



**HAL**  
open science

## Thin films sputtered from Ba<sub>2</sub>NdFeNb<sub>4</sub>O<sub>15</sub> multiferroic targets on BaFe<sub>12</sub>O<sub>19</sub> coated substrates

Romain Bodeux, Dominique Michau, Mario Maglione, Michaël Josse

### ► To cite this version:

Romain Bodeux, Dominique Michau, Mario Maglione, Michaël Josse. Thin films sputtered from Ba<sub>2</sub>NdFeNb<sub>4</sub>O<sub>15</sub> multiferroic targets on BaFe<sub>12</sub>O<sub>19</sub> coated substrates. *Materials Research Bulletin*, 2016, 81, pp.49-54. 10.1016/j.materresbull.2016.04.027 . hal-01323323

**HAL Id: hal-01323323**

**<https://hal.science/hal-01323323>**

Submitted on 30 Jun 2016

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Thin films sputtered from  $\text{Ba}_2\text{NdFeNb}_4\text{O}_{15}$  multiferroic  
2 targets on  $\text{BaFe}_{12}\text{O}_{19}$  coated substrates  
3

4 Romain Bodeux, Dominique Michau\*, Mario Maglione and Michaël Josse  
5  
6

7 ICMCB-CNRS, Université de Bordeaux, 87 avenue du Dr. A. Schweitzer, Pessac F-33608,  
8 France  
9  
10

11 \* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic mail:

12 [d.michau@icmcb-bordeaux.cnrs.fr](mailto:d.michau@icmcb-bordeaux.cnrs.fr)  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

1 **Abstract**

2

3  $\text{Ba}_2\text{NdFeNb}_4\text{O}_{15}$  tetragonal tungsten bronze (TTB) /  $\text{BaFe}_{12}\text{O}_{19}$  (BaM) hexaferrite bilayers  
4 have been grown by RF magnetron sputtering on Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si (PtS) substrates. The BaM  
5 layer is textured along (00l) while the TTB layer is multioriented regardless of the PtS or  
6 BaM/PtS substrate. Dielectric properties of TTB films are similar to those of bulk, *i.e.*,  $\epsilon \sim$   
7 150 and a magnetic hysteresis loop is obtained from TTB/BaM bilayers, thanks to the BaM  
8 component. This demonstrates the possibility of transferring to 2 dimensional structures the  
9 composite multiferroic system TTB/BaM previously identified in 3 dimensional bulk  
10 ceramics.

11

12

13 **KEYWORDS:** A. magnetic materials, A. multilayers, B. sputtering, C. impedance  
14 spectroscopy, D. multiferroics

15

## 1 **1) Introduction**

2

3 “The world Multiferroic” was coined for materials in which ferroic orders, *i.e.* ferroelectricity  
4 (FE), ferromagnetism (FM), ferroelasticity,... coexist in the same phase. These  
5 multifunctional materials have recently attracted great scientific and technologic interests due  
6 to their versatile properties which could lead to potential applications in memory devices,  
7 actuator and sensors [1]. Multiferroism can be achieved when, in a single-phased material,  
8 one cationic sublattice induces a structural distortion and a polarization, while a second  
9 sublattice built of paramagnetic ion induces a magnetization [2]. However such materials are  
10 relatively unusual and many efforts have been dedicated to “multiferroic composite  
11 materials”, which associate two ferroic phases [3]. Commercial magnetoplumbite type barium  
12 ferrite  $\text{BaFe}_{12}\text{O}_{19}$  (BaM) is a good candidate for the design of multiferroic composites because  
13 it is a hard magnet, with a large uniaxial anisotropy which could be useful in micro- or  
14 nanostructured materials. Different devices were recently investigated combining BaM with a  
15 ferroelectric material, *i.e.*  $\text{SrBa}_2\text{Ta}_2\text{O}_9/\text{BaM}$  thin films heterostructures [4], multilayers  
16 consisting of alternating BaM layers with  $\text{Pb}(\text{Zr},\text{Ti})\text{O}_3$  (PZT) [5] or  $(\text{Ba},\text{Sr})\text{TiO}_3$  (BST)  
17 perovskite layers [6-11] and self-assembled BaM clusters embedded in a PZT or BST matrix  
18 [12-14]. It was also shown that  $\text{BaTiO}_3/\text{BaM}$  ceramics exhibit magnetoelectric coupling at  
19 room temperature [15,16].

20 The main aim of the present paper is to show that tetragonal tungsten bronze niobates can be  
21 alternative compounds for the processing of such composite multiferroics. TTB forms an  
22 important family of dielectric materials. Their general formula  $(\text{A}1)_4(\text{A}2)_2(\text{C})_4(\text{B}1)_2(\text{B}2)_8\text{O}_{30}$   
23 allows many cationic substitution that leads to tunability in their physical properties. Thus  
24 TTB could be easily compatible with coexistence of ferroelectricity and ferromagnetism after  
25 the introduction of paramagnetic cations. Original bulk multiferroics composites were already

1 identified in the  $\text{Ba}_2\text{LnFeNb}_4\text{O}_{15}$  (Ln:TTB) family (Ln = Nd, Sm and Eu) [17,18].  
2 Ferroelectric behaviour in this family is driven by the size of the rare earth ions and their  
3 accommodation leads to the formation of a small amount of barium ferrite BaM. This  
4 magnetic phase is generated *in situ* during the ceramic processing, which indicates a very high  
5 chemical stability of both FE and FM phases in this composite system. Castel and al.  
6 investigated the elaboration of Eu:TTB composite samples with an excess of hematite in the  
7 nominal composition [19], while Roulland et al. tuned the Europium content in Eu:TTB [20].  
8 They have shown that the magnetic response is modulated by the amount barium ferrite,  
9 which can be increased with excess of hematite or reduced by diminishing the rare earth  
10 content. More recently, Ta substitution for Nb was identified as another crystal chemical lever  
11 to control these multiferroic composites [21]. Attempts to induce the formation of barium  
12 ferrite in other TTB structured system proved unsuccessful, revealing how special the  
13  $\text{Ba}_2\text{LnFeNb}_4\text{O}_{15}$  composite multiferroic ceramics are rare [22]. Thus multiferroic composites  
14 based on these TTBs, in which ferroelectric properties and magnetization can be tuned, are of  
15 interest. In this view, it is important to investigate **Ln:TTB thin film deposited on BaM**  
16 **coated substrate**. Stability and chemical compatibility of BaM with Ln:TTB is a real  
17 advantage for this heterostructure, although it seems particularly complex and requires  
18 optimum growth for both TTB and BaM layers. Previous reports on individual BaM and TTB  
19 films are encouraging for the development of such systems,. On the one hand, BaM thin films  
20 deposited on PtS were widely studied because a Pt underlayer favors the growth along the  
21 easy axis of magnetization, required for applications [23,24]. On the other hand, relaxor TTB  
22 thin films were deposited by RF magnetron sputtering on Pt(111) and showed dielectric  
23 properties very close to those of bulk ceramics [25,26].  
24 In the present work, we compare growth orientation, microstructure and dielectric properties  
25 of  $\text{Ba}_2\text{NdFeNb}_4\text{O}_{15}$  films deposited on silicon with Pt or BaM/Pt intermediate layers. We find

1 that the ferromagnetic properties of BaM and the intrinsic TTB dielectric behaviour are kept  
2 in such bi-layers. More interestingly, because of the good chemical and structural  
3 compatibility between the two phases, possible magneto-dielectric coupling is anticipated.

4

## 5 **2) Experiments**

6

7 Ba<sub>2</sub>NdFeNb<sub>4</sub>O<sub>15</sub> tetragonal tungsten bronze (TTB) and BaFe<sub>12</sub>O<sub>19</sub> (BaM) ceramic targets were  
8 prepared through a solid state route. TTB target was sintered at 1300°C from stoichiometric  
9 mixture of BaCO<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and Nd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [25] while BaM was sintered at 1000°C from  
10 stoichiometric mixture of BaCO<sub>3</sub> and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The purity of the targets was checked by XRD  
11 using small control pellets sintered with the targets.

12 TTB films were grown on Pt(111)/TiO<sub>2</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si(100) (PtS) and BaM/PtS substrates by RF  
13 magnetron sputtering (Plassys PM450 - 13.56 MHz) [19]. During all depositions, the  
14 atmosphere pressure of 40 mTorr was a mixture of 10% O<sub>2</sub> and 90% Ar. PtS substrates were  
15 purchased from INOSTEK and appropriate masking during the films deposition enabled the  
16 use of the Pt underlayer as the bottom electrode. The deposition parameters of BaM films  
17 were optimized regarding crystalline quality and following previous reports [23,24]. All BaM  
18 films were deposited at 300 °C. Crystallization took place in a tubular furnace during the post  
19 deposition annealing step at 850°C for 3 H in oxygen atmosphere. TTB films were deposited  
20 at 300 °C and post annealed at 800°C for 1 H in oxygen atmosphere. Films thickness was  
21 measured using a Veeco Dektak6M stylus profile mechanical profilometer. Various  
22 thicknesses of layer were obtained by appropriately tuning the deposition time, the thickness  
23 of TTB layers varying between 250 nm and 1000 nm, that of BaM layers one varying  
24 between 0 and 200 nm (table 1). X-ray diffraction data in the  $\theta$ -2 $\theta$  Bragg-Brentano  
25 geometry were recorded on a Philips XPert pro diffractometer (Cu K $\alpha_1$  radiation,  $\lambda = 1.54056$

1 Å) with  $10^\circ < 2\theta < 80^\circ$  and step =  $0.02^\circ$ . Sample's surface morphology was examined by  
2 atomic force microscopy (Veeco dimension 3100). Magnetic hysteresis loops were measured  
3 on a SQUID magnetometer (MPMS, Quantum Design Inc.) on samples cut to small pieces  
4 compatible with the sample holder. Pt Circular dots were deposited ex-situ at room  
5 temperature as a top electrode by dc sputtering through a metal mask at room temperature.  
6 The dot geometry defined the capacitors area:  $S = 0.1 \text{ mm}^2$ . Dielectric properties of capacitors  
7 were measured in the metal/insulator/metal configuration using a Hewlett-Packard 4194  
8 impedance analyser as a function of frequency (100 Hz – 1 MHz) and temperature (on  
9 heating) with an ac excitation amplitude of 100 mV.

10

### 11 **3) Results and discussion**

12

13 After standard solid state processing of powders and dense ceramics, X-ray diffraction (XRD)  
14 evidenced the successful stabilisation of the tetragonal tungsten bronze structure for the  
15 compound  $\text{Ba}_2\text{NdFeNb}_4\text{O}_{15}$  (TTB). The XRD pattern of the TTB ceramic target is shown in  
16 Fig.1a. Diffraction pattern matches with the reference data for homologous  $\text{Ba}_2\text{LaNb}_5\text{O}_{15}$   
17 TTB (JCPDS 46-0232). In a previous work [27], X-ray microprobe experiment revealed that  
18 traces of  $\text{BaFe}_{12}\text{O}_{19}$  (BaM) barium ferrite should be present in the target ceramics, although it  
19 was not detected by XRD. In fact, chemical strain and distortions induced by smaller rare  
20 earths lead to the evolution of the TTB compositions and the occurrence of secondary phases,  
21 *i.e.*  $\text{LnNbO}_4$  fergusonite and BaM.

22 Fig. 1b shows the XRD pattern of a sputtered films deposited at  $300^\circ\text{C}$  and of a film post-  
23 annealed at  $800^\circ\text{C}$  (sample A in table 1). After deposition the films were amorphous and post-  
24 annealing was required to trigger crystallisation. The annealed films displayed a diffraction  
25 pattern compatible with the TTB structure, with several peaks easily identified by comparison

1 with the ceramic target. The films were polycrystalline and the diffraction peaks exhibited a  
2 distribution of relative intensity rather similar to that of ceramics. A notable exception to  
3 these intensity ratio is found with (001) peaks, the amplitude of which is significantly larger  
4 than in the ceramics. This suggests a partial texturation of the polycrystalline film along [001]  
5 . The overall multioriented growth of films is nonetheless in agreement with other post-  
6 annealed compounds of the same family [28]. The mismatch of peak positions with those of  
7 ceramic indicates that the films are compressive strained. Strain can be induced by the lattice  
8 misfits between TTB and Pt at the interface or produced by the intrinsic stress during the post-  
9 annealing. The diffraction peaks, which are relatively narrow, suggest a good quality of the  
10 films. The full width at half maximum (FWHM) of TTB(001) reflection is  $\Delta 2\theta = 0.19^\circ$  which  
11 is close to that of Pt(111) which is  $\Delta 2\theta = 0.20^\circ$ . An average crystallite size of about 50 nm  
12 was obtained by the Scherrer's formula.

13 The use of  $\text{BaFe}_{12}\text{O}_{19}$  (BaM) for high density magnetic recording applications requires thin  
14 films with perpendicular c-axis orientation, because of BaM large magnetic anisotropy. C-  
15 axis growth of BaM can be obtained by using a Pt underlayer [23,29-33]. However, high  
16 temperature deposition is required to produce BaM films with high quality by RF magnetron  
17 sputtering and post annealing was generally undertaken [24]. Our preliminary works are in  
18 agreement with these previous reports. XRD pattern are shown in Fig. 2 for the BaM films  
19 deposited on PtS(111) with optimized conditions (sample C) in table 1. The BaM films  
20 displayed intense (001) peaks, indicating that the films are crystallized and suggesting a (001)  
21 texture. From the crystallography view point, the (111) plane of packed Pt atoms is seen as a  
22 hexagonal structure with a lattice constant  $a = 5.547 \text{ \AA}$ , which is close enough from (001)  
23 plane of the hexagonal BaM structure with  $a = 5.892 \text{ \AA}$ . The relatively small mismatch  
24 between Pt(111) and BaM(001) favors the c-axis orientation of the BaM layer. The TTB films  
25 were deposited on BaM/PtS in the same deposition conditions than the ones on PtS, *i.e.* as-



1 deposited TTB films were amorphous and crystallization took place during the post  
2 deposition annealing step at 800 °C (sample E). Fig.2 shows XRD pattern for the  
3 TTB/BaM/PtS stack (sample E). TTB films are multioriented while BaM remains textured  
4 (001), and this results demonstrates the successful deposition of TTB on the BaM phase to  
5 form a bilayer. The peaks of the BaM layer are well defined indicating that the integrity of the  
6 BaM phase was preserved through the second heat treatment. More interesting, the  
7 introduction of a BaM buffer layer restores the random orientation of the grains in the TTB  
8 film. Generally, when synthesizing bi- or multilayers, the structure is significantly affected by  
9 the under-layer structure. The under-layer can lead to strains of the top layer crystal lattice  
10 and partial texturing. However, the large mismatch of both Pt and BaM with the tetragonal  
11 structure of TTB layer ( $a \sim 12.48 \text{ \AA}$  in the ceramic) should induce disorder and dislocations at  
12 the interface. The interaction between BaM/Pt and TTB confines at the interface. Thus, the  
13 structure within TTB layer remains unchanged and random orientations of grains take place.  
14 Furthermore growth procedure can play a significant role on the TTB structure. In fact, we  
15 observed similar results on ceramic composites, which are obtained from solid state route  
16 consisting in a mixture followed by sintering at highest temperature  $\sim 1200^\circ\text{C}$ , i.e. both TTB  
17 and BaM phases were maintained. This shows that these two phases coexist without any  
18 intermixing in these thermodynamical conditions.

19 Fig. 3a and Fig 3b show the typical morphology of the surface for TTB films deposited on PtS  
20 and BaM/PtS substrates (sample A and sample E, respectively). The grains are distinctly  
21 visible, assuming that the films are well crystallized, as confirmed by XRD. The grains are  
22 densely and regularly packed without cracks or voids and the surfaces are relatively uniform  
23 and smooth. The film shows a granular surface with a regular and fine grain size about 50 nm  
24 which is comparable to the crystallite size obtained from XRD data. The granular surface of  
25 films is typical of post-annealed films formed from amorphous film precursors. It was also

1 observed in others materials [34] and is relatively independent of the buffer layer [35,36]. The  
2 root-mean-square (rms) roughness surface roughness is about 4.4 nm and 7.6 nm for PtS and  
3 BaM/PtS substrates. The higher value for BaM/PtS has to be associated with the roughness of  
4 BaM (about 13.3 nm) which leads to dark areas on the picture obtained from TTB/BaM/PtS  
5 stacks. However, the peak-valley distance (50 nm) is clearly lower than the thickness of all  
6 TTB films (>250 nm). Therefore, the TTB films are suitable for dielectric measurements in  
7 metal/insulator/metal configuration.

8 Ferromagnetic response of  $\text{Ba}_2\text{NdFeNb}_4\text{O}_{15}$  ceramics is related to the presence of  
9 small amount of barium ferrite and this spontaneous magnetization reaches  $M_S \sim 1$  emu/g at  
10 10 kOe [27]. The smaller quantity of matter in the films (three orders of magnitude with  
11 respect to ceramics), would yield traces of *in situ* formed BaM, which should not be sufficient  
12 to overcome the diamagnetic response of PtS substrate, *i.e.*  $M_{\text{dia}} \sim 2$  memu per gram of PtS  
13 substrate at 10 kOe (not shown). However, the magnetic properties of TTB composites can be  
14 controlled by inducing the formation of an excess of barium ferrite [19]. In this view, the  
15 elaboration of TTB/BaM/PtS stacks should allow to investigate a layered architecture, which  
16 could be used as a model system of the corresponding ceramic system. Magnetic hysteresis  
17 loops of such a stack (sample E) at 300 K are shown in Fig. 4. The stack exhibits large  
18 remanence, as expected for a single BaM layer. The loop is attributed to the prevailing easy-  
19 axis of magnetization along the BaM(001) orientation [23]. Spontaneous magnetization  
20 reaches  $\sim 7 \cdot 10^{-3}$  emu per gram of PtS substrate while the coercive field is about 1 kOe, which  
21 is consistent with the values reported for BaM films [37].

22 The capacitance C was extracted from impedance measurements  $Z^* Z' - jZ''$ . The dielectric  
23 losses were related to the complex impedance through the relation  $\tan \delta = Z''/Z'$ . In figure 5  
24 is shown the frequency dependence of capacitance and the dielectric losses for the  
25 TTB/BaM/PtS stacks with various thicknesses of BaM layer, 0, 100 et 200 nm (sample A, E

1 and B, respectively). Below 10 kHz, capacitance exhibits a constant value about 5 nF/mm<sup>2</sup> for  
2 the stack with a BaM layer thickness of 100 nm, similar to the one observed in a TTB single  
3 layer. The capacitance slightly decreases about 3.5 nF/mm<sup>2</sup> when the BaM layer thickness  
4 increases, as discussed below. Thus, the dielectric properties of TTB films are maintained  
5 when deposited on the BaM buffer layer. These results are consistent with the XRD and AFM  
6 measurements which show that the TTB layer is not affected by the BaM layer. To values  
7 greater than 10 kHz, a decrease of capacitance is observed for the TTB/BaM/PtS stack. The  
8 relaxation in capacitance is accompanied by a broad peak in tan  $\delta$  at  $f = 300$  kHz, which does  
9 not appear in the TTB/PtS stack. For the TTB/PtS stack, the limited increase of dielectric  
10 losses at 1 MHz is an artefact arising from the stray inductance of the contacts. The  
11 appearance of a dielectric anomaly on TTB/BaM/PtS stacks suggests that it is related to the  
12 insertion of the BaM layer. BaM is extensively used in the microwaves devices because of its  
13 high resistivity which allows minimizing the losses. This dielectric response of the BaM layer  
14 may act as an additional impedance source on the impedance measurements connected in  
15 series to the TTB film [36]. From these results, the dielectric response can be modelled and  
16 analysed using two RC parallel electrical elements, one corresponding to TTB film and the  
17 others to an interface capacitance. The contribution of each of these elements to the dielectric  
18 response is then visible over characteristic frequency ranges, *i.e.*  $\omega = (RC)^{-1}$ . Thus, the  
19 dielectric response of the TTB layer dominates at low frequency while that of the BaM layer  
20 appears at high frequency.

21 To further analyse the size effect in stacks, the dielectric properties of four TTB/BaM  
22 stacks were studied for which the TTB layer were deposited at various thicknesses: 250, 500,  
23 750 and 1000 nm (sample D, E, F and G, respectively). The frequency dependence of  
24 capacitance and tan  $\delta$  are shown in Fig. 6a and 6b, respectively. The capacitance slightly

1 increases from 2.1 nF/mm<sup>2</sup> to 4.8 nF/mm<sup>2</sup> when the thickness of the TTB layer decreases for  
 2 the high frequency range  $f < 100$  kHz,

3 A large increase of capacitance is observed when the frequency decreases below 100 kHz,  
 4 especially for the 250 nm TTB layer. It is accompanied by a large relaxation in  $\tan \delta$ . The  
 5 largest capacitance is associated with the thinner TTB layer. In this analysis, the stack  
 6 capacitance  $C_{stack}$  can be written as

$$7 \quad \frac{1}{C_{stack}} = \frac{1}{C_{TTB}} + \frac{1}{C_{BaM}} = \frac{t_{TTB}}{\epsilon_{TTB} S} + \frac{t_{BaM}}{\epsilon_{BaM} S} \quad [1]$$

8  
 9  
 10 where  $C_{TTB}$ ,  $C_{BaM}$ ,  $\epsilon_{TTB}$ ,  $\epsilon_{BaM}$ ,  $t_{TTB}$  and  $t_{BaM}$  denotes the capacitances, the permittivity and the  
 11 thickness of the TTB and BaM layers, respectively. By plotting  $1/C_{stack}$  vs  $t_{TTB}$  and  $t_{BaM}$  curves  
 12 at low frequency,  $f = 100$  Hz, and high frequency,  $f = 1$  MHz, from the inset figures 5 and 6,  
 13 we determine from their slopes the experimental values of  $\epsilon_{TTB} \sim 150$  and  $\epsilon_{BaM} \sim 40$  which are  
 14 consistent with ones reported in the literature [26,38]

15 Capacitance and losses of a Pt/TTB/BaM/PtS stack (sample G) are plotted as a  
 16 function of the temperature at various frequencies 10 kHz, 100 kHz and 1 MHz in Fig. 7.  
 17 Capacitance exhibits a steplike feature from a low-temperature plateau value of 1.6 nF/mm<sup>2</sup>  
 18 towards 2.5 nF/mm<sup>2</sup> at high temperatures. The step shifts to higher temperatures with  
 19 increasing frequency. This typical signature is commonly reported as a Maxwell Wagner  
 20 relaxation [39]. This relaxation is caused by the contribution of two different electrical  
 21 elements evidenced in Figure 5 and 6, such as the TTB layer capacitance visible at high  
 22 temperature and low frequency and the BaM layer capacitance observed at low temperature  
 23 and high frequency. In addition, the step in  $C(T)$  is transferred into a peak in  $\tan \delta (T)$ . The  
 24 characteristic frequency ( $f_r$ ) of this relaxation is governed by the resistance and capacitance of  
 25 both TTB and BaM layers according to the relation [39]

26

$$f_r = \frac{R_{TTB} + R_{BaM}}{R_{TTB} R_{BaM} (C_{TTB} + C_{BaM})}$$

1  
2  
3  
4 From Fig. 6 and Fig. 7, it appears that on the one hand  $R_{\text{TTB}}$  and  $R_{\text{BaM}}$ , and on the other hand  
5  $C_{\text{TTB}}$ , and  $C_{\text{BaM}}$  are the same order of magnitude and therefore each term contributes  
6 significantly to the relaxation. The peak shifts to the highest temperatures as the frequency is  
7 increased. The relaxation frequency was fitted with an Arrhenius law with a characteristic  
8 activation energy  $E_a \sim 0.34$  eV. In hexaferrites, the conductivity arises mainly with  
9 temperature from electron hopping between  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  cations. caused by the presence of  
10 oxygen vacancies. The activation energy of this mechanism is about 0.3 eV and could explain  
11 the relaxation observed in our TTB/BaM stacks [40]. For a TTB single layer, a low-  
12 temperature anomaly was also observed and was likely related to a relaxor behaviour [24]. A similar  
13 value of activation energy of 0.3 eV was reported although the anomaly is shifted to lower  
14 temperatures with respect to the Maxwell-Wagner relaxation observed in the stacks. Both mechanisms  
15 are rather different, but one should keep in mind that  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  cations are also present in TTB compound.  
16 Moreover in TTB single crystals,  $\text{Fe}^{0, 2+, 3+}$  ions were detected from XPS spectra and were associated  
17 with reduced niobium ions ( $\text{Nb}^{5+, 4+, 3+}$ ). Significant dielectric losses were clearly seen in these crystals  
18 and may be related to electron hopping within the octahedral framework, as it is statistically occupied  
19 by iron and niobium ions. [18]. Further investigation is needed to assess the mechanisms involved both  
20 in TTB single layers and in TTB/BaM stacks. Anyhow, the results presented here demonstrate a good  
21 potential for the coexistence of ferroelectricity and ferromagnetism in TTB/BaM bilayer thin films.  
22 We plan to improve their quality by different synthesis route in order to look for a magneto-  
23 capacitance effect.

24

#### 25 **4) Conclusion**

26

1 Tetragonal tungsten bronze and barium ferrite films from  $\text{Ba}_2\text{NdFeNb}_4\text{O}_{15}$  (TTB) and  
2  $\text{BaFe}_{12}\text{O}_{19}$  (BaM) homemade ceramic targets were obtained by RF magnetron sputtering on Pt  
3 and postannealed. It was found that growth orientation, microstructure and dielectric  
4 properties of the TTB layer were retained regardless of the underlayer (Pt bottom electrode or  
5 BaM). A dielectric relaxation appears on Pt/TTB/BaM/PtS stacks and suggests that the  
6 insertion of the BaM layer provides an additional impedance source. The capacitance of the  
7 TTB layer is visible at high temperature and low frequency whereas that of BaM layer is  
8 observed at low temperature and high frequency. The relaxation frequency follows an  
9 Arrhenius relationship with a characteristic activation energy  $E_a \sim 0.34$  eV, and is likely  
10 related to a Maxwell-Wagner effect. Magnetic hysteresis loops were obtained from the stacks,  
11 and are consistent with the magnetic properties of the BaM layer. The loop is attributed to the  
12 prevailing easy-axis of magnetization along the BaM(001) orientation. This allows for further  
13 development of TTB/BaM/PtS bilayers and multilayers as multiferroic and possibly  
14 magnetoelectric composites.

15

## 16 **Acknowledgments**

17

18 This project was supported by the French Agency for Research under contract ANR

19 CROCRODIEL(Grant ANR-09-JCJC-0079).

1 **Reference**

2

3 [1] L.W. Martin, Y. –H. Chu and R. Ramesh, Mater. Sci. and Eng. R **68** (2010) 89.

4 [2] A. M. dos Santos, S. Parashar, A. R. Raju, Y. S. Zhao, A. K. Cheetham and C. N. Rao,  
5 Solid State Commun. **122** (2002) 49.

6 [3] C-W Nan, M.I. Bichurin, Shuxiang Dong, D. Viehland and Srinivasan, J. Appl. Phys. **103**  
7 (2008) 031101.

8 [4] D. Chen, I. Harward, K. Linderman, E. Economou, Y. Nie, and Z. Celinski, J. Appl. Phys.  
9 115 (2014) 17D713

10 [5] G. Srinivasan, I. V. Zavislyak and A. S. Tatarenko, Appl. Phys. Lett. **89** (2006) 152508.

11 [6] N.A. Frey, R. Heindl, S. Srinath, H. Srikanth, N.J. and Dudney, Mater. Res. Bull. **40**  
12 (2005) 1286.

13 [7] S. Srinath, N. A. Frey, R. Heindl, H. Srikanth, K. R. Coffey and N. J. Dudney, J. Appl.  
14 Phys. **97** (2005) 10J115

15 [8] R. Heindl, H. Srikanth, S. Witanachchi, P. Mukherjee, A. Heim, G. Matthews, S.  
16 Balachandran, S. Natarajan and T. Weller, Appl. Phys. Lett. **90** (2007) 252507.

17 [9] R. Heindl, H. Srikanth, S. Witanachchi, P. Mukherjee, T. Weller, A. S. Tatarenko and G.  
18 Srinivasan, Appl. Phys. Lett. **101** (2007) 09M503

19 [10] Y.-Y. Song, J. Das, P. Krivosik, N. Mo and C.E. Patton, Appl. Phys. Lett. **94** (2009)  
20 182505.

21 [11] J. Das, Y.-Y. Song and M. Wu, J. Appl. Phys. **108** (2010) 043911.

22 [12] R. Hajndl, J. Sanders, and H. Srikanth, J. Appl. Phys. **93** (2003) 7999.

23 [13] D. V. Karpinsky, R. C. Pullar, Y. K. Fetisov, K. E. Kamentsev and A. L. Kholkin J.  
24 Appl. Phys. **108** (2010) 042012.

- 1 [14] J. D. S. Guerra, Madhuparna Pal, R. J. Portugal, L. F. Cótica, I. A. Santos, R. Guo, and  
2 A. S. Bhalla, *J. Appl. Phys.* 114 (2013) 224113
- 3 [15] A. Srinivas, R. Gopalan and V. Chandrasekharan, *Solid State Commun.* **149** (2009) 367
- 4 [16] H. Trivedi, V.V. Shvartsman, D.C. Lupascu, M.S. A. Medeiros, R.C. Pullar, A.L.  
5 Kholkin, P. Zelenovskiy, A. Sosnovskikh and V. Y. Shurd, *Nanoscale* 7 (2015) 4489
- 6 [17] E. Castel, P. Veber, M. Albino, M. Velazquez, S. Pechev, D. Denux, J.P. Chaminade, M.  
7 Maglione, M. Josse, *J. Cryst. Growth* 340 (2012) 156
- 8 [18] M. Albino, P. Veber, S. Pechev, C. Labrugère, M. Velazquez, M. Maglione and M.  
9 Josse, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 14 (2014) 500
- 10 [19] E. Castel, M. Josse, F. Roulland, D. Michau, L. Raison and M. Maglione, *J. Magn*  
11 *Magn. Mater.* **321** (2009) 1773.
- 12 [20] F. Roulland, M. Josse, E. Castel and M. Maglione, *Solid State Sci.* 11 (2009) 1709.
- 13 [21] E. Castel, M. Maglione, M. Josse, submitted to *Solid State Sci.*
- 14 [22] M. Josse, P. Heijboer, M. Albino, F. Molinari, F. Porcher, R. Decourt, D. Michau, S.  
15 Pechev, P. Veber, M. Velazquez, M. Maglione, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2014, 14(11), 5428-5435
- 16 [23] X. Sui, B.-K. Cheong, D. E. Laughlin and M. H. Kryder, *J. Magn. Soc. Jpn* **18-S1** (1994)  
17 319.
- 18 [24] A. Morisako, T. Naka, K. Ito, A. Takizawa, M. Matsumoto and Y.-K. Hong, *J. Magn*  
19 *Magn. Mater.* **242** (2002) 304.
- 20 [25] Michau et A. Simon et M. Maglione, *J. Phys. D* 42(7), (2009) 075407
- 21 [26] R. Bodeux, D. Michau, M. Josse and M. Maglione, *Solid State Sci.*, 38 (2014) 112.
- 22 [27] M. Josse, O. Bidault, F. Roulland, E. Castel, A. Simon, D. Michau, R. Von der Mühl, O.  
23 Nguyen and M. Maglione *Solid State Sci.* **11** (2009) 1118.
- 24 [28] S.-K. Park, M.-S. Baek, S.-C. Bae, S.-Y. Kwon, J.-H. Kim and Ki-W. Kim, *Solid State*  
25 *Commun.* **111** (1999) 125



- 1 [29] X. Sui, M. Scherge, M.H. Kryder, J.E. Snyder, V.G. Harris and N.C. Koon, J. Magn  
2 Magn. Mater. **155** (1996) 132.
- 3 [30] Z. Zhuang, M. Rao, D. E. Laughlin and M.H. Kryder, J. Appl. Phys. **85** (1999) 6142.
- 4 [31] Z. Zhuang, M.Rao, R. M. White, David E. Laughlin and Mark H. Kryder, J. Appl. Phys.  
5 **87** (2000) 6370.
- 6 [32] N.N. Shams, X. Liu, M. Matsumoto and A. Morisako, J. Magn Magn. Mater. **290**  
7 (2005) 138.
- 8 [33] Q. F. Li, X. D. Su, H. Li, L. Zhang, Z. H. Liu and H. J. Zhong, J. Appl. Phys. **106** (2009)  
9 123914.
- 10 [34] R. Singh, T.C. Goel and S. Chandra, Mater. Res. Bull. **43** (2008) 384.
- 11 [35] W. Leng, C. R. Yang, J. H. Zhang, H. W. Chen, H. Ji, C. L. Fu and J. X. Liao, J. Appl.  
12 Phys. **99** (2006) 114904.
- 13 [36] W. Leng, C. Yang, H. Ji, J. Zhang, J. Tang, H. Chen and L. Gao, J. Phys. D **40** (2007)  
14 1206.
- 15 [37] M. Matsuoka, M. Naoe and Y. Hoshi, J. Appl. Phys. **57** (1985) 4040.
- 16 [38] R.M. Almeida , W. Paraguassu, D.S. Pires, R.R. Corrêa and C.W. de Araujo Paschoal,  
17 Ceram. Int. **35** (2009) 2443.
- 18 [39] R. Bodeux, M. Gervais, J. Wolfman, C. Autret-Lambert, G. Liu and F. Gervais, Thin  
19 Solid Films, 520 (2012) 2632.
- 20 [40] M. Manikandan and C. Venkateswaran, J. Magn Magn. Mater. 358 (2014) 82.
- 21

1 Table 1 Thickness of TTB and BaM layers for TTB/BaM/PtS stacks

2

Parameter	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
TTB thickness (nm)	500	500	0	250	500	750	1000
BaM thickness (nm)	0	200	100	100	100	100	100

3

1 Fig1. XRD patterns for a) the TTB ceramic target and b) as deposited TTB/PtS film and  
2 annealed TTB/PtS film (sample A)  
3  
4 Fig.2 XRD patterns for BaM/PtS film (sample C) and TTB/BaM/PtS (sample E)  
5  
6 Fig. 3 (Color online) Morphology image (AFM) of TTB films deposited on a) PtS (sample A)  
7 and b) BaM/PtS (sample E)  
8  
9 Fig. 4 Magnetization per gram of PtS substrate for the TTB/BaM/PtS stack. Thickness of the  
10 BaM layer is 100 nm (sample E).  
11  
12 Fig. 5 Frequency dependence of a) capacitance and (b) the dielectric losses for the  
13 Pt/TTB/BaM/PtS with BaM thickness of 0 nm (sample A), 100 nm (sample E) and 200 nm  
14 (sample B)  
15  
16 Fig. 6 Frequency dependence of (a) the capacitance and (b) the dielectric losses for the  
17 Pt/TTB/BaM/PtS capacitors with various TTB thicknesses 250 nm (sample D), 500 nm  
18 (sample E), 750 nm (sample F) and 1000 nm (sample G)  
19  
20 Fig. 7 Temperature dependence of a) the capacitance and b) dielectric loss for the  
21 Pt/TTB/BaM/PtS (sample G) for various frequency 10 kHz, 100 kHz and 1 MHz  
22