we have

$$
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\int_0^T D_2F[t] \cdot h_t dt = \\
\int_0^T \mathcal{R}_n(D_2F[t])(0) \cdot h(t) dt + \int_0^T \left( \int_0^\min(t+r, T) \mathcal{R}_n(D_2F[s])(t-s) ds \right) \cdot h'(t) dt.
\end{array} \right.
$$

**Proof.** Using Proposition 3.2 in [5] and $g(t, -r) = 0$, we have, for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$
D_2F[t] \cdot h_t = \int_0^t dg(t, \theta) \cdot h(t + \theta)
$$

$$
= \int_0^t \int_{t-r} h(\xi - t) \cdot h(\xi) d\xi
$$

$$
= g(t, 0) \cdot h(t) - \int_{t-r}^t g(t, \xi - t) \cdot h'(\xi) d\xi,
$$

$$
\mathcal{R}_n(D_2F[t])(0) \cdot h(t) dt + \int_0^T \left( \int_0^\min(t+r, T) \mathcal{R}_n(D_2F[s])(t-s) ds \right) \cdot h'(t) dt.
$$
Using (6.6) in (6.1) we obtain the announced formula.

For the first term of (6.3), since

we set

which implies

Using the Fubini theorem, we obtain

\[
\int_0^T \int_{t-r}^{t} g(t, \xi - t) \cdot h'(\xi) d\xi dt = \int \int_A g(t, \xi - t) d\xi dt
\]

(6.2)

For each \(\xi\), we consider \(A_{\xi} := \{t \in [0, T] : (t, \xi) \in A\}\). We have

\[
A_{\xi} = \begin{cases} 
0, \xi + r & \text{if } \xi \in [-r, 0] \\
[\xi, \xi + r] & \text{if } \xi \in [0, T - r] \\
[\xi, T] & \text{if } \xi \in [T - r, T]. 
\end{cases}
\]

Using the Fubini theorem, we obtain

\[
\int \int A g(t, \xi - t) h'(\xi) d\xi = \begin{cases} 
\int_{-r}^{T-r} \int A_{\xi} g(t, \xi - t) h'(\xi) d\xi dt \\
\int_{-r}^{0} \int A_{\xi} g(t, \xi - t) h'(\xi) d\xi dt + \int \int_{A_{\xi}} g(t, \xi - t) h'(\xi) d\xi dt \\
\int_{T-r}^{T} \int A_{\xi} g(t, \xi - t) h'(\xi) d\xi dt.
\end{cases}
\]

(6.3)

For the first term of (6.3), since \(h\) is equal to zero on \([-r, 0]\), we have \(h'\) equal to zero on \([-r, 0]\) and consequently we obtain

\[
\int_0^0 \left( \int_{A_{\xi}} g(t, \xi - t) h'(\xi) d\xi dt \right) = 0.
\]

(6.4)

For the second term of (6.3), we have

\[
\int_0^{T-r} \left( \int A_{\xi} g(t, \xi - t) h'(\xi) d\xi dt \right) = \int_0^{T-r} \left( \int_{\xi}^{\xi + r} g(t, \xi - t) h'(\xi) d\xi dt \right)
\]

and replacing \(\xi\) by \(t\) and \(t\) by \(s\) we obtain

\[
\int_0^{T-r} \left( \int A_{\xi} g(t, \xi - t) h'(\xi) d\xi dt \right) = \int_0^{T-r} \left( \int_t^{t + r} g(s, t - s) ds \right) \cdot h'(t) dt.
\]

(6.5)

For the third term of (6.3) we have

\[
\int_{T-r}^{T} \left( \int A_{\xi} g(t, s - t) h'(s) ds \right) = \int_{T-r}^{T} \left( \int_{\xi}^{T} g(t, s - t) dt \right) \cdot h'(s) ds = \int_{T-r}^{T} \left( \int_{\xi}^{T} g(\alpha, \beta - \alpha) d\alpha \right) \cdot h'(\beta) d\beta
\]

which implies

\[
\int_{T-r}^{T} \left( \int A_{\xi} g(t, s - t) h'(s) ds \right) = \int_{T-r}^{T} \left( \int_t^{x + t} g(s, t - s) ds \right) \cdot h'(t) dt.
\]

(6.6)

Using (6.4), (6.5) and (6.6) in (6.3) we obtain

\[
\int_0^{T} (\int_{t-r}^{t} g(t, s - t) \cdot h'(s) ds) dt = \int_0^{T} (\int_t^{\min(t + r, T)} g(s, t - s) ds) \cdot h'(t) dt.
\]

(6.7)

Using (6.6) in (6.1) we obtain the announced formula.
We set
\[
\begin{align*}
\{ p(t) & := \mathcal{R}_n(D_2F(t, x_t, x_t'(t)))(0) \\
q(t) & := D_3F(t, x_t, x_t'(t)) - \int_t^{\min\{t+r,T\}} \mathcal{R}_n(D_2F(s, x_s, x_s'(s))(t-s)ds.
\end{align*}
\]
We know that \( x \) is a local minimizer of \( J \) on the closed affine subset \( U \), that \( \mathcal{U} \) is the tangent vector subspace of \( U \) at \( x \) after Lemma 4.2. From Lemma 5.3, we know that \( J \) is of class \( C^1 \), and then, using a classical argument, we can assert that \( DJ(x) \cdot h = 0 \) for all \( h \in \mathcal{U} \). Using Lemma 5.3, we obtain
\[
0 = DJ(x) \cdot h = \int_0^T (p(t) \cdot h(t) + q(t) \cdot h'(t))dt
\]
and so, using Lemma 2.5, we obtain that \( q \) is \( C^1 \) on \([0,T]\) and that \( q' = p \) which is the formula given in the statement of Theorem 3.1. Hence Theorem 3.1 is proven.
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