An opto-electronic system for in-situ determination of peroxide value and total phenol content in olive oil

Marco Grossi, Giuseppe Di Lecce, Marco Arru, Tullia Gallina Toschi, Bruno Riccò

► To cite this version:

Marco Grossi, Giuseppe Di Lecce, Marco Arru, Tullia Gallina Toschi, Bruno Riccò. An opto-electronic system for in-situ determination of peroxide value and total phenol content in olive oil. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 2015, 146, pp.1-7. 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2014.08.015 . hal-01276359

HAL Id: hal-01276359

<https://hal.science/hal-01276359>

Submitted on 25 Feb 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **An opto-electronic system for in-situ determination of peroxide value and total phenol content**
2 **in olive oil**

3

4 Marco Grossi^{a,*}, Giuseppe Di Lecce^b, Marco Arru^a, Tullia Gallina Toschi^c, Bruno Riccò^a

5 * Corresponding author : marco.grossi8@unibo.it , Tel. 0039-0512093082 , Fax 0039-0512093785

6 ^a Department of Electrical Energy and Information Engineering “Guglielmo Marconi” (DEI),
7 University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

8 ^b Department of Food Chemistry, Eurofins Chemical Control, Cuneo, Italy

9 ^c Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences – DISTAL, University of Bologna, Cesena, Italy

10

11 **Abstract**

12 The quality of olive oil is essentially determined by the product free acidity and peroxide value,
13 while the total phenol content is also important for a high antioxidant capacity. Generally, these
14 parameters are measured with laboratory analysis, that are expensive and may require a few days.
15 Thus, a cheap and easy technique usable by untrained personnel, “on-site” and producing results “in
16 real time” during production is desirable, particularly as far as small olive oil mills and packaging
17 centers are concerned. This paper describes a technique to determine peroxide value and total
18 phenol content in olive oil, that is based on the measurement of optical density of an emulsion
19 between a suitable chemical reagent and a small quantity of the oil of interest. The optical density is
20 measured by illuminating the sample with a LED with peak wavelength of 569 nm for peroxide
21 value and 835 nm for total phenol content. The experimental results show good correlation ($R^2 =$
22 0.883 and 0.895 for peroxide value and total phenol content, respectively) between data measured
23 with the standard methodology and the technique of this work, implemented also in the form of a
24 portable embedded system.

25

26 *Keywords:* olive oil, peroxide value, total phenol content, sensor, optical density.

27

28 **1. Introduction**

29 Olive oil is a vegetable lipid obtained by extraction process from olives (the fruits of *Olea europaea*
30 *L.*, family Oleaceae) highly appreciated for its beneficial effects on human health, mainly due to a
31 high content of oleic acid and phenolic compounds (Tulipani et al., 2012). Clinical studies provide
32 evidence that regular olive oil consumption reduces the risk of coronary heart diseases (Keys et al.,
33 1986), oxidative damage to DNA and RNA (Machowetz et al., 2007) and Alzheimer disease
34 (Abuznait et al., 2013; Monti et al., 2011).

35 Olive oil quality is related to its chemical composition, oxidative stability and sensory
36 characteristics. Quality parameters, such as free acidity, peroxide value, UV extinction coefficients,
37 fruity attribute, other sensory characteristics and defects, are strongly dependent on olives' ripeness
38 (Rotondi et al., 2004) and processing technology in the olive mills (Boselli et al., 2009). In addition,
39 the peroxide value, defined as milliequivalent of active oxygen per kilogram of oil (meq O₂/kg oil)
40 and qualifying the oil primary oxidation, is also related to storage conditions (oxygen, light
41 exposure and temperature) after production. Another important quality parameter is the amount of
42 phenolic compounds that contribute to the oil sensory taste producing a distinctive bitter and a
43 pungent perception (Gutierrez-Rosales et al., 2003). Phenolic compounds found in olive oil are
44 principally secoiridoids (oleuropein and ligstroside isomers) and their derivatives, such as tyrosol
45 and hydroxytyrosol, that exhibit a strong antioxidant activity: they act as free radicals traps
46 protecting from heart disease and displaying anticancer activity (Notarnicola et al., 2011; Zanoni,
47 2014). Phenolic compounds are also largely responsible for the shelf-life of the oil (Lerma-Garcia et
48 al., 2009).

49 The European Commission regulation No. 2568/91 and subsequent amendments define manual
50 titration methods to measure acidity and peroxide value in olive oil (EEC 2568, 1991), to be carried
51 out in a laboratory environment by trained personnel. Instead, no official determination is currently
52 established for the total phenol content, usually determined using spectrophotometry or high

53 performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), techniques requiring expensive instrumentation, a
54 laboratory environment (IOC/T.20/Doc No 29, 2009; Tasioula-Margari and Okogeri, 2001) as well
55 as preventive extraction of the polyphenols.

56 From the production point of view, the need to ship oil samples to a laboratory for analysis leads to
57 high costs and long delays. Therefore, simple and fast techniques useable for on-site quality control
58 are desirable, in particular for small oil mills and packaging centers. For this reason, innovative
59 solutions have been proposed, such as: Near-InfraRed (NIR) spectroscopy (Armenta et al., 2007;
60 Ozdemir and Ozturk, 2007) to estimate acidity and peroxide value; Time Domain Reflectometry
61 (TDR) to determine water content (Ragni et al., 2012) and detect adulteration (Cataldo et al., 2012)
62 in extra virgin olive oil; Rapid Fourier Transformed Infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy (Cerretani et al.,
63 2010) and voltammetric sensors (Rodriguez-Mendez et al., 2008) to estimate total phenol content.
64 However, all these techniques require expensive instrumentation and/or need frequent calibration
65 for olives of different varieties, country of origin and harvest season.

66 As viable alternatives, amperometric and pH-metric techniques have been proposed to measure
67 peroxide value (Kardash-Strochkova et al., 2001; Adhoum and Monser, 2008) and total phenol
68 content (Capannesi et al., 2000), but these methods are still at research stage and have been
69 validated only on small amounts of samples in laboratory environment. Moreover, some techniques
70 use toxic compounds (such as chloroform) to increase oil solubility in reagents, unsuitable for use in
71 normal working environment.

72 Recently, we have proposed a novel technique based on Electrical Impedance Spectroscopy to
73 measure olive oil acidity that is fast (response time in about 30 seconds) and can be easily
74 implemented in the form of a low-cost portable embedded system (Grossi et al., 2013).

75 To complete this work, we here present a simple and effective technique to measure peroxide value
76 and total phenol content in olive oil that, as will be shown, is fast, accurate and can be implemented
77 in the form of a low-cost embedded electronic system.

78

79 **2. Materials and methods**

80 *2.1 Technique*

81 The technique used in this work is based on the creation of an aqueous emulsion between the oil
82 sample and a chemical reagent. The optical density (OD) of such an emulsion is determined by
83 illuminating the sample with a LED and measuring the transmitted light through the sample with a
84 photodiode. A large set of experimental results show a good correlation between the measured OD
85 and the quality parameters determined by reference methods. The proposed technique is suitable to
86 be implemented in the form of a portable instrument suitable for quick in-situ quality control, as
87 will be discussed in sub-Section 3.3.

88 *2.2 Experimental set-up*

89 In order to validate the technique used in this work, measurements on olive oil samples have been
90 initially carried out using an ad-hoc experimental set-up of bench-top instruments.

91 The sensor, depicted in Fig. 1 (a), consists of a cylindrical chamber (designed using Solid Edge by
92 Siemens Systems and fabricated with a MakerBot Replicator 3D printer) devoted to host the 25ml
93 polystyrene vial containing the emulsion between a suitable aqueous reagent (discussed in section
94 2.2) and the oil sample. The chamber features two diametrically opposed structures hosting a LED,
95 used as light source and a photodiode to detect the light transmitted through the sample. In the case
96 of peroxide value, the LED has a peak emission at 569 nm wavelength (biased with a 30 mA
97 current), while the photodiode is a BPW21R by Vishai (with wavelength peak sensitivity at 565
98 nm). In the case of total phenol content, instead, the LED has a peak emission at 835nm (biased
99 with a 80 mA current) and the photodiode is a OSD5-5T device by Centronic, with wavelength
100 peak sensitivity between 700 and 900 nm. As discussed in Section3, both the LED peak
101 wavelengths have been chosen by means of preliminary measurements on phenolic and peroxide
102 compounds using a SmartSpec 3000 spectrophotometer.

103 The experimental set-up is presented in Fig 1 (b). A DC power supply Agilent E3631A is used to
104 provide the LED operating current (I_{LED}) and the power supply for the operational amplifier. The

105 photodiode current (I_{photo}), related to the detected light intensity, is converted into a voltage (V_{out})
106 by a current-to-voltage converter. The voltage V_{out} is acquired by a NI USB-6211 Data Acquisition
107 (DAQ) board by National Instruments and transmitted to a PC for further analysis. All the software
108 for DAQ control, analysis, data presentation and filing has been realized with LabVIEW (National
109 Instruments). Statistical analysis on the experimental data has been carried out with Microsoft
110 EXCEL.

111 2.3 Chemicals and media

112 Phenolic reference standards (oleuropein, tyrosol, hydroxytyrosol, *p*-coumaric acid) and peroxide
113 compounds (hydrogen peroxide, H_2O_2 , and tert-butyl hydroperoxide, tBuOOH) were purchased
114 from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). The reagent for peroxide value determination was
115 prepared diluting 8 mL of ferrous ion oxidation xylenol orange (FOX) reagent (an aqueous solution
116 of ferrous ammonium sulphate, sorbitol, sulphuric acid and xylenol orange, Sigma-Aldrich)
117 (Cheeseman, 2006) in 7mL of distilled water. The reagent detects the peroxides concentration by
118 oxidation of ferrous ions Fe^{2+} to Fe^{3+} according to the following reaction:

120 Fe^{3+} ions formed in the reaction are then detected using the dye xylenol orange which binds Fe^{3+}
121 forming a complex that strongly absorbs in the wavelength range 540-580 nm.

122 For the total phenol content, instead, the reagent was prepared mixing: 13 mL of distilled water, 1
123 mL of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (a mixture of phosphomolybdate acid $\text{H}_3\text{PMo}_{12}\text{O}_{40}$ and
124 phosphotungstate $\text{H}_3\text{PW}_{12}\text{O}_{40}$) and 1 mL of sodium carbonate (Na_2CO_3) 15% (i.e. 15g di sodium
125 carbonate in 100mL of distilled water). As a consequence of the reaction with the phenolic
126 compounds, the acids are reduced to tungsten and molybdenum oxides (W_8O_{23} and Mo_8O_{23})
127 featuring a typical blue colour.

128 In both cases, the reagent was then mixed with 0.5 mL of the oil sample, all stirred for 30 seconds
129 to create the emulsion, then the vial is placed in the sensor for the measure.

130 All the chemicals used in the experiments are of analytical grade. The olive oil samples used in the
131 experiments were purchased by local markets as well as olive oil mills.

132 *2.4 Reference methods*

133 Olive oil peroxide value has been determined by European standard reference method with starch as
134 indicator and sodium thiosulphate ($\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$) as titrant, while total phenol content has been
135 determined according to spectrophotometric method proposed by Singleton and Rossi (Singleton
136 and Rossi, 1965). Phenolic fraction has been extracted using about 4 g of virgin olive oil (VOO)
137 with 5 mL of methanol:water (60:40). The extraction procedure has been repeated two time and the
138 hydroalcoholic fractions have been combined and evaporated by rotavapor up to complete dryness.
139 The concentrated extract has been dissolved in 5 mL of aqueous methanol (50%), and filtered
140 through Minisart RC15 (0.2 μm) regenerated cellulose syringe filters (Sartorius AG, Göttingen, D).
141 Total phenol content has been determined using the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, St.
142 Louis, MO, USA) and measuring at 750 nm with a Shimadzu spectrophotometer UV-VIS 1204
143 (Kyoto, Japan). The results have been expressed as mg of gallic acid per kg of VOO (gallic acid
144 calibration curve $R^2 = 0.993$).

145

146 **3. Results and discussion**

147 The reagents response was initially characterized with peroxide and phenolic compounds using a
148 SmartSpec 3000 spectrophotometer. Then a set of 25 olive oil samples have been analyzed with the
149 technique and the bench-top set-up described in Section 2.1. Finally an electronic board has been
150 designed and fabricated to avoid the use of all bench-top instrumentation, thus demonstrating the
151 feasibility of a simple and economical instrument for easy, fast and in-situ analysis of olive oil.

152 *3.1. Spectral characterization of reagents response*

153 At first, the reagents were inoculated with known concentrations of peroxide and phenolic
154 compounds and the absorption spectra acquired with a SmartSpec 3000 spectrophotometer.

155 In the case of phenols, four different compounds were tested: three of these are typically present at
156 high concentration (oleuropein, hydroxytyrosol and tyrosol) while the forth one (*p*-coumaric acid) is
157 present in small concentration. Fig. 2 (a) shows the absorption spectra in the case of different
158 concentrations of oleuropein in the 400 – 800 nm wavelength range. As can be seen the different
159 concentrations of phenolic compound can be discriminated for wavelength > 500 nm with the
160 sensitivity increasing with the wavelength. In Fig. 2 (b) the absorbance measured at the wavelength
161 of 800 nm is plotted vs. the phenol content for all the tested compounds. Except for tyrosol for
162 which a higher sensitivity for concentrations below than 15 ppm is registred, in all cases a linear
163 relation between absorbance and concentration is found. The linear regression lines of the four
164 cases are calculated to estimate the compound sensitivity (Abs800/ppm) to the reagent with the
165 following results: 0.0199 (oleuropein), 0.1159 (hydroxytyrosol), 0.0248 (*p*-coumaric acid), while in
166 the case of tyrosol the sensitivity is 0.0755 for concentrations lower than 15 ppm and 0.019843 for
167 concentrations higher than 15 ppm. As depicted in Fig 2 (b), the intensity of blue color obtained by
168 reduction of tungsten and molybdenum oxides is proportional to the concentration of each phenol
169 but shows a different response respect to the phenol structure which reacted. In fact Folin-Ciocalteu
170 detects the total reducing capacity that is different for different compounds (Apak et al., 2007). As
171 described in several paper, during the storage of VOO the trend of phenolic fraction, measured by
172 Folin-Ciocalteu assay showed a fluctuation due to the oxidation and lysis of phenolic compounds
173 disperse in the matrix. This result confirms the different response factor that the reaction mixture
174 presents towards individual phenols (Boselli et al., 2009; Fiori et al., 2014).

175 In the case of peroxides, two different compounds were tested: hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and tert-
176 butyl hydroperoxide (tBuOOH). The absorbance spectra in the wavelength range 400 - 800 nm are
177 shown in Fig. 3 (a) for different tBuOOH concentrations. As expected, the reaction of Fe²⁺ ions
178 with peroxide compounds results in the formation of Fe³⁺ ions that bind to xylenol orange
179 producing an absorbance peak between 560 and 580 nm. The measured absorbance at 580 nm is
180 plotted vs. compound concentration for both H₂O₂ and tBuOOH in Fig. 3 (b). From the measured

181 data, the absorbance can be empirically modelled as a linear function of the square root of the
182 compound concentration. The results indicate that H_2O_2 ($y = 0.297\sqrt{x} + 0.436$) is characterized by
183 higher sensitivity than tBuOOH ($y = 0.115\sqrt{x} + 0.265$). In both cases a determination coefficient R^2
184 higher than 0.96 is achieved. The different response of FOX reagent to H_2O_2 and tBuOOH can be
185 due to the ability of sorbitol to scavenge hydroxyl radicals to yield peroxy radicals which would
186 propagate Fe^{2+} oxidation (Jiang et al., 1990).

187 3.2. Experimental results

188 A set of 25 olive oil samples have been tested using the technique and the experimental set-up
189 described in Section 2. The voltage V_{out} is acquired at time intervals of 5 seconds for a total of 1200
190 seconds for both tests (total phenol content and peroxide value).

191 In the case of total phenol content, the logarithm of V_{out} has been found to be linearly related with
192 the phenols concentration. The coefficient of determination R^2 has been calculated after different
193 time intervals and plotted as function of time in Fig. 4 (a): the correlation between $\text{Log}_{10}(V_{\text{out}}/V_{\text{M}})$
194 (where V_{M} is the measured voltage in the absence of oil sample, i.e. due to the reagent only) and the
195 total phenol content increases with time, reaching a plateau after 600 seconds. Fig. 4 (b) shows the
196 measured values of $\text{Log}_{10}(V_{\text{out}}/V_{\text{M}})$ after 600 seconds plotted vs total phenol content as determined
197 using the reference method. The linear regression line equation is $Y = 0.0343 - 2.389 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot X$ and the
198 coefficient of determination 0.895. The accuracy is slightly higher to what reported by Cerretani
199 (Cerretani et al., 2010) when a R^2 of 0.87 was obtained by FTIR attenuated total reflectance
200 spectroscopy using a wavelength range $3610 - 816 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and a PLS chemometric analysis. The use
201 of an array of voltammetric sensors (Rodriguez-Mendez et al., 2008) reported an higher accuracy
202 ($R^2 = 0.986$), but the samples tested were only 6 olive oils and all above the 400 ppm; instead, in the
203 present work, 25 samples were analysed and the level of detection achieved was of 100 ppm.

204 In the case of peroxide value, a linear relation between the measured values of $V_{\text{out}}/V_{\text{M}}$ and the
205 peroxide value has been found. As shown in Fig. 4 (c), the coefficient R^2 increases with time
206 reaching a plateau after about 600 seconds. Fig. 4 (d) shows the measured values of $V_{\text{out}}/V_{\text{M}}$ plotted

207 vs. the peroxide value as determined by the reference method. The linear regression line equation is
208 $Y = 0.6368 - 13.8 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot X$ and the coefficient of determination 0.883. The dispersion between
209 measured data and the reference technique can be mainly associated with the property of the FOX
210 reagent that is able to determine all kinds of conjugated dienes including those without a peroxide
211 group, and not able to react with peroxides included in molecules without conjugated double bonds.
212 Furthermore the accuracy of the quantification can be also diminished by the presence of several
213 other compounds present in olive oil, such as carotenoids and chlorophyll, absorbing at 500-600
214 nm, and chain-breaking antioxidant able to reduce the color yield (Bou et al 2008). The obtained
215 accuracy is however higher than that reported in (Armenta et al., 2007) where a coefficient of
216 determination R^2 of 0.6558 resulted by NIR spectroscopy combined with PLS chemometric
217 analysis.

218 In both cases there is a linear relation between the parameter measured with the experimental set-up
219 ($\text{Log}_{10}(V_{\text{out}}/V_M)$ for total phenol content and V_{out}/V_M for peroxide value) and the oil quality
220 parameter measured with the reference methods. Thus, using the equation of the linear regression
221 line, the oil quality parameters can be estimated.

222 *3.3. Implementation as a portable embedded system*

223 To demonstrate that the technique proposed in this work can lead to a portable embedded system
224 suitable for in-situ measurements, all the operations performed by the bench-top instrumentation
225 and DAQ PC board have been implemented inside an electronic board designed “ad hoc”, based on
226 the μ controller Dspic33ep512mu810, whose schematic is presented in Fig. 5 (a).

227 The LED is supplied with a square wave current (frequency 1 kHz) to allow removing the
228 contribution of the environment radiation. In particular, a square wave voltage ranging from 0 to
229 830 mV is generated by the μ controller and fed to the noninverting input of an operational
230 amplifier. In turn, this latter drives a BJT transistor providing the LED with the supply current I_{LED}
231 (selectable with the value of the resistance R_{LED}). The radiation transmitted through the sample is
232 received by the photodiode that generates the current I_{photo} proportional to the incident radiation. An

233 I/V converter generates a voltage V_A proportional to I_{photo} . A couple of programmable switches
234 allows to select two different feedback resistors (R_{F1} or R_{F2}). The voltage V_A is then fed to a AC
235 coupling stage that generates a square wave voltage (V_B) with mean value 2.5V. Such a voltage is
236 then fed to a synchronous rectifier (built with an AD8271 difference amplifier and an ADG733
237 triple single pole double throw SPDT switch) that provides a DC voltage (V_C) equal to the high
238 level of V_B . Then, a 18 bit ADC driver ADA4941 generates a differential voltage V_{OUT}
239 (proportional to V_C) that is fed to a 12 bit ADC (AD9220AR) providing the 12 bit digital
240 codification of V_{OUT} (D_{OUT}) to be processed by the μ controller. The measured data are sent to a
241 portable PC (via USB interface) to display the results and file the data. The system has been built by
242 using low-cost electronics and its total cost has been estimated in about 300 \$. All the software has
243 been developed using LabVIEW. Fig. 5 (b) and (c) present pictures of the sensor, while Fig. 5 (d) is
244 a photograph of the electronic board.

245 A significant subset of the olive oil samples have been tested using both the bench-top instrument
246 set-up described in Section 2.1 and the embedded system presented above to compare the results.

247 Fig. 6 (a) shows a plot of $\text{Log}_{10}(D_{\text{OUT}}/4096)$ vs. time (acquisitions every 5 seconds) for 3 samples
248 featuring different values of total phenol content (the division by 4096 represents a normalization
249 necessary for comparison with V_{out}/V_M of sub-Section 3.1). As can be seen, higher total phenol
250 content results in lower values of measured D_{OUT} . Measurements on a set of 10 olive oil samples
251 result in a linear correlation between $\text{Log}_{10}(D_{\text{OUT}}/4096)$ and total phenol content ($Y = -4.978 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot X$
252 $-4.7 \cdot 10^{-3}$).

253 In Fig. 6 (b) $D_{\text{OUT}}/4096$ is plotted vs. time for 3 samples featuring different values of peroxide value
254 and, as can be seen, more oxidized samples exhibit lower values of D_{OUT} . Measurements on a set of
255 7 olive oil samples result in a linear correlation between $D_{\text{OUT}}/4096$ and the peroxide value ($Y =$
256 $8.532 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot X + 0.893$).

257 The linear regression equations have been used to compare the estimated values of both peroxide
258 value and total phenol content with those obtained with the reference methods and the calculated

determination coefficient R^2 differs by less than 1.6% between the two measuring systems. In particular, in the case of peroxide value, $R^2 = 0.901$ and 0.887 for the bench-top set-up and the embedded system, respectively; while with total phenol content $R^2 = 0.932$ and 0.922 for the bench-top set-up and the embedded system, respectively. The agreement between the two measuring systems is very good.

4. Conclusions

A novel technique to measure peroxide value and total phenol content in olive oil has been presented that is based on optical density measurements of a suitable reagent inoculated with the olive oil of interest. The technique, suitable to be realized in the form of a low-cost, embedded electronic system, has been tested using an experimental set-up built with bench-top instrumentation and the results show that it can estimate with good accuracy the peroxide value ($R^2 = 0.883$) and the total phenol content ($R^2 = 0.895$) of olive oil in less than 10 minutes.

The proposed technique has been implemented in an electronic board to realize a portable embedded system; the results obtained with such an instrument have been compared with those coming from the bench-top set-up and an excellent agreement has been found.

The portable embedded system could be applied for fast and *in-situ* olive oil quality control and to assess the shelf-life without the need of trained personnel. Furthermore, it could be useful for a first evaluation of the total phenol content of virgin olive oils in case of interest of a producer to report on the label the olive oil claim, according with the EU Regulation 432/2012, stating that “Olive oil polyphenols contribute to the protection of blood lipids from oxidative stress”, but admitted only if at least 5 mg of hydroxytyrosol and its derivatives (e.g. oleuropein complex and tyrosol) are contained in 20 g of olive oil.

285 **Acknowledgements**

286 This work has been financially supported by the CESAR Project, RIDIIT program, funded by the
287 Ministry of Economic Development (Italy).

288

289 **References**

290

291 Abuznait, A.H., Qosa, H., Busnena, B.A., El Sayed, K.A., & Kaddoumi, A. (2013). Olive-oil-
292 derived oleocanthal enhances β -amyloid clearance as a potential neuroprotective mechanism
293 against Alzheimer's disease: in vitro and in vivo studies. *ACS Chemical Neuroscience*, 4(6), 973-
294 982.

295

296 Adhoum, N., & Monser, L. (2008). Electrochemical sensor for hydroperoxides determination based
297 on Prussian blue film modified electrode. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 133, 588-592.

298

299 Apak, R., Guclu, K., Demirata, B., Ozurek, M., Celik, S.E., Bektasoglu, B., Berker, K.I. & Ozyurt,
300 D. (2007). Comparative evaluation of various total antioxidant capacity assays to phenolic
301 compounds with CUPRAC assay. *Molecules*, 12, 1496-1547.

302

303 Armenta, S., Garrigues, S., & de la Guardia, M. (2007). Determination of edible oil parameters by
304 near infrared spectrometry. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 596, 330-337.

305

306 Boselli, E., Di Lecce, G., Strabbioli, R., Pieralisi, G., & Frega, N.G. (2009). Are virgin olive oils
307 obtained below 27 °C better than those produced at higher temperatures? *Food Science and*
308 *Technology*, 42, 748-757.

309

310 Bou, R., Codony, R., Tres, A., Decker, E.A., & Guardiola F. (2008). Determination of
311 hydroperoxides in foods and biological samples by the ferrous oxidation–xylenol orange method: A
312 review of the factors that influence the method's performance. *Analytical Biochemistry* 377, 1-15.
313

314 Capannesi, C., Palchetti, I., Mascini, M., & Parenti, A. (2000). Electrochemical sensor and
315 biosensor for polyphenols detection in olive oils. *Food Chemistry*, 71, 553-562.
316

317 Cataldo, A., Piuze, E., Cannazza, G., & De Benedetto, E. (2012). Classification and adulteration
318 control of vegetable oils based on microwave reflectometry analysis. *Journal of Food Engineering*,
319 112, 338-345.
320

321 Cerretani, L., Giuliani, A., Maggio, R.M., Bendini, A., Gallina Toschi, T., & Cichelli, A. (2010).
322 Rapid FTIR determination of water, phenolics and antioxidant activity of olive oil. *European*
323 *Journal of Lipid Science*, 112, 1150-1157.
324

325 Cheeseman, J.M. (2006). Hydrogen peroxide concentrations in leaves under natural conditions.
326 *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 57(10), 2435-2444.
327

328 EC 1991 Commission Regulation (EEC) 2568/91 of July 11th 1991 on characteristics of olive oil
329 and on the relevant methods of analysis. *Official EC Journal*, L248, 0001-0083.
330

331 EC 2012 Commission Regulation (EC) 432/2012 of May 16th on establishing a list of permitted
332 320 health claims made on foods, other than those referring to the reduction of disease risk and to
333 children's development and health, Official Journal of the European Communities. 2012, L 136.
334

335 Fiori, F., Di Lecce, G., Boselli, E., Pieralisi, G., & Frega, N.G. (2014). Effects of olive paste fast
336 preheating on the quality of extra virgin olive oil during storage. *Food Science and Technology*, 58
337 511-518.

338

339 Grossi, M., Di Lecce, G., Gallina Toschi, T., & Riccò, B. (2013). A novel electrochemical method
340 for olive oil acidity determination. *Proceedings of the 5th IEEE International Workshop on*
341 *Advances in Sensors and Interfaces (IWASI)*, 162-167.

342

343 Gutierrez-Rosales, F., Rios, J.J., & Gomez-Rey, M.L. (2003). Main polyphenols in the bitter taste
344 of virgin olive oil. Structural confirmation by on-line high-performance liquid chromatography
345 electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 51(20),
346 6021-6025.

347

348 IOC/T.20/Doc No 29 (2009). Official methods of analysis. Determination of biophenols in olive
349 oils by HPLC. *International Olive Council – COI*, Madrid.

350

351 Jiang, Z.Y., Woollard, A.C.S., & Wolff, S.P. (1990). Hydrogen peroxide production during
352 experimental protein glycation. *FEBS Letters*, 268(1), 69-71.

353

354 Kardash-Strochkova, E., Tur'yan, Y.I., & Kuselman, I. (2001). Redox-potentiometric determination
355 of peroxide value in edible oils without titration. *Talanta*, 54, 411-416.

356

357 Keys, A., Mienotti, A., Karvonen, M.J., Aravanis, C., Blackburn, H., Buzina, R., Djordjevic, B.S.,
358 Dontas, A.S., Fidanza, F., Keys, M.H., Kromhout, D., Nedeljkovic, S., Punsar, S., Seccareccia, F.,
359 & Toshima, H. (1986). The diet and 15-year death rate in the seven countries study. *American*
360 *Journal of Epidemiology*, 124(6), 903-915.

361

362 Lerma-Garcia M. J., Simò-Alfonso, E.F., Chiavaro, E., Bendini, A., Lercker, G., & Cerretani, L.
363 (2009). Study of Chemical Changes Produced in Virgin Olive Oils with Different Phenolic
364 Contents during an Accelerated Storage Treatment. *Journal Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 57,
365 7834-7840.

366

367 Machowetz, A., Poulsen, H.E., Gruendel, S., Weimann, A., Fito, M., Marrugat, J., De La Torre, R.,
368 Salonen, J.T., Nyssonen, K., Mursu, J., Nascetti, S., Gaddi, A., Kieseewetter, H., Baumler, H.,
369 Selmi, H., Kaikkonen, J., Zunft, H.J.F., Convas, M.I., & Koebnick, C. (2007). Effect of olive oils
370 on biomarkers of oxidative DNA stress in Northern and Southern Europeans. *The FASEB Journal*,
371 21(1), 45-52.

372

373 Monti, M.C., Margarucci, L., Tosco, A., Riccio, R., & Casapullo, A. (2011). New insights on the
374 interaction mechanism between tau protein and oleocanthal, an extra-virgin olive-oil bioactive
375 component. *Food & Function*, 2(7), 423-428.

376

377 Notarnicola, M., Pisanti, S., Tutino, V., Bocale, D., Rotelli, M.T., Gentile, A., Memeo, V., Bifulco,
378 M., Perri, E., & Caruso, M.G (2011). Effects of olive oil polyphenols on fatty acid synthase gene
379 expression and activity in human colorectal cancer cells. *Genes & Nutrition*, 6(1), 63-69.

380

381 Ozdemir, D., & Ozturk, B. (2007). Near infrared spectroscopic determination of olive oil
382 adulteration with sunflower and corn oil. *Journal of Food and Drug Analysis*, 15, 40-47.

383

384 Ragni, L., Berardinelli, A., Cevoli, C., & Valli, E. (2012). Assessment of the water content in extra
385 virgin olive oils by Time Domain Reflectometry (TDR) and Partial Least Squares (PLS) regression
386 methods. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 111, 66-72.

387

388 Rodriguez-Mendez, M.L., Apetrei, C., & de Saja, J.A. (2008). Evaluation of the polyphenolic
389 content of extra virgin olive oils using an array of voltammetric sensors. *Electrochimica Acta*, 53,
390 5867-5872.

391

392 Rotondi, A., Bendini, A., Cerretani, L., Mari, M., Lercker, G., & Gallina Toschi, T. (2004). Effect
393 of olive ripening degree on the oxidative stability and organoleptic properties of cv. Nostrana di
394 Brisighella extra virgin olive oil. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 52, 3649–3654.

395

396 Singleton, V.L., & Rossi, J.A. (1965). Colorimetry of total phenolics with phosphomolybdic-
397 phosphotungstic acid reagents. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 16, 144–158.

398

399 Tasioula-Margari, M., & Okogeri, O. (2001). Isolation and characterization of virgin olive oil
400 phenolic compounds by HPLC/UV and GC-MS. *Journal of Food Science*, 66(4), 530-534.

401

402 Tulipani, S., Martinez Huelamo, M., Rotches Ribalta, M., Estruch, R., Escribano Ferrer, E.,
403 Andres-Lacueva, C., Illan, M., & Lamuela-Raventós, R.M. (2012). Oil matrix effects on plasma
404 exposure and urinary excretion of phenolic compounds from tomato sauces: evidence from a human
405 pilot study. *Food Chemistry*, 130, 581-590.

406

407 Zanoni, B. (2014). Which processing markers are recommended for measuring and monitoring the
408 transformation pathways of main components of olive oil ? *Italian Journal of Food Science*, 26, 1-
409 9.

410

411

412

Fig. 1. The sensor used for the experiments of the present work (a) and the experimental set-up built with bench-top instrumentation used for the measurements (b).

Fig. 2. Measured absorbance vs. wavelength in the range 400 – 800 nm for different concentrations of oleuropein (a) and measured absorbance at 800 nm plotted vs. phenol content for different phenolic compounds (b).

Fig. 3. Absorbance plotted vs. wavelength in the range 400 – 800 nm for different concentrations of tert-butyl hydroperoxide (a) and measured absorbance at 580 nm plotted vs. concentration for tert-butyl hydroperoxide as well as vs. hydrogen peroxide (b).

Fig. 4. Coefficient of determination R^2 plotted vs. time for the total phenol content (a) and peroxide value (c). Scatter plot and linear regression line calculated for 600 seconds for total phenol content (b) and peroxide value (d).

506
507
508
509

510
511
512
513
514
515
516

Fig. 6. Data measured with the designed electronic board for different oil samples vs. time in the case of total phenol content (a) and peroxide value (b).