Comparative analysis of artisanal and recreational fisheries for *Dentex dentex* in a Marine Protected Area

Michel Marengo, Jean-Michel Culioli, Marie-Catherine Santoni, Bernard Marchand, Eric D. H. Durieux

► To cite this version:

Michel Marengo, Jean-Michel Culioli, Marie-Catherine Santoni, Bernard Marchand, Eric D. H. Durieux. Comparative analysis of artisanal and recreational fisheries for *Dentex dentex* in a Marine Protected Area. *Fisheries Management and Ecology*, 2015, 22, 10.1111/fme.12110 . hal-01305650

HAL Id: hal-01305650

<https://hal.science/hal-01305650>

Submitted on 21 Apr 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Comparative analysis between artisanal and recreational fisheries of *Dentex dentex* in a Marine Protected Area

Michel Marengo^{1,2}, Jean-Michel Culioli³, Marie-Catherine Santoni³, Bernard Marchand^{1,2},
Eric D.H. Durieux^{1,2}

¹ University of Corsica Pasquale Paoli, UMR 6134 CNRS-UCPP Science for Environment, 20250 Corte (France)

² University of Corsica Pasquale Paoli, UMS 3514 CNRS-UCPP Stella Mare Platform, 20620 Biguglia (France)

³ Réserve Naturelle des Bouches de Bonifacio, Office de l'Environnement de la Corse, Avenue Jean Nicoli, 20250 Corte, France

Mail : marengo@univ-corse.fr

Abstract: The common dentex *Dentex dentex* (Linnaeus, 1758) is an iconic marine coastal fish in the Mediterranean Sea. This study was performed in the Bonifacio Strait Natural Reserve (BSNR), (NW Mediterranean Sea). The aims were to: (i) evaluate temporal variation of the artisanal fishing of common dentex (2000-2012); (ii) compare and quantify (2006-08-11-12) catch rates, fishing techniques, catch composition, for artisanal and recreational fisheries and determine the influence of management measures by both activities; (iii) and estimate the production of both artisanal and recreational fisheries. Fishery data were collected from different artisanal fishing surveys (onboard fishing vessels and landings) and recreational fishing surveys (roving-roving). The gears with the highest rates of exploitation were Longline (3554.28 g.100 hooks⁻¹ ±SE) and Trolling (358.50 g.boat⁻¹ h⁻¹ ±SE), respectively, for artisanal and recreational fishing. This study showed that catches obtained by both activities were quantitatively higher in partially protected areas than outside them. Production estimations

27 suggest that recreational fishery contributes significantly to fishing mortality and that it can
28 magnify the negative effects of artisanal fisheries. It is now necessary to implement specific
29 measures to contribute to the sustainable fishery management of common dentex.

30

31 Key words: Small-scale fisheries, IUCN red list, fisheries management, marine reserve.

32

33 Running head: Artisanal & recreational fishing of common dentex

34

Introduction

The Mediterranean Sea is a marine biodiversity hot spot under intense pressure, where ecological and human influences meet and strongly interact (Coll *et al.* 2010, Lejeusne *et al.* 2010). Among ecological factors, such as direct habitat modification, species tropicalization, and seawater acidification, fishing represents the activity having the greatest impact because it has driven most stocks to overexploitation (Quetglas *et al.* 2013).

To reduce such negative impacts, marine protected areas (MPAs) have been implemented as part of an ecosystem-based approach to coastal management (Albouy *et al.* 2010). Multiple-use MPAs have been widely implemented due to their potential to achieve conservation objectives while allowing human uses and minimizing conflicts, by including some degree of protection for commercial species and important habitats as well as promoting the use of local fisheries and a range of recreational activities (Lester *et al.* 2009, Rocklin *et al.* 2011, Horta e Costa *et al.* 2013). More generally, MPAs are increasingly used as a tool for fishery management (Rocklin *et al.* 2011). They have the potential to sustain the fisheries adjacent to protected areas while safeguarding species and habitats within them (Francour *et al.* 2001). The expected benefits of MPAs for fishes include an increase in abundance (net emigration of adults and juveniles across borders, termed “spillover”), biomass and fecundity (the increased production and exportation of pelagic eggs and larvae), as well as a potential benefit for biodiversity (Francour *et al.* 2001, Gell & Roberts 2003).

Artisanal fisheries or small-scale coastal fisheries are particularly important in the Mediterranean, where they constitute about 80% of the fishing fleet (European Commission 2004). Artisanal fishing is a complex system characterized by commercial fishers operating in small boats, exploiting areas near the coast, using a large number of gears and techniques, typically manned by a single fisherman or a pair of fishermen and targeting a high diversity of species (Colloca *et al.* 2004, Gomez *et al.* 2006, Lloret & Font 2013). In the Mediterranean, fish are heavily exploited by commercial fishing but recreational fishing is also intensive along the

Mediterranean coast (Seytre *et al.* 2013). Recreational fishing in the Mediterranean can be defined as follows: all non-commercial fishing carried out mainly for pleasure or sport, where the catch - the sale of which is illegal - is used for private consumption (Font *et al.* 2012). Recreational fishing has economic, social, and cultural roles; it has been recognized as one of the most common leisure activities and is now a thriving activity in Mediterranean coastal areas (Morales-Nin *et al.* 2005, Albouy *et al.* 2010, Font & Lloret 2011). In the Mediterranean, recreational fishing is particularly important because it represents more than 10% of the total production of all fishing (European Commission 2004).

Recreational and artisanal sectors often compete for limited coastal resources and the intensification of recreational fishing magnifies pressure on species already in an advanced state of exploitation (Diogo & Pereira 2013, Lloret & Font 2013). Commercial and recreational fishing can have similar demographic and ecological consequences on fished populations and may provoke serious ecological and economic damage (Coleman *et al.* 2004). Despite this similarity, generating a variety of impacts on marine ecosystems, much less research is performed on recreational fishing compared to commercial fishing, due in part to the difficulties involved in collecting data on recreational fishing (Font *et al.* 2012). There is an urgent need to evaluate the potential impact that the different types of fishing activities, alone and in combination, can have on the sustainability of coastal resources and on the general functioning of coastal ecosystems (Albouy *et al.* 2010).

The common dentex *Dentex dentex* (Linnaeus, 1758) is an iconic marine coastal fish in the Mediterranean Sea. It is a demersal sparid fish (0-200 m) that grows to a maximum length of 100 cm and weight of 13 kg, with a relatively long life span (more than 20 years) (Morales-Nin & Moranta 1997). As a high trophic level predator, it holds a key position in coastal marine food webs. The global commercial catch of common dentex has fluctuated over the last sixty years on a multidecadal time scale and declined significantly from a peak of ~7,000 tons in 1990 to less than 1,000 tons in 2005 (Abdul Malak *et al.* 2011, Marengo *et al.* 2014). *D. dentex* is now

classified by the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) as “vulnerable” on the Red List of Threatened Species in the Mediterranean Sea (Abdul Malak *et al.* 2011). Despite this status, there is no Minimum Landing Size (MLS) to safeguard the reproductive potential for this species. *D.dentex*, due to its large size, flesh quality, and high commercial value is of great economic interest for both artisanal and recreational fisheries (Morales-Nin & Moranta 1997, Loir *et al.* 2001). The main fishing gears used by artisanal fishing, specifically targeting the common dentex are: bottom long-lines, trammel nets and medium-large mesh gillnets (Morales-Nin & Moranta 1997, Sacchi & Dimech 2011). The most common methods used in recreational fishing for *D. dentex* are boat fishing, spearfishing, line and shore fishing (Morales-Nin *et al.* 2005, Font & Lloret 2011). There is an alteration and/or combined use of this gear, depending on the season, habitat and geographic area (Marengo *et al.* 2014). Despite its economic and ecological importance, data on this species regarding fishing gear, seasonality, fishing effort, catches and the yields of the artisanal and recreational sector are still limited.

The aims of this study were to: (i) characterize the artisanal fishing of common dentex in the Bonifacio Strait Natural Reserve (BSNR, [Corsica, NW Mediterranean Sea](#)) in terms of temporal variability; (ii) compare and quantify, over a four year period, the catch rates, fishing techniques, catch composition of the artisanal and recreational common dentex fisheries and determine the influence of management measures (protected areas) on the captures by both activities; (iii) and estimate the production of the common dentex for both artisanal and recreational fishing.

Materials and methods

Study area

This study was performed in the Bonifacio Strait Natural Reserve (BSNR), located in southern Corsica (France; Mediterranean Sea, Fig.1). Several activities take place in this MPA such as artisanal fishing (mainly trammel nets and longlines), recreational fishing (mainly longlines, hook fishing and spearfishing), diving and sailing.

It was created in September 1999 and encompasses the Lavezzi Islands Reserve created in 1982 (Mouillot *et al.* 2002). Its bottom substrate is predominantly covered by a mosaic habitat of rocks, sand and seagrass beds of *Posidonia oceanica* (L. Delile), and the maximum depth is 158 m. Its marine surface area of 79 640 ha includes four zones of Partially Protected Areas (PPA; 12 300 ha), where spearfishing is forbidden (artisanal fishing authorized), and six No-Take Zones (NTZ; 1130 ha) closed to all types of fishing activities (both artisanal and recreational) as well as diving (Mouillot *et al.* 2002). See <http://www.rnbb.fr/> for more details about legislation.

Data collection

Fishery data were collected from different artisanal fishing surveys (from 2000 to 2012; except 2009) and recreational fishing surveys (2006, 2008, 2011, 2012) carried out in BSNR (see Table 1 for a summary of the survey details). Over the study period, 962 commercial boats (= fishing parties) and 459 recreational boats (= fishing parties) were sampled.

Artisanal fishing surveys: the fishing catches were collected by BSNR scientists onboard fishing vessels or on landing, only on retained fish (see Table 1), in collaboration with fishermen of the prud'homie of Bonifacio, from four main ports (Pianottoli, Bonifacio, Sant'Amanza, Porto-Vecchio) and three shelter ports (Piantarella, Santa Giulia, La Chiappa). All the common dentex caught were measured and their total weight was estimated using size class correspondences: Small: 0-40 cm = 0.3828 kg; Medium: 40-60 cm = 2.1053 kg; Large: 60-80 cm = 5.4653 kg; (Marengo *et al.* 2013). The fishing area, the duration, the type, the characteristics of the gear that were deployed and the fishing effort were recorded. To standardize the data collected, Catch

Per Unit of Effort (CPUE) was expressed for nets, in grams by piece of net (50 m) (CPUE mass: g.50m⁻¹), and per number of individual caught by piece of net (50 m) (CPUE num: ind.50m⁻¹). In this study, the "Spiny Lobster net" is defined by duration of fishing operation of at least two days at a depth exceeding or equal to 50 m. The "Fish net" covers any type of net that does not correspond to the first definition. For longlines CPUE was expressed in grams per 100 hooks (CPUE mass: g.100 hooks⁻¹) and per number of individuals caught per 100 hooks (CPUE num: Ind.100 hooks⁻¹). Fishing yield data is expressed in grams per day per boat.

Recreational fishing surveys: Surveys covered the summer (tourist) season. During fishing activity, a study on boat fishing was conducted at sea using a boat and several interviewers in the BSNR (roving-roving). For each fishing set, the data collected included type of fishing gear, the date, the duration of each fishing operation and the catch (only on retained fish). Weight estimates for common dentex were obtained with a spring balance (accuracy ± 20 g) or were derived using length-weight relationships published in FISHBASE [<http://www.fishbase.org/>], based on Morales-Nin and Moranta 1997. CPUE mass was recorded per gram per boat per hour of fishing (g.boat⁻¹h⁻¹) and CPUE num per number of individuals caught per boat per hour of fishing (ind.boat⁻¹h⁻¹).

Estimation of fishing effort and production

Artisanal fishing: The fishing effort was expressed as the mean number of active fishing boats per day, and the mean number of trips performed during the whole fishing season (Farrugio & Le Corre 1993). The BSNR annual production was estimated using this previous information combined with the mean daily biomass caught per boat (yields data) for the years 2006, 2008, 2011, 2012.

Recreational fishing: The BSNR annual production was estimated using several key assumptions; a heuristic approach was developed to estimate the fishing effort.

Information for the study was gleaned from a multiple approach based on fishing authorizations and roving-roving data, for more reliable assessments of the actual numbers of recreational anglers and their fishing effort and yields. The number of fishermen practicing in the RNBB was obtained from the number of declaration of intent of fishing made in 2013 within the BSNR. A new regulation (Arrêté préfectoral n° 2013165-0001) requires all recreational fishermen to declare themselves to the managers. From this survey, the fishing effort was calculated based on: the number of fishermen, their profiles, the type of activity, the mean duration of fishing, the number of trips per year. The annual production value was estimated from the calculated average yield for Trolling (based on the study period 2006-2008-2011-2012) and the annual effort values, expressed as total catch per fisher and hour of fishing, and total number of fishing trips per fisher and year (2013), respectively.

Data analysis

Data-sets were not normally distributed; therefore assessments were tested for significance using non-parametric tests. Annual trends (2000–2012) in the fishing yields were assessed using the Mann-Kendall test to test whether there was a trend in the time series and the Pettitt's test allowed identifying whether a shift occurred. A smoothing method was also used (Moving average) on these data sets. For artisanal fishing, differences in the CPUE between the sectors (PPA, GP, Out) was analyzed using the Kruskal-Wallis H test analysis of variance, with the Dunn post-hoc test and the Bonferroni correction. For recreational fishing, differences in the CPUE between sectors (PPA, GP) were analyzed using the Mann-Whitney U test. Analyses were performed using XLSTAT software (with the Time package).

184 *Time series analyses*

185 The trend analysis of the fishing yield for artisanal fishery (2000-2012) showed no significant
186 temporal trends (Mann-Kendal test, p -value =0.86) and no shift was detected (Pettitt's test, p -
187 value =0.212). However, by segmenting the time series a significant increasing trend was
188 detected between 2000 and 2006 (Mann-Kendal test, p -value <0.05) while no significant
189 temporal trend was detected between 2007 and 2012 (Mann-Kendal test, p -value >0.05).
190 Furthermore, graphically, the moving average showed two main trends during these years. A
191 first trend of increase between 2000 and 2006, with a yield in 2000 of 653.7 g day⁻¹ boat⁻¹,
192 reaching a peak exploitation in 2006 of 3750.2 g day⁻¹ boat⁻¹. In the second phase, the results
193 indicated a relatively stable yield (moving average), although highly variable with strong
194 interannual fluctuations between 2007 and 2012. The yield varied from a minimum of 565.6 g
195 day⁻¹ boat⁻¹ in 2007 to a maximum of 4720.9 g day⁻¹ boat in 2011 (Fig.2). The number of
196 fishing vessels exploiting the MPA (an average of 13 boats per day) did not change between
197 2000 and 2012.

198

199 *Catch composition*

200 For artisanal fishing, the fishing method with the largest number of specimens caught was the
201 Fish net (65% of catches) followed by the Longline (25% of catches) and the Spiny lobster net
202 (10% of catches) (Fig.3A). For recreational fishing, the fishing method with the largest number
203 of specimens caught was (bottom) Trolling (90% of catches), followed by Spearfishing (6% of
204 catches) and boat Angling (4% of catches) (Fig.3A). As regards to size representativeness in the
205 catch for artisanal fishing (Fig.3B), it can be noted that Spiny Lobster nets (SL) and Fish nets
206 (FI) have a broad spectrum of capture: the three size classes were well represented (SL, S: 24%,
207 M: 48%, L: 28%; FI, S: 32% M: 46% L: 22%), with a predominance of the Medium size class.
208 Individuals caught using Longlines (LL) were essentially large specimens (LL, M: 22%, L:

78%). For recreational fishing, Trolling (TF= T in Fig.3B) was the gear that captured the most large individuals (TF, S: 11%, M: 17%, L: 72%). For Spearfishing (SF= S Fig.3B) and boat Angling (or handlining) BA= A Fig.3B), the only size class found in the sample was the category Medium (SF and BA; M: 100%).

Fishing gears

In the study area for both artisanal and recreational fishing, six types of fishing gear targeting the common dentex were identified (Table 2). For artisanal fishing, the Longline had the highest rates of exploitation (3554.28 g.100 hooks⁻¹) followed by the Fish net (69.53 g.50m of net⁻¹) and the Spiny Lobster net (31.49 g.50m of net⁻¹). Although these fishing gears were used in a wide range of depths, most of the effort was deployed between 48 and 68 m depth. For recreational fishing, Trolling reached the highest levels of exploitation (358.50 g.boat⁻¹ h⁻¹). By comparison, Spearfishing and boat Angling had much lower levels of exploitation (68.02 g.boat⁻¹ h⁻¹ and 12.73 g.boat⁻¹ h⁻¹ respectively).

Differences between protection levels

For artisanal fishing, the result indicated that there were significant differences between the CPUE values according to zone (Out of reserve = OUT, General Perimeter = GP, Partial Protected Area = PPA) (Kruskal-Wallis test, $p < 0.0001$). The multiple pairwise comparisons using Dunn's procedure showed that the CPUE values within the OUT were significantly higher than within the GP, and CPUE values within the PPA were significantly higher than within the GP. The mean CPUE was 26.3 g.50m of net⁻¹ ($\pm$ SE 6.6) in the OUT zone, 17.4 g.50m of net⁻¹ ($\pm$ SE 4.9) for GP and 98.6 g.50m of net⁻¹ ($\pm$ SE 17.4) for the PPA zone (Table 3).

For recreational fishing, data analysis showed that the CPUE values within the PPA were significantly higher than within the GP. The mean CPUE was 55.5 g.boat⁻¹ h⁻¹ ($\pm$ SE 26.4) in the GP, and 355.1 g.boat⁻¹ h⁻¹ ($\pm$ SE 87.1) in the PPA (Mann–Whitney test, $p = 0.014$) (Table 3).

235 *Fishing Production*

236 From the data collected, the estimated mean annual production (based on the study period) in
237 BSNR was 5.8 and 3.4 tons for artisanal fisheries and for recreational fishing modalities
238 considered in this study, respectively. Proportionally, recreational fishing represented 58% of the
239 total captures of artisanal fisheries for this species. Recreational fishing amounts to 37% of the
240 total catch (artisanal and recreational production combined) of the species in this MPA. The total
241 annual fishing production estimated in the BSNR area for common dentex is 9.2 tons.

242 **Discussion**

243
244 The common dentex is considered as a trophy fish and it is therefore targeted by both artisanal
245 and recreational fishing. Our time series results for artisanal fishing in the Bonifacio Strait
246 Natural Reserve (BSNR) showed that despite strong intra and inter-annual variability two
247 prominent periods (an increase from 2000-06 and relatively stable yield from 2007-12). Even if
248 time series data of the present study started just after the creation of the BSNR, one possible
249 explanation for the increased yield from 2000 till 2006 might be the direct effect of protection
250 measures that first contributed to the increase of the common dentex and, second, to maintaining
251 its exploitation. In the BSNR, a restrictive policy has been enforced on fishing activities since the
252 creation of the Marine Protected Area (MPA) in 1999: the number of professional licenses and
253 the minimum mesh size were controlled and various protected perimeters were delimited
254 (Albouy *et al.* 2010). These restrictions tend to decrease fishing pressure on the protected parts
255 and increase catches in terms of CPUE in these areas (Mouillot *et al.* 2008). The increase in the
256 abundance of exploited species can be rapid, but the recovery of age- and size-structures might
257 only occur after a longer period of protection (Seytre *et al.* 2013). A likely hypothesis is that a
258 long term period (several decades) of fishing prohibition is necessary to reach a complete reserve
259 effect that could lead to an increase in catches for fishermen outside the protected zone, as part
260 of a density-dependent process (Seytre & Francour 2008). The stabilization of the yield for
261 artisanal fishing (2007-12), could be explained by the combined impact of recreational fishing

and marine tourism. Technological advances for the recreational fishery has led to major advances in the ability to capture fish: (i) with equipment for traveling longer distances, e.g., more powerful motors; (ii) several gear modifications, e.g., using light, prey mimics, additional chemicals, motion and sound to increase bait attractiveness; (iii) the affordability of sophisticated fishing technologies, e.g. electric fishing reels (Cooke & Cowx 2006). Progress has also been made in locating fish more rapidly and effectively: (i) e.g., global positioning systems and sonar and (ii) the improved transfer of knowledge, e.g. internet forums (Cooke & Cowx 2006). Such investments generally result in increased capacity or efficiency (e.g., better access to current fishing grounds) and an extension to existing fishing strategies (e.g., access to new fishing grounds) (Torres-Irineo *et al.* 2014).

In addition, the large numbers of recreational boats that can be found in the MPA of BSNR during summer can have a number of effects on its coastal marine environment. In 2006, 14 003 boats visited the two main tourist ports of the BSNR (Bonifacio, Porto-Vecchio). The rapid growth in the numbers of recreational boats is causing stresses to the marine environment and to many aspects of the biology and ecology of fishes. These stresses include pollution (e.g. heavy metal deposits), habitat alteration (e.g. increased turbidity, physical damage to bottoms by anchoring); behavioral alteration due to pressure wave disturbance and sound disturbance (e.g., avoidance of boating traffic by fish) and invasive species propagation (e.g., direct and indirect introduction of invasive aquatic biota) (Whitfield & Becker 2014). The difficulty in controlling such an influx of tourists is a problem well recognized by the managers of all of those MPAs where marine tourism is practiced (e.g., Port-Cros, Bonifacio, Medes), and does not yet seem to have been resolved (Francour *et al.* 2001). However many other factors, such as biological interactions, variations in recruitment, and environmental variations can also influence ecosystem functioning and have impacts on fish stocks (Pinnegar *et al.* 2000, Brander 2010).

For the first time, our results characterize all fishing boat activities targeting the common dentex within an MPA, with an inventory of the fishing gear used, their selectivity and their rates of

exploitation. Our study showed that in the BSNR, common dentex were targeted by both artisanal and recreational fishing using a wide variety of gears. In the BSNR, for common dentex fishing, Longline fishing essentially targets large specimens, whereas the composition by size class for Fish net and Spiny lobster net fishing shows broad variations in terms of catches. The catch by Fish net mainly corresponds to a peak of exploitation, with maximum efficiency in May during the spawning period when individuals aggregate or during seasonal migration. The catch by “Spiny lobster net” is low and mainly corresponds to a bycatch. These results are in agreement with previous studies conducted in the Mediterranean Sea for common dentex (reviewed by Marengo *et al.* 2014).

The gears used most frequently for recreational fisheries in this area are Trolling, followed by Spearfishing and boat Angling. Trolling clearly has the greatest impact in terms of biomass removed, targeting essentially large specimens. Spear fishing might have a relatively minor impact compared to trolling. These results do not agree with the conclusions of Abdul Malak *et al.* (2011), who identified spearfishing as the main threat to the exploitation of the common dentex in the Mediterranean.

The combined impact of artisanal and recreational fishing (e.g Longline, Trolling), targeting large specimens can have serious consequences on the state of stocks of *D. dentex*.

The removal of large individuals can adversely affect the reproductive potential of vulnerable fish populations because larger females are proportionally more fecund, reproduce over an extended period, and spawn better quality eggs and larvae with better survival rates (Birkeland & Dayton 2005). Size selective fishing may not only reduce the biomass but may induce: compensatory mechanisms, truncation of age and size structure, loss of genetic variability, and evolutionary changes (Lewin *et al.* 2006).

CPUE data have been evaluated inside and outside the MPA using the same gear. This allows the use of CPUE as an indicator of population abundance of fish communities according to protection levels (Guidetti *et al.* 2010). This study showed that catches obtained by artisanal and

recreational fishermen inside the PPA and outside these areas were consistently different. On average, catches were quantitatively higher in PPA relative to GP and OUT. This effect has been described previously and was consistent with the results of previous studies, which show a higher increase in the biomass and density of common dentex inside the MPAs than outside them (Guidetti *et al.* 2010, Forcada *et al.* 2010). There is a body of evidence suggesting that the differences observed between average CPUEs inside and outside the MPA are likely due to different fishery managements (Guidetti *et al.* 2010). The higher trophic levels tend to show greater responses to protection, likely because higher predators tend to be targeted by fisheries (Lester *et al.* 2009). Other factors can explain a substantial proportion of the variation observed in the abundance of fish species such as habitat structure (Lizaso *et al.* 2000, Miller & Russ 2014, Harasti *et al.* 2014). In this case, two main hypotheses could explain the high catch rates of common dentex in the PPA: (i) the banning of spearfishing in the PPA and (ii) the “refuge effect”.

Firstly, Rocklin *et al.* (2011) demonstrated in the BSNR that spearfishing can modify species assemblage structure. The dramatic effects of spearfishing on some of these species have been well established (Coll *et al.* 2004). Secondly, one of the most important benefits to marine coastal species is that MPAs can act as refuges into which fish move to escape fishing (Lester *et al.* 2009). The common dentex is one of those species that benefit from this protection measure, as it is especially targeted by both recreational and artisanal fishing. The trophic balance of an aquatic ecosystem can be disrupted by the direct and indirect effects of commercial and recreational fishing (Cooke & Cowx 2006). Depending on role and dominance within the ecosystem, the removal of a substantial part of a population can significantly affect the trophic process and the community structure (Lewin *et al.* 2006). Cascade processes concern interconnected changes in predation interactions involving keystone species at different trophic levels, which are induced by modifications affecting the identity or the activity rate of top-predators (Francour *et al.* 2001). The abatement of their stocks in overfished areas and,

conversely, their replenishment in protected areas may thus trigger cascade processes (Steneck 1998). In Port de la Selva (Spain), the proportion of a top predator such as *Dentex dentex* in total landings increased after the establishment of the MPA to the detriment of *Octopus vulgaris* (Cuvier, 1797), *Diplodus sargus* (Linnaeus, 1758), *Scorpaena sp* (Linnaeus, 1758), and *Palinurus elephas* (Fabricius, 1787) (Gomez *et al.* 2006). For example, in the Bonifacio straits (France) the significant decrease of *Serranus cabrilla* (Linnaeus, 1758) could be explained by the significant increase of *D. dentex* (Rocklin *et al.* 2011). The explanation for this difference was based on increased predation within the reserve due to a number of larger predators such as *Dentex dentex* (Francour *et al.* 2010). Such a trend is generally not expected after the implementation of an MPA, but can be explained by trophic interactions between species through the top-down effect, by which an increase of predators would lead to a decrease of its preys (Pinnegar *et al.* 2000, Seytre & Francour 2008). However, the role of these keystone species is fundamental to ecosystem functioning and their protection is an insurance against the erosion of functional diversity (Sergio *et al.* 2008).

The recreational fishing modalities considered in this study catch around 3.4 tons of common dentex per year, which is equivalent to 58% of the artisanal fishery production in the MPA (artisanal fisheries catch 5.8 tons.year⁻¹ of common dentex). The comparison of recreational catch estimates from this study with artisanal catch estimates of common dentex in the MPA suggests that recreational fishing (i) contributes significantly to fishing mortality, (ii) and it can exacerbate the magnitude of the negative effects of artisanal fisheries on the common dentex. However, it is noteworthy that the recreational and artisanal fishing catches reported in this study were probably underestimates. Recreational catches have a degree of imprecision due to the constraints associated with onsite survey methods (Lockwood 2000), and discards and illegal catches were not estimated in this study.

It is becoming increasingly apparent that recreational fishing is contributing significantly to the total fishing mortality of some species in certain regions (Coleman *et al.* 2004).

Other studies have also shown that recreational fishing can represent a significant part of total landings (Cooke & Cowx 2004). Overall, recreational fishing's share of total catches ranges by as much as 10% to 50% of the total commercial fishing catch (European Commission 2004, Morales-Nin *et al.* 2005, Lloret & Font 2013). However, for specific species recreational catches may often be equal to, or exceed, commercial catches (Zischke *et al.* 2012).

This activity may challenge the sustainability of artisanal fisheries sharing the same areas and the same resources (Rocklin *et al.* 2011). Within the BSNR, artisanal and recreational fishing activities generate combined pressure on the ecosystem (Albouy *et al.* 2010). Although rarely considered to be an important factor, the result of recreational fishing has the potential to produce effects that parallel those of commercial fisheries (e.g., reduced stock size, decreasing mean size, genetic changes, ecosystem level changes and habitat degradation) (Cooke & Cowx 2006).

D. dentex is a vulnerable species included on the red list of the IUCN and also a highly important target of artisanal fishing and recreational boat fishing in the BSNR. The data collected and the results presented in this study make a significant contribution to knowledge on several aspects of the artisanal and recreational fishing of common dentex in the Mediterranean, providing an overview of the pressure levels and catches arising from these practices. In this work, we showed that the common dentex was favored by protection measures in Western Mediterranean MPAs. In brief, MPAs are an essential tool for protecting overexploited populations and threatened species (Hackradt *et al.* 2014). Managers of the BNSR predict an increase in recreational fishing activities while the fishing effort from artisanal fisheries may stabilize (Albouy *et al.* 2010). Considering the effect of recreational fishing, it seems important to formulate new regulation policies for recreational activities (Albouy *et al.* 2010). It should be noted that since June 2012, new management tools have been tested by managers within the BSNR to limit the impact of recreational fishing (e.g. daily bag limitations, the restriction of recreational fishing in the PPA).

For the common dentex in the BSNR, managers should consider the implementation of specific measures to safeguard its reproductive potential, such as the establishment of Minimum landing sizes (MLS). It is essential to adjust MLS values so that they are larger than size at maturity, especially for the most vulnerable species (Font & Lloret 2014). Based on the size at first maturity and life history traits of the common dentex (Morales-Nin & Moranta 1997), it would be necessary to establish an MLS of 40 cm.

Common efforts devoted to educating fishers would be also necessary, especially to develop the practice of “catch-and-release”. Unlike in other parts of the world where catch and release is common practice (Cooke & Cowx 2004, Cooke & Cowx 2006), it is not widespread in the Mediterranean.

Fish mortality after catch-and-release is an essential element to evaluate in order to adapt fishing regulations; however, it is highly dependent on variables such as the species considered, the gear used (Bartholomew & Bohnsack 2005) and seawater temperature (Gale *et al.* 2013). Thus, it is recommended that the factors influencing the post-release mortality of released fish be studied to allow accounting for post-release mortalities when estimating fishing mortalities and to assist in the development of best-practice guidelines (Ferber *et al.* 2013).

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the “Contrat de Plan Etat Région” (CPER, PhD grant to M. Marengo), the “Fonds Européen de Développement Régional” (FEDER), the “Collectivité Territoriale de Corse” (CTC), and the “Università di Corsica Pasquale Paoli (UCPP). We thank Dr Jean-José Filippi (UCPP) for making the map. Special thanks are extended to the team of the “Office de l’Environnement de la Corse” (OEC) for collecting data and the effective collaboration of the fishermen of the BSNR.

- 417 Abdul Malak D., Livingstone S.R., Pollard D., Polidoro B.A., Cuttelod A., Bariche M., *et al.*
418 (2011) *Overview of the Conservation Status of the Marine Fishes of the Mediterranean*
419 *Sea*. No. 61 pp.
- 420 Albouy C., Mouillot D., Rocklin D., Culioli J.M. & Le Loc'h F. (2010) Simulation of the
421 combined effects of artisanal and recreational fisheries on a Mediterranean MPA
422 ecosystem using a trophic model. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **412**, 207-221.
- 423 Bartholomew A. & Bohnsack J.A. (2005) A review of catch-and-release angling mortality with
424 implications for no-take reserves. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* **15**, 129-154.
- 425 Birkeland C. & Dayton P.K. (2005) The importance in fishery management of leaving the big
426 ones. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **20**, 356-358.
- 427 Brander K. (2010) Impacts of climate change on fisheries. *Journal of Marine Systems* **79**, 389-
428 402.
- 429 Coleman F.C., Figueira W.F., Ueland J.S. & Crowder L.B. (2004) The impact of United States
430 recreational fisheries on marine fish populations. *Science* **305**, 1958-1960.
- 431 Coll J., Linde M., García-Rubies A., Riera F. & Grau A.M. (2004) Spear fishing in the Balearic
432 Islands (west central Mediterranean): species affected and catch evolution during the
433 period 1975–2001. *Fisheries Research* **70**, 97-111.
- 434 Coll M., Piroddi C., Steenbeek J., Kaschner K., Ben Rais Lasram F., Aguzzi J., *et al.* (2010) The
435 Biodiversity of the Mediterranean Sea: Estimates, Patterns, and Threats. *PLoS ONE* **5**,
436 e11842.
- 437 Colloca F., Crespi V., Cerasi S. & Coppola S.R. (2004) Structure and evolution of the artisanal
438 fishery in a southern Italian coastal area. *Fisheries Research* **69**, 359-369.

439 Cooke S.J. & Cowx I.G. (2004) The Role of Recreational Fishing in Global Fish Crises.
 440 *BioScience* **54**, 857-859.

441 Cooke S.J. & Cowx I.G. (2006) Contrasting recreational and commercial fishing: Searching for
 442 common issues to promote unified conservation of fisheries resources and aquatic
 443 environments. *Biological Conservation* **128**, 93-108.

444 Diogo H.M.C. & Pereira J.G. (2013) Impact evaluation of spear fishing on fish communities in
 445 an urban area of São Miguel Island (Azores Archipelago). *Fisheries Management and*
 446 *Ecology* **20**, 473-483.

447 European Commission (2004) *Mediterranean: guaranteeing sustainable fisheries*. Fishing in
 448 Europe Magazine No. 12 pp.

449 Farrugio H. & Le Corre G. (1993) A sampling strategy and methodology for assessment and
 450 monitoring of Mediterranean small-scale fisheries. *Scientia Marina* **57**, 131-137.

451 Ferter K., Weltersbach M.S., Strehlow H.V., Vølstad J.H., Alós J., Arlinghaus R., *et al.* (2013)
 452 Unexpectedly high catch-and-release rates in European marine recreational fisheries:
 453 implications for science and management. *ICES Journal of Marine Science: Journal du*
 454 *Conseil* **70**, 1319-1329.

455 Font T. & Lloret J. (2011) Biological implications of recreational shore angling and harvest in a
 456 marine reserve: the case of Cape Creus. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater*
 457 *Ecosystems* **21**, 210-217.

458 Font T. & Lloret J. (2014) Biological and Ecological Impacts Derived from Recreational Fishing
 459 in Mediterranean Coastal Areas. *Reviews in Fisheries Science & Aquaculture* **22**, 73-85.

460 Font T., Lloret J. & Piante C. (2012) *Recreational fishing within Marine Protected Areas in the*
 461 *Mediterranean*. MedPAN North Project No. 168 pp.

462 Forcada A., Valle C., Sanchez-Lizaso J.L., Bayle-Sempere J.T. & Corsi F. (2010) Structure and
 463 spatio-temporal dynamics of artisanal fisheries around a Mediterranean marine protected
 464 area. *ICES Journal of Marine Science: Journal du Conseil* **67**, 191-203.

465 Francour P., Harmelin J.-G., Pollard D. & Sartoretto S. (2001) A review of marine protected
 466 areas in the northwestern Mediterranean region: siting, usage, zonation and management.
 467 *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* **11**, 155-188.

468 Francour P., Mangialajo L. & Pastor J. (2010) Mediterranean marine protected areas and non-
 469 indigenous fish spreading. In: D. Golani & B. Appelbaum-Golani (eds.) *Fish Invasions of*
 470 *the Mediterranean Sea: Change and Renewal*. Sofia-Moscow Pensoft Publisher. 127-144
 471 pp.

472 Gale M.K., Hinch S.G. & Donaldson M.R. (2013) The role of temperature in the capture and
 473 release of fish. *Fish and fisheries* **14**, 1-33.

474 Gell F.R. & Roberts C.M. (2003) Benefits beyond boundaries: the fishery effects of marine
 475 reserves. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **18**, 448-455.

476 Gomez S., Lloret J., Demestre M. & Riera V. (2006) The Decline of the Artisanal Fisheries in
 477 Mediterranean Coastal Areas: The Case of Cap de Creus (Cape Creus). *Coastal*
 478 *Management* **34**, 217-232.

479 Guidetti P., Bussotti S., Pizzolante F. & Ciccolella A. (2010) Assessing the potential of an
 480 artisanal fishing co-management in the Marine Protected Area of Torre Guaceto
 481 (southern Adriatic Sea, SE Italy). *Fisheries Research* **101**, 180-187.

482 Hackradt C.W., García-Charton J.A., Harmelin-Vivien M., Pérez-Ruzafa Á., Le Diréach L.,
 483 Bayle-Sempere J., *et al.* (2014) Response of Rocky Reef Top Predators (Serranidae:
 484 Epinephelinae) in and Around Marine Protected Areas in the Western Mediterranean Sea.
 485 *PLoS ONE* **9**, e98206.

- 486 Harasti D., Martin-Smith K. & Gladstone W. (2014) Does a No-Take Marine Protected Area
487 Benefit Seahorses? *PLoS ONE* **9**, e105462.
- 488 Horta e Costa B., Batista M.I., Gonçalves L., Erzini K., Caselle J.E., Cabral H.N., *et al.* (2013)
489 Fishers' Behaviour in Response to the Implementation of a Marine Protected Area. *PLoS*
490 *ONE* **8**, e65057.
- 491 Lejeusne C., Chevaldonné P., Pergent-Martini C., Boudouresque C.F. & Perez T. (2010) Climate
492 change effects on a miniature ocean: the highly diverse, highly impacted Mediterranean
493 Sea. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **25**, 250-260.
- 494 Lester S.E., Halpern B.S., Grorud-Colvert K., Lubchenco J., Ruttenberg B.I., Gaines S.D., *et al.*
495 (2009) Biological effects within no-take marine reserves: a global synthesis. *Marine*
496 *Ecology Progress Series* **384**, 33-46.
- 497 Lewin W.-C., Arlinghaus R. & Mehner T. (2006) Documented and potential biological impacts
498 of recreational fishing: insights for management and conservation. *Reviews in Fisheries*
499 *Science* **14**, 305-367.
- 500 Lizaso J.S., Goni R., Renones O., Charton J.G., Galzin R., Bayle J., *et al.* (2000) Density
501 dependence in marine protected populations: a review. *Environmental conservation* **27**,
502 144-158.
- 503 Lloret J. & Font T. (2013) A comparative analysis between recreational and artisanal fisheries in
504 a Mediterranean coastal area. *Fisheries Management and Ecology* **20**, 148-160.
- 505 Lockwood R.N. (2000) Conducting roving and access site angler surveys. In: J.C. Schneider
506 (ed.) *Manual of Fisheries Survey Methods II: with periodic updates* Michigan
507 Department of Natural Resources: Fisheries Division. 1-6 pp.
- 508 Loir M., Le Gac F., Somarakis S. & Pavlidis M. (2001) Sexuality and gonadal cycle of the
509 common dentex (*Dentex dentex*) in intensive culture. *Aquaculture* **194**, 363-381.

510 Marengo M., Agostini S., Tomasi N., Valliccioni V., Marchand B. & Durieux E.D.H. (2013)
511 A combined methodology for assessment of the common dentex (*Dentex dentex*) in the
512 northern Corsica (France) *Rapport Commission International Mer Mediterranee* **40**, 758.

513 Marengo M., Durieux E.H., Marchand B. & Francour P. (2014) A review of biology, fisheries
514 and population structure of *Dentex dentex* (Sparidae). *Reviews in Fish Biology and*
515 *Fisheries* **24**, 1065-1088.

516 Miller K.I. & Russ G.R. (2014) Studies of no-take marine reserves: Methods for differentiating
517 reserve and habitat effects. *Ocean & Coastal Management* **96**, 51-60.

518 Morales-Nin B. & Moranta J. (1997) Life history and fishery of the common dentex (*Dentex*
519 *dentex*) in Mallorca (Balearic Islands, western Mediterranean). *Fisheries Research* **30**,
520 67-76.

521 Morales-Nin B., Moranta J., Garcia C., Tugores M.P., Grau A.M., Riera F., *et al.* (2005) The
522 recreational fishery off Majorca Island (western Mediterranean): some implications for
523 coastal resource management. *ICES Journal of Marine Science: Journal du Conseil* **62**,
524 727-739.

525 Mouillot D., Culioli J.-M. & Chi T.D. (2002) Indicator species analysis as a test of non-random
526 distribution of species in the context of marine protected areas. *Environmental*
527 *Conservation* **29**, 385-390.

528 Mouillot D., Culioli J., Pelletier D. & Tomasini J. (2008) Do we protect biological originality in
529 protected areas? A new index and an application to the Bonifacio Strait Natural Reserve.
530 *Biological Conservation* **141**, 1569-1580.

531 Pinnegar J., Polunin N., Francour P., Badalamenti F., Chemello R., Harmelin-Vivien M.-L., *et*
532 *al.* (2000) Trophic cascades in benthic marine ecosystems: lessons for fisheries and
533 protected-area management. *Environmental Conservation* **27**, 179-200.

534 Quetglas A., Ordines F., Hidalgo M., Monserrat S., Ruiz S., Amores Á., *et al.* (2013)
535 Synchronous combined effects of fishing and climate within a demersal community.
536 *ICES Journal of Marine Science: Journal du Conseil* **70**, 319-328.

537 Rocklin D., Tomasini J.-A., Culioli J.-M., Pelletier D. & Mouillot D. (2011) Spearfishing
538 Regulation Benefits Artisanal Fisheries: The ReGS Indicator and Its Application to a
539 Multiple-Use Mediterranean Marine Protected Area. *PLoS ONE* **6**, e23820.

540 Sacchi J. & Dimech M. (2011) *Report of the FAO EastMed Assessment of the Fishing Gears in*
541 *Lebanon*. Scientific and Institutional Cooperation to Support Responsible Fisheries in the
542 Eastern Mediterranean No. 53 pp.

543 Sergio F., Caro T., Brown D., Clucas B., Hunter J., Ketchum J., *et al.* (2008) Top predators as
544 conservation tools: ecological rationale, assumptions, and efficacy. *Annual review of*
545 *ecology, evolution, and systematics* **39**, 1-19.

546 Seytre C. & Francour P. (2008) The Cap Roux MPA (Saint-Raphael, French Mediterranean):
547 changes in fish assemblages within four years of protection. *ICES Journal of Marine*
548 *Science: Journal du Conseil* **66**, 180-187.

549 Seytre C., Vanderklift M.A., Bodilis P., Cottalorda J.-M., Gratiot J. & Francour P. (2013)
550 Assessment of commercial and recreational fishing effects on trophic interactions in the
551 Cap Roux area (north-western Mediterranean). *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and*
552 *Freshwater Ecosystems* **23**, 189-201.

553 Steneck R.S. (1998) Human influences on coastal ecosystems: does overfishing create trophic
554 cascades? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **13**, 429-430.

555 Torres-Irineo E., Gaertner D., Chassot E. & Dreyfus-León M. (2014) Changes in fishing power
556 and fishing strategies driven by new technologies: The case of tropical tuna purse seiners
557 in the eastern Atlantic Ocean. *Fisheries Research* **155**, 10-19.

558 Whitfield A.K. & Becker A. (2014) Impacts of recreational motorboats on fishes: A review.
559 *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **83**, 24-31.

560 Zischke M.T., Griffiths S.P. & Tibbetts I.R. (2012) Catch and effort from a specialised
561 recreational pelagic sport fishery off eastern Australia. *Fisheries Research* **127**, 61-72.

562

563

564

565

566 **Table captions**

567

568 **Table 1.** Information on the different recreational and artisanal fishing surveys in which data
569 were collected. The years and months when surveys were conducted, the survey type, and the
570 number of boats sampled are shown.

571 **Table 2.** Characterization of fishing gear used by artisanal and recreational fishing (2006-08-11-
572 12) with their catch per unit effort (CPUE).

573

574 **Table 3.** Mean values ($\pm$ SE) of CPUE by artisanal fishery ($\text{g}\cdot 50\text{m}^{-1}$ of net) and recreational
575 fishery ($\text{g}\cdot \text{boat}^{-1}\cdot \text{h}^{-1}$) in the BSNR (2011-12), according to zone (PPA: Partial Protected Area, GP:
576 General Perimeter, OUT: Out of reserve).

577

578

579

Survey	Years	Months	Survey type	Number of boats sampled
Artisanal fishing	2000	May-July	Landing	154
	2001	May-July	Landing	152
	2002	May-July	Landing	76
	2003	May-July	Landing	110
	2004	May-July	Onboard fishing vessel	59
	2005	May-July	Onboard fishing vessel	29
	2006	May-July	Onboard fishing vessel	57
	2007	May-July	Landing	125
	2008	May-July	Onboard fishing vessel	56
	2010	May-July	Landing	92
	2011	May-July	Onboard fishing vessel	16
	2012	May-July	Onboard fishing vessel	36
Total				962
Recreational fishing	2006	July-September	Roving-roving	32
	2008	June-October	Roving-roving	82
	2011	May-August	Roving-roving	264
	2012	March-May	Roving-roving	81
Total				459

583 **Table 2**

Type of fishing	Fishing gear	Sampling years	Mean CPUE Mass ($\pm$ SE)	Unit	Depth of operations (Mean)	Mean CPUE Num ($\pm$ SE)	Unit
Artisanal	Spiny Lobster net	2006; 2008; 2011-12	31.49 ($\pm$ 9.75)	g.50m of net ⁻¹	64 m	0.011 ($\pm$ 0.003)	Ind.50m of net
Artisanal	Fish net	2006; 2008; 2011-12	69.53 ($\pm$ 11.12)	g.50m of net ⁻¹	34 m	0.032 ($\pm$ 0.005)	Ind.50m of net
Artisanal	Longline	2004-06; 11-12	3554.28 ($\pm$ 770.52)	g.100 hooks ⁻¹	48 m	0.779 ($\pm$ 0.175)	Ind. 100 hooks ⁻¹
Recreational	Trolling fishing	2006; 2008; 2011-12	358.50 ($\pm$ 72.61)	g.boat ⁻¹ h ⁻¹	-	0.077 ($\pm$ 0.015)	Ind.boat ⁻¹ h ⁻¹
Recreational	Spearfishing	2006; 2008; 2011-12	68.02 ($\pm$ 44.74)	g.boat ⁻¹ h ⁻¹	-	0.028 ($\pm$ 0.017)	Ind.boat ⁻¹ h ⁻¹
Recreational	boat Angling	2006; 2008; 2011-12	12.73 ($\pm$ 9.29)	g.boat ⁻¹ h ⁻¹	-	0.007 ($\pm$ 0.005)	Ind.boat ⁻¹ h ⁻¹

584

Table 3

Zone	CPUE Artisanal Fishery	CPUE Recreational Fishery
OUT	26,2 ($\pm 6,6$) g.50m of net ⁻¹	-
GP	17,4 ($\pm 4,9$) g.50m of net ⁻¹	55,5 ($\pm 26,3$) g.boat ⁻¹ h ⁻¹
PPA	98,6 ($\pm 17,4$) g.50m of net ⁻¹	355,1 ($\pm 87,1$) g.boat ⁻¹ h ⁻¹

Figure caption

Fig. 1 Map of the study area showing the boundaries of the Bonifacio Strait Natural Reserve with zoning implemented by the management plan.

Fig. 2 The mean fishing yield ($\text{g.day}^{-1} \text{boat}^{-1}$) ($\pm\text{SE}$) and moving average for artisanal fishing in the BSNR during the period 2000-08; 2010-12.

Fig. 3 Catch representativeness in % according to the total number (A) of individuals (n) caught for each fishing type by artisanal fishing and recreational fishing. Catch representativeness in % according to their size class (B) (L: large, M: medium, S: small), for each fishing type caught by artisanal fishing (SL: Spiny Lobster net; FI: Fish net, LL: Longline) and recreational fishing, n= number of *D.dentex* recorded during the surveys (2006-08-11-12).

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3