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A B S T R A C T

Hollow fiber membrane contactors with ionic liquids are promising alternatives to traditional spray towers and amines for carbon dioxide absorption. Ionic liquids have emerged as new alternative solvents because of their zero emission features compared with amines. The aim of this work was to compare fibers based on PVDF and different additives, as well as fibers including two different ionic liquids. On the one hand, 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium ethyl sulfate [emim][EtSO4] presents physical absorption, and on the other hand, 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium acetate [emim][Ac] presents chemical absorption. To compare the fibers under study, the thickness of the composite fiber was examined using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The mechanical properties and the bubble point were also evaluated. Permeability tests were conducted, and the gas permeation of the composite hollow fibers was measured using pure CO2. Laboratory-made stainless steel modules were used for the tests. All of the above tests were performed with the fibers in both wet and dry conditions. It was determined that the fibers with the ionic liquid immobilized would be promising for CO2 capture because the CO2 permeance significantly increased. Namely, D+[emim][EtSO4] achieved a 43% increase compared with the fibers without the addition of the ionic liquid, resulting in a CO2 permeance value of 57040 NL/(h m2 bar), which is higher than the values reported in the literature for PVDF. Moreover, the overall mass transfer coefficient for CO2 capture using the D+[emim][Ac] fibers also presented highly competitive values.

1. Introduction

Carbon dioxide capture and sequestration (CCS) is currently a major concern globally to reduce the impact on the atmosphere and protect humans against the associated risks. However, CO2 capture is the bottleneck step where efforts have to be applied to develop more sustainable processes from technical and economical perspectives [1].

Post-combustion technology constitutes a strategy for reducing the impact of greenhouse gases from fossil fuels in industrial processes. For this reason, the present work is focused on post-combustion capture. This process route is ideally suited for conventional power stations and energy conversion systems. CO2 at low partial pressure is separated from the gas stream after the fuel has been completely burned [2]. The flue gas from a typical post-combustion process is composed of 10–15% CO2, 70–75% N2 and lower concentrations of other components. In these systems, the temperature in the absorption step reaches between 313 and 348 K [3].

Traditionally, alkanolamines have been used for carbon dioxide capture due to their high reactivity to CO2 by forming complexes with weak chemical bonds and low cost, achieving an outlet stream with a very low CO2 concentration. The development of more beneficial solvents for the environment is currently a topic of great interest. Ionic liquids (ILs) are one of the promising compounds for CO2 gas recovery [1]. ILs are salts that have an organic cation and an inorganic anion and whose melting point is lower than 373 K, and their vapor pressure is negligible [4,5,6]. Additionally, ILs have good thermal stability, high ionic conductivity and solubility in organic media [7].

The chemisorption of CO2 in ionic liquids containing a carboxylic anion can be a promising alternative to common amine processes [8]. Recently, the literature has shown that ILs...
containing acetate anions possess a high degree of absorption for CO₂ across a wide range of temperatures [9]. These types of membranes based on ILs have been used in previous works [9–11]. For this reason, 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium acetate, [emim][Ac], is an ideal candidate for CO₂ capture. In addition, [emim][EtSO₄] was selected due to its high CO₂ solubility and chemical absorption [3,4,8,12–14]. On the other hand, an inexpensive and green solvent for industrial applications is an important feature to consider when choosing an ionic liquid for CO₂ absorption. 1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium ethyl sulfate, [emim][EtSO₄], was selected due to its low viscosity, low toxicity and low cost [15]. CO₂ capture processes on an industrial scale require the application of high temperatures to a stripper re-boiler for solvent regeneration and CO₂ desorption, which poses a main drawback to the energy consumption. As an alternative, numerous works based on hollow fiber membrane contactors [16] have been reported in recent years because they offer numerous advantages, such as controlled interfacial area, independent control of the gas and liquid flow rates, reduction in solvent losses, much larger contact area per unit volume compared with tray and/or packed columns and no dispersion from one phase into another [16–19]. Additionally, membranes are a promising option for established industrial technologies for gas and liquid separations because of the lower energy cost and smaller footprint requirements in the process operations [20].

Commericially, the most employed separations using membranes include the separation of O₂ and N₂; H₂ recovery from mixtures with larger components such as N₂, CH₄ and CO₂; and the removal of CO₂ from natural gas mixtures [21]. Traditionally, when dealing with membrane contactors and porous membrane, the main problem is the wetting of pore which probably occurs for long time (even hours sometime) [22]. With a porous membrane, the liquid and gas phases are separated due to the interface which is fixed at the pore entrance due to the Laplace pressure. This one depends on the interfacial tension, the pore diameter and the contact angle. If the transmembrane pressure is higher than the Laplace pressure (∼1–2 bars) the liquid will wet the pore [23]. For long term experiment, the contact angle could change due to the adsorption of molecule at the membrane surface or the chemical degradation of the membrane surface properties. Previous works demonstrated that the wetting is avoided when a dense membrane is coated at the membrane surface [24]. In that case, the liquid and gas phase are physically/mechanically separated so the pressure to push the liquid inside a pore is largely increased up to several bars (∼8–10 bars) corresponding to the breakage of the membrane. However, in the case of dense composite hollow fibers, the wetting of the fiber could also occur but in a different way. As water in transfer through the membrane by diffusion and in the vapor state, the gas inside the pore is close to be saturated by water so the water vapor could condense inside the pore. In this work the IL is added inside the pore forming a supported liquid membrane. This membrane is used in a membrane contactor so it is a non-porous membrane. To be wetted by water, the water should push the IL. The transmembrane pressure should be higher than the Laplace pressures. The higher viscosity of ionic liquid than water acts as a shock absorber during the transient regime (i.e. variation of transmembrane pressure with too high pressure) [25]. Also to obtain high permeability with a membrane filled with a liquid, a liquid with a high diffusion coefficient of CO₂ and/or with a high CO₂ solubility is necessary, for this reason the [emim][Ac] and [emim][EtSO₄] were chosen. A study of different self-made PVDF fibers with different additives in the non-dispersive absorption of CO₂ was performed in a hollow fiber membrane contactor using two different ionic liquids, [emim][Ac] and [emim][EtSO₄].

2. Experimental

2.1. Hollow fiber membranes

Four type of hollow fibers were selected among 200 hollow fibers elaborated by Savart [26] based on their high gas permeability and a high bubble point. The most permeable one were selected. The complete description of the fiber manufacturing method is explained in a previous works [26–28]. The hollow fibers based on polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes with different additives called A, B, C, D and were homemade by phase inversion. The HSV900 PVDF grade was kindly provided by Arkema (France), n-methyl-pyrrolidone NMP (Aldrich-France) was used as a solvent, and LiCl (Aldrich-France) and a block copolymer were used as additives. The copolymer was a 1–2 diblock copolymer. 1 was a hydrophobic block composed of poly(methyl methacrylate) (75 wt% of the total mass). 2 was a hydrophilic block composed of poly(butyl acrylate) (20 wt%) and hydroxyethylmethacrylate (5 wt%) [26–28]. The dope solutions to make the A and B fibers were composed of 15% PVDF HSV900 and 3% LiCl. The C fibers were composed of 15% PVDF HSV900, 3% block copolymer and 3% LiCl. Finally, the D fibers were composed of 15% PVDF HSV900, 3% block copolymer, 3% LiCl and 1% water [26,27].

The operating parameters used to produce the hollow fibers are shown in Table 1. The letter Q indicates the flow rate (Qfluid: flow of collodion; Qinternal fluid: internal fluid flow), and the letter T indicates the temperature. The only difference between A and B fibers was the internal fluid flow, 1.8 and 3.9 mL min⁻¹ respectively. In the case of the D fiber, 1% of water was immobilized in the doping solution. For this reason, the membranes were different due to the presence or absence of water in the doping solution and due to the different operating conditions. During formation of the membranes, the LiCl was eliminated during the water-washing step, so the A and B fibers were only composed of PVDF [26]. The block copolymer was partially eliminated; however, the final copolymer content in the fiber was not measured; after the coagulation step that occurs classically with the phase inversion techniques, the block copolymer was found in the coagulation bath, after extraction from water with IR and NMR analysis. Further analysis with secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) technology showed that the copolymer was present in the fiber at the surface of the polymer but also inside the PVDF matrix [26]. Therefore, different quantities of the copolymer could be found in the two hollow fibers.

For drying, the fibers were maintained for approximately 72 h.
in an oven at a temperature of 50 °C and at atmospheric pressure. Subsequently, new fibers were produced by adding the ILs. The ILs were included into the fibers adding 150 mL of each liquid ionic. Virgin fibers and ILs were immobilized into wet virgin fibers for 48 h. 1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium ethyl sulfate [emim][EtSO4] (≥ 95%) and 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium acetate [emim][Ac] (≥ 90%) were supplied by Sigma Aldrich. Previous authors reported fibers impregnated with ionic liquids [29,30].

To ensure that the ionic liquid was suitable for our process despite its relatively low purity, the solubility rates were measured, and compared with literature data, similar values were obtained [12,31,32].

The membrane contactors were manufactured by gluing hollow fibers in a PVC shell.

2.2. Characterization techniques for the hollow fiber membranes

2.2.1. Scanning electron microscopy

The thickness of the composite fiber was examined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM; Hitachi TM1000, Tokyo, Japan). The fibers were first immersed in ethanol, cryofractured in liquid nitrogen, and then sputtered with gold. The thickness was measured from the SEM cross-sectional image.

2.2.2. Mechanical properties of the fibers

The mechanical properties of the porous supports were measured using a tensile testing device (INSTRON, Model 3342). The calculations of the deformation due to traction and compression, as well as the elasticity, were performed using the Bluehill program (Bluehill 2 Software, Instron). To obtain information about the mechanical properties of the membranes, the tensile strength (MC), tensile strain at break (CC) and elongation at the elastic limit (Ec) were determined from the results of the tension tests.

2.2.3. Permeation tests

The gas permeation of the composite hollow fibers was measured using pure CO2. Laboratory-made stainless steel modules were used for these tests. Three fibers approximately 30 cm long were assembled in each module. The gas was fed into the shell side, and the gas permeation flux was measured at the outlet of the lumen side thanks to a mass flow controller (Brooks Instrument MFC 5850, Emerson Process Management Spain). The pressure was increased in steps of 0.5 bar from 0 to 15 bar. Each measurement was recorded after 100 s of flux stabilization and was replicated twice under the same operating conditions. Fig. 1 shows the setup for the gas permeability experiments.

2.2.4. Bubble point

To measure the bubble point, fibers were prepared and submerged in water while compressed air was injected in the fiber lumen. The gas pressure was increased from 0 to 7.16 bar with a step of 0.1 bar while keeping the water side at atmospheric pressure. When the first bubble emerged, the bubble point pressure was determined.

2.3. CO2 capture process

The experimental setup is shown in Fig. 2. The feed gas mixture stream contained 15 vol% CO2 and N2 (to balance) and was adjusted using a mass flow controller (Brooks Instrument MFC 5850, Emerson Process Management Spain). Gas stream flowed through the inside of the hollow fibers. The gas flow rate was 20 mL min⁻¹. The ionic liquid 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium acetate flowed in counter-current through the shell side. The IL was pumped from the storage tank. Control and measurement in the liquid line (50 mL min⁻¹) were achieved using a digital gear pump (Cole Parmer Instrument Company, Hucoa-Erloss S.A, Spain).

The experiments were performed over the temperature range of 303–333 K. Each experiment was replicated three times under the same operating conditions, and the average value was calculated. During the operation with these ionic liquids, their properties were stable and no loss was observed with time. Previous works have also reported that [emim][Ac] and [emim][EtSO4] can be regenerated without losing their properties [12].

To obtain isothermal conditions, an oven was introduced in the experimental setup, as shown in Fig. 2.

The carbon dioxide concentration in the outlet gas stream was continuously monitored by sampling a fraction of the stream through a gas analyzer (Emerson Process, Rosemount Analytical NGA 2000) based on non-dispersive infrared (NDIR) spectroscopy. Before sending the gas sample to the analyzer, it was necessary to dilute the sample with N2 to maintain the concentration range for the NDIR analyzer (at least 200 mL min⁻¹). Steady state was indicated by a constant CO2 concentration in the exit gas stream.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Results of characterization of the hollow fiber membranes

Table 2 shows the measurements of the inner diameter (di), wall thickness (e), and length (l) for fibers in the wet and dry forms. The virgin fibers shrank by approximately 5% of their length as they dried. Note that in the case of the D fibers, both the inner diameter and the wall thickness decreased in the dry form, possibly due to the contraction of the polymer phase. The greatest variation occurred in the A fibers. In the dry form, the C and D fibers presented the highest wall thicknesses.

The mechanical properties results are compiled in Table 3. Note that the B fibers showed the highest elasticity.

Regarding the permeability results with pure CO2, the behavior of the wet fibers was affected by the relative humidity (Fig. 3). A fibers in wet form suffer a compaction around 2 bars and the CO2 flux is smaller than B and C fibers. The trend in the case of this last type of fibers (B and C) is linear reaching fluxes of more than 1.2 · 10⁵ NL m⁻² h⁻¹ with 6 and 7 bar respectively.

Fig. 4 shows the permeability results with pure CO2 and dry fibers. On the one hand, a high permeability and a linear trend were observed in the C and D fibers in dry form, which presented almost identical CO2 permeabilities. No compaction was observed up to 4 bars in these type of fibers. On the other hand, the results obtained with the A and B fibers indicated that the permeability was very low. The compaction of the fibers occurred at 2 bars for the A fibers as the previous case, mentioned in Fig. 3. The CO2 flux with wet fibers was considerably higher than with dry fibers in
Taking into account the high permeability results, the C and D fibers were the most appropriate for the addition of the ionic liquids and consequently for CO₂ absorption.

3.2. Results of characterization of selected hollow fibers when ionic liquids were immobilized

Tables 4 and 5 show the structural comparison with or without IL for the two ionic liquids using scanning electron microscopy. The virgin fibers were wet; therefore, the fibers were dried after adding the IL. In the case of D⁺[emim][EtSO₄], the results were

---

**Table 2**
Outer diameter, wall thickness and length of PVDF hollow fibers with different additives and with ionic liquid immobilized.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dry</th>
<th>Wet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( d_i ) (mm)</td>
<td>( e ) (( \mu )m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.739</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.584</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.488</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.455</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ionic liquid embedded**
- C⁺[emim][EtSO₄] 0.439 143 84.2 0.496 154 82.5
- C⁺[emim][Ac] 0.436 151 82.0 0.515 165 82.5
- D⁺[emim][EtSO₄] 0.443 159 85.3 0.379 146 82.5
- D⁺[emim][Ac] 0.451 160 81.7 0.472 172 82.5

The maximum standard deviation between measurements is 5.88%.

**Table 3**
Mechanical properties of PVDF hollow fibers with different additives and with ionic liquid immobilized.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dry</th>
<th>Wet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MC (N)</td>
<td>CC (Mpa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>7.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>6.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>6.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>5.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ionic liquid embedded**
- C⁺[emim][EtSO₄] 1.37 5.25 142.42 12.36 1.35 4.29 145.53 18.29
- C⁺[emim][Ac] 1.56 5.61 116.89 81.86 1.60 4.40 128.05 40.03
- D⁺[emim][EtSO₄] 1.26 4.18 142.92 9.28 1.28 5.32 168.33 65.40
- D⁺[emim][Ac] 1.49 4.87 95.32 68.42 1.47 4.20 110.12 41.94

The maximum standard deviation between measurements is 6.4%.
highly different in the wet form because the inner and outer diameters decreased (Table 2). The results with D+[emim][Ac] were similar. In the wet form, the fibers when the ionic liquid was added, the pores were filled and possibly creating a new layer, as shown in Table 4. The C fibers immobilized with ionic liquids showed an increment in the diameters in the wet form (Table 2). This result could be primarily attributed to the fact that the ionic liquids swelled the virgin fibers and dissolved the polymeric matrix in the case of the C+[emim][EtSO₄] and C+[emim][Ac] fibers, as shown in Table 5.

The fiber sizes (Table 2) were in accordance with the literature. Rezaei et al. prepared their own fibers with inner and outer diameters of 0.455±0.025 and 0.825±0.025 mm, respectively [33]. Meanwhile, Naim et al. obtained fibers with \( d_i = 0.550 \pm 0.020 \) mm and \( d_o = 0.800 \pm 0.030 \) mm [34].

Table 3 shows the results of the mechanical properties of the fibers with and without ionic liquids. The breaking strain suffered significant changes. C+[emim][EtSO₄] and C+[emim][Ac] fibers obtained values 50% smaller than without ionic liquids in wet form. Results in dry form with ILS show a decrease around 17% and 26% with C and D fibers respectively. On the other hand, note that the deformation in these modified fibers was at least two-fold greater when the ionic liquids were immobilized. The compression with the C fibers and the ionic liquids was much lower than without ILS. Young’s modulus (N/mm²) was calculated using Eq. (1).

\[
E = \frac{\sigma}{\epsilon} = \frac{F}{\Delta L} \quad (1)
\]

where \( E \) is Young’s modulus (modulus of elasticity), \( F \) is the force exerted on an object under tension (fiber), \( \Delta L \) is the amount by which the length of the fiber changes, \( L \) is the original length of the fiber, and \( S \) is the fiber section.

Young’s modulus decreased in the presence of IL, indicating that there was an interaction between the IL and the polymeric matrix. The IL plasticized the polymer, and the fiber swelled.

The bubble point results are presented in Table 6. The results with the D fibers did not lead to any definitive conclusions because they exceeded the maximum measured value of the equipment (7.1 bar). Furthermore, the results with the C fibers indicated that in the case of [emim][EtSO₄], the bubble point was higher than in the case of fibers without ionic liquid, 6.4 and 4.9 bar respectively. Slightly lower values were obtained with C+[emim][Ac] fibers (4.4 bar). These bubble points show the transmembrane pressure necessary to push the IL outside of the pore. This work achieves pressure quite high compared to the transmembrane pressure in a G/L contactors reported in the literature [35–37].

The results of the CO₂ permeation tests with fibers in dry form are listed in Figs. 5 and 6 for the C and D fibers, respectively, showing the differences produced when the ionic liquids were immobilized. A change in dimensions of the fibers when the ILs were added does not necessarily mean more or less permeability (Figs. 5 and 6). The dotted lines accompanying each graph showed a clear linear trend. On one hand when C fibers were studied the confidence reached \( R^2 = 0.99 \) when the ionic liquids were added and \( R^2 = 0.97 \) without ionic liquids. On the other hand results with D fibers reported a confidence of \( R^2 = 0.98 \) and \( R^2 = 0.99 \) with [emim][EtSO₄] ionic liquid and without IL respectively.

When fibers C and D were used the permeability with the ionic liquid [emim][EtSO₄] increased in both cases. In the case of C+[emim][EtSO₄] the flux achieved a value of 46679 NL m⁻² h⁻¹ and

![Fig. 4. Permeability results with dry fibers.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiber</th>
<th>-</th>
<th>[emim][EtSO₄]</th>
<th>[emim][Ac]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_dry</td>
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</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The tests for permeability from 0 to 14 bar with CO₂ using the D fibers with the ionic liquid [emim][Ac] in dry form did not result in any values, which could be attributed to the fact that the ionic liquid filled the pores, and thus, the gas was unable to enter fibers. The explanation may be related to the contraction observed in the pores of the fibers, which may cause that CO₂ cannot enter the fiber. Further work will be planned to confirm this point.

Table 7 shows the calculated CO₂ permeance values, in which the obtained values from previous works are also compiled [22,24,28,38,39]. The important points to note are as follows:

(i) the hollow fibers immobilized with the ionic liquid [emim][EtSO₄] presented higher values of CO₂ permeance (43% increase compared with the fibers without the addition of the ionic liquid) for the PVDF fibers denoted D, and (ii) the highest CO₂ permeance value, 57040 NL/(h m² bar), exceeded the reported values for PVDF and Teflon self-made hollow fibers.
3.3. Results of CO2 capture

CO2 absorption experiments were performed in a PVC membrane contactor to evaluate the process efficiency at different temperatures, with a gas phase composed of 15% CO2 and 85% N2. Related to the CO2 interaction, the ionic liquid [emim][Ac] presents physical absorption, while the ionic liquid [emim][EtSO4] presents chemical absorption [3,4,8,12–14]. Taking into account this point, the ionic liquid [emim][Ac] was selected for the CO2 capture study in order to show the mass transfer enhanced by the chemical reaction.

The gas stream was fed through the lumen side, while the liquid (1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium acetate) flowed in countercurrent through the shell-side. A module with 11 D+[emim][Ac] fibers in the dry form was chosen for CO2 absorption.

The CO2 removal efficiency was calculated as follows:

\[ \text{Efficiency} = \left(1 - \frac{C_{\text{CO2, out}}}{C_{\text{CO2, in}}}ight) \times 100 \]  

(2)

Table 8 shows the removal efficiencies determined at different temperatures (303–333 K) with a gas flow rate of 20 mL min\(^{-1}\).

The resistance in a series approach could be used to relate the individual mass transfer resistances to the overall mass transfer resistance:

\[ R_{\text{overall}} = R_g + R_f + R_m \]  

(3)

Table 8 also reports the values of the calculated resistances in the liquid phase, gas phase and membrane respectively (\(R_g, R_f\) and \(R_m\)), which constitute \(R_{\text{overall}}\). The contribution of the gas phase and membrane could be considered negligible, in agreement with previous works [12,40,41]. In order to calculate each resistance the Eq. (4) was used.

\[ \frac{1}{K_{\text{overall}}} = \frac{d_0}{k_g \, d_1} + \frac{d_0}{k_{mg} \, d_{in}} + \frac{1}{k_h \, H_d \, E} \]  

(4)

where \(d_o, d_i\) and \(d_{in}\) are the outside, inside and log mean diameters (in m) of the hollow fiber, \(H_d\) represents the dimensionless Henry constant, \(k_g, k_{mg}, k_h\) which are the individual mass transfer coefficients of the gas phase, membrane and liquid phase, respectively (m s\(^{-1}\)) and \(K_{\text{overall}}\) is the overall mass transfer coefficient (m s\(^{-1}\)).

The overall transfer coefficient, \(K_{\text{overall}}\), in Table 8 was also calculated using the following equation:

\[ N_{\text{CO2, f}} = \frac{Q_g}{A}(C_{\text{CO2, in}} - C_{\text{CO2, out}}) = \frac{\Delta ym \cdot P_f}{RT} \]  

(5)

where \(Q_g\) is the gas flow rate (m\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\)), \(A\) is the membrane area (m\(^2\)), \(P_f\) is the total pressure in the gas phase, and \(\Delta ym\) is the logarithmic mean of the driving force based on the gas phase molar fractions. The \(K_{\text{overall}}\) values, as expected, rise when the temperature increases (Table 8).

Table 9 compares the \(K_{\text{overall}}\) value obtained at room temperature (298 ± 5 K) with some literature values using other solvents in PVDF membrane contactors for CO2 capture. Concerning to \(K_{\text{overall}}\), this work achieved values that were almost one order of magnitude higher than previous works [42–44] with traditional solvents such as monoethanolamine (MEA) and diethanolamine (DEA), which are associated with some operational problems due to volatility and solvent losses; problems that ionic liquids eliminate. Also, it is worth recalling that ILs have some important advantages respect to these absorbents such as negligible vapor pressure, high chemical, electrochemical and thermal stability and can be regenerated.

Related to the temperature dependence, the \(K_{\text{overall}}\) values (m s\(^{-1}\)) obtained with the PVDF fibers, D+[emim][Ac], show an increase from 1.6 \(-\) 10\(^{-5}\) to 2.5 \(-\) 10\(^{-5}\) m s\(^{-1}\) in the range of 303–333 K. The overall mass transfer coefficient is favored by temperature increases due to higher chemisorption phenomenon, lower viscosity and higher diffusivity.

The values of \(K_{\text{overall}}\) were also transformed by the term (fiber area/shell volume) in order to compare the results obtained with these PVDF fibers, D+[emim][Ac] and the values obtained when another type of commercial membrane contactor was used (Fig. 7): a polysulfone membrane contactor with different packing densities. This PS module has been used due to excellent mechanical strength, high thermal and chemical stability and perfect solubility in many types of solvents [45,46]. Fig. 7 shows the trend of \(K_{\text{f}}\) (s\(^{-1}\)) (\(K_{\text{overall}}\) divided by the term fiber area/shell volume), corresponding to the reaction between CO2 and the ionic liquid [emim][Ac] [3,4,8,12–14].

The temperature dependence was slight because the higher temperature impacted the solubility, viscosity and diffusivity of the ionic liquid, although the mass transfer was favored [12].

Table 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T (K)</th>
<th>Efficiency (%)</th>
<th>(R_{\text{overall}}) (10(^{-4}) m s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(R_g) (m s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(R_f) (m s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(R_m) (m s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(K_{\text{overall}}) (m s(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>303</td>
<td>20.4 ± 2.27</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>7.39</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>1.66 (-) 10(^{-5})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td>271 ± 3.01</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>2.29 (-) 10(^{-5})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323</td>
<td>28.6 ± 2.47</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>2.44 (-) 10(^{-5})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333</td>
<td>29.3 ± 1.83</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>2.51 (-) 10(^{-5})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>(K_{\text{overall}}) (10(^6) m s(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This work</td>
<td>[emim][Ac]</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[42]</td>
<td>1 M MEA</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[43]</td>
<td>1 M MEA</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[42]</td>
<td>1 M DEA</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[44]</td>
<td>Distilled water</td>
<td>4.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All these references using a PVDF hollow fiber membranes.

Fig. 7. \(K_{\text{f}}\) comparison with commercial Ps and the D+[emim][Ac] hollow fiber membrane contactor. Ps values adapted from [46].
4. Conclusions

Four different types of self-made membranes composed of PVDF hollow fibers (coagulation NMP/water) were studied to obtain the best fibers for CO₂ absorption. The characterization indicated which type of fiber was more adequate due to its good size, good mechanical properties, high CO₂ gas permeability and reasonable bubble point, which were appropriate for a CO₂ absorption process in a gas-liquid membrane contactor system. C and D fibers are more adequate for CO₂ absorption. For this reason, and to improve the absorption rate, two different ionic liquids was immobilized on the two best preforming fibers. On the one hand, the 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium ethyl sulfate [emim][EtSO₄] ionic liquid presented low viscosity, low toxicity, and low cost. On the other hand, the 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium acetate [emim][Ac] ionic liquid had high solubility. These ILs were chosen for this work due to its characteristics, reported in the previous literature [3,4,8,12–15].

Concerning the permeability results, two conclusions could be drawn: (i) the fibers in the dry form presented higher values than those in the wet form, and (ii) when the liquid [emim][EtSO₄] was immobilized, the permeability significantly increased by 43%, resulting in CO₂ permeance data that were higher than the literature values.

The CO₂ capture using PVDF fibers immobilized with the [emim][Ac] ionic liquid achieved a Kₜotarget higher than the values reported in the literature for PVDF hollow fiber membrane contactors using conventional solvents such as MEA and DEA.

Further work is planned for the PVDF fibers immobilized with the [emim][Ac] ionic liquid to evaluate CO₂ capture in a post-combustion environment, as well as to conduct long-term experiments under real operating conditions, including high temperatures. Moreover, the composite fibers designed in this study could also offer interesting potential for other applications, such as gas absorption in physical solvents, liquid degassing by vacuum or gas stripping.
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