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ABSTRACT

Binary local descriptors are widely used in computer vision thanks to their compactness and robustness to many image transformations such as rotations or scale changes. However, more complex transformations, like changes in camera viewpoint, are difficult to deal with using conventional features due to the lack of geometric information about the scene. In this paper, we propose a local binary descriptor which assumes that geometric information is available as a depth map. It employs a local parametrization of the scene surface, obtained through depth information, which is used to build a BRISK-like sampling pattern intrinsic to the scene surface. Although we illustrate the proposed method using the BRISK architecture, the obtained parametrization is rather general and could be embedded into other binary descriptors. Our simulations on a set of synthetically generated scenes show that the proposed descriptor is significantly more stable and distinctive than popular BRISK descriptors under a wide range of viewpoint angle changes.

Index Terms—binary descriptor; BRISK; RGBD features; texture+depth; distinctiveness.

1. INTRODUCTION

Increasing availability of texture+depth content allows for new approaches to classic computer vision problems. This kind of visual content consists of a 2D intensity image (texture) and a depth image, representing the distance of each pixel from the camera plane (depth map). The depth map opens large possibilities for geometrical scene reasoning, to the same extent as the binocular human vision gives a perception of geometry to the cognitive level of the human visual system. Thus, a number of problems, such as object recognition, object tracking, visual odometry, content-based image retrieval, may be treated more efficiently in case when such a geometrical information is available.

In this work, we address the image matching problem, consisting in deciding whether two given images represent a similar content, and establishing correspondences between objects represented in the images. Local visual features (local image descriptors) form the most used family of approaches to image matching. They may be split into two groups following the nature of resulting signatures: conventional descriptors, such as SIFT [1], SURF [2], GLOH [3]; and binary descriptors BRISK [4], ORB [5], BRIEF [6]. The first group offers the descriptors represented by high dimensional numeric vectors. The matching is performed by computing Euclidean distances between descriptors: when the images are similar, a large number of pairs of “close” descriptors must be found in corresponding feature sets. The second group of methods arises as a computationally efficient alternative to the first one. The efficiency raises not only on the descriptor computation stage, but on the matching stage as well, as the descriptors in this case are binary strings and may be compared via Hamming distance which is very fast to compute. At the same time, the descriptive power of such binary sequences is highly competitive to the non-binary descriptors, which is confirmed in different works ([4], [7]).

The contribution of this paper is a binary descriptor for texture+depth content, designed to be robust under rigid scene transformations (camera position changes, out-of-plane object rotations). Our descriptor uses the same sampling pattern as BRISK, but it is transformed in a specific way to meet the scene geometry, which allows for improved stability under rigid transformations. The core of our method consists in parametrizing the scene surface defined by the depth map locally at each keypoint using a geodesic polar parametrization. The intensity image (texture map) is then sampled accordingly to compute the descriptor.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. The related work on local features is presented in Section 2. In Section 3, we introduce the descriptor computation process. In Section 4 we present evaluation results of the designed descriptor. Finally, Section 5 concludes the paper.

2. RELATED WORK

All the feature extractors widely used in practice, such as SIFT-like approaches or BRISK, are invariant to in-plane translations, in-plane rotations and scale changes. However, in most realistic use cases the reciprocal camera-objects movements in the scene are not restricted by these transformations. As an arbitrary object movement in 3D space may be modeled through an in-plane translation, an in-plane rotation, an out-of-plane rotation and a scale change, advanced methods are often involved into descriptor computation process to deal mainly with out-of-plane rotations.

Classic affine-invariant detectors [8] address out-of-plane rotations by means of a normalization procedure: an elliptical frame per keypoint that matches a visual detail is estimated (only the texture image is used), and the local descriptor patch then undergoes an affine transformation in such a way that the ellipse is transformed into a circle. Their performance is limited for moderate out-of-plane rotations (up to $40^\circ$) [1], and the resulting descriptors may be less distinctive due to the large class of normalizing transformations [9].

A local patch normalization for texture+depth content based on planar approximation is proposed in our previous work [9]. However, that method is not suitable when a corner detector is used for keypoint detection, since non-planar keypoints are rejected before descriptor computation. An alternative to normalization consists in simulation of affine transformations, i.e., generating descriptors for a set of warped versions of the input image. This idea is employed in ASIFT [10]. Demonstrating a very promising performance, this approach is limited to applications where both images are provided explicitly, as it does not produce a compact set of relevant descriptors for a given image.

In this work we complement the input image by a description of the scene geometry through a depth map. The idea of geometry
matching through local descriptors has been extensively investigated, especially in the context of 3D object recognition and retrieval [11]. Numerous approaches of local features for 3D meshes have been proposed in literature. However, few of them describe the associated photometric information (texture) and not only the surface geometry. Examples of such methods are MeshHOG [12], MeshLBP [13] and photometric heat kernel signatures [14]. Furthermore, their application to texture+depth content requires transforming the depth map into a mesh, whose local properties depend significantly on the camera position with respect to the scene, which may cause losses of features stability to out-of-plane rotations. 3.5D SIFT [15] and NARF [16] address directly the 2.5D modality (range images), but again without exploiting the texture information. Finally, CSHOT [17] and BRAND [18] features provide frameworks for texture+depth content matching that take into account both photometric and geometric information, e.g., by building descriptors that contain both pieces of information. Differently from these approaches, we leverage geometrical information to change the way a (conventional) local texture descriptor is extracted, rendering it more robust to complex 3D transformations.

In our method, we use a local geodesic polar surface parametrization. A similar representation has been previously used in [19] for the case of mesh-based face detection. Our parametrization differs from that one in the computation of the angular component.

3. PROPOSED METHOD

We propose to get an approximate description of the object surface texture leveraging the scene geometry learned from the depth map. Up to sampling effects and illumination variations, the object texture does not depend on the reciprocal camera-object orientation, so that the content description based on this becomes much more stable to arbitrary rotations and translations.

The basic idea of our method consists in distributing the sampling points of a binary descriptor pattern over the surface like they are attached to it, so that the descriptor becomes intrinsic to the surface texture. An illustration is given in Fig. 1. Then, after the image is evaluated in properly distributed sampling points, the original technique may be used to compute the descriptor, i.e., a binary string is formed through pairwise comparisons of obtained samples.

Up to comparison of different binary descriptors in [7], BRISK features [4] demonstrate better overall results. For this reason we take BRISK as the base to implement the proposed idea. As any other conventional feature extraction algorithm, BRISK consists of two separated stages: keypoint detection and descriptor computation. The first stage is based on FAST detector [20] applied to the Gaussian scale space in order to achieve scale invariance. The descriptor computation consists in a set of pairwise comparisons of values obtained by sampling the image according to the pattern in Fig. 1a. As in our method we proceed similarly, we present necessary details in the following.

In this work, we use the original keypoint detection algorithm. After the keypoints are detected, we first compute the local polar parametrization at each keypoint, i.e., we look for radial and angular coordinate of each pixel, that are intrinsic to the scene surface.

3.1. Local parametrization: radial component

Let \( I(u, v) \) denote the intensity image, \( D(u, v) \) the depth map, \( H \) and \( W \) their heights and widths in pixels, and \( \omega \) the horizontal angle of view of the camera and the depth sensor. Using the pinhole camera model we set up the following global parametrization of the scene:

\[
    r(u, v) = \left( \frac{2u \tan \frac{\omega}{2}}{2v \tan \frac{\omega}{2}} \right) D(u, v).
\]

This global parametrization will be used to compute the desired local polar parametrization at each keypoint.

For a given keypoint centered at \((u_0, v_0)\), we first compute the distances \( \rho(u, v) \) from \((u_0, v_0)\) to other pixels applying the fast marching algorithm [21], allowing to compute efficiently a map of geodesic distances from a given point of a surface to other points. Fast marching is a family of numerical methods solving the Eikonal equation \( \| \nabla u \| = F \) in one sweep, i.e., by simulating a front propagation through the image starting from a given source point. This technique is perfectly adapted to our needs, as we do not have to process the whole image but the keypoint neighborhood only.

The fast marching is started at the keypoint center and stopped when a certain limiting distance, corresponding to the keypoint scale, is reached (this distance is further referred to as geodesic keypoint scale \( \sigma_g \)). The "keypoint area" may thereby be defined as \( M = \{(u, v) : \rho(u, v) < \sigma_g \} \). The resulting geodesic distances to the keypoint center are intrinsic to the scene and do not depend on the viewpoint position. Thus, the image resulting from the fast marching application gives us directly the radial component of the parametrization we are looking for.

Geodesic keypoint scale \( \sigma_g \), that limits the fast marching process, may be seen as the characteristic keypoint area size expressed in scene spatial units. It is related to the sphere radius that surrounds the keypoint area, expressed in these units. For a keypoint of scale \( \sigma \), the corresponding radius is given by the following formula derived from the pinhole camera model:

\[
    R = \sigma D(u_0, v_0) \frac{2 \tan \frac{\omega}{2}}{W}.
\]

In our tests we set \( \sigma_g \) equal to \( 6R \). This determines the scaling of the sampling pattern in function of the keypoint scale. This value is set experimentally and is reasonable in comparison to the patch extents of other descriptors; larger extent will require more time to compute the descriptor, whereas smaller values cause distinctiveness losses.

3.2. Local parametrization: angular component

The estimation of the angular component is more difficult. Differently to the polar geodesic parametrization in [19], we limit ourselves to an approximation that is reasonable due to the locality.

In a nutshell, we approximate the angular coordinate of a given point in \( M \) using precomputed values from a set of points forming a closed curve around the keypoint center. So, we first extract a level curve on the geodesic distance map \( \rho(u, v) \), i.e. an oriented closed contour \( C = \{(u, v) : \rho(u, v) \approx a \} \approx a \sigma_g \), where \( a < 1 \) is a constant. At the same time, we compute the spatial length of \( C \) by summing up the spatial distances between neighboring points. During this summation, we keep the array of cumulated lengths

\[
    L_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \| r(C_i) - r(C_{i+1}) \|, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n.
\]

By normalizing \( L_k \) to the interval \([0, 2\pi]\) we get the “angles” \( \phi_k \) of points of the curve \( C \). The angular coordinate of any other point of \( M \) is then estimated by selecting that of the point in \( C \) minimizing
We denote by \( \text{mod} \) with a binary descriptor, we then proceed in a very similar way to vectors from keypoint center: \( S_{BRISK} \). In a nutshell, \( 2 \mod \) selects a point of the layer as follows. We define the smoothing kernel corresponding to the radius and \( s_l \) of points with a constant radius and equally spaced angles. Let take of points having \( l, k \) cuts the keypoint area \( M \) in two roughly equal parts in terms of number of points.

The two computed components \( \rho(u, v) \) and \( \phi(u, v) \), which form the local surface parametrization, are illustrated in Fig. 1c and Fig. 1d.

### 3.3. Descriptor computation

Following the BRISK architecture, we now need to smooth the image locally at each sampling point. Working in polar coordinates, we propose a “polar Gaussian kernel”, a naive extension of the classic bidimensional Gaussian kernel to the polar coordinates, i.e. a function providing square-exponential decreasing, but in radial and angular sense. To give its analytic formulation, let us study the sampling pattern in Fig. 1a in more details.

This pattern may be split radially into 5 layers. The first layer consists of the center point only, each following layer contains a set of points with a constant radius and equally spaced angles. Let take a layer \( l \) having \( n_l \) points, and a point number \( k \). Let \( r_l \) be the layer radius and \( s_l \) the associated layer scale (i.e. scale of sampling points on that layer). We define the smoothing kernel corresponding to the selected point of the layer as follows.

\[
K_{l,k}(\rho, \phi) = \exp \left( -\frac{(\rho - r_l)^2}{2s_l^2} - \frac{\text{mod}(\phi - \frac{k-\frac{1}{2}}{n_l \pi} \frac{n_l \pi}{2\pi})^2}{2s_l^2} \right)
\]

We denote by \( \text{mod} \) a function that wraps the angle in radians (i.e. modulo \( 2\pi \)).

The kernels for different \((l, k)\) values are illustrated in Fig. 1b.

For each descriptor in the first set, we look for the closest corresponding sphere in the second set (i.e. a feature is labeled as a true match if corresponding spheres \( S_i \) and \( S_j \) satisfy

\[
|S_i \cap S_j| \geq (1 - \epsilon)|S_i \cup S_j|.
\]

Otherwise it is a false match.

We set the error threshold \( \epsilon \) equal to 0.5. In our tests we compute the volumetric overlap, but not planar, as proposed in [3, 22] (i.e. intersection of spheres instead ellipses), because the scenes we use are not entirely planar.

The proposed method is compared to the original BRISK and BRAND descriptors [18] (authors implementations are used). The length of output binary signatures for both methods is set equal to 512 bits. For completeness we also add the comparison SIFT descriptor.
(implemented in VLFeat library [23]). However, for the consistency of experiments, all the descriptors are tested with the original BRISK detector, even if in practice SIFT typically uses its own detector.

We first compute the matching score, i.e., a portion of correctly matched features to the maximum possible number of matches, as proposed in [22]. In this case, a match is simply a pair containing a descriptor from the first image and its nearest descriptor from the second image. But in practice, such a set may contain a lot of false matches. To reduce them, a certain score is typically assigned to each pair, and then a threshold is applied. The ability of a descriptor to preserve true matches (so, to keep the matching score) and to reject false matches when increasing the threshold, represents its distinctiveness. The distinctiveness is typically evaluated through receiver operating characteristics (ROC) that shows the portions of true and false matches kept for different thresholds. We computed ROC curves similarly to [7]: a statistically significant number of closest descriptors pairs was selected, cumulated histograms for true and false matches in function of pair scores were computed to plot ROC curves. The curves are parametrized by the threshold applied to pair scores. The pair score for binary descriptors is simply the Hamming distance. For the SIFT descriptor we used the originally proposed distances ratio to the first closest and second closest descriptor (see [1] for details), as it gives better results than a simple inter-descriptor distance. Finally we compute areas under ROC curves (AUC), splitting the matches in three groups for limited (up to $30^\circ$), moderate ($30^\circ$–$60^\circ$) and large (more than $60^\circ$) viewpoint angle changes to evaluate the descriptors stability for different ranges of out-of-plane rotations.

The geometrical correction performed in our descriptor allows to match the image patches viewed under a large spectrum of angles of view, that nor BRISK nor SIFT descriptor could achieve. This is confirmed by higher matching scores, as shown in Fig. 2, especially for high-detailed texture (Graffiti). The BRAND descriptor, which uses the geometry as well, is outperformed (Graffiti) or demonstrated a comparable performance to the proposed method (Bricks, Fish). Moreover, our descriptor outperforms the other methods in terms of ROC and AUC as presented in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4. The limited performance of SIFT descriptor is mainly explained by using the inadapted corner BRISK detector instead of the original blob detector.

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper an extension of conventional binary image descriptors to texture+depth visual content is proposed, aimed at better stability under rigid scene transformations, such as out-of-plane rotations or significant viewpoint position changes. The descriptor sampling pattern is projected on the scene surface using the depth map, so that the resulting binary signature describes the object texture from the observed intensity image intrinsically to the scene geometry. The proposed technique is tested with BRISK descriptor, and is evaluated on a synthetic dataset demonstrating a substantial improvement in terms of ROC, AUC and matching score compared to the standard BRISK features and to the state-of-the-art BRAND descriptor for texture+depth content, notably in terms of discriminability.

The feature stability may be further improved by using a detector that computes salient visual points taking into account the scene geometry. The design of such a detector makes part of our future work. Moreover, with this local content description approach, some more complex transformations may be addressed, such as isometric non-rigid surface deformations. In the future, we will address the problem of designing a complete local feature extraction pipeline from texture+depth images with the ambitious goal of stability under such complex scene deformations.

Fig. 2: Matching scores obtained on different sequences. Black curves represents detector limitations, i.e. portions of repeated keypoints (repeatability).

Fig. 3: Receiver operating characteristics obtained on the entire dataset.

Fig. 4: Areas under ROC curves obtained on test sequences for different ranges of out-of-plane rotations, and on the entire dataset.
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