



**HAL**  
open science

# Henri Poincaré and his "model" of hyperbolic geometry

Philippe Nabonnand

► **To cite this version:**

Philippe Nabonnand. Henri Poincaré and his "model" of hyperbolic geometry. Oberwolfach Report, 2016, Models and Visualization in the Mathematical and Physical Sciences, 1544a, pp.52-55. 10.48550/arXiv.1602.01302 . hal-01264428

**HAL Id: hal-01264428**

**<https://hal.science/hal-01264428>**

Submitted on 2 Feb 2016

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

## Henri Poincaré and his "model" of hyperbolic geometry

Philippe Nabonnand

Laboratoire d'Histoire des Sciences et de Philosophie – Université de Lorraine

The aim of the talk is to trace how and when Henri Poincaré used non-Euclidean geometries (NEG) in his mathematical and philosophical works, with a particular attention to the genesis and the description of his "model". We begin by a short presentation of the context of NEG in France around the 1870-80s. Then we expound from several sources the introduction and use of NEG in Poincaré's work about Fuchsian functions and we stress on the analogy between elliptic functions and fuchsian functions.

### 1 The context of non-Euclidean geometry in France around the years 1870-80s

At the end of 1869, Jules Carton sent to the Academy of Sciences of Paris a "proof" of the postulate of parallels. One of the leaders of the Academy, the well-known mathematician Joseph Bertrand, approved this proof. This announcement and this almost official approval provoked a series of proposals for proof of the postulatatum but also many criticisms, first, expressed in the privacy of correspondence, but then quickly in newspapers such as the review published by the Abbot Moigno, *Cosmos*.

Others, like Jules Hoüel and Gaston Darboux, saw it as an opportunity to popularize and deepen the debate. Jules Hoüel was the translator in French of the major texts of non-Euclidean geometries. Hoüel fought for the acceptance and the recognition of NEG in a context of discussions about the provability of the axiom of parallels, the consistency of NEG and the status of the axioms of geometry. His point of view was moderately empiricist.

Since 1875, there had been a reception of NEG and a debate in the field of Philosophy via the *Revue philosophique de la France et de l'Étranger*. Founded by the psychologist Théodule Ribot, the *Revue* gave special attention to contemporary debates on philosophy of science, with a focus on NEG and the status of axioms of geometry. Many actors contributed to this debate, mathematicians, engineers, psychologists-physiologists. In this context, the *Revue* stressed the importance of German theories in experimental psychology, especially about "spatial sense".

### 2 The three "Suppléments"

In 1880, Henri Poincaré took part in a competition announced by the French Academy in 1878. The subject was "To perfect in any material respect the linear differential equations theory with a single independent variable'. First he submitted a memoir to which he later added three "suppléments"<sup>1</sup>.

In the first one, Poincaré studied the behavior of the quotient  $z = \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$  of two independent solutions of a linear differential equation of order 2 and asked the question to know when  $x$  is

---

1. These three "suppléments" were discovered in the Archives of Academy of Sciences of Paris by J. J. Gray in 1995 and edited, with an introduction, in 1997 by J. J. Gray and S. Walter [1].

a meromorphic function of  $z$ . In this intention, he described a subgroup of transformations of  $PGL(2, \mathbb{R})$  and an associated tessellation (paving) of the unity disk. Poincaré stressed the link of these geometrical considerations with the hyperbolic Geometry. For this goal, he identified the group of transformations he studied and the group of the "pseudogeometry" of Lobatchewski. In fact, he will made a very moderate use of the "convenient language" but at the end of the first supplement he introduced a seminal remark which he would thereafter consider as the core of the use of NEG in the theory of Fuchsian functions, the analogy between elliptic functions and Fuchsian functions.

In the *Report on his own works* [[5], he explains the crucial nature of the use of NEG in the theory of Fuchsian functions as resulting from the analogy elliptic functions/Fuchsian functions. The analogy breaks down as follows :

Euclidean geometry	Discrete subgroups of orthogonal group	Lattices	Elliptic functions
Non-Euclidean geometry	Discrete subgroups of $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$	Hyperbolic pavings	Fuchsian functions

*Abstract :*

- . Few drawings considered in the context of geometry of the unity disk.
- . Identification of the groups of transformation = identification of the geometries.
- . The identification of geometries provides a convenient language.
- . The thema of the analogy elliptic functions/Fuchsian functions.

In the second supplement, Poincaré gave a definition of the elements of pseudo geometric plane in terms of classical geometry of disc unity. He described also the group of pseudo geometric movements in terms of homographies which set the fundamental circle.

### 3 The first half of 1881

In a talk about "applications of NEG to theory of quadratic forms" [2], Poincaré uses, despite the title, the same exposition mode as in the "suppléments". He first studies the linear transformations (with integral coefficients) which preserve a ternary quadratic form (with integral coefficients). Following Hermite and Selling, he is led to investigate the geometry of tessellations of unity disc. After a classical description of the geometry of the group of substitutions that exchange regions of the tessellation, he finds it convenient to use the vocabulary of the pseudo geometry.

*Abstract :*

- . Identification of geometries as identification of elements .
- . The identification of geometries provides a convenient language.

Poincaré published eight notes about Fuchsian functions during the first half of the year ; only three of them mention NEG. This raises a question : are NEG really important for Poincaré's theory of Fuchsian functions? Poincaré's answer is ambivalent. He emphasizes that NEG are very important for the discovery process but he doesn't really use NEG in his papers [3].

In a note about Kleinian groups (published July 11th, 1881) [4], Poincaré copes with the question of finding discrete subgroups of  $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ . Of course, finding Kleinian groups is a more general problem than finding Fuchsian groups, which are discrete subgroups of  $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ . Once again, Poincaré explains how NEG are important in the discovery process without translating it explicitly in the exposition of theory. In this paper, he gives a description of hyperbolic geometry on a half-space (3-dimensional hyperbolic geometry). In his paper on Fuchsian groups in *Acta mathematica*, Poincaré evokes NEG in the same terms.

*Abstract :*

- . A claim that NEG was important for the discovery of Fuchsian and Kleinian groups.
- . A new identification of elements.
- . No real use of NEG

## 4 Conclusion

In a paper entitled 'Les géométries non euclidiennes' [6], Poincaré claims that his dictionary is a proof of the non-contradiction of hyperbolic geometry. In this context, we can say that the half plane of Poincaré is a model (in the logical sense<sup>2</sup>) but we have to notice that the translation of axioms of NEG is not explicite (perhaps, included in the claim concerning all the theorems).

In any case, Poincaré made a very moderate use or no-use of the "convenient language" in mathematical papers. In particular, there is no drawing when dealing with NEG. Nevertheless, referring to the analogy between elliptic functions and Fuchsian functions, he claimed that hyperbolic geometry played a crucial role in the process of discovery.

Following the differentiation between structural analogy (correspondence between relations) and functional analogy (correspondence between elements which have analogous properties), we can notice that the functional part of the correspondence in Poincaré's dictionary of Poincaré is explicit and that the functional part is implicit (excepted when Poincaré refers to isomorphism between groups); nevertheless, Poincaré's conclusions (correspondence between theorems) are true if the analogy is structural.

## Références

- [1] Henri Poincaré. *Trois suppléments sur la découverte des fonctions fuchsiennes*, Jeremy J. Gray and Scott Walter (eds.), Akademie Verlag : Berlin/Albert Blanchard : Paris, 1897.
- [2] Henri Poincaré. Sur les applications de la géométrie non-euclidienne à la théorie des formes quadratiques. Association française pour l'avancement des sciences, 10 (1881), 132-138.
- [3] Henri Poincaré. Sur les fonctions fuchsiennes. *Comptes rendus hebdomadaires de l'Académie des sciences de Paris*, 92 (1881), 333-335.
- [4] Henri Poincaré. Sur les groupes kleinéens. *Comptes rendus hebdomadaires de l'Académie des sciences de Paris*, 93 (1881), 44-46.

---

2. If a deductive system has a model, the system is semantically consistent.

- [5] Henri Poincaré. *Notice sur les travaux scientifiques de Henri Poincaré*. Paris : Gauthier-Villars, (1886).
- [6] Henri Poincaré. Les géométries non euclidiennes. *Revue générale des sciences pures et appliquées*, 2 (1891), 769-774.