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Abstract—The design of systems-on-chip requires simulation of highly abstracted models, such as SystemC Transaction Level Models (TLM), in addition to traditional register transfer level models. Due to the growing complexity of products, analyzing and understanding the behavior of the corresponding SystemC platforms becomes itself a challenge. Huge code bases are generally written by multiple authors and it is rare that a single person has a comprehensive detailed knowledge of the model. However, models have to be developed, validated and used, so understanding them is important. Moreover, the increasing complexity leads to slower simulations, so there is a need to speed them up.

We propose a tool, SycView, which provides a view of the profile of a SystemC simulation. This tool helps answering these two major needs: understanding complex hardware simulations, and highlighting bottlenecks of SystemC simulations.

I. INTRODUCTION

SystemC is a hardware modeling C++ library standardized by IEEE. It enables a designer to simulate concurrent processes, and thanks to the use of C++, provides an easy way to simulate mixed software/hardware systems. For this reason, it is mostly used for systems-on-chip (SoC) modeling. It has been designed so that it can be used at different levels of abstraction, thus at different steps of the design workflow.

The increasing complexity of models and components has led to the need to speed up such simulations. The natural method to optimize a program, SystemC or not, is first to analyze it in order to find the bottleneck and then to apply an optimization on the corresponding part of the program. Since SystemC is a C++ library, usual profilers for C++ like gprof or valgrind+kcachegrind can be used. They will however miss important aspects of the execution of a SystemC program, like: how much time is spent in the SystemC kernel as opposed to the user-written parts, per-process statistics, simulated time based visualization. To the best of our knowledge, there is no turnkey application available to get these information from a SystemC simulation. Also, as the complexity of models grows, it becomes necessary to visualize executions at a high abstraction level to get a better understanding of the system synchronization.

In this paper, we present SycView, a tool for visualization and profiling of SystemC simulations. Our purpose is to provide SystemC users with a tool to get SystemC-aware information about the execution of their simulations. The underlying motivation of the tool is to increase the understand-

ability of complex SystemC models and to help the set up of optimizations and/or parallelization.

II. BACKGROUND

In this paper, the term wall-clock time refers to the time spent by the execution of the simulation on the host machine, and the term simulated time to the virtual time spent by the model during the execution (i.e. what the real hardware will be supposed to spend). We call a transition any portion of code in SC_METHODs or SC_THREADS that is executing atomically from the point of view of SystemC. As an example, the following code will produce 3 transitions:

```c++
void compute() { // declared as SC_THREAD
    do_stuff();   // transition #1
    wait(15, SC_NS);
    do_more_stuff();   // transition #2
    wait(event);
    do_even_more_stuff();   // transition #3
}
```

III. PROPOSED TOOL

The principle of SycView is simple: it consists in trace recording during simulation (III-A) and then trace visualization (III-B). In order to print traces, we instrumented the SystemC kernel, based on the ASI (formerly OSCI) implementation. For the visualization we developed a graphical user interface (GUI) written in Java.

A. Trace Recording

The trace recording consists in printing data at interesting points. For instance, we print a trace each time a transition yields to the kernel, containing:

- The SystemC process which triggered this transition.
- The type and arguments of the wait performed.
- The wall-clock time duration of the transition.

When the simulation ends, we store those information on text files. Then for example, we are able to find which SystemC process (or group of processes) globally consumes most of the time. We can also use statistical metrics to get the average execution time of a process and compare it with the number of executions to highlight how each process spends its time.

We also keep track of the number of runnable processes at the beginning of each delta cycle. More precisely, what we get is the maximal number of runnable processes at the beginning of each immediate notification cycle within each delta cycle.
B. Visualization

We have implemented a GUI providing six different views, which can be split in two main categories.

The first category is plotting the scenario of an execution (order and duration of transitions) as a function of either wall-clock time or simulated time. For example, Figure 1 shows the screenshot of a wall-clock time plot. On this plot we can see that there seems to be a chain triggering of the processes executing in one order on the left part, and in the reverse order on the right part. The width of a rectangle is proportional to the wall-clock time duration of the corresponding SystemC transition. This view, as illustrated by this little example, can be used to identify patterns in the executions of transitions, and can highlight which transitions are particularly time-consuming. Such information could hardly be identified by a static code analysis, because of its complexity. On the other hand on Figure 2 we can see an example of a simulated time plot. The processes are also represented on the left part, and on the right part, a vertical stroke is placed for each process execution, in the simulated time it occurred. Note that what appears to be black rectangles are strokes close together. The blue rectangles represents time ranges in which the transition may have occurred, based on loosely-timed information on the model. Note that time ranges are not part of the SystemC kernel so we added that when we instrumented the kernel because it was part of our industrial context.

The second category consists in giving statistical metrics about the platform execution. We display the wall-clock time consumption per process (with statistical metrics), as on Figure 4. We can see that the first process uses almost 13% of the time in 10111 transitions, while the third process uses almost 8% of the time in only 14 transitions. That makes a huge difference in the mean execution time, and we can deduce that optimizing the third process may be more efficient than the first one. We also show the number of runnable SystemC processes at each delta cycle, shown in Figure 3. This table can also be displayed for SC_THREADS or SC_METHODS only.

IV. RELATED WORK

We have found that most of the literature about SystemC visualization focus on the model description. For example, the tools presented in [1], [2], [3], [4], [5] and more recently [6] gives access to the visualization of the hierarchical architecture of a platform, as well as the exploration of the components behaviour. Such information are precious and these tools are complementary with our tool especially when it comes to understanding a design. But what we found missing in these tools is a software point of view, in order to understand the model not as the representation of an SoC, but as a piece of software. Besides, some of the above mentioned tools need to instrument the model, which is generally not possible in the case of huge and complex models.

V. EXAMPLE USAGE

SycView is not only a software engineering tool, the information given are also useful to characterize a model theoretically. Initially, this tool was made to help the set up of a parallel SystemC kernel on an existing industrial model. We have used SycView outputs in [7] to illustrate the fact that the existing parallelization approaches are not applicable in the case of a loosely-timed TLM model, based on the analysis of the profile of our industrial test case.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have presented SycView, for visualization and profiling of SystemC simulations. The main advantage of
this tool compared to classic C++ profiling tools like gprof or valgrind is that it understands and exploits SystemC constructs. Currently the data collected are limited to SystemC processes, simulation cycles, simulated and wall-clock times. However the tool can be extended to add data collection about transactions or event processing for example, that could also be interesting for analysis purposes.

From the data gathered during the execution of a simulation, the tool can display the following views:

- Simulation timing diagram indexed by either wall-clock or simulated time.
- Wall-clock time consumption per SystemC process.
- Partition of delta cycles depending on the number of runnable processes.

The views of the first item are helpful to understand the sequence of transitions for a simulation. The two other ones presented in this list can quickly identify which parallelization approach is likely to work efficiently, and which one cannot be efficient.
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