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Abstract—In this paper, existing results regarding the fundamental limits of simultaneous energy and information transmission in wireless networks are reviewed. In point-to-point channels, the fundamental limits on the information rate given a minimum energy rate constraint are fully characterized by the notion of information-energy capacity function introduced by Varshney in 2008. In a centralized multi-user channel, the fundamental limits on the information rates given a minimum energy rate constraint are described by the notion of information-energy capacity region. Alternatively, in a decentralized multi-user channel, these fundamental limits are described by the information-energy Nash region. All these fundamental limits reveal the intrinsic trade-off between the conflicting tasks of information and energy transmission.

I. INTRODUCTION

Efficient energy utilization is among the main challenges of future communication networks in order to extend their lifetime and to reduce operating costs. Networks rely generally on battery-dependent devices. In some cases, such battery-dependency is relevant at a point in which the battery lifetime is the network lifetime as well. This is typically the case of wireless sensor networks. Once sensors are deployed, their batteries become generally inaccessible and cannot be recharged or replaced. Within this context, wireless energy transmission becomes an alternative to eliminate the need for in situ battery recharging. Nonetheless, for decades, the traditional engineering perspective was to design separately information transmission systems and energy transmission systems. However, this approach has been shown to be sub-optimal [1] due to the fact that a radio frequency (RF) signal carries both energy and information. From this standpoint, a variety of modern wireless systems and proposals question the conventional separation approach and suggest that RF signals can be simultaneously used for information and energy transmission [2].

Typical examples of communications technologies already exploiting this principle are radio frequency identification (RFID) devices and power line communications. Beyond the existing applications, simultaneous energy and information transmission (SEIT) appears as a promising technology for a variety of emerging applications including low-power short-range communication systems, sensor networks, machine-to-machine networks and body-area networks, among others [3].

When a communication system involves sending energy along with information, it should be designed to simultaneously meet two goals: (a) To reliably transmit energy at a given rate with a sufficiently small probability of energy outage; and (b) To reliably transmit information at a given rate with a sufficiently small probability of error. However, these two tasks are usually conflicting. In fact, from a global perspective, imposing a constraint on an energy rate impacts deeply the overall performance of the network components. In order to better understand this impact and to identify the optimal behavior of the network, one needs to determine the fundamental limits on data transmission rates while guaranteeing a given energy rate constraint and vice-versa. An object of central interest in this perspective is the information-energy capacity region which is the set of all information-energy rate tuples at which reliable transmission of information/energy is possible. In a decentralized network, each decision maker aims to maximize its own individual reward by appropriately choosing a particular transmit or receive configuration. The individual choice of each component does not necessarily achieve the capacity of the network, in other words, the individual choice is not necessarily optimal from a global viewpoint. Hence, the information-energy capacity results are not sufficient to describe the fundamental limits of these networks. The asymptotic overall performance of the network can be identified in the case in which each decision maker locally maximizes its individual gain given its own performance metrics. Game theory provides an interesting framework which allows describing the network performance.

This paper reviews the existing results regarding the fundamental limits of SEIT in wireless networks. These fundamental limits are characterized in terms of information-energy capacity function in point-to-point channels and in terms of information-energy capacity region in centralized multi-user channels. Alternatively, in a decentralized multi-user channel, these fundamental limits are described by the information-energy Nash region.

II. POINT-TO-POINT INFORMATION-ENERGY TRADE-OFF

In a point-to-point communication, information and energy transmission are subject to a trade-off between the information rate (bits per channel use) and the energy rate (energy units per channel use) which is evidenced for instance in the constraints induced in the choice of a given modulation [4]. Consider the transmission of a 4-PAM signal over a point-to-point channel in the alphabet \{-2, -1, 1, 2\}. If there is no received energy rate constraint, one can clearly convey up to 2 bits/ch.ue by using all symbols of the constellation. However, if one requires the received energy rate to be for instance the maximum possible, the maximum transferable information rate is 1 bit/ch.ue using only the most-energetic symbols.

A. Discrete Memoryless Channels

A discrete memoryless channel (DMC) with energy harvester (EH) is characterized by a finite input alphabet \(X\), two finite output alphabets \(Y\) and \(S\), and a conditional probability
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A. Discrete Memoryless Channels

A discrete memoryless channel (DMC) with energy harvester (EH) is characterized by a finite input alphabet \(X\), two finite output alphabets \(Y\) and \(S\), and a conditional probability function of the form

\[ P(Y, S|X) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(Y_i, S_i|X_i) \]

where \(P(Y_i, S_i|X_i)\) is the conditional probability of receiving \((Y_i, S_i)\) given \(X_i\). The channel is memoryless if \(P(Y_i, S_i|X_i)\) depends only on \(X_i\) and not on the past inputs. The channel is called causal if the output \(Y_i\) depends only on the current and past inputs \(X_j, j \leq i\), and is called i.i.d. if \(Y_i, S_i, X_i\) are independent random variables.

The information rate of a DMC is defined as

\[ I(X; Y) = \sum_{x,y} P(x, y) \log \frac{P(y|x)}{P(y)} \]

and the energy rate is defined as

\[ E(X) = \sum_{x} P(x) E_x \]

where \(E_x\) is the energy required to transmit one symbol \(x\).

The goal is to find the set of achievable information-energy rate tuples \((I(X; Y), E(X))\) subject to constraints on the energy rate and/or the information rate. This set is called the information-energy capacity region.

III. DECENTRALIZED MULTI-USER CHANNELS

In decentralized multi-user channels, each user has its own energy and information constraints. The objective is to maximize the sum of the information rates while satisfying the energy constraints for all users. The sum of the energy rates is a constraint on the total energy rate available in the network.

The problem of finding the information-energy capacity region in decentralized multi-user channels is more complex than in the point-to-point case. It requires the solution of a non-cooperative game among the users, where each user chooses its transmission strategy to maximize its own information rate while satisfying its energy constraint. The solution to this game is the information-energy Nash region.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have reviewed the existing results regarding the fundamental limits of simultaneous energy and information transmission in wireless networks. We have shown that efficient energy utilization is among the main challenges of future communication networks. We have also introduced the concept of information-energy capacity function and information-energy Nash region, which characterize the fundamental limits of SEIT in wireless networks. These results provide a framework for designing energy-efficient communication systems.
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distribution $P_{Y|X}$. Let $n$ denote the transmission blocklength. At each time $t \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, if the input symbol $x_t \in X$ is transmitted, the probability of observing the channel output $y_t \in Y$ at the receiver and the additional output $s_t \in S$ at the EH is $P_{Y|X,S}(y_t, s_t|x_t)$. In the following, there is no particular assumption on the the joint distribution $P_{Y,S}$.

Within this context, two main tasks are to be simultaneously accomplished: information transmission and energy transmission.

1) Information Transmission: The goal of information transmission is that, over $n$ uses of the channel, the transmitter conveys a message $M$ to the receiver at rate $R$ bits per channel use. The message $M$ is uniformly distributed over the set $\mathcal{M} \triangleq \{1, \ldots, 2^{nR}\}$. The channel input at time $t$ is

$$X_t = \Phi_t^{(n)}(M), \quad t \in \{1, \ldots, n\},$$

for some encoding function $\Phi_t^{(n)}$ of the form $\Phi_t^{(n)} : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}$. The receiver observes the random sequence $Y^n$ and uses it to estimate the message $M$ by means of an appropriate decoding rule $\hat{M}^{(n)} = \Phi^{(n)}(Y^n)$ of the form $\Phi^{(n)} : Y^n \to \mathcal{M}$ and the average probability of error is given by

$$P_{\text{error}}(R) \triangleq \Pr\left(\hat{M}^{(n)} \neq M\right).$$

2) Energy Transmission: At each time $t$, the energy that can be harvested from the output letter $s_t$ is given by $\omega(s_t)$ for some energy function $\omega : S \to \mathbb{R}^+$. For the $n$-length sequence $s^n$, the energy that can be harvested is $\omega(s^n) = \sum_{t=1}^n \omega(s_t)$ (Note that the energy that is harvested can also be as a function of the input sequence). The expected energy rate (in energy-units per channel use) at the EH is given by

$$B^{(n)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n E[\omega(S_t)].$$

The goal of the energy transmission is to guarantee that the expected energy rate $B^{(n)}$ is not less than a given target energy transmission rate $B$ that must satisfy $0 < B \leq B_{\text{Thresh}}$, with $B_{\text{Thresh}}$ is the maximum feasible energy rate. Hence, the probability of energy outage is defined as follows:

$$P_{\text{outage}}^{(n)}(B) = \Pr\left\{B^{(n)} < B - \epsilon\right\},$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$ arbitrarily small.

3) Simultaneous Energy and Information Transmission: The DMC is said to operate at the information-energy rate pair $(R, B) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ when the transmitter and the receiver use a transmit-receive configuration such that: (i) information transmission occurs at rate $R$ with probability of error arbitrarily close to zero; and (ii) energy transmission occurs at a rate not smaller than $B$ with energy-outage probability arbitrarily close zero. Under these conditions, the information-energy rate pair $(R, B)$ is said to be achievable.

**Definition 1** (Achievable Information-Energy Rates). The $(R, B) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ is achievable if there exists a sequence of encoding and decoding functions $\{\Phi^{(n)}_t\}_{t=1}^n, \Phi^{(n)}_{\text{out}} : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{X}$ such that both the average error probability and the energy-outage probability tend to zero as the blocklength $n$ tends to infinity. That is,

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} P_{\text{error}}^{(n)}(R) = 0, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} P_{\text{outage}}^{(n)}(B) = 0.$$

Often, increasing the energy rate implies decreasing the information rates and vice versa. This trade-off is accurately modeled by the notion of information-energy capacity function. The goal is to set to maximize information rate under a given minimum received energy rate constraint.

**Definition 2** (Information-Energy Capacity Function). Let $b \in [0, B_{\text{Thresh}}]$ denote the minimum energy rate that must be guaranteed at the input of the energy harvester. For each blocklength $n$, define the function $C^{(n)}(b)$ as follows:

$$C^{(n)}(b) \triangleq \max_{X^n, Y^n} I(X^n; Y^n)$$

where the maximization is over all the length-$n$ input sequences $X^n$ for which the expected energy rate $B^{(n)}$ is not smaller than $b$. The information-energy capacity function for a minimum energy rate $b$ is defined as

$$C(b) \triangleq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} C^{(n)}(b).$$

**Theorem 1** (Information Capacity Under Minimum Energy Rate (Theorem 1 in [5])). The supremum over all achievable information rates in the DMC under a minimum energy rate $b$ in energy-units per channel use is given by $C(b)$ in bits per channel use.

4) Examples: This subsection reviews some closed form expressions (provided in [5]) of information-energy capacity function in bits per channel use for a minimum energy rate $b$ for some particular channels to better see the optimal trade-offs between information and energy rates. Three binary channels are considered for the special case in which the receiver and the EH observe the same output sequence, i.e., at each time $t$, $S_t = Y_t$. Thus, the channel law reduces to $P_{Y|X}$.

In a noiseless binary channel, the information-energy capacity function for a minimum energy rate $b$ is

$$C(b) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 \leq b \leq \frac{1}{4}, \\ H_2(b), & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq b \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $H_2(\cdot)$ is the binary entropy function. For any $0 \leq b \leq \frac{1}{4}$ the energy rate constraint is vacuous and equiprobable inputs achieve capacity. However, when $\frac{1}{2} \leq b \leq 1$, the capacity-achieving distribution is Bernoulli with parameter $b$. Note that the capacity is monotonically decreasing with $b$ and thus the more energy is requested, the more the transmitter is forced to use the most energetic symbol which reduces the information rate.

In a binary symmetric channel with cross-over probability $p$, the information-energy capacity function for a minimum energy rate $b$ is

$$C(b) = \begin{cases} 1 - H_2(p), & \text{if } 0 \leq b \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } \frac{1}{2} \leq b \leq 1 - p. \\ H_2(b - H_2(p)), & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq b \leq 1 - p. \end{cases}$$
In the Z-channel with 1 to 0 cross-over probability \( \epsilon \), i.e., the binary DMC with \( P_{Y|X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \epsilon & 1-\epsilon \end{bmatrix} \), the information-energy capacity function for a minimum energy rate \( b \) is

\[
C(b) = \begin{cases} 
C(0), & \text{if } 0 \leq b \leq (1 - \epsilon)\pi^* \\
H_2(b) - \frac{b}{\pi - b} H_2(\epsilon), & \text{if } (1 - \epsilon)\pi^* < b \leq 1 - \epsilon,
\end{cases}
\]

with \( C(0) \) the unconstrained capacity [6] of this channel which is given by

\[
C(0) = \log_2 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{1 + (1 - \epsilon)\pi^*} \right)
\]

and which is achieved using a Bernoulli input distribution of parameter

\[
\pi^* = \frac{\epsilon^\pi}{1 + (1 - \epsilon)\epsilon^\pi}.
\]

The three examples show that the more stringent the energy rate constraint is, the more the transmitter needs to adapt its optimal strategy and switch over to using the most energetic symbol.

**B. Gaussian Memoryless Channel**

The results in [5] extend directly to memoryless, continuous alphabet channels. In the memoryless Gaussian channel with EH, at each channel use \( t \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \), if \( X_t \) denotes the real symbols sent by the transmitter, the receiver observes the real channel output

\[
Y_t = h_1X_t + Z_t,
\]

and the EH observes

\[
S_t = h_2X_t + Q_t,
\]

with \( h_1 \) and \( h_2 \) constant non-negative channel coefficients satisfying the \( L_2 \)-norm condition: \( \|h\|^2 \leq 1 \), with \( h \triangleq (h_1, h_2)^T \) to ensure the principle of conservation of energy. The noise sequences \( Z_t \) and \( Q_t \) are identically distributed standard real Gaussian variables. The output energy function for this channel is given by \( \omega(s) \triangleq s^2 \) and the input sequence \( \{X_t\} \) satisfies an average input power constraint

\[
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[X_t^2] \leq P,
\]

with \( P \) the average transmit power of the transmitter in energy-units per channel use. The channel is fully described by the noise power \( \mathbb{E}[S_t^2] \) and the channel density \( \mathbb{E}[h^T \mathbf{X}^2] \), which equals the capacity of the Gaussian channel without EH under average input-power constraint \( P \), achieved using zero-mean Gaussian inputs with variance \( P \). Thus in this case for any feasible energy rate \( 0 \leq b \leq 1 + \text{SNR}_2 \) the information optimal strategy is unchanged.

In the Gaussian channel with peak power constraint, depending on the value of the amplitude constraint a trade-off between the information and energy rates may be observed (See [5] and [7]).

**III. Multi-User Simultaneous Energy and Information Transmission**

Unlike point-to-point setups, multi-user SEIT requires generally additional transmitter cooperation/coordination to increase the energy rate at the input of the EH. In a network in which one single transmitter simultaneously transmits energy to an EH and information to a receiver, if this transmitter is required to deliver an energy rate that is less than what it is able to deliver by only transmitting information, it is able to fulfill the task independently of the behavior of the other transmitters since it can use all its power budget to maximize its information transmission rate and it is still able to meet the energy rate constraint. Alternatively, when the requested energy rate is higher than what it is able to deliver by only transmitting information, its behavior is totally dependent on the behavior of the other transmitters. In this case, the minimum energy rate constraint drastically affects the way that the transmitters interact with each other. More critical scenarios are the case in which the requested energy rate is less than what all transmitters are able to deliver by simultaneously transmitting information using all the available individual power budgets. In these cases, none of them can unilaterally ensure reliable energy transmission at the requested rate. Hence, transmitters must engage in a mechanism through which an energy rate that is higher than the energy delivered by exclusively transmitting information-carrying signals is ensured at the EH. This suggests for instance, the use of power splits in which the transmitted symbols have an information-carrying and an energy-carrying components. The latter typically consists in signals that are known at all devices and can be constructed such that the energy captured at the EH is maximized. Moreover, the information-energy trade-off takes different facets depending on whether or not the network is centralized. In the former, there exists a central controller that determines an operating point and indicates to each transmitter and its corresponding receiver(s) the appropriate transmit-receive configuration to achieve such a point. In the latter, each network component is considered to be autonomous and seeks to determine its own transmit-receive configuration in order to maximize its individual benefit. Clearly, the operating points of the network are significantly different depending on the degree of control over all devices. That is, in a centralized network, all achievable information-energy rates are feasible operating points as the base-station can impose a particular operating point via a signaling system. However, in a fully decentralized network, only stable operating points are feasible, as each device tunes
its transmit-receive configuration aiming to maximizing its own individual performance.

To understand the optimal behavior of SEIT in a multi-user network, an important scenario to look at is the multi-access channel (MAC) with an EH. From an information theoretic viewpoint, the information-energy trade-off was studied by Fouladgar et al. [8] in the discrete memoryless two-user MAC. Recently, Belhadj Amor et al. [9], [10] studied SEIT in the centralized Gaussian MAC (G-MAC) with and without channel-output feedback [9], [10] as well as in the decentralized G-MAC [11].

A. Gaussian Multi-Access Channel

In the channel model described in section II-B, the single transmitter is replaced by two transmitters 1 and 2 that wish to send two independent messages \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) to the single receiver at rates \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \). This channel model is called two-user memoryless Gaussian multiple access channel (G-MAC) with an EH. Transmitter \( i \) has an input power constraint \( P_i \) and channel coefficients \( h_{i1} \) and \( h_{i2} \) to the receiver and the EH, respectively. These channel coefficients satisfy the \( L_2\)-norm condition: \( \forall j \in \{1,2\}, \ |h_{ij}| \leq 1 \), with \( h_j = (h_{j1}, h_{j2}) \) in order to meet the energy conservation principle and \( SNR_{ji} \), with \( \forall (i,j) \in \{1,2\}^2 \) are defined as: \( SNR_{ji} = |h_{ji}|^2 P_i \). Encoding, decoding, probability of error, probability of energy outage, and achievable rate can be defined analogously to the Gaussian point-to-point channel when taking into account the considerations described above. The maximum energy rate which can be achieved at the input of the EH is \( P_{\text{thresh}} \triangleq 1 + SNR_{21} + SNR_{22} + 2\sqrt{SNR_{21}SNR_{22}} \). In the sequel, let \( b \in [0, 1 + SNR_{21} + SNR_{22} + 2\sqrt{SNR_{21}SNR_{22}}] \) be the minimum energy rate required at the input of the EH.

B. Centralized SEIT in G-MAC

In a centralized G-MAC, the fundamental limits of the information-energy trade-off are fully characterized by the information-energy capacity region with a minimum energy rate constraint \( b \), i.e., the closure of all achievable information-energy rate triplets \((R_1, R_2, B)\), is described by the following theorem.

**Theorem 2 (Information-Energy Capacity Region with Minimum Energy Rate \( b \))** (Theorem 1 in [11]). The information-energy capacity region \( \mathcal{E}_b(SNR_{11}, SNR_{21}, SNR_{22}, B) \) of the G-MAC with minimum energy rate constraint \( b \) is given by the set of all non-negative information-energy rate triplets \((R_1, R_2, B)\) that satisfy

\[
R_1 \leq \frac{1}{2} \log_2 (1 + \beta_1 SNR_{11}), \quad (19a)
\]

\[
R_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \log_2 (1 + \beta_2 SNR_{12}), \quad (19b)
\]

\[
R_1 + R_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \log_2 (1 + \beta_1 SNR_{11} + \beta_2 SNR_{12}), \quad (19c)
\]

\[
b \leq B \leq \frac{1}{2} + SNR_{21} + SNR_{22} + 2\sqrt{(1 - \beta_1)SNR_{21}(1 - \beta_2)SNR_{22}}, \quad (19d)
\]

with \((\beta_1, \beta_2) \in [0,1]^2\).

The terms \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \) in (19) might be interpreted as the fractions of power that transmitter 1 and transmitter 2 allocate for information transmission, respectively. The remaining fraction of power \((1 - \beta_i)\) is allocated by transmitter \( i \) for exclusively transmitting energy to the EH by sending common randomness known non-causally to all terminals. For any \((R_1, R_2, B)\), whenever the energy rate \( B \) is smaller than the energy rate required to guarantee reliable communications at the information rates \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \), the energy rate constraint is vacuous since it is always satisfied and each transmitter can exclusively use its available power budget to increase its information rate, i.e., \( \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 1 \). Alternatively, when the energy rate \( B \) is higher than what is strictly necessary to guarantee reliable communication, the transmitters face a trade-off between information and energy rates. Often, increasing the energy rate implies decreasing the information rates and vice-versa.

C. Decentralized SEIT in G-MAC

In a decentralized G-MAC, the aim of transmitter \( i \), for \( i \in \{1,2\} \), is to autonomously choose its transmit configuration \( s_i \) in order to maximize its information rate \( R_i \), while guaranteeing a minimum energy rate \( b \) at the EH. The receiver is assumed to adopt a fixed decoding strategy that is known in advance by both transmitters. The choice of the transmit configuration of each transmitter is subject to the choice of the other transmitter as both of them must guarantee the minimum energy constraint; and at the same time, depending on the decoding scheme at the receiver, the information-carrying signal of one transmitter is interference to the other transmitter.

The competitive interaction of the two transmitters and the receiver in the decentralized G-MAC with minimum energy constraint \( b \) can be modeled by the following game in normal form: \( G(b) = (\mathcal{K}, \{A_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{K}}, \{u_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{K}}) \), where \( b \) is a parameter of the game, the set \( \mathcal{K} = \{1,2\} \) is the set of players (transmitters 1 and 2), and the sets \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) are their sets of actions. An action \( s_i \in A_i \) of a player \( i \in \mathcal{K} \) is basically its transmit configuration. The utility function of transmitter \( i \) is \( u_i : A_1 \times A_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \) and it is defined as

\[
u_i(s_1, s_2) = \begin{cases} R_i(s_1, s_2), & \text{if } 0 \leq \epsilon < \frac{b}{P_{\text{en}}} < \epsilon \text{ and } \frac{b}{P_{\text{out}}} < \delta, \\ -1, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \quad (20)
\]

where \( \epsilon > 0 \) and \( \delta > 0 \) are arbitrarily small numbers and \( R_i(s_1, s_2) \) (written as \( R_i \) for simplicity) denotes an information rate achievable with the configurations \( s_1 \) and \( s_2 \). Note that there might exist several transmit configurations that achieve the same triplet \((R_1, R_2, B)\) and distinction is made only when needed.

The fundamental limits of SEIT in the decentralized G-MAC are fully characterized the \( \eta \)-Nash equilibrium \([12]\) \((\eta\text{-NE})\) information-energy region, with \( \eta \geq 0 \) arbitrarily small. This region corresponds to the set of information-energy rate triplets \((R_1, R_2, B)\) that are achievable and stable in the G-MAC where stability is considered in the sense of Nash [12]. More specifically, an action profile (a transmit configuration) \((s_1^*, s_2^*)\) is an \( \eta\text{-NE} \), if none of the transmitters can increase its own information rate by more than \( \eta \) bits per channel use by changing its own transmit configuration and keeping the average error probability and the energy outage probability arbitrarily close to zero.

Let the set \( \mathcal{D}(b) \) be defined as follows:

\[
\mathcal{D}(b) = \left\{ (\beta_1, \beta_2) \in [0,1]^2 : \sqrt{(1 - \beta_1)(1 - \beta_2)} = \frac{(b - (1 + SNR_{21} + SNR_{22}))^+}{2\sqrt{SNR_{21}SNR_{22}}} \right\}, \quad (21)
\]
The $\eta$-NE information-energy region of the game $\mathcal{G}(b)$ when the receiver uses single-user decoding (SUD), denoted by $\mathcal{N}_{\text{SUD}}(b)$, is described by the following theorem.

**Theorem 3** ($\eta$-NE Information-Energy Region of the Game $\mathcal{G}(b)$ with SUD (Theorem 2 in [11])). The set $\mathcal{N}_{\text{SUD}}(b)$ is defined as follows:

\[
\mathcal{N}_{\text{SUD}}(b) = \left\{ (R_1, R_2, B) \in \mathbb{R}_+^3 : (\beta_1, \beta_2) \in \mathcal{D}(b) \text{ and } \right. \\
R_1 = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{\beta_1 \text{SNR}_{11}}{1 + \beta_2 \text{SNR}_{12}} \right), \\
R_2 = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{\beta_2 \text{SNR}_{12}}{1 + \beta_1 \text{SNR}_{11}} \right), \\
\left. b \leq B \leq 1 + \text{SNR}_{21} + \text{SNR}_{22} + 2\sqrt{(1 - \beta_1)\text{SNR}_{21}(1 - \beta_2)\text{SNR}_{22}} \right\}
\]

Let SIC($i \to j$) denote the case in which the receiver uses successive interference cancellation (SIC) with decoding order: transmitter $i$ before transmitter $j$, with $i \in \{1, 2\}$. In this case, the $\eta$-NE information-energy region of the game $\mathcal{G}(b)$, denoted by $\mathcal{N}_{\text{SIC}(i \to j)}(b)$, is described by the following theorem.

**Theorem 4** ($\eta$-NE Information-Energy Region of the Game $\mathcal{G}(b)$ with SIC (Theorem 3 in [11])). The set $\mathcal{N}_{\text{SIC}(i \to j)}(b)$ is defined as follows:

\[
\mathcal{N}_{\text{SIC}(i \to j)}(b) = \left\{ (R_1, R_2, B) \in \mathbb{R}_+^3 : (\beta_1, \beta_2) \in \mathcal{D}(b) \text{ and } \right. \\
R_i = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{\beta_i \text{SNR}_{ij}}{1 + \beta_j \text{SNR}_{ij}} \right), \\
R_j = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 \left( 1 + \beta_j \text{SNR}_{ij} \right), \\
\left. b \leq B \leq 1 + \text{SNR}_{21} + \text{SNR}_{22} + 2\sqrt{(1 - \beta_1)\text{SNR}_{21}(1 - \beta_2)\text{SNR}_{22}} \right\}
\]

Fig. 1 shows the projection of the regions described in Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 as well as the convex hull of these regions for a symmetric G-MAC with $\text{SNR}_{11} = \text{SNR}_{12} = \text{SNR}_{21} = \text{SNR}_{22} = 10$ (EH and receiver are co-located). Note that for all $b \leq 1 + \text{SNR}_{21} + \text{SNR}_{22}$, both transmitters use the whole available power for information transmission (see the figure on the left). Alternatively, when $b > 1 + \text{SNR}_{21} + \text{SNR}_{22}$, both transmitters use the minimum energy needed to make the energy-outage probability arbitrarily close to zero and seek for the largest possible information transmission rates (see the figure on the right).

**IV. Discussion**

In point-to-point channels, depending on the channel model, the trade-off between information and energy rates is not always observed (e.g., Gaussian channel with peak power constraint[5], [7]).

In G-MACs, SEIT induces additional transmitter cooperation to meet the energy rate constraints. This cooperation is usually not natural especially when the transmitters do not share common information and are not co-located. In this sense, it seems likely that providing additional means of cooperation would result in a significant performance enhancement of SEIT. From this standpoint, exploring the benefits induced by cooperation techniques such as channel-output feedback and conferencing in SEIT for the two-user G-MAC is really promising, especially in terms of energy transmission. Recently, Bellahd Amor et al. have shown that channel-output feedback can provide a multiplicative factor to the energy rate without any decrease on the information rates [9]. This surprising result is mainly due to the additional correlation that can be induced among the signals of all the transmitters via feedback in order to increase the energy that can be collected at a given EH. This reasoning applies also to other multi-user setups such as the broadcast and interference channels.
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