Internet of Things Context-Aware Privacy Architecture

Selt Rachid, Yacine Challal, Benblidia Nadjia

► To cite this version:

Selt Rachid, Yacine Challal, Benblidia Nadjia. Internet of Things Context-Aware Privacy Architecture. ACS/IEEE International Conference on Computer Systems and Applications (AICCSA), Nov 2015, Marrakech, Morocco. hal-01308839

HAL Id: hal-01308839

<https://hal.science/hal-01308839>

Submitted on 28 Apr 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Internet of Things Context-Aware Privacy Architecture

Selt Rachid¹, Yacine Challal^{2,3}, Benblidia Nadja¹

¹*Research Laboratory for the Development of Computerized Systems (LRDSI),
University Saad Dahleb of Blida (USDB), Blida, Algeria*

²*Ecole nationale Supérieure d'Informatique (ESI), Laboratoire LMCS, Algeria*

³*Sorbonne Universités, Université de Technologie de Compiègne
Laboratoire Heudiasyc, UMR CNRS 7253, France*

Abstract—

The Internet of Things (IoT) is a rapidly growing technology in recent years. It represents an extension of the Internet into the physical world embracing everyday objects. In consequence, users' privacy and security are becoming a great challenge. To cope with this issue, sophisticated approaches are needed to guarantee these services and hence ensure a large-scale adoption of IoT. In our work we present an approach to model and describe the architecture of internet of things, in which user privacy and security are ensured while taking into consideration the dynamic context in which evolve users, their experience and preferences with respect to security and privacy.

Keywords—*Internet of things; privacy; security; context-aware; architecture*

I. INTRODUCTION

Internet of Things definition is given by [1]: “things having identities and virtual personalities operating in smart spaces using intelligent interfaces to connect and communicate within social, environmental, and user contexts”.

In recent years, user data privacy and security pose a great challenge in the context of Internet of things. Indeed, if all our devices are connected to the Internet we can be sure that they will be of great interest to various criminals who wish to monitor us. For this reason the privacy and security of IoT is becoming a hot research topic.

In this article, we propose a context-aware architecture for privacy preservation in IoT. We introduce the three layers of our architecture and main components.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows, in section II we present related works. We present our context-aware privacy architecture for IoT and use cases in Section III. Conclusion is given in section IV.

II. RELATED WORKS

Schmidt et al. [8] proposed a context data model for context data stemming from the user himself and his

surroundings. In [7], authors proposed a privacy-aware solution where the privacy value denotes how much the user is willing to share the related information with other actors in the system. In [6] Huang et al. proposed a context-aware model to ensure the privacy of users, without taking into consideration the user data augmentation and the inference mechanism. In [3], Pietschmann et al. proposed ontology-based context management service; they don't represent the privacy of users and the security in their ontology.

All of these works deal with privacy problem and/or security for IoT. Although they propose models or architectures, they do not take into consideration the user context and security policy adaptation with respect to user's context evolution. We will show that our solution outperforms existing solutions thanks to providing security policy adaptation that relies on an inference engine. We will provide details regarding our architecture in the following section.

III. CONTEXT-AWARE PRIVACY ARCHITECTURE FOR IOT

A. Architecture Security Levels

In IoT there are different levels of security: the security of devices, communications and users.

1) Security of devices

At this level, security deals with the protection of hardware and the software run by devices (operating system and applications).

2) Security of communication

Network security is a great challenge: technologies like RFID, NFC, and WSN must have a secure data communication to save the data transmitted between objects and assure that no third entity not authorized can stole the information.

3) Security of users

In this level the user privacy and security should be protected: the user information like health condition or position must be kept private and accessible only for the authorized entities.

In our architecture Fig 1 we based on the architectural model for IoT presented in [2], that is composed of three layers 1) sensing layer; 2) network layer; 3) application layer

Fig 1. Representation of the proposed architecture

B. Architecture layers

1) Sensing layer

This layer is for data acquisition and collaboration. There are different sensing devices in this layer, such as RFID (Radio Frequency Identification), NFC (near field communication) UWB (Ultra-wide Band) and WSN (Wireless Sensor network).

2) Network layer

This layer is to transmit the data acquired with the devices of the sensing layer safely and reliably. Networks like Internet, mobile networks, Wi-Fi, Bluetooth are an example of this layer.

3) Application layer

After sensing the data and transmitting it safely, the application layer role is to perform this data. For instance, REST server that offers the functionality of the device as a service can receive data from the network layer and provide information to other devices.

C. Architecture Serves

Our architecture relies on SOA paradigm. Therefore, every component of the architecture (devices, ontologies, etc.) is considered as a service. In what follows, we will explain how SOA paradigm is implemented in our architecture.

1) Object as a service

The integration [4] of RESTful technology or WS (web services) [10] in IOT allows us to access the resources of the objects from the Internet. To integrate RESTful in the objects, we have two possibilities:

First, integrate a web server in each object, which allows us to provide interfaces for communication from the Internet. Second, add a middleware (server), which has an IP address, it runs on a Web server software that includes protocols and dedicated drivers of various devices. The requests of devices service are formulated through using a standard URL.

There is a possibility that an object can be a middleware and a simple object at the same time, it is depended on the capacity of the objects.

The distributed privacy protection systems in our architecture use an ontology that describes the privacy of users. This ontology fulfills the various requirements. First of all, it serves as a common vocabulary for representing the context shared across the various system components. It also serves as an intuitive way to describe complex privacy requirements that connect various private data types with different types of services and associate access to this data with policies.

2) Ontology as a service

The ontology of privacy rules is saved in the cloud. It is accessible as a service to give the possibility to access it from any place, any device and any time. Knowledge representation by ontology may be accompanied with reasoning mechanisms and inference algorithm. The reasoning mechanisms allow us to request the ontology and extract the knowledge we need, however the inference algorithm is to produce new security rules based on the old ones.

There are two possibilities to apply the inference rules; at each event or request information from ontology, or each time interval.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper we presented a SOA architecture for context-aware privacy and access control in the Internet of things. Our architecture aims to protect users' privacy and provide access control while taking into consideration users' context and security requirement. Our solution relies on a ontology to describe both users' context and access policies.

This architecture allows users to control sharing and using their information in the context of the Internet of things, and with the inference in the ontology we can produce new rules based on the initial rules to support context-evolution.

REFERENCES

- [1] Internet of Things in 2020. Roadmap for the Future, 1.1 ed.: 27: Info D.4 Networked Enterprise & RFID; Info G.2 Micro & Nanosystems in co-operation with the working group RFID of the EPOSS.
- [2] Zheng, Lirong, et al. "Technologies, applications, and governance in the Internet of things." *Internet of Things-Global Technological and Societal Trends* (2011).
- [3] Stefan Pietschmann, Annett Mitschick, Ronny Winkler, Klaus Meißner " CROCO: Ontology-Based, Cross-Application Context Management", Third International Workshop on Semantic Media Adaptation and Personalization, 2008.
- [4] Guinard, Dominique, and Vlad Trifa. "Towards the web of things: Web mashups for embedded devices." *Workshop on Mashups, Enterprise Mashups and Lightweight Composition on the Web (MEM 2009)*, in proceedings of WWW (International World Wide Web Conferences), Madrid, Spain. 2009.
- [5] Xin Huang, Rong Fu, Bangdao Chen, Tingting Zhang, and A. W. Roscoe " User Interactive Internet of Things Privacy Preserved Access Control " Third International Conference on Communication Theory, Reliability, and Quality of Service 2012.
- [6] Parent, W.: Privacy, morality and the law. *Philos. Public Aff.* 12, 269–288 (1983)
- [7] G. Pallapa, N. Roy, and S. K. Das, "A scheme for quantizing privacy in context-aware ubiquitous computing," in *Intelligent Environments 2008*, IET 4th International Conference on, July 2008, pp. 1-8.
- [8] Schmidt, A., Beigl, M., & Gellersen, H. There is more to context than location. *Computers and Graphics*, 23(6), 893-901.